



United States
Department of
Agriculture

Final Environmental Impact Statement




Forest
Service

Sioux Travel Management

Sioux Ranger District
Custer National Forest

Carter County of Montana and Harding County of South Dakota

June 2009



The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, or marital or family status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 14th and Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call (202) 720-5964 (voice and TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

**SIOUX RANGER DISTRICT
TRAVEL MANAGEMENT
Final Environmental Impact Statement**

Custer National Forest - Sioux Ranger District

Lead Agency: USDA Forest Service

Responsible Official: Mary C. Erickson, Acting Forest Supervisor
Custer NF, 1310 Main St.
Billings, MT 59105

For Information Contact: Doug Epperly, Project Coordinator
Custer NF, 1310 Main Street
Billings, MT 59105
(406) 657-6205 ext. 225

Abstract: The Forest Service is proposing to designate routes for public motorized use within the Sioux Ranger District of the Custer National Forest. The new travel management decision would designate system roads and trails for public motorized uses and specify the type of vehicle and season of use for each route. Motorized off-route travel would be prohibited, except where designated for access to dispersed vehicle camping. Over-snow vehicle use is not part of the decision to be made in this analysis. The two action alternatives considered in this EIS represent a broad range of public sentiment regarding road and motorized trail management, and frame the significant issues related to the decision to be made. The alternative of taking no action is also considered in this EIS. The preferred alternative is Alternative B.

Comments on this FEIS. Public review and comment was solicited on the “draft” environmental impact statement (DEIS), and utilized in the preparation of this final environmental impact statement (FEIS). No further public review nor public comment is being sought on this “final” EIS.

Appeal of Decisions. Reviewers whom disagree with information presented in this FEIS may appeal any decision based upon it. Decisions based upon this FEIS are described in separate documents. It is the reviewer’s responsibility to obtain those decision documents and follow procedures described in them to appeal the decision(s).

TABLE OF CONTENT

Chapter 1: Purpose and Need, and Proposed Action

1.1 OVERVIEW OF CHANGES FROM THE DRAFT TO THE FINAL EIS	1-1
1.2 BACKGROUND.....	1-1
1.2.1 AGENCY TRAVEL MANAGEMENT PLANNING.....	1-1
1.2.2 DISTRICT TRAVEL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM AND PLANNING	1-2
1.2.3 FOUR THREATS TO FOREST AND GRASSLAND HEALTH.....	1-2
1.2.4 ROADS ANALYSIS.....	1-2
1.2.5 GENERAL LOCATION AND GEOGRAPHIC SETTING.....	1-3
1.2.6 DOCUMENT STRUCTURE	1-4
1.3 PURPOSE AND NEED.....	1-4
1.4 PROPOSED ACTION	1-5
1.5 SCOPE OF DECISION TO BE MADE	1-5
1.5.1 DECISIONS TO BE MADE	1-5
1.5.2 DECISIONS THAT WILL NOT BE MADE.....	1-5
1.6 LEGAL FRAMEWORK	1-5
1.6.1 AUTHORITY FOR TRAVEL MANAGEMENT PLANNING.....	1-6
1.6.2 EXECUTIVE ORDER 11644 AS AMENDED BY EXECUTIVE ORDER 11989.....	1-6
1.6.3 EXECUTIVE ORDER 13443.....	1-6
1.6.4 2005 MOTORIZED TRAVEL RULE	1-6
1.6.4.1 Designation Criteria.....	1-6
Access Needs	1-8
Accessibility.....	1-8
Conflicts Among Uses of National Forest System Lands.....	1-8
Safety.....	1-9
Montana State Law	1-9
South Dakota State Law	1-10
Operator Responsibilities.....	1-10
1.6.4.2 Administrative Use.....	1-11
1.6.4.3 Public Rights-Of-Way Access.....	1-12
1.6.4.4 Revised Statute (R.S.) 2477 Rights-Of-Way	1-12
1.6.5 MINIMUM ROAD SYSTEM (36 CFR 212.5(B)(1))	1-12
1.6.6 REGIONAL TRAVEL MANAGEMENT PLANNING GUIDANCE.....	1-13
1.6.6.1 Motorized Game Retrieval	1-13
1.6.6.2 Motorized Cross-Country Areas.....	1-13
1.6.7 1986 CUSTER NATIONAL FOREST LAND AND RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PLAN.....	1-14

Chapter 2: Public Participation, Issues and Alternatives

2.1 OVERVIEW OF CHANGES FROM DRAFT TO FINAL EIS	2-1
2.2 INTRODUCTION.....	2-1
2.3 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SUMMARY	2-1
2.3.1 PUBLIC SCOPING	2-1
2.3.2 NOTICE OF INTENT	2-2
2.3.3 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT FOR THE DEIS	2-2

TABLE OF CONTENT

2.4	SIGNIFICANT ISSUES.....	2-3
2.4.1	RECREATION	2-3
2.4.2	CULTURAL RESOURCES	2-4
2.4.3	WILDLIFE	2-4
2.5	OTHER ISSUES.....	2-4
2.5.1	WATER QUALITY, FISHERIES, AND AQUATICS	2-5
2.5.2	SOILS.....	2-5
2.5.3	VEGETATION	2-5
2.5.4	ECONOMICS	2-5
2.5.5	AIR QUALITY.....	2-6
2.5.6	CLIMATE CHANGE.....	2-6
2.6	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED IN DETAIL.....	2-6
2.6.1	ALTERNATIVE A (EXISTING CONDITION)	2-7
2.6.2	ALTERNATIVE B (PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE).....	2-8
2.6.3	NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE	2-9
2.6.4	ELEMENTS COMMON TO ALL ALTERNATIVES.....	2-12
2.6.4.1	Administrative Exemptions	2-12
2.6.4.2	Administrative Sites	2-12
2.6.4.3	System Roads with Forest Service Maintenance Obligations	2-12
2.6.4.4	Roads Under Permit.....	2-12
2.6.4.5	No Legal Right-of-Way for Public Access.....	2-12
2.6.4.6	Designated Routes Required to be Part of the National Forest System.....	2-12
2.6.4.7	Dispersed Vehicle Camping Authorized Only on National Forest System Lands.....	2-12
2.6.4.8	Implementation.....	2-12
2.6.4.9	Enforcement	2-13
2.7	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED BUT DROPPED FROM DETAILED ANALYSIS.....	2-15
2.7.1	MOTORIZED DESIGNATED AREAS.....	2-15
2.7.2	DESIGNATE GAME RETRIEVAL USE FROM 10:00 AM TO 2:00 PM ON ROUTES NOT OTHERWISE DESIGNATED FOR PUBLIC MOTORIZED USE.....	2-16
2.7.3	A MOTORIZED RECREATION ALTERNATIVE WITH A RECREATION OPPORTUNITY SPECTRUM (ROS) COMPARABLE TO THE SURROUNDING ROS AVAILABLE FOR NON-MOTORIZED RECREATIONISTS.....	2-16
2.7.4	THE FOREST SERVICE SHOULD CONSIDER CLOSING THE LOWER SECTION OF ROUTE #381612..	2-16
2.7.5	IMPLEMENT A 100 FOOT FIXED LIMIT FOR DISPERSED VEHICLE CAMPING.....	2-17
2.7.6	IDENTIFY WHERE PARKING ALONG ROUTES WOULD BE UNSAFE OR CAUSE RESOURCE DAMAGE AND DO NOT DESIGNATE.....	2-17
2.7.7	SEASON OF USE DESIGNATIONS RATHER THAN NO DESIGNATION, ESPECIALLY TO ADDRESS WILDLIFE NEEDS.....	2-17
2.7.8	SEASON OF USE DESIGNATION FOR ROUTES WITHIN 200 FEET OF RAPTOR NESTS.....	2-17
2.7.9	DO NOT DESIGNATE DISPERSED VEHICLE CAMPING IN AREAS WITH STEEP TOPOGRAPHY AND SENSITIVE RESOURCES TO AVOID POTENTIAL ADVERSE IMPACTS	2-17
2.7.10	FURTHER REDUCE MOTORIZED USE TO MINIMIZE THE POTENTIAL FOR SPREAD OF NOXIOUS WEEDS	2-18
2.7.11	IMPROVE ENFORCEABILITY BY DESIGNATING ONLY SYSTEM ROADS SO THAT ALL MOTORIZED VEHICLES HAVE LICENSE PLATES FOR IDENTIFICATION	2-18
2.7.12	ROAD #38161 SHOULD BE CLOSED OR NOT DESIGNATED AS A SYSTEM ROAD OR TRAIL TO PREVENT NEW ROUTES FROM BEING CREATED AND IMPACTING FIGHTING BUTTE	2-18
2.7.13	THERE SHOULD BE NO NET LOSS OF MOTORIZED OPPORTUNITIES TO COUNTER THE CUMULATIVE LOSS OF OTHER MOTORIZED OPPORTUNITIES.....	2-18

TABLE OF CONTENT

2.7.14	PROVIDE ADDITIONAL MOTORIZED OPPORTUNITIES BY DESIGNATING ROUTES CLOSED BECAUSE THEY CANNOT ACCOMMODATE A FULL-SIZE VEHICLE FOR 50 INCH WIDE OR LESS VEHICLES.....	2-19
2.7.15	CONSIDER ALL IDENTIFIED MOTORCYCLE TRACK FOR DESIGNATION AS MOTORIZED TRAILS ..	2-19
2.7.16	THE FOREST SHOULD HAVE AN EQUAL NUMBER OF MOTORIZED AND NON-MOTORIZED TRAILS TO PROVIDE EQUAL AMOUNTS OF OPPORTUNITIES.....	2-19
2.7.17	USE A ONE MILE BUFFER (RATHER THAN .5 MILES) TO SCREEN OUT PARALLEL ROUTES TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL NON-MOTORIZED OPPORTUNITIES AND WILDLIFE SECURITY	2-19
2.7.18	THERE SHOULD BE NO NET GAIN OF SYSTEM ROUTES	2-19
2.7.19	FURTHER REDUCE MILES OF ROUTES IN SOIL MAPPING UNITS IDENTIFIED AS HAVING A HIGH RISK OF SOIL EROSION TO REDUCE WATER QUALITY IMPACTS.....	2-20
2.7.20	DO NOT ADD ROUTES OR FURTHER REDUCE MILES OF ROUTES IN MODERATE AND HIGH RISK SOILS AND THAT HAVE WATER QUALITY IMPACTS IN HIGH RISK WATERSHEDS, TO REDUCE IMPACTS IN THOSE WATERSHEDS.	2-20
2.8	COMPARISON OF EFFECTS.....	2-20
2.8.1	ROUTE MAINTENANCE NEEDS.....	2-20
2.9	MONITORING	2-23
2.9.1	IMPLEMENTATION MONITORING.....	2-24
2.9.2	EFFECTIVENESS MONITORING.....	2-24
2.9.3	MONITORING PLAN.....	2-25
2.10	FOREST SERVICE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE.....	2-25

Chapter 3: Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences

3.1	OVERVIEW OF CHANGES FROM DRAFT TO FINAL EIS.....	3-1
3.2	INTRODUCTION.....	3-1
3.2.1	DIRECT AND INDIRECT EFFECTS.....	3-1
3.2.2	CUMULATIVE EFFECTS.....	3-1
3.2.2.1	Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Activities	3-1
3.2.3	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE.....	3-3
3.2.4	NATIVE AMERICAN TREATY RIGHTS	3-3
3.2.5	UNAVOIDABLE ADVERSE EFFECTS (40 CFR 1502.16).....	3-3
3.2.6	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SHORT TERM USE AND LONG TERM PRODUCTIVITY (40 CFR 1502.16).....	3-3
3.2.7	IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES (40 CFR 1502.16)	3-4
3.2.8	ENERGY REQUIREMENTS AND CONSERVATION POTENTIAL (40 CFR 1502.16)	3-4
3.3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES – SIGNIFICANT ISSUES ...	3-4
3.3.1	RECREATION.....	3-4
3.3.1.1	Affected Environment – Recreation	3-4
3.3.1.2	Environmental Consequences - Recreation	3-10
3.3.1.3	Conclusion - Recreation	3-18
3.3.2	CULTURAL RESOURCES.....	3-19
3.3.2.1	Affected Environment – Archaeological Resources and Tradition Cultural Properties	3-21
3.3.2.2	Environmental Consequences – Archaeological Resources and Tradition Cultural Properties	3-29
3.3.2.1	Conclusion – Archaeological Resources and Traditional Cultural Properties.....	3-36
3.3.3	WILDLIFE.....	3-37
3.3.3.1	Affected Environment – Threatened And Endangered Species Black-footed Ferret	3-42

TABLE OF CONTENT

3.3.3.2	Environmental Consequences – Threatened And Endangered Species: Black-footed Ferret.....	3-43
3.3.3.3	Affected Environment – Sensitive Species: Bat Species.....	3-44
3.3.3.4	Environmental Consequences – Sensitive Species: Bat Species.....	3-45
3.3.3.5	Affected Environment – Management Indicator Species: Big Game Species.....	3-47
3.3.3.6	Environmental Consequences – Management Indicator Species: Elk.....	3-49
3.3.3.7	Affected Environment – Migratory Birds.....	3-51
3.3.3.8	Environmental Consequences – Migratory Birds.....	3-53
3.3.3.9	Affected Environment – General Wildlife.....	3-55
3.3.3.10	Environmental Consequences – General Wildlife.....	3-59
3.3.3.11	Conclusion - Wildlife.....	3-61
3.4	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES – OTHER ISSUES	3-63
3.4.1	<i>WATER QUALITY, FISHERIES, AND AQUATICS</i>	<i>3-63</i>
3.4.1.1	Introduction	3-63
3.4.1.2	Affected Environment – Water Quality.....	3-63
3.4.1.3	Environmental Consequences – Water Quality.....	3-76
3.4.1.4	Conclusion - Water Quality.....	3-81
3.4.1.5	Affected Environment – Fisheries and Aquatics.....	3-82
3.4.1.6	Environmental Consequences – Fisheries and Aquatic.....	3-92
3.4.1.7	Conclusion - Fisheries and Aquatics.....	3-96
3.4.2	<i>SOILS.....</i>	<i>3-97</i>
3.4.2.1	Introduction	3-97
3.4.2.2	Affected Environment – Soils	3-97
3.4.2.3	Environmental Consequences - Soils	3-101
3.4.2.4	Conclusion - Soils.....	3-104
3.4.3	<i>VEGETATION</i>	<i>3-105</i>
3.4.3.1	Introduction	3-105
3.4.3.2	Affected Environment – Vegetation.....	3-105
3.4.3.3	Environmental Consequences – Vegetation	3-108
3.4.3.4	Conclusion - Vegetation	3-110
3.4.3.5	Affected Environment – Weeds.....	3-111
3.4.3.6	Environmental Consequences – Weeds.....	3-114
3.4.3.7	Conclusion - Weeds.....	3-116
3.4.3.8	Affected Environment – Sensitive Plants	3-116
3.4.3.9	Environmental Consequences – Sensitive Plants	3-119
3.4.3.10	Conclusion - Sensitive Plants	3-122

Chapter 4: Consultation, References, and Glossary

4.1	OVERVIEW OF CHANGES FROM DRAFT TO FINAL EIS.....	4-1
4.2	CONSULTATION	4-1
4.2.1	<i>PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SUMMARY</i>	<i>4-1</i>
4.2.2	<i>CONSULTATION WITH OTHERS.....</i>	<i>4-1</i>
4.3	DISTRIBUTION	4-2
4.4	LIST OF PREPARERS	4-2
4.5	REFERENCES.....	4-4
4.5.1	<i>CHAPTER 1: PURPOSE AND NEED, AND PROPOSED ACTION.....</i>	<i>4-4</i>
4.5.2	<i>CHAPTER 2: PUBLIC PARTICIPATION, ISSUES AND ALTERNATIVES.....</i>	<i>4-5</i>
4.5.2.1	Air Quality.....	4-5
4.5.2.2	Alternatives Considered in Detail.....	4-5

TABLE OF CONTENT

4.5.3 CHAPTER 3: AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	4-5
4.5.3.1 Recreation.....	4-5
4.5.3.2 Cultural Resources	4-6
4.5.3.3 Wildlife.....	4-7
4.5.3.4 Water Quality	4-11
4.5.3.5 Fisheries and Aquatics.....	4-12
4.5.3.6 Soils.....	4-14
4.5.3.7 Vegetation	4-14
4.5.3.8 Weeds.....	4-15
4.5.3.9 Sensitive Plants.....	4-15
4.6 GLOSSARY.....	4-17

Chapter 5: Response to Comments

5.1 PUBLIC COMMENT ANALYSIS PROCESS	1
5.2 RESPONSE TO COMMENTS	2
CULTURAL RESOURCES	2
ECONOMICS.....	2
FISHERIES AND AQUATICS	3
MANAGEMENT.....	4
MISCELLANEOUS.....	5
RECREATION	7
SOILS	10
VEGETATION	11
WATER QUALITY	12
WILDLIFE	14

Appendices

- Appendix A: 2005 Motorized Travel Rule
- Appendix B: Forest Plan Direction
- Appendix C: Alternative Details by Route
- Appendix D: Opportunities
- Appendix E: Agency Letters
- Appendix F: Catalog of Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Activities
- Appendix G: Biological Assessment

Index

Map Section

- Alternative A – Chalk Buttes, Ekalaka Hills, and Long Pines
- Alternative A – West Short Pines, East Short Pines, North Cave Hills, South Cave Hills, and Slim Buttes
- Alternative B – Chalk Buttes, Ekalaka Hills, and Long Pines
- Alternative B – West Short Pines, East Short Pines, North Cave Hills, South Cave Hills, and Slim Buttes
- No Action Alternative – Chalk Buttes, Ekalaka Hills, and Long Pines
- No Action Alternative– West Short Pines, East Short Pines, North Cave Hills, South Cave Hills, and Slim Buttes