



United States Department of Agriculture
Forest Service

Southwestern Region
TP-R3-16-26
June 2014

Guidance for Invasive Species Management in the Southwestern Region



Cite as: USDA Forest Service. 2014. Guidance for invasive species management in the Southwestern Region. US Dept. Agric., Forest Serv., Southwest. Region. TP-R3-16-26. Albuquerque, NM.

Cover: Invasive sweet resinbush (*Euryops subcarnosus* ssp. *vulgaris*) on Frye Mesa near Safford, AZ. The alluvial fan forming the mesa lies at the boundary of the Coronado National Forest, which begins along the foothill toeslopes in the background. Although sweet resinbush is currently being contained at the edge of the mesa and at the Forest's boundary with spot treatments, seed may still be transported away from the mesa by vehicle traffic, animals, and surface runoff. Photo courtesy of Bob Celaya, Arizona State Forester's Office.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TTY). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410, or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TTY). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Printed on recycled paper – June 2014

Guidance for Invasive Species Management in the Southwestern Region

Allen White, Regional Coordinator for Invasive Species and Pesticide Use

Rangeland Management

Carol Boyd, Director (acting)

Forestry, Forest Health, Cooperative and International Forestry

Clifford Dils, Director

U.S. Forest Service
333 Broadway Blvd., SE
Albuquerque, NM 87102

<http://www.fs.usda.gov/main/r3/forest-grasslandhealth>

State Coordinators for the USFS State & Private Forestry Grant Program for Invasive Plants

Arizona: John Richardson (johnrichardson@azsf.gov)

New Mexico: Shannon Atencio (shannon.atencio@state.nm.us)

Contents

Guidance for Invasive Species Management in the Southwestern Region	1
Regional Priorities for Invasive Species Management	3
Coordinator Responsibilities.....	5
Forest Invasive Species Coordinators.....	5
District Invasive Species Coordinators.....	6
Forest Pesticide Coordinators.....	6
District Pesticide Coordinators.....	7
Line Management Responsibilities.....	9
Invasive Species	9
Pesticide Use	9
Invasive Species in Region 3	11
Invasive Species Taxa	11
Forest Service Goals and Objectives for Managing Invasive Species.....	15
Regional Guidelines for Managing Invasive Species	17
Prevention.....	17
Detection.....	27
Control and Management	28
Rehabilitation and Restoration	30
Pesticide Use for Invasive and Non-Invasive Species	33
Pesticide-Use Requirements.....	33
NEPA Documents for Pesticide Use	36
NPDES	37
Data Management for Invasive Species	39
NRM's Integrated Application	39
Data Management Guidelines	41
Management Strategies for Invasive Species	45
Population Growth of Invasive Species.....	45
Management Strategies	45
Planning for Invasive Species Management.....	51
Risk Assessments for Invasive Species.....	51
Prioritizing Invasive Weed Species.....	51
Weed Management Plans	53
Project Risk Assessments	56
Designing Weed Treatment Projects	57
Restoration Planning for Invasive Species	59
Wilderness and Other Natural Areas	65
Requirements for Managing Natural Areas.....	65
Natural Area Management.....	67
Weed Management Planning.....	69
Volunteerism for Natural Areas	70
Coordination of Invasive Species Management	71
Invasive Species Issue Teams.....	71
Coordination with Other Forest Service Branches	71
Cooperation with Departments of Transportation, County Road Departments, and Utilities ...	71
Cooperative Weed Management	72
Resources for Cooperative Management and Partnership.....	77
WorkPlan and Accomplishments Reporting.....	81

Contents

Integrated Resource Restoration Pilot	81
WorkPlan.....	81
Accomplishments Reporting for Invasive Species	84
References.....	89
Appendix - Contacts for Animal-Mounted Spray Operations	91
Training Contacts	91
Information Contacts for Animal-Mounted Sprayers.....	91

List of Figures

Figure 1. Growth patterns and management priorities for invasive species (after Chippendale, 1991).....	45
Figure 2. Cooperative Weed Management Areas in Arizona	73
Figure 3. Cooperative Weed Management Areas in New Mexico	74

List of Tables

Table 1. Agency responsibility for invasive and non-native species management	11
Table 2. Probabilities for successful eradication.....	47
Table 3. List of priority weeds for the Santa Catalina Ranger District, Coronado NF	53
Table 4. Risk assessment for proposed projects.....	57
Table 5. Treatment project objectives by weed classification.....	58
Table 6. Reportable items	85
Table 7. Integrated Resource Restoration performance measures	86

Guidance for Invasive Species Management in the Southwestern Region

Under Executive Order 13112, an invasive species is defined as “an alien species whose introduction does or is likely to cause economic or environmental harm or harm to human health.” Policy in Forest Service Manual (FSM) 2900 - INVASIVE SPECIES MANAGEMENT states that all US Forest Service (USFS) management activities must be designed to minimize or eliminate the possibility of establishment or spread of invasive species on the National Forest System (NFS) or to adjacent areas. FSM 2903 stipulates that actions must be initiated, coordinated, and sustained to (1) prevent, control, and eliminate priority infestations of invasive species in aquatic and terrestrial areas of the NFS by using an integrated pest management approach, and (2) collaborate with stakeholders to implement cooperative invasive species management activities in accordance with law and policy. When applicable, invasive species management actions and standards must also be incorporated into resource management plans at the forest level, and in programmatic environmental planning and assessment documents at the Regional or national levels (FSM 2903).

This guidance is intended to assist USFS invasive species and/or pesticide-use coordinators in the Forest Service’s Southwestern Region (Region 3) who are responsible for invasive species management on NFS lands. It may also be used by personnel in other USFS programs or agencies for planning purposes. Due to the extensive presence of invasive weeds in Region 3, the principal focus of the guidance is on invasive weed management; however, other invasive species currently found in the Region are also addressed. The guidance will be updated as necessary in the future. The Regional Invasive Species/Pesticide Coordinator in Albuquerque may be contacted at (505) 842-3280 for information pertaining to this guidance. Additional sources of information for Forest Service management of invasive species include—

- National direction for invasive species management:
FSM 2900 and FSH (Forest Service Handbook – in preparation)
- National direction for pesticide use:
FSM 2150 and FSH 2109
- Forest Service programs for invasive species:
<http://www.fs.fed.us/invasivespecies/index.shtml>
<http://wwwdev1.phe.fs.fed.us/science-technology/invasive-species-pests-disease>
- Region 3 website for invasive species:
<http://www.fs.usda.gov/main/r3/forest-grasslandhealth/invasivespecies>

Regional Priorities for Invasive Species Management

Overall priorities for managing invasive species in Region 3 include these action items:

1. Maintain a cadre of trained coordinators and applicators sufficient for invasive species management and pesticide use across all national forests and grasslands.
2. Complete inventories of invasive species and increase the number of treated/monitored acres relative to meeting stated treatment goals and objectives for these species.
3. Develop weed management plans and implement treatment projects that can eradicate, contain, and/or control prioritized invasive weeds in accordance with forest planning for watershed restoration, desired conditions, and other resource-related management goals.
4. Establish or support Cooperative Weed Management Areas (CWMAs) or similar organizations as appropriate to address invasive weed management on all NFS lands as well as neighboring lands.
5. Cooperate with other agencies and governments in supporting successful management programs for invasive species.
6. Increase partnerships through outside partnership agreements and funding to maximize effectiveness and efficiency of treatment projects on priority landscapes.
7. Reduce the number of terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems at risk through education and prevention activities.

Coordinator Responsibilities

Responsibility for management of invasive species on NFS lands is shared between invasive species coordinators and personnel from associated programs such as wildlife biologists, fishery biologists, wilderness specialists, etc. Technical assistance for treating certain invasive species with pesticides may be provided by pesticide-use coordinators. Although invasive species coordinators are primarily responsible for invasive plant management on NFS lands, personnel designated as invasive species coordinators are also expected to serve as overall coordinators across all taxa of invasive species. Depending on level of expertise, specialists from other Forest Service programs may have the lead for management of certain invasive species such as management of invasive aquatic and terrestrial animal species by wildlife biologists. In addition to native forest pests, the Region's Forest Health Protection (FHP) program is responsible for survey and monitoring of invasive forest pathogens and insects.

Forest Invasive Species Coordinators

The Forest Invasive Species Coordinator has lead responsibility for coordinating management activities for invasive species on the forest. These responsibilities include—

1. Implementing measures associated with invasive species management in forest plans and other resource management and project-level plans.
2. Collecting and reporting information related to invasive species infestations, impacts, and management activities occurring on NFS lands. This includes reporting all invasive species survey, inventory, and treatment activities for the forest into the FACTS database of record by using the required TESP-IS (Threatened, Endangered, & Sensitive Plants, and Invasive Species) software application.
3. Developing and updating as necessary priority invasive plant lists and weed management plans that can be used to implement management strategies for eradication, containment, or control of invasive species.
4. Developing and prioritizing treatment projects for invasive plants and, as appropriate, applying these projects to overall forest goals of watershed restoration, desired conditions, or wilderness stewardship.
5. Ensuring that at least 50 percent of acres treated annually on the forest are monitored.
6. Preparing or supporting district preparation of an annual budget to incorporate invasive species management in all priority forest or district restoration projects and submitting it during the budget cycle.
7. Developing and managing annual forest WorkPlan for invasive species program management.
8. Coordinating with Forest Service leadership, other Forest Service program areas, State and local agencies, tribes, and landowners in control and restoration efforts associated with invasive species management.
9. Maintaining working relationships with committees, districts, boards, and other organizations at the State or local level that are involved with managing invasive species.

District Invasive Species Coordinators

Responsibilities for district-level invasive species coordinators are similar to those of forest invasive species coordinators. District invasive species coordinators should assist the Forest Invasive Species Coordinator in activities such as treatment, data collection, and monitoring. The District Invasive Species Coordinator should also participate in district-level activities such as providing input to WorkPlan and working with local organizations involved with invasive species management.

Forest Pesticide Coordinators

The Forest Pesticide Coordinator has lead responsibility for coordinating pesticide activities for the forest. These responsibilities include—

1. Ensuring that safety requirements for pesticides are met by
 - a. Acquiring training and any necessary certification in the proper application and safe use of pesticides that will be used during a pesticide project.
 - b. Preparing and implementing a safety plan for all pesticide-use projects except for
 - i. housekeeping-type uses;
 - ii. minor uses of less than one pound active ingredient for any one project (except for use of any amount of sodium cyanide, strychnine, or other products of concern that require a safety plan); or
 - iii. fish restoration projects with a piscicide where a safety plan has been completed beforehand by a State game and fish agencies.
 - c. Purchasing and using protective clothing and equipment prescribed on the pesticide label or otherwise required by the Forest Service or a Safety Data Sheet (SDS).
 - d. Bi-annually inventorying and properly storing pesticides in non-flammable, self-contained storage areas that are in compliance with the Pesticide Storage Facility Inspection Checklist (FSH 2109.14).
 - e. Immediately reporting every pesticide incident or accident to line management and then forwarding any required reports to the Regional Safety Manager including a filled-out version of the reporting outline found in FSH 2109.14, CHAPTER 70 - FORMS, REPORTS, AND PUBLICATIONS (FSH 2109.14, CHAPTER 60).
 - f. Training forest personnel in the proper application and safe use of pesticides.
 - g. Permitting use of restricted-use pesticides only by certified personnel or those under the direct supervision of a certified applicator.
 - h. Approving contracts only with applicators who are licensed in the State(s) where pesticide treatment will occur.

2. Maintaining a current list of certified applicators and their pesticide license numbers for the forest. The list should be forwarded to the Regional Invasive Species/Pesticide Coordinator upon request.
3. Completing Pesticide-Use Proposals (Form FS-2100-2) according to direction found in FSH 2109.14 whenever pesticides are proposed for use. A Pesticide-Use Proposal (PUP) must be sent to the Regional Forester for review and approval or disapproval if it involves
 - a. any pesticide use in wilderness, which includes wilderness study areas;
 - b. any pesticide use in established or candidate research natural areas; or
 - c. any use of any amount of sodium cyanide.
4. Ensuring that
 - a. a post-treatment evaluation report is completed within nine months after finishing a pesticide project; and
 - b. if warranted, monitoring occurs after completion of a pilot or operational pesticide project.
5. Completing forms and reports necessary for compliance with regulations of EPA's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program. This includes Notices of Intent (NOIs), pesticide discharge management plans (PDMPs), and annual reports as required by EPA or individual State EPAs.
6. Advising and assisting Forest Service field units on
 - a. preparation and review of documents associated with environmental analysis, appeals, and litigation dealing with pesticide use; and
 - b. interpretation of pesticide laws and Executive orders, Departmental directives, and Forest Service direction on pesticide use.
7. Coordinating with invasive species managers, fishery biologists, foresters, and other forest pesticide users in compiling pesticide use information for all invasive species treatments that will be recorded in the FACTS database.
8. Coordinating with other agencies and organizations with an interest in Forest Service pesticide-use activities.

District Pesticide Coordinators

Responsibilities for district-level pesticide coordinators are similar to those of forest pesticide coordinators. However, the Forest Pesticide Coordinator has lead responsibility for (1) maintaining a current forest-wide list of certified pesticide coordinators and applicators, and (2) preparing and submitting required NPDES documents to EPA or State EPA agencies. PUPs developed by district pesticide coordinators should be coordinated with the Forest Pesticide Coordinator before submission to the Forest Supervisor or Regional Forester as delegated.

Line Management Responsibilities

Invasive Species

As directed under FSM 2904, line officers in the Forest Service are responsible for—

1. Appointing forest staff to coordinate the forest or grassland invasive species management program in accordance with law and policy, and other national and regional requirements.
2. Providing training opportunities to staff for invasive species identification and management including training associated with invasive species record keeping, integrated pest management techniques, invasive species inventory and treatment monitoring, and other invasive species program training.
3. Collecting, maintaining, and reporting information [*through the assistance of forest or district invasive species coordinators*] related to invasive species infestations, impacts, and management activities (including inventories, surveys, assessments, treatments, and treatment efficacy) occurring on the national forest or grassland and associated program performance and accountability information, in compliance with national invasive species program protocols, criteria, rules, and requirements.
4. Maintaining working relationships with the State or local invasive species or noxious weed management committees, districts or boards, and other related stakeholders.
5. Fostering collaborative efforts such as “cooperative weed management areas”, “cooperative invasive species management zones”, and similar collaborative partnerships.

Pesticide Use

In Region 3, forest supervisors are required to appoint a trained and certified (i.e., licensed) forest pesticide coordinator who is responsible for coordinating pesticide uses and providing advice and assistance to line officers (FSM R3 Supplement 2150.45). District-level pesticide coordinators may also be designated as needed by line officers for approval of Pesticide-use Proposals (PUPs) providing that the coordinator has also been trained and certified (FSM R3 Supplement 2150.45). Other responsibilities for line officers as directed under FSM 2150.47 and FSM Region 3 Supplement 2150.45 include—

1. Ensuring that Forest Service personnel responsible for conducting or directly supervising pesticide applications within the forest and district are properly trained or certified.
2. Ensuring compliance with Forest Service pesticide-use management and coordination policy requirements, handbook guidance, and associated laws, regulations, and Executive orders related to pesticide use.
3. Preparing appropriate environmental analyses, assessments, plans, and other documents required under NEPA and NFMA for forest or district pesticide-use planning efforts and decisions.

4. Ensuring that complete and accurate records are collected and maintained for pesticide-use activities occurring on areas or facilities administered by the Forest Service within the forest or district as required.
5. District rangers must coordinate the preparation of PUPs for all proposed uses of pesticides within the district, including uses by licensees, permittees, grantees, States, and other Federal agencies. District rangers must review, and approve or disapprove, those PUPs for which they have been delegated authority. Forest supervisors must review, and approve or disapprove, PUPs for which they have authority. District rangers and forest supervisors must review, consolidate, and forward the remaining PUPs not under their authority to the Regional Forester for review and approval or disapproval.

Invasive Species in Region 3

Major invasive species currently found on NFS lands in Region 3 include both terrestrial and aquatic invasive species of pathogens, plants, invertebrates, and vertebrates. Lead agency responsibility for managing invasive and non-native species associated with NFS lands or waters varies with taxon (table 1). The Forest Service is principally responsible for invasive weeds and forest-related insects and plant pathogens on NFS lands. For other taxa, the Forest Service generally takes a cooperating role depending on agency mission and regulatory authorities. Further information on invasive species specific to individual national forests and grasslands in Region 3 may be found in *Review and Assessment of Programs for Invasive Species Management in the Southwestern Region, 2012*, which is available at <http://www.fs.usda.gov/main/r3/forest-grasslandhealth/invasivespecies>.

Table 1. Agency responsibility for invasive and non-native species management

Species Group	USFS Lead	USFS Cooperating	USFS Program, Agency, or Agency Group
Invasive pathogens of fish and wildlife (whirling disease, chytrid fungus, etc.) in NFS waters and on NFS lands		X	State wildlife agencies, USFS Wildlife, USFS Fisheries
Invasive aquatic weeds and invertebrates (mussels, crustaceans, etc.) in NFS waters		X	USFS Fisheries, USFS Invasive Species, State wildlife agencies, interagency working groups
Invasive weeds on NFS lands	X		USFS Invasive Species
Forest-related insects and plant pathogens on NFS and non-NFS lands	X	X	USFS Forest Health Protection*, State forestry agencies, APHIS**
Non-native fish and other aquatic vertebrates in NFS waters		X	State wildlife agencies, USFS Fisheries
Invasive vertebrates on NFS lands		X	APHIS, USFS Wildlife, USFS Invasive Species

* The USFS Forest Health Protection program has lead for forest-related insects and plant pathogens on all Federal lands but is a cooperator on State and private lands.

** APHIS - USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

Invasive Species Taxa

Pathogens

Plant: White pine blister rust is currently spreading in five-needled pine species across Region 3. The three main susceptible species are southwestern white pine (*Pinus strobiformis*), limber pine (*P. flexilis*), and Rocky Mountain bristlecone pine (*P. aristata*). Species of gooseberry and currants in the genus *Ribes* serve as alternate hosts during the life cycle of the rust fungus (*Cronartium ribicola*). Disease-resistant populations of southwestern white pine have been identified, and surveys are now being conducted region-wide to survey the extent of disease resistance. Further information on white pine blister rust may be found in *Field Guide to Insects and Diseases of Arizona and New Mexico Forests*, which can be accessed at <http://www.fs.fed.us/r3/resources/health/field-guide/scrp/whitepine.shtml>. Forest pathologists in

the Forest Health program of the Forest Service's State & Private Forestry (S&PF) branch may be contacted at zone offices located in Flagstaff, AZ (928-556-2073) or Albuquerque, NM (505-842-3286) for more specific information or technical assistance.

Fish: The myxosporean parasite *Myxobolus cerebralis* causes whirling disease in juvenile trout and is a major threat to cold water fisheries in Region 3. The pathogen is currently found on the Santa Fe NF but could easily be transported to watersheds on other national forests. Whirling disease impacts juvenile fish by causing skeletal deformation and neurological damage. Sanitation measures such as cleaning fishing equipment and preventing transportation of fish or spores from one water body to another can help protect against cross-contamination of waterways. Fishery biologists at the forest or Regional Office level have responsibility for whirling disease management on NFS lands and should be contacted for further information.

Amphibian: As an etiologic agent, the chytrid fungus (*Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*) can induce mortality and subsequent population declines in amphibians. The fungus is currently affecting amphibians on the Gila NF and possibly other NFS lands in Region 3. Apart from sanitation measures taken to stop the spread of this pathogen, selection and propagation of disease-resistant stock of affected species may be the only strategy in mitigating impacts once this invasive pathogen is present. Wildlife biologists at the forest or Regional Office level may be contacted for further information in managing this disease.

Aquatic plants

Didymo (*Didymosphenia geminata*) is an invasive algal species that is present in the Pecos River on the Santa Fe NF. Didymo can undergo explosive growth, thereby creating massive algal blooms in the form of dense mats that can impact native fish by affecting their food base of algae and invertebrates. The invasive alga also can change water chemistry and hydrology. Recreational activities in stretches of water infested with didymo should be avoided if possible, and sanitation measures should be implemented to prevent spread of didymo. Wildlife biologists and the Forest Invasive Species Coordinator on the Santa Fe NF may be contacted for further information and technical assistance.

The aquatic invasive plant, Eurasian watermilfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*), has been found in water ponds on the Coconino NF and in Parker Canyon Lake on the Coronado NF. This invasive species primarily affects other species by crowding them out. Sanitation measures such as boat cleaning or preventing discharge of aquarium water may help protect establishment of the species in water bodies. The Forest Invasive Species Coordinator on the Coconino NF may be contacted for further information and technical assistance.

Terrestrial plants

Terrestrial invasive plants in Region 3 include annual, biennial, and perennial species of grasses, forbs, shrubs, and trees. A considerable number of these species are listed by States in Region 3 as "noxious weeds." Under statutory requirements, landowners are required to control noxious weeds; however, these species are typically quite difficult to control once established.¹ Many deep-rooted perennial species cannot be controlled by either manual or mechanical methods and

¹ Noxious weed lists for AZ and NM can be found at (1) <http://www.azda.gov/PSD/ProhibitedNoxiousWeeds.aspx> and (2) <http://www.nmda.nmsu.edu/apr/noxious-weed-information/>. Individual lists maintained by States for introduced, invasive, and noxious weeds are at <https://plants.usda.gov/java/noxiousDriver>.

require chemical treatment for effective control. In some cases, biological control agents may be available for certain invasive plant species. Effective control of a number of invasive plants may require more than one method of treatment or a series of separate treatments. Re-treatment of infested sites may be necessary over a period of years to control seedlings arising from seed banks or to prevent regrowth from residual plant propagules such as root fragments. Invasive species coordinators at the district, forest, or Regional Office levels may be contacted for further information and technical assistance.

Acreage of NFS lands infested by invasive plant species in Region 3 is extensive and expanding on most of the Region's forests. The estimated number of acres infested within Region 3 in 2012 was approximately 981,000 acres. Over a 10-year period from 2002 to 2012, the Carson NF showed a slight decline and the Prescott NF had a stable level of estimated acreage of invasive plants; however, all other Region 3 forests registered an overall increase in infested acreage. Across all Regional forests, the median increase over the 10-year period occurred with the Cibola NF which experienced nearly a 10-fold increase from 3,000 acres in 2002 to 29,400 acres in 2012. The increases for estimated infested acreage on most Region 3 forests over the 10-year period possibly reflect a combination of (1) an upward trend in infestations resulting from the spread of invasive plant species, (2) loss of native species and establishment of invasive plants due to wild fires, and (3) improved methods for survey and inventory. The overall number of acres treated for invasive weeds in Region 3 averages about 15,000 acres/year; however, the amount of acreage treated annually on individual forests that have seen increases in infested acres over the last decade is generally inadequate.

Non-invasive weeds: In addition to invasive plant species, there are a number of common weed species that occur extensively on NFS lands such as common mullein (*Verbascum thapsus*) on the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs and Russian thistle (*Salsola* spp.) on the Coconino and Kaibab NFs. These common weed species also require treatment when their infestations impact native plant communities. Pesticide use coordinators at the district, forest, or Regional Office level may be contacted for further information and technical assistance.

Molluscs

Quagga mussel (*Dreissena bugensis*) and zebra mussel (*D. polymorpha*) are potential aquatic invasive species in water bodies of Region 3. Once established, these mollusc species can clog water systems and compete with native species. Priority should be given to preventing infestations through sanitation measures such as boat cleaning as well as eradication of incipient infestations. Fishery biologists at the forest or Regional Office level may be contacted for further information and technical assistance.

Crustaceans

Invasive northern crayfish (*Orconectes virilis*) and red swamp crayfish (*Procambarus clarkia*) occur on the Coconino, Coronado, and Tonto NFs. Their diet of larval fish, aquatic plants, and insects can seriously impact aquatic ecosystems and possibly cause declines in other species once introduced. Sanitation measures such as preventing transportation of crayfish as bait from one water body to another can help protect against cross-contamination of waterways. Fishery biologists at the forest or Regional Office level may be contacted for further information and technical assistance.

Insects

To date, invasive insect pests of trees have not reached thresholds for forest-wide outbreaks in Region 3; however, native insect pests such as bark beetles (in particular, beetle species in the genera of *Ips* and *Dendroctonus*) and defoliating moths can reach outbreak thresholds in forests with some regularity. The Region has been a cooperator in a national Early Detection/Rapid Response program for invasive bark beetles that potentially come into the U.S. via shipping ports of entry and other potential entry pathways. Trapping for European and Asian species of gypsy moth (*Lymantria* spp.) is conducted annually across the Region with assistance from district staff and external partners. Individual moths have occasionally been intercepted, but no reproducing populations have ever been found.

Entomologists with Regions 3's Forest Health staff may be contacted at zone offices located in Flagstaff, AZ (928-556-2073) or Albuquerque, NM (505-842-3286) for technical assistance and questions regarding invasive or native insect pests. Further information on insect pests native to Region 3 may be found in the *Field Guide to Insects and Diseases of Arizona and New Mexico Forests*, which can be accessed at <http://www.fs.fed.us/r3/resources/health/field-guide/>.

Fish

Various species of trout, catfish, sunfish, pike, and bass are major aquatic invasive species currently found in water systems of Region 3. These introduced fish species can adversely impact native fish species through predation, competition, or hybridization with closely related species. Early detection, eradication, and preventive measures such as educational outreach, signing, inspections, and equipment washing may be the most useful ways to help prevent spread of these species between waterways. Fishery biologists at the forest or Regional Office level may be contacted for further information and technical assistance.

Amphibians

The American bullfrog (*Rana catesbeiana*) is now found on the Apache-Sitgreaves, Coconino, Coronado, Gila, and Tonto NFs. The bullfrog preys on small native species as well as competes with them. Sanitation measures such as preventing transportation of bullfrogs as bait from one water body to another can help protect against cross-contamination of waterways. Fishery biologists at the forest or Regional Office level may be contacted for further information and technical assistance.

Mammals

Feral swine (*Sus scrofa*) are increasing their range throughout the Southwest. They are currently found on national grasslands in southwestern states and on the Lincoln and Prescott NFs. Feral swine damage includes (1) destruction of native habitats, crops, and stream banks through rooting and wallowing, (2) transmittal of diseases and parasites, and (3) opportunistic predation of ground-nesting birds and other small wildlife. Since hunting alone cannot control feral swine, Region 3 has engaged the Wildlife Services program of USDA's Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) which provides technical advice as well as direct assistance for managing feral swine. Wildlife biologists at the forest or Regional Office level have responsibility for feral swine management on NFS lands and should be contacted for further information.

Forest Service Goals and Objectives for Managing Invasive Species

Strategic goals and objectives established in the Forest Service's Strategic Plan for FY 2013 include—

Goal 1: Restore, Sustain, and Enhance the Nation's Forests and Grasslands.

Objective 1.1 – Reduce the risk to communities and natural resources from wildfire.

Objective 1.2 – Suppress wildfires efficiently and effectively.

Objective 1.3 – Build community capacity to suppress and reduce losses from wildfires.

Objective 1.4 – **Reduce adverse impacts from invasive and native species, pests, and diseases.**

Objective 1.5 – **Restore and maintain healthy watersheds and diverse habitats.**

The Strategic Plan's two objectives (in **bold**) of (1) reducing adverse impacts from invasive species and (2) restoring watersheds or diverse habitats may be achieved by integrating the following four key elements of invasive species management across programs and business areas:

1. **Prevention** – Actively prevent the introduction and spread of invasive species into U.S. forest and rangeland ecosystems.
2. **Detection** – Find, identify, and quantify new infestations of aquatic or terrestrial invasive species prior to establishment as sustainable, expanding populations.
3. **Control and Management** – Identify and prioritize which invasive species will be controlled and implement effective management plans for priority species.
4. **Rehabilitation and Restoration** – Attempt to rehabilitate or restore degraded areas to an appropriate proper ecological function that will prevent new invasive species infestations or prevent reoccurrence of invasive species after removal.

These four elements for invasive species management have now been formalized in the *Forest Service National Strategic Framework for Invasive Species Management* of 2013, which can be found at <http://www.fs.fed.us/publications/invasive/invasive-framework-2013.pdf>.² Under this framework, invasive species management in the Forest Service should be undertaken by using an Invasive Species Systems Approach (ISSA). In conjunction with the 4 key elements used for invasive species management, the ISSA lists 18 actions that all programs and units within NFS, Research & Development, and S&PF should take, as appropriate, in addressing invasive species. The key elements and associated actions for ISSA are—

Prevention

- Identify, forecast, and prioritize invasive species threats (P1)
- Identify high-risk pathways of movement and introduction (P2)

² The *Forest Service National Strategic Framework for Invasive Species Management* replaces the *National Strategy and Implementation Plan for Invasive Species Management* previously issued in 2004.

- Identify vulnerable ecosystems (P3)
- Improve cooperative efforts (P4)
- Recommend, program, and implement appropriate actions to prevent introductions and establishment (P5)

Detection

- Survey aggressively to detect new invasive species and monitor priority species (D1)
- Evaluate the extent and severity of invasive species infestations and assess their potential impacts (D2)
- Report invasive species detection findings in standardized databases (D3)
- Develop tools and technologies to detect and monitor invasive species (D4)

Control and Management

- Coordinate as needed with partners (CM1)
- Prioritize and implement treatments (CM2)
- Implement rapid response for new infestation (CM3)
- Monitor and report accomplishments in standardized databases (CM4)
- Develop the tools, technologies, methods, and budgetary processes necessary to prioritize and implement effective invasive species management or eradication activities (CM5).

Restoration and Rehabilitation

- Identify and prioritize restoration and rehabilitation needs (RR1)
- Take actions to restore, monitor, and maintain affected areas (RR2)
- Assess effectiveness of rehabilitation and restoration activities (RR3)
- Develop, synthesize, and evaluate effective rehabilitation and restoration methods, tools, and technologies (RR4)

Expected outcomes for implementing the four key elements and their associated actions should show that threats to forest and ecosystem health have been identified, managed, and reduced. The number and percent of NFS acres restored and/or protected from invasive and native insects, diseases, and plants can serve as core performance measures for accomplishments reporting.

Regional Guidelines for Managing Invasive Species

Prevention

Prevention is potentially the least expensive and most effective control method for keeping invasive species from becoming established. While prevention activities may increase initial treatment costs, prevention can facilitate greater efficiencies for managing invasive species over the long term. However, even the best prevention efforts should not be expected to stop all invasive species. Sources of general information on preventative Best Management Practices (BMPs) include—

- *Invasive Plant Prevention Guidelines:*

http://www.weedcenter.org/store/docs/CIPM_prevention.pdf

- *Preventing the Spread of Invasive Plants: Best Management Practices for Land Managers:*

http://www.cal-ipc.org/ip/prevention/PreventionBMPs_LandManager.pdf

Forest Service emphases for prevention: FSM 2900 stipulates that every effort should be made to ensure that all materials used on the National Forest System are free of invasive species and/or noxious weeds (including free of reproductive/propagative material such as seeds, roots, stems, flowers, leaves, larva, eggs, veligers, and so forth). Preventive measures such as requiring pre and post-work cleaning of equipment, certified weed-free seed, etc. should be implemented through contracting, permitting, and other administrative processes. All Forest Service-owned and leased facilities, vehicles, and equipment should be kept free of invasive species by implementing necessary sanitary measures such as herbicide treatments, vehicle inspections, air-blowing or washing of equipment, etc. In particular, administrative sites should be maintained as weed-free to set an example for others.

Although there is no NFS performance measure associated with prevention activities at this time, forests and districts should emphasize this important activity and transfer associated expenses for prevention into reportable accomplishments for protected acres. Forests should provide educational materials (e.g., invasive species guides) and outreach programs designed to increase employee, public, and permittee awareness of prevention, especially through distribution of such materials at office front desks or public meetings. Forest personnel may also assist in providing technical information and training to organizations, agencies, and individuals as requested.

Aquatic activities

Recreational activities: For anything (boats, trailers, gear, clothing, dogs, etc.) that comes in contact with known infested waters or potentially infested waters during watercraft operation or other recreational activities, the *Boat Inspection and Cleaning Procedures for All Water Craft Owners* (http://www.fs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/fsbdev3_014876.pdf) should be followed between trips. Other measures that can be taken to prevent transfer of aquatic invasive species through recreational activities include—

- Use a public education program to inform waterbody users of infestation risk and measures to prevent infestations.

- Monitor susceptible waters to allow early detection of aquatic invasive species. Promptly post sites if aquatic invasive species are found and, if feasible, close facility until infestation is contained.
- Maintain a 100-foot buffer free of aquatic weeds around boat launches and docks.
- Never release plants, fish, or animals into a body of water unless they originally came from that particular body of water. This also includes performing these precautionary procedures after a fishing trip:
 - Disposal of all bait into trash bins.
 - Emptying and drying any buckets used for bait.
 - Cleaning and drying all live-wells used for bait and caught fish on boats.

Didymo prevention measures: To help prevent spread of didymo, recreational activities in stretches of water infested with didymo should be avoided if possible. Otherwise, anglers and other users of infested waters should remove all obvious clumps of the algae and look for hidden clumps. Any didymo clumps found should be left at the site. If didymo clumps are found later, they should not be washed down a drain but treated for at least one minute in either (1) hot water (140 ° F), (2) a 2 percent solution of household bleach, or (3) a 5 percent solution of salt, antiseptic hand cleaner, or dishwashing detergent. The treated clumps should then be dried and put into a rubbish bin. In addition, anything that may have contacted didymo should be soaked, scrubbed, and treated with one of the three aforementioned treatment methods. When cleaning is not practical (e.g., pets or livestock), the affected object or animal should be completely dried and given a waiting period of at least 48 hours before contact or use in any waterway. The New Mexico Department of Game & Fish may be contacted for further information and technical assistance.

Quagga or zebra mussel prevention: To prevent and minimize invasive mussel infestations in western U.S. waters, the action plan of the Aquatic Nuisance Species Task Force (ANSTF) should be used (http://www.anstaskforce.gov/QZAP/QZAP_FINAL_Feb2010.pdf).

Sampling or monitoring activities: To prevent spread of aquatic diseases and other invasive species during sampling or monitoring activities, all aquatic equipment (waders, nets, traps, etc.) should be decontaminated after use and prior to use between waterbodies. Protocols developed by Seese et al. (2009) for decontamination of equipment are—

1. Decontaminate gear before leaving each stream site. Decontamination activities should be at least 300 ft (100 m) from a water source.
2. Remove visible mud/organic debris from equipment with a stiff bristled brush.
3. Create a decontamination solution of Sparquat 256 (4.5 oz Sparquat/1 gal water).
4. Soak for at least 10 minutes.
5. Pour solution back into carrying container for reuse. Discard when solution no longer produces suds.

If working in areas that are likely infested, separate sets of gear for sampling or monitoring should be used so that non-infested water bodies will not become infested. More specific decontamination protocols may exist for areas with sensitive amphibians or other species. USFS wildlife or fishery biologists should be consulted for more information.

Fire

Prevention during fire-fighting: BMPs that should be implemented for prevention of invasive weeds as a result of fire-fighting efforts include—

- Consider weed prevention as part of daily fire-fighting operations and increase weed awareness/prevention in fire training. Information on preventing spread of aquatic invasive species during fire-fighting activities may be found at Region 4's website: http://www.fs.usda.gov/detail/r4/landmanagement/resourcemanagement/?cid=fsbdev3_016113.
- Include education on weed risk factors and weed prevention practices as part of resource advisor duties on all Incident Management Teams and BAER teams. Resource advisors should be able to provide briefings that identify operational practices that can reduce weed spread such as minimizing disturbance and avoidance of known weed infestation areas when locating fire lines. Weed identification aids should be provided as necessary to resource advisors and fire-fighting personnel.
- Implement sanitary regulations stated in FSM 2520 for the Forest Service's Burned Area Emergency Response (BAER) program during a major fire to prevent introduction of invasive plant seed and other propagules found in seed, straw, and other plant or construction materials. These sanitary regulations include compliance with FSM 2900, Executive Order 13112, and applicable State noxious weed prevention requirements.
- All potentially contaminated equipment should be cleaned between operations according to Preventing Spread of Aquatic Invasive Organisms Common to the Intermountain Region: Operational Guidelines for 2014 Fire Activities, which is available at http://www.fs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/stelprdb5373421.pdf. **Note that quaternary compounds should not be used for decontamination until disposal methods for these products have been approved by EPA.** Any requirement for cleaning fire-fighting equipment at a vehicle wash station should be implemented before transfer of equipment to new fire staging areas.
- Avoid staging equipment and resources in areas infested with invasive weeds and ensure that fire-fighting equipment and personal gear/clothing are free of invasive weeds before being brought into a staging area.
- During fire-fighting activities, avoid drafting water from waterbodies with known infestations of aquatic invasive species if possible.
- Clean hides, legs, and hooves of pack animals by brushing prior to moving them into a fire-disturbed area. Ensure that the pack animals have previously cleared their digestive system of invasive weed seed over a period of 3-5 days while being fed weed-free forage.
- When possible, use fire suppression tactics that reduce disturbances to soil and vegetation.

- Delineate weed-infested areas and establish measures such as a barrier to prevent spread from these areas.

Prescribed fires: BMPs that should be implemented for prescribed fires include—

- Inventory the project area and evaluate potential weed spread with regard to the fire prescription. Areas with moderate to high weed cover should be managed for at least two years prior to the prescribed burn to reduce the number of weed seeds in the soil.
- Given a choice of tactics for prescribed burns, avoid ignition and burning in areas at high risk for weed establishment or spread.
- When possible, use staging areas and helibases that are maintained in a weed-free condition.

Burned area rehabilitation: BMPs that should be implemented for rehabilitation of burned areas after a fire include—

- Implement rehabilitation measures immediately after fire suppression such as seeding and revegetation techniques that will minimize disturbance while gaining a high rate of plant establishment.
- Develop a burned-area integrated weed management (IWM) plan, including a monitoring component, to detect and eradicate new weeds early.
- Monitor, document, and treat weeds at fire access roads, cleaning sites, fire lines, staging areas, and within burned areas in the following growing season. Also review weed inventories and identify pre-existing infested areas that can be targeted for treatment.
- Control infestations to prevent spread within burned areas; control nearby infestations to prevent spread into burned areas. Use the best IWM approach to control newly established invasive weeds in burned areas.
- Use certified weed-free seed in burned areas and also require use of locally chipped/shredded woody materials for mulch or, if necessary, use certified weed-free mulch.
- Defer livestock grazing in burned areas until vegetation has successfully reestablished, usually after two growing seasons.
- Restrict travel to established roads to avoid compacting soil that could hinder the recovery of desired plants.
- Request that a weed specialist review rehabilitation reports for burned areas to ensure proper weed prevention and management is addressed effectively.

Lands

Measures that can be taken to prevent the spread of invasive weeds in regard to land planning requirements (easements, permits, appraisals, etc.) include—

- Incorporate weed risk assessment, prevention, and treatment in all special use permit applications and amendments.
- Amend existing special use permits to include weed prevention and control measures.
- Consider and compensate for infested properties during real estate appraisals prior to land acquisition or land exchanges.
- Ensure acquired lands are properly re-vegetated with weed-free certified seed.
- Require special use road lessors to maintain weed-free roadside vegetation.

Livestock

Grazing: Measures that can be taken cooperatively with permittees to prevent the spread of invasive weeds by livestock include—

- Ensure weed prevention and control is considered in management of all grazing allotments including revising grazing permit clauses to address invasive weed management (e.g., grazing at most advantageous periods for impacting specific weed species).
- Promote weed awareness and prevention efforts among range permittees.
- Manage the timing, intensity, duration, and frequency of livestock activities to maintain high range condition and minimize areas of exposed soil.
- Avoid moving livestock from weed-infested areas into weed-free areas.
- Ensure that livestock in infested areas have cleared their digestive system of invasive weed seed over a period of 3-5 days while being fed weed-free forage prior to moving them into a non-infested area.
- Consider excluding livestock from sites with new invaders or treat new invaders in these areas before entry by livestock.
- Inspect areas with concentrated livestock use for weed establishment and treat new infestations.
- Avoid grazing re-seeded areas such as burns until native vegetation is well established.
- Reduce ground disturbance by changing salt licks, restoring or protecting watering sites, and restoring areas with concentrated livestock use.
- Consider re-vegetating abandoned salt grounds by raking the site before and after broadcast seeding, then fencing the site until seedling are well established. Compacted soils may require scarification before broadcast seeding.
- If livestock are contributing to seed spread in a weed-infested area, schedule livestock grazing prior to seed-set or after seed has fallen. Avoid grazing same plants at the same time year after year by altering season of use.

- Consider grazing weed-infested areas with sheep during early summer to minimize flowering and seed production of weeds. Cattle grazing may be scheduled after sheep grazing at a time when desirable grasses have matured and their seed has dispersed.

Weed-free closure orders: Although Region 3 does not have weed-free closure orders for livestock forage or bedding materials brought onto NFS lands, use of these materials in hunting camps, campgrounds, and other special uses should be encouraged as a preventive measure. Sites where livestock feeding has occurred or where bedding materials have been used should be monitored and treated when weeds are found. Incidents where invasive plant establishment is suspected of being the result of weed seed contamination in forage or bedding materials should be documented as part of the invasive plant inventory and reported to the Regional Invasive Species/Pesticide Coordinator.

Minerals-related operations

To help prevent establishment of invasive weeds resulting from minerals-related operations, direction from the following chapters of FSM 2800 - MINERALS AND GEOLOGY should be used:

1. FSM CHAPTER 2810 – MINING CLAIMS
2. FSM CHAPTER 2820 – MINERAL LEASES, PERMITS, AND LICENSES
3. FSM CHAPTER 2840 – RECLAMATION
4. FSM CHAPTER 2850 – MINERAL MATERIALS

Measures that can be taken in collaboration with forest or district minerals staff to prevent the spread of invasive weeds in minerals-related operations (mining sites, well sites, pits, etc.) include—

- Incorporate weed prevention into all new mining operation permits, plans, and reclamation projects.
- Require that soil disturbed by minerals-related activities under a permit or plan be re-vegetated within a specified timeframe.
- Inspect well sites, mine sites, and pits prior to and after construction activities for invasive weeds and treat them as necessary.
- Inspect and require high pressure cleaning of equipment prior to moving from an infested site to a non-infested area.
- Ensure that all gravel, borrow material, and storage areas are inspected and determined to be weed-free prior to transport, use, and storage.

Off-road vehicles and equipment

Movement of uncleaned off-road vehicles and equipment from an infested area into areas free of invasive weeds or other invasive species should be prevented on NFS lands. Unless a project area is already infested with specific invasive weed species of concern, contract provisions should ensure that all off-road vehicles and equipment are certified to be free of soil, seeds, vegetative

matter, or other debris that could contain or hold seeds. Vehicles and equipment to be used off-road should be power-washed of all mud, dirt, and plant parts before moving into the project area. However, cleaning of vehicles and equipment should not be allowed on NFS lands unless agreement is reached on (1) methods for cleaning, (2) locations for the cleaning, and (3) control of on-site or off-site impacts. Specific information on vehicle cleaning technology may be found at—

- *Inspection and Cleaning Manual for Equipment and Vehicles to Prevent the Spread of Invasive Species:*

<http://www.usbr.gov/mussels/prevention/docs/EquipmentInspectionandCleaningManual2012.pdf>

- *Vehicle Cleaning Technology for Controlling the Spread of Noxious Weeds and Invasive Species:*

<http://www.fs.fed.us/eng/pubs/pdf/05511203.pdf>

BMPs that may be implemented to prevent establishment of invasive plants by off-road vehicles and equipment include—

- Map invasive weed-infested areas and establish measures such as no-travel zones to prevent spread from these areas. Ensure that areas designated as open to cross-country travel under the Travel Management Rule (36 CFR 212.51) are actively managed for weeds.
- Locate weed-free areas where project equipment can be staged prior to commencement of project activities.
- Avoid invasive species populations when feasible and minimize spread of invasive species during any soil disturbing activities.

Recreational areas

Measures that can be taken to prevent spread of invasive weeds in recreational areas include—

- Post messages on weed awareness and prevention practices at strategic locations such as trailheads, roads, boat launches, and forest entrances. Messages should discourage picking of unidentified “wildflowers” and discarding them along trails or roadways.
- Promptly post sites if invasive plant species are found and, if feasible, close access until infestation is controlled. In areas susceptible to weed infestations, limit vehicles to designated and maintained travel routes.
- Encourage public land users to inspect and clean motorized and mechanized trail vehicles of weeds and their seeds before recreating on public lands. If practical, provide facilities for cleaning contaminated vehicles and equipment.
- Annually inspect all campgrounds, trailheads, and recreation areas that are open to public vehicle use for weeds and treat new infestations. Chronic weed infestations should be assessed as to why they are occurring, and steps should be taken to mitigate or reduce the

risk of infestation. Consider seasonal or full time closure to campgrounds, picnic areas, and other recreation use areas until weeds are reduced to levels that minimize potentials for spread.

- Maintain trailheads, boat launches, outfitter and public camps, picnic areas, airstrips, roads leading to trailheads, and other areas of concentrated public use in a weed-free condition.
- Inspect and document travel corridors in recreation sites for weeds and treat well before seed production. In areas susceptible to weed infestation, limit vehicles to designated travel routes.

Roadsides and utility corridors

Measures that can be taken to prevent invasive weed spread along roadsides and utility corridors include—

- Incorporate weed prevention into project design, alternative evaluations, and decisions, including travel management. Contractors should also be required to maintain control of invasive plants during the entire contract period. Lands and minerals staff should be contacted for a copy of the Forest Service's *National Desk Guide to Preparing Vegetation Management Procedures for Power Line Authorizations*.
- Ensure that provisions are implemented by State and County governments as well as by utility companies to maintain weed-free rights-of-ways (ROWs) in their easements. Treat invasive plant species in ROWs or other disturbed areas associated with road or utility corridors on NFS lands or adjacent to NFS boundaries.
- Train road maintenance staff to recognize invasive weeds and report locations to invasive weed coordinators.
- Inspect system roads and ROWs periodically for invasive weeds. Whenever soils are disturbed in weed-infested areas, document and inspect these areas for at least three growing seasons and provide follow-up maintenance as required.
- Treat weeds after road decommissioning or other reclamation projects before roads are made impassable. Re-inspect and follow-up based on initial inspection and documentation.

Vehicle and equipment sanitation: Measures that should be implemented for proper cleaning of vehicles and equipment include—

- Ensure that vehicles and equipment used in ROWs of roads and utility corridors on NFS lands are power-washed of all mud, dirt, and plant parts before moving into the project area. Cleaning of vehicles and equipment should not be allowed on NFS lands unless agreement is reached on (1) methods for cleaning, (2) locations for the cleaning, and (3) control of off-site impacts. Specific information on vehicle cleaning technology may be found at—
 - *Inspection and Cleaning Manual for Equipment and Vehicles to Prevent the Spread of Invasive Species:*

<http://www.usbr.gov/mussels/prevention/docs/EquipmentInspectionandCleaningManual2012.pdf>

- *Vehicle Cleaning Technology for Controlling the Spread of Noxious Weeds and Invasive Species:*

<http://www.fs.fed.us/eng/pubs/pdf/05511203.pdf>

- Inspect and clean mowers and other types of maintenance equipment before moving this equipment from infested areas into un-infested areas.
- Locate and use weed-free staging areas for projects.
- Ensure that maintenance personnel inspect, remove and properly dispose of weed seed and plant parts found on clothing and equipment. Proper disposal includes bagging seed and plant parts and then incinerating the bags or else disposing in a landfill.

Equipment operations: Measures that should be implemented for operation of equipment include—

- Evaluate the need to grade each road or section of road rather than following a set schedule for road maintenance. Avoid unnecessary removal of soil and shading vegetation. If possible, avoid blading or pulling roadsides and ditches infested with invasive plants unless doing so is required for public safety or protection of the roadway.
- Before ground-disturbing maintenance activities begin, inventory and prioritize weed infestations for treatment in project operation areas and along access roads. Control weeds as necessary and as early as possible in the project planning process.
- Avoid road or ditch maintenance activities during and after seed dissemination if possible. Schedule blading in areas with invasive plants when seeds or propagules are least likely to be viable and to be spread.
- Direct road maintenance activities such as blading, brushing, and ditch cleaning from un-infested areas toward infested areas to the greatest extent possible. Blading should be done by minimizing soil surface disturbance, and bladed material should be contained on areas already infested.

Materials handling: Measures that should be implemented for handling fill or stockpiled materials include—

- Ensure that gravel, dirt, asphalt, and other materials are stockpiled away from areas infested by invasive weeds. Maintain stockpiled materials in a weed-free condition.
- Use only clean fill material from a weed-free source rather than borrowing fill from a weed-infested stockpile, road shoulder, or ditch line. Inspect material sources on site, and ensure that they are weed-free before use or transport.
- Document areas where material from any treated infested source has been used. These areas need to be inspected and treated for invasive weeds for at least three years after project completion.

Timber management

Measures that can be taken to prevent the spread of invasive weeds onto timber sale sites and other timber operations include—

- Consider weed risk and prevention factors in all silvicultural prescriptions and appropriate NEPA.
- When feasible, plan harvesting activities to move from non-infested cut units to infested cut units to minimize cleaning requirements.
- Incorporate the Timber Sale C or CT-Provision and/or Service Contract Clause for invasive weed control and containment into all contracts.
- Require high pressure cleaning of equipment prior to moving from an infested timber site to a non-infested area or adjacent sale-unit.
- Collect and designate KV (Knutson-Vandenberg) or other funds to treat the invasion of invasive weeds after harvest activities.
- Encourage operators to maintain weed- free mill yards, equipment parking, and staging areas.
- Train contract administrators to identify noxious weeds and select lower risk sites for landings and skid trails.
- Treat weeds on projects used by contractors, emphasizing treatment of weed infestations on existing landings, skid trails, and helibases before activities commence.
- Minimize soil disturbance through actions such as winter skidding, limiting fire-line dozing, etc.
- When possible, locate landings, skid trails, and haul routes in weed-free areas.

Watersheds

Risks for introducing invasive species should be analyzed in watershed restoration projects and water quality management plans (Clark, 2003). Transfer of infested water between drainages or between unconnected waters within the same drainage should be avoided or minimized. In addition, any volumes of infested water should not be dumped directly into un-infested water bodies. Hydrological operations potentially involving water transfers susceptible to aquatic invasive species should be monitored. Water bodies should be promptly posted if aquatic invasive species are found and, if feasible, the waterbody until should be closed to recreation and other activities until the infestation is eradicated or contained.

Prevention measures for invasive weeds: Specific prevention measures recommended by Clark (2003) for invasive weed management in watersheds include—

1. Avoid and remove sources of weed seed and propagules in riparian areas and waterbodies when feasible.

2. Inspect and document riparian areas, wetlands, and waterbodies for establishment and spread of invasive weeds on a frequent and systematic basis. Since effective control of invasive weeds in these areas is generally limited, new infestations should be eradicated immediately.
3. When possible, maintain conditions (e.g., water levels) that allow desirable plant communities in waterbodies, wetlands, or riparian areas to compete effectively with weeds.
4. Promote high densities of desirable vegetation along banks of waterbodies and in riparian areas and wetlands to minimize sites for establishment of weed seeds and propagules that are drifting downstream.

Prevention measures for quagga or zebra mussels: To prevent and minimize establishment of invasive mussel infestations in watersheds, the ANSTF action plan should be followed (http://www.anstaskforce.gov/QZAP/QZAP_FINAL_Feb2010.pdf).

Detection

Invasive species identification: Species identification is critical for detection and proper management of invasive species. Invasive species coordinators and associated field personnel should have adequate training in identifying potential problem species and be able to locate these species with portable data recorders or similar devices on a geospatial basis. Botanists or other plant specialists should be consulted to help identify new or unfamiliar plant species encountered in the field. Region 3 has published a weed identification guide entitled *Invasive Plants and Weeds of the National Forests and Grasslands in the Southwestern Region, 2nd Edition* which may be obtained from Regional Invasive Species/Pesticide Coordinator or by accessing <http://www.fs.usda.gov/main/r3/forest-grasslandhealth/invasivespecies>. State-issued publications on invasive plants such as *Arizona's Invasive Weeds* (49 pp.) and *Troublesome Weeds of New Mexico* (88 pp.) are also available from State extension services.

Invasive plant detection: Invasive plant species in landscapes or watersheds should be detected through regularly conducted surveillance, searches, and/or surveys as discussed below:

1. **Surveillance** – Surveillance can be employed during work activities or while traveling along roads or trail systems. Established, uncontrolled weed infestations should be kept under surveillance; any new infestations should be observed and reported when sighted.
2. **Searches** – A search is generally used to investigate the most likely points of entry for new infestations. Any occurrence of invasive plants found in such areas should be recorded and reported. Searches can be widened as time and resources permit.
3. **Surveys** – With this technique, a geographic area is systematically surveyed for invasive species to determine whether these species are present. By surveying the landscape, invasive plant cover and/or number of patches can be recorded through inventory and mapping to determine the intensity of infestation and acres covered.

A procedure should be set up to track areas that have been searched or surveyed vs. those areas that have not. An appropriate time of the year (e.g., during flowering or seed-head development) should be chosen to allow identification of invasive weed species when using any detection

technique. Although most species may be detected by ground travel, aircraft may be needed to detect infestations in remote or non-accessible locations. Possible points of entry for invasive plants that need high-priority search or surveillance efforts include—

- ROWs along highways, County roads, railways, and utility corridors, especially after new construction or maintenance activities.
- Trails, livestock loading areas and driveways, winter hay feeding areas, livestock water developments, hunting camps, fire lines, and other similar areas of disturbance.
- Lands adjacent to streams, rivers, and lake shores, especially after recent flooding or high-flow periods.
- Burned or storm-damaged areas in the years following disturbance.

If a new species or population is detected, delimiting surveys should be conducted across the landscape or target area. To meet specific management objectives, survey information is normally collected and compiled into maps as part of an inventory that contains information regarding the particular invasive species and extent of infestation. Procedures for surveying, inventorying, and mapping of invasive species infestations in the Forest Service are now conducted through the TESP-IS software application (see **Data Management for Invasive Species**, p. 39).

Control and Management

Under FSM 2902, management activities for aquatic and terrestrial invasive species (including vertebrates, invertebrates, plants, and pathogens) should be based upon an Integrated Pest Management (IPM) approach on all areas within the National Forest System, and on areas managed outside of the National Forest System under the authority of the Wyden Amendment (P.L. 109-54, Section 434). IPM (termed Integrated Weed Management (IWM) when specifically used in connection with weeds) is defined as the process by which one selects and applies a combination of management methods or techniques (physical, cultural, biological, and chemical) that together will control a particular pest species or infestation with minimal adverse impacts to non-target species. The objective of the IPM process is to identify control methods or techniques that are effective while minimizing adverse ecological and social impacts. Reliance on one particular method or restricting use of one or more weed management tools may prove less effective.

Integrated weed management

Region 3 has developed a series of field guides for managing invasive plant species that are available at <http://www.fs.usda.gov/main/r3/forest-grasslandhealth/invasivespecies>. Information on IWM practices is also available from the Center for Invasive Species website (<http://www.weedcenter.org/technicalwebinars/recordings.html>) as well as from State extension services, weed societies, private companies, etc.

Physical control: Physical methods to control weeds generally focus on reducing seed production and preventing germination, which typically may be accomplished through removal of seed heads and/or root systems. Physical methods such as manual methods (hand-pulling, hoeing, etc.), mechanical methods (tillage, mowing, etc.), and prescribed fire may accomplish this with some weed species but may be inappropriate with others. Mechanical treatments such as mowing

or tillage are generally most applicable to tap-rooted weed species, level terrain, and infestations that can be revisited on a regular basis in order to remove seedlings or curb re-sprouting.

Although mechanical treatments may reduce seed production during the season of treatment, these treatments should not be expected to eradicate weeds permanently due to recruitment from the seedbank or seed from unmown flower heads. Moreover, mechanical treatments are generally ineffective against deep-rooted perennial species which are often difficult if not impossible to control without herbicide application.

Cultural control: Cultural methods to control weeds are generally targeted toward enhancing desirable vegetation to minimize invasive plant invasions. Common cultural treatments are (1) planting or seeding desirable species to shade or out-compete invasive weeds, (2) applying fertilizers to establish or support desirable vegetation, and (3) controlling livestock grazing. Appropriate methods for cultural control of invasive species should be implemented according to the most cost-effective means possible for preventing new infestations or limiting spread from areas already infested. Measures such as public education, vehicle or livestock inspections, and weed screens on irrigation water intakes may also provide additional protection against spread of invasive plants but should not be expected to stop new infestations indefinitely. Information, training, and appropriate weed identification materials should be provided to anyone who may potentially be involved with introduction, establishment, or spread of invasive weeds on NFS lands. This includes agency managers, employees, forest workers, contractors, permit holders, and recreational visitors.

Biological control: Biological control of invasive plants typically involves either livestock grazing or classical biological control.

1. **Grazing** – Livestock grazing may be used to reduce invasive plants either by direct consumption or else by manipulation of vegetative conditions on rangeland. Range condition on grazing allotments should be maintained at relatively high levels sufficient to prevent overutilization which can lead to a decline in desirable plant cover and a concurrent rise in invasive plants. Possible indications of overutilization are the appearance of cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*) and mustards in the spring or establishment of kochia (*Kochia scoparia*) or gumweed (*Grindelia* spp.) during the summer (Enloe, 2006). Heavy infestations of thistle species on rangeland may also indicate over-grazing. Efforts to improve range condition for allotments should be coordinated with range specialists. Sources of information such as the Region 3 field guides should be consulted for information on using livestock grazing as a tool to deter invasive plant presence.
2. **Classical biological control** – Classical biological control is defined as the use of an exotic, host-specific natural enemy against exotic or native pests. To improve long-term success, approved and acceptable biocontrol agents may be used as part of an effective control program. Biocontrol agents for invasive plants are typically utilized when weed infestations are so extensive and chronic that herbicide application is cost prohibitive or else occur in areas that are too inaccessible for conventional herbicide spraying. Use of certain biocontrol agents may require relatively large populations of invasive plants that are in close proximity to sustain the biocontrol agent over time. The Forest Service's Forest Health Technology Enterprise Team (FHTET) has published a series of biocontrol guides specific for invasive plants. The guides and other publications on control methods are available at http://www.fs.fed.us/foresthealth/technology/pub_programareas.shtml.

With some exceptions, biocontrol agents used alone as a treatment may reduce but generally will not entirely eradicate invasive plant populations. Therefore, other integrated management techniques such as pesticide application or prescriptive grazing may be used to complement biocontrol. Biocontrol is relatively slow but may be more sustainable and persistent as compared to other treatment methods. The efficacy of biocontrol is usually measured over the long term and is not necessarily comparable to mechanical or pesticide treatments where annual efficacy reporting is concerned. It may take up to five years before biocontrol efficacy can be accurately measured whereas mechanical or pesticide treatment efficacies may normally be measured during the same season of treatment or the year following treatment.

Agents used for biocontrol in the Southwest should be adaptable to arid environments and local conditions. Biological control agents may be obtained from commercial sources or from local APHIS offices when available. Weed biocontrol specialists in the Forest Health Protection program or Rocky Mountain Research Station may be able to provide guidance and, in some cases, biocontrol agents to agency land managers without cost [Contact: Sharlene Sing, RMRS research entomologist, 406-994-5243].

A permit must be obtained from APHIS before biological control agents can be transported across State boundaries. Regulations and permit applications (PPQ 526 permit forms) pertaining to interstate shipment of biological control agents can be found at <http://www.aphis.usda.gov/wps/portal/aphis/ourfocus/biotechnology>. Although biocontrol agents may be collected and released within a given State without a permit from APHIS, the State's department of agriculture or extension service should be consulted for any regulations relating to movement of these agents within the State.

Chemical control: The field guide series developed for managing invasive plants in Region 3 (<http://www.fs.usda.gov/main/r3/forest-grasslandhealth/invasivespecies>) should be consulted first for appropriate herbicides that can be used to control individual invasive plants. Other herbicides may also be appropriately applied, but use of these herbicides should be thoroughly researched. Pesticide labels may not always provide specific information on effectiveness of a particular herbicide against an individual weed species since chemical companies generally focus herbicide trials on agricultural weed species and do not always conduct comprehensive trials for many rangeland or forestland species. Therefore, some field trials may be necessary to test whether an invasive weed species not found on the pesticide label may be controlled by a particular herbicide as might be suggested by similar or vegetatively related weed species already listed on the label. **However, sites used for testing or actual application against target species must be the same as specified by the label.** Further information on use of pesticides is found in the **Pesticide Use for Invasive and Non-Invasive Species** section (p.33).

Rehabilitation and Restoration

An IWM program for invasive plants can be an important component for implementing rehabilitation or restoration. Rehabilitation is defined as short-term mitigation to ensure minimum site stability and functionality. Rehabilitation can be facilitated by actions to prevent or limit disturbance such as site preparation and seeding of non-native vegetation for soil stabilization, mulching disturbed sites with straw or chipped wood, construction of straw, rock or log dams in small, eroding tributaries, and placement of logs to catch sediment on hill slopes.

In contrast to rehabilitation, restoration has the long-term objective of re-establishing biotic integrity of a damaged ecosystem in terms of pre-existing species composition and community structure. Since disturbed conditions encourage many invasive species, improvement of site condition can help prevent establishment of invasive populations and reduce long-term ecosystem impacts. Terrestrial invasive species management in these cases may include re-seeding with native species to increase competition or using IWM practices such as pesticide application or biocontrol agents to minimize or control invasive weed infestations. Revegetation may also involve topsoil replacement, fertilizing, and weed-free mulching. Although restoration efforts have common elements, each site should be treated as unique. Therefore, work must be guided by site-specific considerations and analysis. The results of monitoring should always be used to assess the effectiveness of restoration actions.

Under FSM 2020 interim direction, the goal of ecological restoration is to reestablish and retain the resilience of NFS lands and associated resources to achieve sustainable management and provide a broad range of ecosystem services. **However, it should be anticipated that even the best restoration efforts may not return a site or ecosystem to its desired condition since invasive species can overwhelm or interfere with natural processes associated with ecosystem recovery. Therefore, it is necessary to prevent or control invasive species before rehabilitation or restoration is required.**

Burned Area Emergency Response

Provisions in the Forest Service's Burned Area Emergency Response (BAER) program may be used to rehabilitate burned areas by minimizing threats to life or property resulting from the effects of a fire or by stabilizing and preventing unacceptable degradation to natural and cultural resources (see FSM CHAPTER 2520 - WATERSHED PROTECTION AND MANAGEMENT). Sanitary regulations in BAER should always be followed to prevent introduction of weed seed and other weed propagules in seed, straw, and other plant or construction materials after major fires. Emergency response or emergency stabilization activities such as broadcast-seeding of grasses (usually by aircraft) may be authorized through BAER. BAER funds may be used for invasive species detection surveys and, if warranted, rapid response actions within the first year following fire containment (FSM 2523.2). Invasive species detection, monitoring, treatment, re-treatment, or maintenance beyond the first year must be funded with other program appropriations. However, BAER funding cannot be used to treat pre-existing weeds.

BAER teams and local resource managers should incorporate re-establishment of native or desired non-native species into post-fire planning. Sites where potential seed-bearing materials (hay, straw, mulch, etc.) have been applied for erosion control should be monitored and treated when weeds are found. Incidents where invasive plant establishment is suspected of being the result of weed seed contamination in materials used for erosion control should be documented as part of the invasive plant inventory and reported to BAER coordinators and to the Regional Invasive Species/Pesticide Coordinator. For contracted projects, contract specifications should require the contractor to use weed-free materials (seed, mulch, etc.) and maintain the project site as weed-free for a specified time. Contractors should not be allowed to skip weed control to save time on a project. Interim direction on BAER may be found at FSM 2523. Guidance on BAER is found at <http://www.fs.fed.us/biology/watershed/burnareas/index.html>. BAER coordinators at the forest or Regional Office level should be contacted for further information on BAER.

Seeding

Seeding disturbed areas is often necessary to prevent erosion and provide cover for successful establishment of native species. Following ground-disturbing activities, bare soil may need to be seeded depending on erosion potential of the site and the potential for timely natural regeneration. Prior to seeding, eroded areas should be stabilized by using Forest Service-approved erosion control measures such as mulching, waterbars, etc. The soil in areas to be seeded should have a roughened condition favorable to the retention and germination of seed (usually ½ inch of loose surface soil). Seeding generally should occur within seven days of final disturbance if possible and should not be done during extremely windy or rainy weather. Seed should not be applied to frozen ground. Areas that do not achieve adequate cover with seeding may require additional scarification, fertilizing, and/or mulching before reseeding. Range specialists or vegetation ecologists may be consulted in setting up seeding projects.

Appropriate seed mixes and procedures for seeding sites may be determined by consulting a forest's seed mix or local seeding guidelines. Policy in FSM 2070.3 promotes the use of native plants in rehabilitation and restoration when practicable. Native seed collected locally may be used where available and cost effective. Noxious weed lists of County, State, or Federal agencies should be checked to determine what potential weed seed may be found in a proposed seed mix. In some cases (e.g., small disturbed sites), the need for seeding should be weighed against the possibility of contamination in certified seed by seed of common or invasive weed species that heretofore have not been included on noxious weed lists. At the very least, sites where seeding has occurred should be monitored and treated when invasive weeds are found. Incidents where invasive plant establishment is suspected of being the result of contamination in seed mixtures used for planting should be documented as part of the invasive plant inventory and reported to the Regional Invasive Species/Pesticide Coordinator.

Prior to seeding, a certified seed laboratory should test each seed mix lot for purity, viability, and noxious weed seed according to Association of Official Seed Analysts (AOSA) standards. If AOSA testing is impractical, State or regionally-certified weed-free seed should be used. USDA's list of *State Noxious-Weed Seed Requirements Recognized in the Administration of the Federal Seed Act* (<http://www.ams.usda.gov/AMSV1.0/getfile?dDocName=STELPRD3317318>) should be followed by the seed laboratory as part of the testing procedure. Requirements for all 50 States in the USDA list should be followed by the laboratory in testing seed lots for noxious weed seed. Seed being used by project contractors should be tested and the results reported to respective forest and district invasive species coordinators.

Pesticide Use for Invasive and Non-Invasive Species

Pesticide-Use Requirements

Forest Service policy for using pesticides as part of the IPM process requires

1. basing actual and recommended uses of pesticides on analysis of effectiveness, specificity, environmental impacts, economic efficiency, and human exposure;
2. reviewing and approving all proposals for the use and application of pesticides on NFS lands; and
3. using pesticides in compliance with all Federal, State, and local laws and regulations.

Pesticide training and certification

All Forest Service employees must be certified under either a State or Federal certification program if they personally use or supervise the use of restricted-use pesticides (RUPs) (FSM 2154.2). In addition, Pesticide-Use Proposals (PUPs) can be approved by line officers in Region 3 only if a certified forest or district pesticide coordinator can complete and review the PUP (FSM R3 Supplement 2151.04a). Therefore, Region 3 employees must take the certification exam from their respective State licensing agency if their job entails (1) serving as a pesticide coordinator for their forest or district with the responsibility of developing PUPs; (2) buying, mixing, loading, or applying RUPs; or (3) supervising RUP applications by other personnel. The pesticides rotenone and antimycin A used in native fish restorations on NFS lands are RUPs and therefore require certification through State licensing.

Although Forest Service employees are not required to be certified for application of general-use pesticides, it is highly recommended that all Region 3 applicators (other than short-term project workers) have a State pesticide license to (1) avoid potential safety problems, (2) ensure knowledge and compliance with State regulations for pesticide use, and (3) establish professional credibility with the public, stakeholders, and cooperators. In addition, every Forest Service employee must receive initial training and refresher courses under the Hazard Communications/Global Harmonization System (GHS) as outlined under 29 CFR 1910.1200 in order to handle or apply pesticides.

Only pesticide coordinators and applicators who are adequately trained and qualified in the proper use of pesticides may implement pesticide-use projects. Region 3 personnel needing initial training in pesticides or weed management should take BLM's 9000-01 course entitled "Integrated Pest Management & Pesticide Application Certification" [Contact: Lori Young, BLM Training Center, 602-694-3771] or a comparable course. Regional fisheries biologists should take more specialized pesticides training from the US Fish & Wildlife Service or State game & fish agencies. Pesticide coordinators and applicators should maintain their State license annually by taking Continuing Education Units (CEUs) for recertification which may be obtained from the Regional pesticide workshop or other appropriate training courses as published on State agency websites. For activities involving pesticides (handling, application, etc.), training should be obtained from a Forest Safety Manager or Hazardous Materials Coordinator in fulfilling the HAZCOM/GHS Standard before initial assignment as required under 29 CFR 1910.1200. Regional training requirements are found in the *Plan for Pesticide Training and Certification in Region 3*, which is available at <http://www.fs.usda.gov/main/r3/forest->

grasslandhealth/invasivespecies) or can be obtained by contacting the Regional Invasive Species/Pesticide Coordinator.

Pesticide coordinator designation

In Region 3, forest supervisors are required to ensure compliance with relevant FSM and FSH direction by appointing a Forest Pesticide Coordinator who is responsible for coordinating pesticide uses and providing advice and assistance to line officers (FSM Region 3 Supplement 2150.45). District-level pesticide coordinators may also be designated as needed (FSM 2150.47). PUPs can be approved by line officers in Region 3 only if expertise is maintained at the field level by appointing a forest or district pesticide coordinator who has been trained and certified, i.e., licensed (FSM R3 Supplement 2151.04a). In the absence of a trained and certified Forest Pesticide Coordinator (or a qualified District Pesticide Coordinator who can act for the forest), PUP approval must be made by the Regional Forester. Coordinators should be designated formally at the field level by the relevant supervisor by writing a letter in the Forest Service correspondence database with notification to the Regional Invasive Species/Pesticide Coordinator.

Pesticide-use proposals

Under FSM 2151.1, a PUP (Form FS-2100-2) must be completed and reviewed for each pesticide application activity planned for implementation on NFS lands and other areas managed by the Forest Service. A PUP is used as part of the environmental analysis to show that a proposed pesticide use is appropriate. Pesticides cannot be applied on NFS lands or other Forest Service-managed areas without (1) a signed NEPA decision, and (2) a completed and reviewed PUP on file at the respective Forest Service office. Approval of the PUP is indicated by the signature of the appropriate line officer. Although non-USFS organizations (e.g., State game & fish departments) or individuals with appropriate expertise may provide information for a PUP, signatory authority for the PUP still resides with the delegated USFS line officer. The PUP form is found at http://www.fs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/stelprdb5408149.rtf.

All proposed pesticide uses on NFS lands must be approved by Regional Foresters or their designated representatives (FSM 2150.44). However, household types of pesticides do not require completion of a PUP (FSH 2109.14). Only the Regional Forester can approve pesticide use for

1. designated or study wilderness areas,
2. designated or candidate research natural areas, and
3. any use of sodium cyanide (FSM 2150.44).

The approval authority for these three particular pesticide uses cannot be re-delegated, and any requests for approval of any PUPs involving these uses must be made through a letter from the Forest Supervisor to the Regional Forester. The Regional Invasive Species/Pesticide Coordinator should be consulted before a PUP is submitted for approval by the Regional Forester. Requests for approval of a PUP addressing wilderness areas should be accompanied by a completed Minimum Requirements Decision Guide (MRDG) as described in the **Wilderness and Other Natural Areas** section (p. 65).

All PUPs generated on a forest must be reviewed by the Forest Pesticide Coordinator and by relevant district pesticide coordinators. Unless otherwise delegated to the forest or district level,

all PUPs must also be reviewed by the Regional Invasive Species/Pesticide Coordinator (FSM 2151.2). Prior to applying pesticide(s) on NFS lands or other Forest Service administered areas, all PUPs must be reviewed for

1. completeness and accuracy;
2. compliance with pesticide labeling;
3. considerations for applicator health and safety;
4. compliance with applicable law and policy, including groundwater protection policy found in FSM 2560.03;
5. appropriateness of application technique(s); and
6. effectiveness against targeted organism(s).

It is the responsibility of each pesticide applicator to ensure that PUP information is current for their projects. A properly completed and approved PUP must remain in effect for the duration of the project as long as pesticide application activities described in the PUP remain consistent with pesticide product registration, label information, and a valid NEPA document.

Pesticide-use safety planning

Under FSM 2153.3, Forest Service units must prepare a safety plan for all pesticide-use activities, except as described in the Pesticide-Use Management and Coordination Handbook (FSH 2109.14). A Job Hazard Analysis (JHA), form FS-6700-7, must be prepared for pesticide-use activities. The Health and Safety Code Handbook (FSH 6709.11) should be referenced for instructions on completing a Job Hazard Analysis form.

Pesticide incidents and accidents

Pesticide incidents include non-life-threatening situations such as minor pesticide spills, non-target pesticide applications, unusual occurrences of drift, adverse effects on wildlife or other components of the environment, and any other situation that may affect public welfare or may be of special interest to the public or media. Accidents are usually more severe than incidents and can involve major pesticide spills, significant adverse pesticide impacts to the environment, aircraft crashes, or employee injury or death. Appropriate care of the injured must be the first action in response to accidents or emergencies. Subsequent emergency action(s) depend on the situation. Safety procedures outlined in FSH 2109.14, CHAPTER 60 - SPILLS, INCIDENTS, AND ACCIDENTS must also be followed.

Incidents and accidents resulting from pesticide use on NFS lands must be reported immediately (FSH 2109.14, CHAPTER 61.4). The unit involved with an incident or accident is required to present an initial report to the Regional Office which then decides whether to forward the report to the Washington Office. The reporting outline found in FSH 2109.14, CHAPTER 70 - FORMS, REPORTS, AND PUBLICATIONS should be used to report pesticide incidents and accidents.

NEPA Documents for Pesticide Use

Management activities such as pesticide application on NFS lands may not be undertaken unless documentation for a project is in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (FSM 1950). Information on the NEPA process for analyzing invasive plants may be found in the Forest Service's *Invasive Plant Management Decisions and Environmental Analysis*, which can be found at http://www.fs.fed.us/rangelands/ftp/docs/Weeds_NEPA.pdf.

Pesticide risk assessments

All NEPA documents (EAs and EISs) involving pesticides must incorporate relevant information from a risk assessment. The risk assessment is used to evaluate the probability that use of a pesticide might pose harm to humans or other species in the environment. Risk assessments help guide agency decision-making and are also used to disclose potential environmental effects to the public. The Forest Service has prepared human health and ecological risk assessments (HERAs) for pesticide activities on NFS lands (<http://www.fs.fed.us/foresthealth/pesticide/risk.shtml>). Risk assessments from non-Forest Service sources may be used for the NEPA process; however, these alternative risk assessments must be comparable in quality and scope to Forest Service risk assessments. The Regional Invasive Species/Pesticide Coordinator should be consulted before using any alternative risk assessment in a NEPA document.

Updating NEPA documents

NEPA planning should incorporate sufficient flexibility for timely implementation or substitution of new technologies that fall within the scope of the original decision. A review should be made periodically of existing environmental analysis and decision documents to determine whether a new NEPA document is necessary to allow use of newly available technologies, e.g., biocontrol agents or “new chemistry” herbicides. In Region 3, some forests have relatively outdated EAs or EISs for invasive plant management that do not include biocontrol agents or new herbicides such as aminopyralid or aminocyclopyrachlor. These new types of herbicides can be more cost effective and potentially have better human safety profiles with fewer environmental impacts. Older environmental analyses for herbicide use may also need to be updated to allow use of biocontrol agents and to revise control methods or strategies that have proven inadequate. Such strategy revisions may include replacement of relatively small scale herbicide treatment with larger scale treatments.

To allow use of additional herbicides that were not originally included in an existing NEPA document, a supplemental information report (SIR) may be used. The SIR allows review of new information or changed conditions for a national forest and can determine whether new application technologies have the same or similar impacts compared to analyses and effects evaluated in earlier NEPA documents. If addition of the new herbicides is consistent with the existing environmental analysis, the SIR may be used in lieu of supplementing or revising the existing NEPA document and issuing a new decision. Forest Service risk assessments provided at <http://www.fs.fed.us/foresthealth/pesticide/risk.shtml> should be referenced in the SIR. The website currently has 21 herbicide risk assessments (including aminopyralid and aminocyclopyrachlor). The Regional Invasive Species/Pesticide Coordinator should be consulted before using any alternative risk assessments in a NEPA document other than those on the Forest Service website. The SIR review should be coordinated with NEPA personnel. Once the SIR process is completed, a memo should be added to the correspondence database together with the

SIR as an attachment. The memo and SIR should also be added as part of any official file that contains the original NEPA document.

NPDES

Starting after October 31, 2011, point-source discharges of pesticides into “Waters of the United States” (WOTUS) are required to be authorized through an EPA or State-issued Pesticide General Permit (PGP) in compliance with National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) regulations of the Clean Water Act. For the purpose of determining WOTUS, forests in Region 3 must consider all waterbodies, wetlands, canals, irrigation ditches, and bottomland areas (riparian areas, floodplains, etc.) on NFS lands as WOTUS unless these areas can be positively excluded by the Forest or Regional Hydrologist. This includes waterbodies or wetlands that are ephemeral or intermittent (seasonally dry).

The PGP for a particular State provides NPDES coverage for operators (Applicators and/or Decision-makers) who have (1) met conditions of eligibility defined in the permit and (2) submitted a Notice of Intent (NOI) and other documents in accordance with permit requirements. For purposes of PGP compliance, the Forest Service is considered to be a large entity Decision-maker. In addition to the NOI, an up-to-date pesticide discharge management plan (PDMP) is required for permit coverage of pesticide applications unless otherwise exempted by a permitting agency. The intent of the PDMP is to identify and evaluate control measures that will comply with pollutant restrictions and minimize discharges.

The Forest Pesticide Coordinator has the lead responsibility for preparing required NPDES documents for the forest. Each NOI or self-certification form filed by a forest with a permitting agency for pesticide treatment on NFS lands involving WOTUS must be authorized by the Regional Forester who serves as the certifying official for the Forest Service. In addition to submitting NOIs and PDMPs to the Regional Forester for approval and eventual submittal to NPDES permitting agencies, forests with pesticide discharges into WOTUS are required to prepare an annual report each calendar year for the duration of PGP coverage, regardless of whether discharges from the application of pesticides have been made. EPA’s official reporting form found at http://www.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/pgp_appg.pdf may be used by forests in Arizona, New Mexico, and Oklahoma as the annual report’s template. The annual report for Arizona forests must be completed by February 14 and kept with the PDMP. For forests in New Mexico and Oklahoma, an annual report on pesticide application activities performed by Forest Service personnel under each NOI is due to EPA no later than February 15. The forest must submit the annual report electronically through EPA’s notice processing system (eNOI) or by mailing the annual template form directly to EPA. Further information may be found in the *NPDES Guidance for Region 3* (<http://www.fs.usda.gov/main/r3/forest-grasslandhealth/invasivespecies>).

Data Management for Invasive Species

National forests and grasslands are required to maintain detailed records on all infestations and treatment activities for all taxa of aquatic and terrestrial invasive species. The term “treatment” here refers to any activity or action taken directly to eradicate, control, or otherwise manage here refers to any activity or action taken directly to eradicate, control, or otherwise manage the spread of an invasive species or weed. This includes any treatment activities conducted in wilderness areas, research natural areas, or other types of natural areas. Treatment activities typically fall within any of the four general categories of IWM techniques (i.e., physical, cultural, biological, or chemical treatments). As per national protocols, a minimum of 1/10 acre should be reported for any invasive species population mapped, treated, restored, or monitored. Forest Service business rules and applications related to invasive species inventory and treatment protocols are available at <http://fsweb.wo.fs.fed.us/invasivespecies/data/index.shtml> [internal USFS website]. The website has links to the following sets of rules and guidelines:

- *National Forest System Invasive Species Management Record Keeping Business Rules and National Standards*
- *Data Recording Protocols and Requirements for Invasive Species Survey, Inventory, and Treatment Records*
- *Supplemental Guidance and Requirements for Planning and Accomplishing Invasive Species Treatments Funded with CWKV³*

NRM’s Integrated Application

As mandated in a June 3, 2013 letter to regional foresters by the Deputy Chief for NFS, both tabular data and a site-specific spatial feature (polygon) are currently required for each survey, inventory, and treatment record associated with invasive species management activities conducted on NFS lands and on adjacent areas when accomplished under the Wyden Amendment. Regardless of funding source or project objective, all invasive species survey, inventory, and treatment records must be entered into the national Natural Resource Manager (NRM) database structure by using the required TESP-IS software application. The TESP-IS integrated application is specifically designed for entering, editing and storing field-collected data. In addition, all performance reports, pesticide use reports, and other spatial and tabular reports or summaries for the NFS invasive species program are generated through the TESP-IS application (see the FY 2013 NFS Invasive Species Program Record Keeping and Reporting Requirements link at <http://fsweb.wo.fs.fed.us/invasivespecies/budget/index.shtml>) [internal USFS website].

Data entry with the TESP-IS integrated application may be made through either a mobile version or a non-mobile version. The mobile software version, *Invasive Species Mobile (ISM) version 3.0*, is designed to integrate survey and treatment data obtained in the field with GPS-based portable data recorders (PDRs) such as a HP IPAQ®, Trimble Juno®, or Garmin®. The non-mobile version of the TESP-IS application allows direct entry of data onto ArcGIS maps on desk computers without a PDR. In lieu of using a PDR, NFS field forms found at <http://fsweb.wo.fs.fed.us/invasivespecies/data/index.shtml> may be used for collection of field data (survey, inventory, and treatment) to enter into the non-mobile TESP-IS version.

³ CWKV is the fund code for Cooperative Work, Knutson-Vandenberg. The Knutson-Vandenberg (K-V) trust fund is derived from timber sale receipts and is used for a variety of projects such as reforestation, improvement of forestland ecological conditions, and maintaining biological diversity.

Data entered through the TESP-IS application is automatically transferred into two NRM master databases of record: the FACTS (Forest Service Activity Tracking System) database for treatments and the TESP-IS database for invasive surveys and inventories. The FACTS database stores records on all management activities that have actually occurred on NFS landscapes. In particular, any pesticide use information required for regulatory compliance and/or pesticide use reporting on NFS lands is compiled directly from pesticide data recorded in FACTS. On some forests, the overall treated acreage reported in FACTS may reflect treatment conducted on NFS lands by outside organizations such as treatment of ROWs by State or County highway departments.

Reports and editing: Records on invasive species management activities that have not been entered into FACTS through the TESP-IS application will not be summarized in annual program performance reports. All required fields in FACTS should be filled out including the name of the certified applicator, infestation(s) treated, and the specific spatial polygon of the pesticide application. When the license number of a certified applicator is unavailable (e.g., treatments made by unlicensed applicators using repellants, pheromones, or borax), the name of the applicator should be used and the code “NA” added to the license number field in the Certified Applicator table in FACTS.

The Forest Invasive Species Coordinator has overall responsibility for entering invasive species records into FACTS with the TESP-IS application by the reporting deadline which is normally October 31 of the current fiscal year. All treatments must be recorded as accomplished on or before September 30 of the FY in which they were initiated. Performance credit is given for treatment activities that occurred during the fiscal year in which the activities were planned and funds expended. For purposes of performance accomplishments and target attainment, activities are not allowed to cross fiscal years. Treated acres are counted for both Force-Account and awarded Contracts/Agreements when the accomplishment date is within the fiscal year where funds were obligated. Treatment contract work that straddles the fiscal year break should be attributed to the year in which the contract was awarded regardless of the actual date the work is completed. In addition to the accomplishments reporting deadline on October 31, the Regional Forester’s report also requires that program performance data for invasive species be entered into FACTS before the data is pulled up by the Performance Accountability System (PAS) during the fourth week of September. **To meet both the October 31 deadline for final accomplishments and the earlier September deadline for the Regional Forester’s report, data should be entered as accomplished or at least quarterly into FACTS with the TESP-IS application.**

Current invasive treatment data for performance reports that have been provided by individual USFS units may be accessed from the FACTS reports tab of the NRM Main Menu or the FACTS Web Reports website found at http://fsweb.ftcol.wo.fs.fed.us/frs/facts/outputs/Web_Reports/ [internal USFS website]. To view treatment data in FACTS after it has been entered through the TESP-IS application, one should go to NRM Read-only Access pull-down menu found on the NRM Main Menu at <https://iweb.fs.usda.gov/login/welcome.html> [internal USFS website] and select the specific Region and forest before logging in.

Editing of existing treatment records must be completed through the TESP-IS application. Individuals responsible for invasive species inventory and treatment data entry will need both the FACTS User role and the TESP-IS Editor role approved for the unit(s) on which they work. Although the FACTS User role is district-based, the TESP-IS Editor is forest-based. Further

information on FACTS and TESP-IS roles may be obtained by calling the Regional FACTS Coordinator in Albuquerque at 505-842-3483.

Application training: NRM staff currently provides both classroom and on-line training for the required TESP-IS application. Classroom training for the TESP-IS application is focused on a training module for using mobile devices. The training primarily addresses equipment setup, data entry, and editing of spatial and tabular data with the ISM v3.0 software. Training with the non-mobile version of the TESP-IS application is primarily accomplished through 4-hour webinar tutorials. For basic training in GIS prior to using TESP-IS, the ArcGIS 10.1 Quick Start course may be taken as a webinar from the USFS National Geospatial Training and Awareness Program at http://fsweb.geotraining.fs.fed.us/www/index.php?lessons_ID=2914 [internal USFS website].

Training for Region 3 personnel with the mobile and non-mobile modules is offered annually by registration through AgLearn. The Training Calendar for scheduling AgLearn courses can be accessed through the NRM training webpage at <http://fsweb.nrm.fs.fed.us/support/training/> [internal USFS website]. The NRM TESP-IS Account Manager (208-373-4156) or Regional Invasive Species/Pesticide Coordinator (505-842-3280) should be contacted for further information.

Data Management Guidelines

Polygon delineation

For purposes of delineating polygons in TESP-IS, the following definitions are applied:

1. *Total Area* is considered to be an area, in acres, that is occupied by an invasive species as delineated by a polygon that may represent a general area where the population is found or may represent the spatial extent of the infestation or population.
2. The *Infested Area* is defined to be an area of land or water, in acres, containing a single invasive species as delineated by the actual perimeter of the infestation (defined by the outer edge of the canopy cover of plants) or the visible population of other taxa (e.g., home range or stream reach for vertebrates). An *Infested Area* essentially lies within the perimeter of the population and excludes adjacent areas not infested.
3. *Percent Infested (% Infested)* is the proportion of the *Total Area* (0.1 acre minimum) that is infested with the target invasive species. The estimate of *% Infested* is based on the
 - a. perimeter of the infestation,
 - b. distribution of plants within the perimeter,
 - c. species growth characteristics,
 - d. site characteristics, and
 - e. degree to which the created feature represents the actual infestation.

The default value for % *Infested* is 100 percent. A value of 0 is allowed to be entered for % *Infested* only when a re-measured record needs to indicate that treatment has eradicated an infestation or else that an infestation has been eliminated under natural conditions. When creating infestation polygons of 1/10 acre or less, the % *Infested* value should always be set at 100 percent.⁴

Guidelines for polygon delineation: To determine overall acreage or area polygons of an infested area, the following guidelines should be used for reporting weed populations:

1. In general, weed patches or single plants that are more than 1/8 mile (660 ft.) away from each other should be considered as a separate population and documented accordingly.
2. If necessary, infestations as small as a single invasive plant may be mapped as an entire, discrete infestation rather than be included with other infestations. These small, tightly drawn polygons must be entered into FACTS as 0.1 acre with the TESP-IS application and set at 100 percent infested as mentioned above. However, such small infestations should ordinarily not be mapped separately unless they are least 1/8 mile away from the nearest infestation.
3. For sites that have at least 1-5 percent canopy cover of invasive plants where individual invasive plants and patches are evenly distributed across the site, the spatial extent of the infestation is equal to the area of the polygon created at the perimeter of the infestation. The % *Infested* value should be set at 100 percent.
4. For sites that have at least 1-5 percent canopy cover of invasive plants where individual invasive plants and patches are irregularly distributed (e.g., infested gullies eroding into an otherwise uninfested landscape), the spatial extent of the infestation is still equal to the area of the polygon created at the perimeter of overall infestation. However, the % *Infested* value entered should reflect a lower percentage to indicate the smaller distribution of infested area actually contained within the larger polygon.

Polygon delineation example: As an example of how the definitions and guidelines detailed above may be used for polygon delineation, consider a situation where a number of discrete patches or small infestations of spotted knapweed (*Centaurea maculosa*) are found in a large drainage. Through onsite investigation of the drainage and by looking at aerial photos, the overall size of the area infested by knapweed is determined to be approximately 600 acres. However, it is estimated that only about 40 percent of the total area is actually infested. Since spotted knapweed is randomly distributed throughout the area, there is no added value or utility in mapping each small infestation within the overall area of 600 acres. Therefore, a single polygon may be created with a *Total Area* of 600 acres (as generated from the area of the spatial feature) that has a value for % *Infested* set at 40 percent. The *Infested Area* as calculated by the TESP-IS application is 240 acres (= 600 acres x 0.4). Alternatively, each knapweed patch could be mapped as a feature thereby creating a multi-part polygon to represent areas that are completely infested. In this approach, the TESP-IS application will still calculate *Infested Area* to be 240 acres when % *Infested* is set at 100 percent.

⁴ The minimum size of an infestation polygon that can be created with the TESP-IS application is 1/10,000 acre (4.4 sq. ft.). Since data entered into FACTS is limited to a single decimal, the smallest acreage that can be entered is 0.1 acre.

Survey and inventory

All invasive species survey and inventory information must be collected and recorded in the TESP-IS database. Invasive species survey is the process of systematically searching a geographic area for a particular target invasive species or a list of numerous species. Surveys should be conducted as described above in the **Detection** subsection (p. 27). Surveys may also be conducted as part of the EDRR process as well as during project planning where the purpose of the survey is to locate any invasive species within the project area prior to site disturbance. Even if target species are not located, it is important for future reference to know where and when surveys have occurred. A tracking system may be employed to show where surveys have been done and where survey efforts still need to be accomplished.

Invasive species inventory is a collection of data related to the occurrence, population, or infestation of a detected invasive species across a landscape or a more narrowly-defined area or site. For survey and inventory processes, a required set of attributes in TESP-IS must be entered (what was found, where was it found, how much was found, etc.). Further information on conducting survey and inventory can be found in relevant sections of the (1) *National Forest System Invasive Species Management Record Keeping Business Rules and National Standards* and (2) *Data Recording Protocols and Requirements for Invasive Species Survey, Inventory, and Treatment Records* located at <http://fsweb.wo.fs.fed.us/invasivespecies/data/> [internal USFS website].

Treated areas

For purposes of delineating polygons for treated areas, the following definitions are applied:

1. *Infested Area Treated* (labeled as *Acres Treated* in the TESP-IS application) is the treated portion, measured in acres, of an area infested by a single invasive species. The *Infested Area Treated* represents the portion of a single species infestation that was prioritized and treated.
2. The *Treatment Area* is a specific piece of land or water on which a management activity or series of management activities occur across the entire area. A *Treatment Area* may include an entire invasive species infestation, a portion of an infestation, or include multiple infestations that are adjacent or overlapping. Treatment Areas may overlap, but cannot be divided.

The smallest area that can be entered on the *Treatment Activity* form and given credit for *Acres Accomplished* is 1/10 acre. For release of biological control agents, a one-time credit of five acres is allowed for each agent release on a treatment area for a given day. Release sites must be a minimum of 1/4 mile apart to allow additional credit of the 5-acre accomplishment. Regardless of whether an area is treated with herbicide or biocontrol agents, the pattern of treatment applications must be consistent throughout areas reported as being treated rather than being reported as a gross polygon whereby only a portion of the polygon was actually treated but the entire infested area was reported as an accomplishment. Further information on treatment requirements can be found in relevant sections of the (1) *National Forest System Invasive Species Management Record Keeping Business Rules and National Standards* and (2) *Data Recording Protocols and Requirements for Invasive Species Survey, Inventory, and Treatment Records* located at <http://fsweb.wo.fs.fed.us/invasivespecies/data/> [internal USFS website].

Monitoring

Monitoring may be done by visual survey (presence or absence) or by using sampling techniques (quadrats, line-intercept transects, etc.). Monitoring for invasive species management can involve (1) re-measuring inventoried infestations at scheduled times and/or (2) evaluating the effectiveness of treatment activities used to control infestations. The monitoring cycle should be based on the threat posed by a particular species. A highly invasive species may need to be monitored more often than a less invasive species. Sites where seed or other materials (hay, straw, mulch, etc.) have been applied should be monitored and any new weeds on the site should be eradicated before they can develop seed.

The business area requirement (national target) for treatment monitoring is 50 percent of the acres treated. The acres monitored are calculated from the *Infested Area Treated* (labeled as *Acres Treated*) field of the treatment activity. At least 50 percent of the total acres treated for a given year must be monitored for treatment efficacy with the data entered through the TESP-IS application. Treatment efficacy monitoring below the 50 percent level will result in no (zero) accomplishment credit given for the forest's performance outcome (percent of priority acres restored). Monitoring for completed treatments will be credited to the fiscal year in which the monitoring occurred, no matter in which year the respective treatment occurred. However, the evaluation date must be later than the completed date for each treated species monitored. Further information on monitoring requirements can be found in relevant sections of the (1) *National Forest System Invasive Species Management Record Keeping Business Rules and National Standards* and (2) *Data Recording Protocols and Requirements for Invasive Species Survey, Inventory, and Treatment Records* located at <http://fsweb.wo.fs.fed.us/invasivespecies/data/> [internal USFS website].

Management Strategies for Invasive Species

Population Growth of Invasive Species

As shown below in a graph derived from Chippendale (1991), invasive species generally follow a logistic (cumulative) growth pattern whereby populations of these species (1) are first established during the introductory phase, (2) undergo a lag phase before reaching a maximum rate of expansion during the colonization phase, and then (3) taper off as resources for growth are fully utilized and the species becomes naturalized in the environment.




Figure 1. Growth patterns and management priorities for invasive species (after Chippendale, 1991)

Management Strategies

As suggested by figure 1 above, management options for invasive species vary according to the stage of population growth for these species. Strategies for managing invasive species are therefore generally governed by the progression of logistic growth patterns. Fundamentally, there are three practical approaches that can be used to manage invasive species after establishment: (1) eradication, (2) containment, and (3) control. Both eradication and containment may be emphasized at the early stages of infestation. Once populations of an invasive species have reached the naturalization stage, the only control options typically are local control on a limited basis or possible use of biocontrol agents if available.

Eradication

In many cases, invasive species exhibit a prolonged lag period following introduction and can normally be eradicated if detected in time. Almost any species can be eradicated within a small area if sufficient resources are available; however, the biology of some species may make eradication less feasible (Simberloff, 2003). Simberloff (2003) identified requirements for successful eradication as including

1. detectability of the target species at low densities,
2. biological features of the species that are susceptible to treatment,
3. adequate resources to complete necessary treatments,

4. commitment to complete the project, and
5. ability to conduct intensive management of the system on a follow-up basis.

Early Detection and Rapid Response: Early detection and rapid response (EDRR) should be used to stop establishment of invasive species before eradication becomes impossible and management expenses become unreasonable. To achieve eradication, EDRR requires (1) early detection, (2) rapid assessment, and (3) rapid response. Early detection may be accomplished by the surveillance, search, or survey techniques as described above in the **Detection** subsection (p. 27). The detection of an invasive species should initiate the rapid assessment process that determines whether a response should be initiated. If a response is warranted, rapid response efforts should then be used to eradicate or else contain invasive populations when necessary. Response efforts should be prepared to cross jurisdictional boundaries which may involve partnering with the private and/or other public sectors. EDRR may be based in part on enlisting an informed and vigilant public who have the ability to remove new populations once detected. Further information on the EDRR process may be found at <http://www.invasivespeciesinfo.gov/toolkit/detection.shtml>.

Forests and districts should promote and fund EDRR activities. However, EDRR requires considerable time and resources to find new invaders, and overall expenses for this type of activity can be relatively high as compared to conventional pesticide treatment projects. High risk invasive species (animal, plant, pathogen, etc.) should have highest priority for EDRR.

Invasive plant eradication: Invasive plants can spread by seed and by vegetative means such as rhizomes, runners, shoots, and tubers. To successfully eradicate infestations, targeted plants must be completely eliminated over the entire infested area. Unless control is 100 percent, viable seed will be added to the soil bank thereby allowing reproduction to continue (Zamora et al., 1989). Therefore, viable seeds from targeted weeds must be prevented from becoming part of the seed bank, and residual propagules such as root or stem fragments should not contribute to further vegetative spread. Dismembered weed parts with immature seed structures or long-lived root fragments should be expected to re-establish sometime in the future if not adequately monitored and treated.

Total eradication of invasive species populations should be attempted only when there is still a realistic chance for successful completion. Probabilities for successfully eradicating invasive plant populations during the lag phase and early part of colonization may be judged according to the following table.⁵ Note that probabilities for successful eradication of infestations within acreage ranges shown in table 2 are generalized and may vary according to availability of project resources, invasive species characteristics, landscape attributes, etc. **In general, forests should attempt to eradicate invasive weed infestations less than 15 acres when feasible.** Attempts to eradicate larger infestations should be based on factors such as weed priority and the ability to provide sufficient resources for completion of the eradication effort.

⁵ Eradication probabilities given in table 2 are based on a study by Rejmánek and Pitcairn (2002) that reviewed 53 weed eradication projects in California. The study found that attempts to eradicate exotic weed infestations smaller than 2.5 acres (one hectare) were generally successful. Projects attempting to eradicate infestations between 2.5 acres and 250 acres were successful only one-third of the time and only one-fourth of the time for acreage between 250 and 2,500. Infestations larger than 2,500 acres (approximately 4 sq. miles) were almost never eradicated due to realistic limits on available resources necessary to control them.

Table 2. Probabilities for successful eradication

Infested acreage	Eradication probability
< 2.5 acres	100%
2.5 – 250	33%
250 – 2,500	25%
> 2,500	almost none

For relatively large infestations that can still be eradicated, satellite populations and perimeter edges should be treated first with the entire infestation scheduled to be reduced and eradicated in a series of steps over a period of several years. Regardless of the size of the infested area, eradication efforts should be maintained rigorously throughout the growing season. Areas undergoing eradication need to be monitored and treated until recruitment from seed bank and/or residual propagules no longer occurs.

Containment

Containment of invasive species infestations that are beyond eradication generally has a relatively low probability of success over the long term. Expansion of invasive species populations through natural migration or transport by humans, vehicles, livestock, etc. is difficult if not impossible to control indefinitely. Containment requires a protracted management commitment and should only be used as a last resort to maintain relatively small, non-eradicable populations indefinitely or else used on a short-term basis to contain large-scale infestations that will gradually be reduced through treatment projects. To prevent expansion, the goal should be to contain the invasive species infestations by (1) treating satellite populations and infestation perimeters, and (2) implementing sanitation measures to minimize transport of individual organisms or propagules along roadways, trails, waterways, and other transportation pathways across or away from the infestation. Treatment should be adjusted according to outcomes of previous management actions.

Control

Unless action is taken to keep an invasive species from spreading, it can reach carrying capacity in its new environment where it can eventually become naturalized and displace native species (Radosevich 2002). The only effective approach to forestall unduly harmful effects resulting from species that are beyond eradication or containment is to control their colonization processes. During the colonization phase, the relative growth and reproduction of an invasive species should be managed such that community dynamics are favorably shifted toward desirable species (Sheley et al. 1996). Reductions in invasive species population and increases in desirable species may be achieved by applying management actions (e.g., herbicide applications or release of biocontrol agents) in a manner that takes advantage of natural processes (Sheley et al. 1996).

In general, a control strategy is typically used for large-scale suppression and reduction of invasive plant populations during the colonization phase. **Note that EDRR and eradication efforts should be used as part of an overall control strategy in protecting local areas that are currently uninfested and can be kept weed-free indefinitely.** Containment of an invasive plant infestation that is too large for eradication may be attempted as part of a control strategy that must be continued indefinitely.

Adaptive management: Realistic goals and objectives should be established for management of widely distributed invasive species that are impractical to control through eradication or containment efforts alone. To improve long-term success with non-eradicable infestations of an invasive species, an adaptive management approach should be used (see Williams et al., 2009). Adaptive management is a systematic process for continually improving management policies and practices by learning from the outcomes of operational programs as determined by objective evidence. The stepwise process for adaptive management involves

1. assessment of the overall problem,
2. establishing management goals and objectives,
3. implementation of control strategies,
4. monitoring the effectiveness of management actions,
5. evaluating actual outcomes in relation to expected results, and
6. adjusting practices as necessary.

Steps in the adaptive management process should be repeated in sequence as part of a continuous learning cycle that improves management planning and strategy by learning from outcomes of previous actions. In general, a management strategy using an adaptive management approach is considered to be successful if

1. stakeholders are actively involved and remain committed to the process,
2. monitoring and assessment are used to adjust and improve management decisions, and
3. management goals and/or objectives for the resource are being achieved.

Control strategy example: Although adaptive management may be used in conjunction with all three management strategies (eradication, containment, or control), it generally is most applicable to controlling extensive, widely dispersed infestations. A strategy for controlling invasive weeds that uses adaptive management should be written as a formal plan that defines ways in which goals and objectives can be attained through IWM methods. A simplistic example of a strategy that uses adaptive management to manage invasive weeds in a watershed is outlined below:

Goal

Rehabilitate and restore a watershed by reducing overall acreage of invasive plants.

Strategy

Procedures:

1. Inventory and prioritize populations of invasive plant species of highest concern in the watershed.
2. Identify infested areas in the watershed that can be controlled or possibly even successfully eradicated. This includes identifying pathways for invasive plant spread such as roads, trails, utility corridors, livestock driveways, waterways, and other potential

weed infested areas that can be treated to minimize transport of seed or propagules into non-infested parts of the watershed.

3. Develop a weed management plan for managing priority invasive weeds in the watershed (see the **Weed Management Plans** subsection in the next section).
4. Implement control methods and monitoring in project areas that are necessary for suppression or reduction of priority plant species infestations (including eradication of relatively small, isolated patches of invasive plants).
5. Implement restoration activities and monitoring as necessary in project areas.
6. Evaluate treatment results and make necessary adjustments in accordance with the adaptive management process.

Planning for Invasive Species Management

Risk Assessments for Invasive Species

According to FSM 2903, risk assessments should be both site-based and species-based in prioritizing management of invasive species infestations in aquatic and terrestrial areas of NFS lands. A risk assessment is particularly necessary if the potential for invasiveness of a newly introduced species is unknown. The risk assessment should involve identifying characteristics of the species as well as assessing habitat susceptibility. Considerations for assessing the potential risk of a weed species should include

1. listing on the State's noxious weed list;
2. potential for disruption of ecosystem or resource;
3. invasiveness (seed production rate, root expansion potential, etc.);
4. availability of pathways or vectors for spread (road systems, trails, livestock drives, waterways, etc.);
5. proximity of infestations on non-NFS lands to NFS boundaries and likelihood of spread;
6. threat to TES species or high value areas (wilderness, national parks, municipal watershed, un-infested areas, scenic by-ways, etc.); and
7. feasibility of effective management (including prevention, eradication, control, restoration, etc.).

Information on risk assessments for invasive plants may be found at sources such as the CIPM Online Textbook (http://www.weedcenter.org/textbook/6_prather_risk.html). The Regional Invasive Species/Pesticide Coordinator should be contacted if a new species (pathogen, plant, or animal) is determined to be invasive after an initial risk assessment has been conducted.

Prioritizing Invasive Weed Species

Invasive weeds should be prioritized according to relative threats and management emphases. In the past, three invasive weed classes (e.g., classes A, B, and C in the New Mexico noxious weed list) have ordinarily been used to separate out different levels of threat and management response for these weeds. However, it may no longer be feasible for some Region 3 forests to use just three classes to prioritize invasive weed species due to the hazard presented by certain invasive weeds with widespread infestations. Examples of extreme threats from invasive weeds for these forests include (1) periodic fire cycles and vegetation-type conversion induced by buffelgrass (*Cenchrus ciliaris*) on the Coronado NF, (2) overwhelming expansion of musk thistle (*Carduus nutans*) on the Lincoln NF, and (3) cheatgrass-fueled fires on the North Kaibab Ranger District of the Kaibab NF. These particular species require a higher level of management response to preserve vulnerable and/or valuable resources (e.g., native plant communities) and/or adequate ecophysiological conditions (e.g., soil fertility).

Because of the additional threat and greater management response required for some invasive weed species in Region 3, four classes for prioritizing invasive plants are used:

1. **Class A species** – These invasive weed species are newly established or else have the potential to become established on the forest, district, or else within a particular watershed. Such species pose a major or unacceptable threat to watershed condition, TES (threatened, endangered, or sensitive) species, wilderness, or other natural and economic resources. Weed species in this classification should receive highest priority for prevention, eradication, containment, control, and/or restoration. Management emphasis is to prevent and eradicate whenever possible or else use containment as a last resort.
2. **Class B species** – These invasive weed species have limited distribution on the forest, district, or else within a particular watershed; however, these species still pose a substantial threat to watershed condition, TES species, wilderness, or other natural and economic resources. Weed species in this classification receive a lower priority for eradication, control, or restoration as compared to Class A species. Management emphasis is to eradicate on a local basis or else control established infestations by using an adaptive management approach.
3. **Class C species** – These invasive weed species are widely distributed across the forest, district, or else within a particular watershed but do not pose additional threats to watershed condition, TES species, wilderness, or other natural and economic resources (e.g., widely scattered cheatgrass infestations that do not unduly impact native vegetation or contribute to periodic fire cycles). Weed species in this classification generally receive the lowest priority for control or restoration as compared to species in other classes. Management emphasis is to use a control strategy with an adaptive management approach on a local basis only when necessary to achieve desired goals and/or objectives and to limit overall impacts.
4. **Class E species** – These invasive weed species have wide distribution across the forest, district, or else within a particular watershed and pose an unacceptable, extreme hazard (accordingly, E) to watershed condition, TES species, wilderness, or other natural and economic resources. These particular wide-ranging species must be controlled continuously to prevent overwhelming damage to natural resources (e.g., a fire cycle introduced by buffelgrass into Sonoran Desert plant communities unadapted to fire). Weed species in this classification should receive high priority for control and restoration which may supersede Class B species for treatment priority. Management emphasis is to control on a broad-scale basis by using a control strategy with an adaptive management approach to achieve desired goals and/or objectives and limit overall impacts.

Treatment priorities

Forests in Region 3 should assign treatment priority for invasive weed species in the classes according to monitoring data and threat assessment. Class A weed species should have priority for treatment over the other classes. Eradication is the primary objective for Class A species and possibly for Class B species under limited circumstances (e.g., new infestations of a particular Class B species in a previously un-infested area that can be kept free of this weed indefinitely). Class E species must have high priority for treatment if natural resources such as native plant

communities or TES species are unduly threatened. Not all forests will need to list and implement management provisions associated with the E class if invasive weed species currently in their weed inventory do not qualify. However, an invasive weed species should be designated as a Class E species when infestations of that particular weed species have reached a stage where broad-scale treatment is required on an annual basis to preserve natural resources.

Priority weed lists

A comprehensive list detailing infested acreage, priority classifications, and treatment objectives for invasive plant species should be maintained by each forest invasive species coordinator. Based on need, the list should be developed for either (1) the entire forest, (2) every individual district on the forest, or (3) every 6th Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC) watershed on the forest. A determination should be made as to whether a single forest-wide list will suffice for invasive weed management on the forest or whether development of separate lists that address districts or 6th HUC watersheds individually on the forest will be most useful. With the separate list approach, a species that qualifies as a particular class in one district (or watershed) may be re-classified into a different class in another district (or watershed) depending on its presence. Priority lists compiled on a district-by-district basis may provide the greatest precision and flexibility for invasive weed management on a forest.

Once developed, priority lists for invasive weed species should be updated annually by the Forest Invasive Species Coordinator and distributed to each district on the forest. A simplistic, hypothetical example of a priority weed list for a ranger district is shown in the table below.

Table 3. List of priority weeds for the Santa Catalina Ranger District, Coronado NF

Common Name	Specific Name	Acreage	Class	Treatment Objectives
Buffelgrass	<i>Cenchrus ciliaris</i>	8,000	E	Broad-scale Control
Giant reed	<i>Arundo donax</i>	40	B	Eradicate or Control
Lehmann lovegrass	<i>Eragrostis lehmanniana</i>	500	C	Monitor/Control if necessary
Onion weed	<i>Asphodelus fistulosus</i>	no occurrence yet	A	Prevent/Eradicate
Sahara mustard	<i>Brassica tournefortii</i>	< 5	A	Eradicate/Prevent
Sweet resinbush	<i>Euryops subcarnosus</i>	10	B	Eradicate or Contain

Weed Management Plans

Detailed weed management plans should be developed for complex or extensive areas infested with invasive weeds. These plans may be forest-wide or else be specific to individual projects for various landscapes or watersheds. A weed management plan for a forest can serve as the basis for determination of priority acres for treatment which are used in end-of-year accomplishment codes (see **WorkPlan and Accomplishments Reporting** section, p. 81).

Forest weed management plans

Each forest should have a comprehensive management plan for invasive weeds that can either serve uniformly across the entire forest or else is partitioned on a district-by-district or possibly a watershed-by-watershed basis. The forest weed management plan should be compatible with existing NEPA documents and address overall goals, objectives, and target metrics (inventory,

treatment, and monitoring) for management of individual invasive weed species on the forest. Weed inventory information and maps in the plan should be based on survey data collected from the field and entered into the FACTS database with the TESP-IS application. Priority weed lists at the forest, district, or watershed-level should be developed for the plan as necessary.

Although existing NEPA documents have been used in lieu of a forest weed management plan, such NEPA documents generally do not accurately reflect current conditions for invasive weeds across the forest. They also do not incorporate specific objectives, targets, and strategies in sufficient detail that invasive weeds on the forest can be properly managed into the future. The Regional Invasive Species/Pesticides Coordinator should be consulted in regard to the adequacy of using a NEPA document in lieu of a forest weed management plan.

In general, the weed management plan for a forest should be designed to prevent weed expansion and/or limit seed dispersal, while also shifting the competitive balance toward re-establishing healthy plant communities when desired vegetative cover is at low levels. The forest management plan should incorporate strategies with treatment projects that will manage specific invasive weed species in accordance with priority weed lists. Forests with at least one E class species should develop strategies that will control these particular species on a broad-scale basis. Landscape features susceptible to invasive weed infestations such as specific roads, trails, waterways, campgrounds, heavy equipment facilities, etc. should be addressed in the plan in detail. Scheduling for activities such as surveying, treatment cycles, and monitoring of these landscape features should also be included. In some cases, local CWMAs may be able to assist forests in developing weed management plans. An example of a forest management plan (entitled *Draft 2012 Invasive Species Action Plan*) that was developed for the San Juan NF can be found at <http://fsweb.sanjuan.r2.fs.fed.us/invaspecies.shtml> [internal USFS website].

Project plans for weed management

Planning for a particular treatment project depends on the magnitude of the operation and should address factors such as

1. chosen herbicides;
2. rate, timing, and method of applications;
3. sensitive or high-value areas requiring monitoring within and adjacent to the treatment area; and
4. public concerns about the program.

Project plan example: Basic features of a project-specific plan for weed management (as adapted from Tu and Meyers-Rice, 2001) are shown in the following outline:

INTRODUCTION

- A. Short Description of Weed Threat and Interference with Management Goals
- B. Overview of Proposed Weed Management Strategies

DESCRIPTION OF THE SITE OR MANAGEMENT AREA

- A. Boundaries

- B. Resource Base (Biological Communities, T&E Species, Land-Use History, etc.)

INVENTORY OF WEED SPECIES

- A. Inventory of Weed Species (Areas Searched, Weed Species Targeted, etc.)
- B. Maps of Weed Infestations (as generated by TESP-IS software, other sources, etc.)

MANAGEMENT GOALS AND WEED MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES

- A. Land Management Goals (Including Watershed Restoration, Desired Conditions, etc.)
- B. Weed Management Objectives (i.e., Achievable Measures for Sites with Deadlines)

PRIORITIES FOR WEED MANAGEMENT

- A. Description of Prevention Priorities
- B. List of Priority Weed Species Specific for Forest, District, or Watershed
- C. Infestation Priorities (Including Maps of High, Medium, and Low Priority Patches)

WEED MANAGEMENT ACTIONS

- A. List of Specific Actions for Prevention and Detection (Including EDRR)
- B. Specific IWM Approach for Controlling Priority Weed Species
- C. Management Strategy for Controlling Priority Species in Project Area
- D. Coordination with External Organizations (CWMAs, State agencies, etc.)
- E. Summary of Planned Treatment Actions

Table 1- List and Description of Planned Treatment Projects

Table 2 - Implementation Schedule for Treatment Projects

Table 3 - Projected Resource Needs (Equipment, Supplies, etc.) and Costs

Table 4 - Itemized Actual Annual Costs and Labor Worksheets

MONITORING

- A. Criteria and Measures to Evaluate Effectiveness of Control Actions
- B. Schedule for Monitoring

REFERENCES

APPENDICES

- Appendix 1. Emergency Information/Directions and Map to Hospitals or Clinic
- Appendix 2. Regional Field Guides for Invasive Species (or alternatives)
- Appendix 3. Description of Required Personal Protective Clothing and Equipment
- Appendix 4. Copies of Forms for Collecting Monitoring Data (optional)

If herbicides are to be used:

Appendix 5. Herbicide Use Protocols (Storage, Mixing, Transport, Application, Disposal)

Appendix 6. Copy of Pesticide-use Proposal (PUP) for Project

Appendix 7. Herbicide Labels

Appendix 8. Safety Data Sheets (formerly MSDSs)

Appendix 9. Record Forms for Herbicide Use

Further information on developing weed plans may be found at the Center for Invasive Species Management's website (<http://www.weedcenter.org/management/planning.html>).

Project Risk Assessments

All projects that involve ground disturbance or site alteration should be assessed for potential establishment of invasive weeds once the project is completed. For a NEPA-level project, environmental analysis for projects and maintenance programs will need to (1) assess weed risks, (2) identify prevention practices, and (3) analyze potential treatment of high risk sites for weed establishment and spread. The need for weed prevention and maintenance (including herbicide use) should be determined at the onset of project planning. If necessary, bonds for invasive weed concerns in regard to ground disturbing projects should be retained.

A field reconnaissance of the project site should be made to determine the presence of invasive plants prior to project implementation. Before ground-disturbing activities begin, onsite weed infestations should be inventoried and prioritized for treatment in project operating areas and along access routes. The field reconnaissance should include inspection of potential off-site areas such as gravel pits, equipment yards, or similar disturbed areas for invasive weeds that could contribute to infestations on NFS lands in conjunction with the proposed project. Local records of the Forest Service, County/State weed boards, etc. may also be consulted to determine whether noxious or invasive weeds have been sighted in or are adjacent to the project area. For NEPA-level projects, a sample design should be used in the reconnaissance to show that possible areas for invasive plant occurrence were adequately searched at the proper time of year for species identification. Reconnaissance findings for a NEPA-level project should be documented in the NEPA document. All sightings on invasive weed species should also be reported to the local Forest Service unit, County and/or State weed agencies, and other affected parties.

Proposed project areas should be rated in regard to relative risk for invasive plant establishment. A site assessment for a NEPA-level project should prescribe project actions necessary to reduce or prevent spread of invasive weeds where the risk of invasive plant establishment is moderate to high. Risk ratings of none, low, moderate, or high may be used to describe information for further action regarding project modification or implementation. A brief summary of factors that can be used to describe the likelihood of invasive weed establishment into a proposed project area along with management actions necessary for remediation are shown in table 4 below.

In general, sites with Class A, B, or E species present will probably require a higher level of management actions and monitoring due to potential high risk from weed establishment as compared to sites with only Class C species present. A project plan should be developed with preventative measures to reduce the risk of establishing these invasive weeds into the project area if the project area has a moderate or high risk rating.

Table 4. Risk assessment for proposed projects

Site Status and Project Consequences	Risk Rating	Management Actions Needed
Invasive weed species are not present within or immediately adjacent to the project area. Project activities are not likely to result in the establishment of invasive weeds in the project area.	None	None. Project may proceed as planned.
Invasive weed species are present in areas adjacent to but not within the project area. Project activities will possibly result in the establishment of invasive weeds in the project area.	Low	Project may proceed as planned. Control measures should be implemented for weed infestations as they occur.
Light to moderate infestations of invasive weed species are located within or immediately adjacent to the project area. Project activities are likely to result in some areas becoming infested with invasive weeds even when preventative management actions are followed.	Moderate	Control measures are essential to prevent the spread of invasive weeds within the project area. A project plan should be developed with preventative measures that will reduce the risk of introduction or spread of invasive weeds into the project area. The measures should include modifying the project to include the seeding of disturbed sites with desirable species. The area should also be monitored for at least three consecutive years and provide for control of newly established invasive weeds along with follow-up treatment for previously treated infestations.
Heavy infestations of invasive weed species are located within or immediately adjacent to the project area. There is a high probability that project activities will cause the establishment and spread of invasive weeds on disturbed sites throughout much of the project area even when actions for preventative management are used.	High	Control measures are essential to prevent the spread of invasive weeds within the project area. The project should be modified through a project plan such that the risk level is reduced by preventative measures, including control of existing infestations of invasive weeds prior to project activity and the subsequent seeding of disturbed sites with desirable species. The project should provide at least five consecutive years of monitoring. The project must also provide for control of newly established invasive weeds along with follow-up treatment for previously treated infestations.

Designing Weed Treatment Projects

In designing treatment projects for invasive plants, a decision should be made as to whether the project will attempt total eradication of an invasive plant population or whether infestations have progressed to a point where the project will necessitate either containment or a control strategy with an adaptive management approach. Eradication projects should be completed within a sufficiently short time frame such that reasonable success is assured. Projects using a control strategy with an adaptive management approach should consider overall management goals at a landscape or watershed level over an extended time period. Other considerations include whether

the treatment project is intended to support forest goals in (1) watershed restoration, (2) desired conditions, or (3) wilderness stewardship. Potential objectives and management emphases for designing treatment projects are summarized in table 5 below according to weed classifications.

Table 5. Treatment project objectives by weed classification

Class	Treatment Project Objectives
Class A	Treatment projects for Class A species should eradicate or at least contain infestations across the entire forest regardless of site. EDRR should be emphasized for these species, particularly along potential entry areas.
Class B	In areas infested by Class B species, treatment projects should be designed to control infestations by stopping or slowing any further spread. These species should be aggressively controlled along highway ROWs, utility corridors, and waterways to prevent or minimize spread on NFS lands.
Class C	Treatment projects for landscapes with widespread infestations of Class C species that have naturalized within the ecosystem should generally be attempted only when the level of infestation threatens resources at a local scale and adequate control over the target area is feasible.
Class E	Treatment projects for Class E species should be designed to prevent or limit unsustainable impacts to landscape resources resulting from widespread infestations of invasive species in this class. A continuous series of treatment projects for this class should be set up on a scale sufficient to accomplish management objectives for protecting resources at the landscape level.

All IWM options (physical, cultural, biological, and chemical) should be reviewed to select which combination of management methods or techniques will most effectively control targeted species. Impacts to nontarget species should be evaluated and balanced against benefits resulting from treatment of target species. Characteristics of targeted invasive plant species such as the life cycle and population dynamics should be considered when designing treatment projects. In general, treatment projects should be implemented prior to flowering or seed-head development for most species. At a minimum, seed head development should not be allowed to progress to a point whereby immature seed can ripen and become part of the seed bank. Nor should residual fragments from roots or stems be capable of re-establishing invasive plants in treated areas. Projects should allow for monitoring and any retreatment necessary to deplete the seed bank or reestablishment from residual root or stem propagules. Although herbicides and other types of control methods may initially cause large reductions in weed populations, treatment projects should allow for any additional treatments that may be necessary, including spot treatments.

Treatment projects should be based on an established inventory including necessary mapping of infestations. Program or project plans should emphasize areas where weed management actions can be focused to (1) eradicate (locally), (2) contain, or (3) control high risk invasive species. Treatment efforts may be focused on dispersed areas or areas of concentrated use. Dispersed areas include, but are not limited to transportation and utility ROWs, fuels treatment areas, sensitive habitat for rare species, water bodies and wetlands, irrigation ditches, grazing allotments, wildlife winter range, fire-breaks in burned areas, timber sale areas, wilderness areas, research natural areas, etc. Examples of areas of concentrated use include developed recreation sites, boating areas, facilities, corrals, stock ponds, and fire camps. High use recreation areas (trails, campgrounds, etc.) and commonly used livestock trails in particular should have high priority for

weed control. For prescribed burns, the project area should be inventoried and evaluated for potential weed spread with regard to the fire prescription. Areas with moderate to high weed cover should be managed for at least two years prior to the prescribed burn to reduce the number of weed seeds in the soil. Vigilant weed management will be necessary after the burn.

To prevent expansion of weed populations, infestation perimeters and satellite populations should generally be treated first since weeds often spread as an advancing front (Enloe, 2006). Treatment of satellite populations of relatively large infestations should be emphasized over infestation perimeters because of potentially higher rates of spread associated with satellite populations (Moody and Mack, 1988). A combination of containment and strategically located eradication treatments may be used to stop large infestations from spreading (Zamora et al., 1989). EDRR for new infestations will be a necessary component of any containment-eradication plan using adaptive management. When large-scale weed control over a landscape does become necessary, relatively large areas may be divided up into smaller units and worked from the outer edges toward the interior of the infestation (Enloe, 2006). For invasive plant species found in riparian areas, treatment projects should be implemented first at the top of the watershed and then moved down the watershed in successive treatments.

It should be anticipated that many weed populations will recover to pre-treatment densities over the long term unless the underlying causes of weed invasion are corrected (Jacobs, 2007). Underlying causes for the original invasive plant infestations (overgrazing, off-road driving, fire regime change, etc.) should be addressed in project planning. In some cases, early seral invasive species can dominate a treated area but can be expected to disappear over time due to natural succession (Jacobs, 2007). Treatment projects should always consider necessary measures for rehabilitation and restoration as part of an overall plan. When possible, perennial native grasses that have been suppressed by weed infestations should be encouraged to regain dominance and forestall return of weeds. In some cases, revegetation with native species may be necessary.

Restoration Planning for Invasive Species

Saltcedar restoration projects

Due to the release of four species of saltcedar leaf beetle (*Diorhabda* spp.) in the Southwest as biocontrol agents for invasive saltcedar (*Tamarix* spp.), it may no longer be necessary to treat saltcedar in a particular watershed once the saltcedar is controlled by these beetles through repeated defoliation. However, areas occupied by defoliated saltcedar may become infested by other invasive plants or common weeds that could require treatment. In addition, expansion of these beetles threatens saltcedar nesting habitat now used by the endangered southwestern willow flycatcher (*Empidonax traillii extimus*) in lieu of its former nesting habitat of native willow. Restoration projects that can replace dead or defoliated saltcedar with native willows and other riparian species should be a priority in flycatcher nesting areas.

Forest plans and five-year restoration plans

As required by the National Forest Management Act (NFMA) and the NFS Land Management Planning Rule, all projects and activities authorized by the Forest Service must be consistent with the forest plan. Forest plans set desired conditions, standards and guidelines for management, protection and use of a particular forest. Treatment projects for invasive species should therefore be designed to support various management objectives and goals stated in the forest plan for an

individual forest. An interdisciplinary approach should be taken to address potential ecological, aesthetic, cultural, or economic impacts on target areas.

In addition to the forest plan, each forest has a five-year restoration plan that guides its restoration work. The five-year restoration plan provides a description of the work to be done with the forest's base budget as well as identify additional projects that could occur with supplementary funding. Each plan is updated annually to add another year of planned restoration work and refine existing information. **Invasive species coordinators should be engaged in the development of the five-year restoration plan for their forest. Coordinators should ensure that all benefits and accomplishments accruing from an invasive species project are accurately portrayed in the plan as well as reporting any additional benefits or accomplishments as necessary.**

Both the forest plan and the five-year restoration plan for a forest should be consulted along with relevant NEPA documents in prioritizing and setting up treatment projects for invasive species. The five-year restoration plan for a forest should be reviewed in particular for information on

1. identification and prioritization of landscapes,
2. plan restoration needed in landscapes,
3. implementation of needed restoration work, and
4. implementation and monitoring of treatment projects.

Watershed restoration

As part of the restoration process for a watershed, efforts to restore watershed conditions should be supported by reducing degrading factors within the watershed. Degrading factors include, but are not limited to, high departure from historic vegetation conditions, poor soil condition, nonfunctioning riparian areas, impaired species habitat, occurrence of invasive species, unmanaged grazing, and sedimentation from roads or trails. Treatment of invasive species may therefore be used to reduce degrading factors within targeted watersheds by helping to restore biological integrity and ecological function.

In accordance with USDA's *Strategic Plan for FY 2010-2015* and FSM 2520, treatment activities should be developed to restore ecological condition and improve or maintain the watershed condition class of priority watersheds. Priority watersheds are identified and prioritized across NFS lands at the 6th HUC level of classification by using the Water Conditions Framework (WCF) process on an ongoing basis. The 6-step WCF process includes

1. rating watersheds for current condition,
2. prioritizing watersheds for treatment,
3. developing watershed action plans for the entire watershed (including non-NFS lands) that identify specific project level activities needed to change condition classes,
4. implementing integrated suites of projects within priority watersheds,
5. tracking restoration accomplishments, and
6. monitoring the effectiveness of change in condition.

The WCF process was established to facilitate the Forest Service's primary objective of restoring watershed and forest health on NFS lands by improving watershed condition class. The WCF accomplishes this objective by (1) classifying the condition of the 15,000 watersheds found on NFS lands, (2) prioritizing relative restoration needs, and (3) implementing integrated restoration actions, as well as tracking and monitoring outcomes and accomplishments. Further information on the WCF process is available at <http://apps.fs.usda.gov/WCFmapviewer/>.

IPM treatment projects for invasive species should be established in conjunction with watershed restoration as called for in the forest's five-year restoration plan. In particular, treatment projects may be set up for watersheds that have watershed restoration action plans (WRAPs). Treatment projects for invasive species should be identified as an essential project within a WRAP. To ensure effectiveness and efficiency, a majority of treatments may be focused in priority watersheds or other high-value landscapes such as wilderness areas, habitat areas for TES species, and other sensitive sites. High priority should especially be given to invasive plant treatments that restore diversity and abundance of native vegetation, aquatic species habitat, and soil productivity in the watershed.

Although many activities funded with the NFRR BLI (budget line item) are intended to help restore priority watersheds, not all activities are expected to occur within priority watersheds as there can be a need for restoration outside of these areas. **A balance should be struck between work performed in priority watersheds and work done for other forest or Regional priorities. However, random acts of restoration should be avoided with all projects.** Funds from other programs (Hazardous Fuels, Forest Health Management, Trails, Roads (CMRD & CMII), etc.) may be utilized as appropriate to implement restoration actions identified as part of a targeted approach to restoring watershed condition class. Forest planners, botanists, biologists, hydrologists, range conservationists, and other pertinent specialists should be consulted in setting up invasive species projects in support of restoring a particular watershed.

Desired conditions

Treatment projects for invasive species should be implemented to support a desired conditions approach to landscape or watershed management in accordance with the forest plan. Desired conditions are guided by the best available science and encompass both current conditions and goals for desired conditions in the future. Desired conditions do not necessarily reflect reference conditions since it may not be possible, or desirable, to return to those conditions.

Desired conditions may be based on ecological or social objectives, or both. Treatment objectives and priorities for invasive species management may be used to support ecological desired conditions since these conditions are typically based on the concepts of ecosystem sustainability, resilience, and adaptive capability. Ecological desired conditions may also be used to direct restoration strategies for sites with invasive species. However, desired conditions may not be attainable in a single treatment and may require hundreds of years to reach. Since the ability to achieve desired conditions everywhere may be constrained by operational resources (funding, workforce, etc.), landscapes may need to be prioritized to facilitate realizing desired conditions on high priority landscapes.

Conditions described for landscapes or watersheds are normally stated in broad, general terms and have no specific timeframe by which they are to be achieved. Descriptions at various scales are developed to provide detail and guidance for the design of future projects and activities that

can help achieve desired conditions over time.⁶ Descriptions at the landscape scale (10,000 acres or greater) provide overall desired conditions for terrestrial resources (e.g., ponderosa pine forest type) across large land areas. Mid-scale (100 to 1,000 acres) and fine scale (less than 10 acres) descriptions provide further details necessary for guiding future site-specific projects and activities. These smaller scale descriptions may be used to provide biophysical and vegetative information necessary for invasive species management. As an example, the following set of desired conditions was developed for invasive species across all PNVTs (potential natural vegetation types) in the draft forest plan of the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs:

Desired Conditions for Invasive Species

Landscape Scale Desired Condition (10,000 acres or greater)

- Invasive species are in low abundance or nonexistent.

Mid-Scale Desired Conditions (100 to 1,000 acres)

- Undesirable nonnative species are absent or present only to the extent that they do not adversely affect ecosystem composition, structure, or function, including native species populations or the natural fire regime.
- Introduction of additional invasive species rarely occurs and is detected at an early stage.

Objectives for Invasive Species

- Contain, control, or eradicate invasive species annually (e.g., musk thistle, Dalmatian toadflax) on 500 to 3,500 acres.
- Control or eradicate invasive species annually (e.g., tamarisk, bullfrogs) on at least 2 stream miles.

Standards for Invasive Species

- Projects and authorized activities shall be designed to reduce the potential for introduction of new species or spread of existing invasive or undesirable aquatic or terrestrial nonnative populations.

Guidelines for Invasive Species

- Projects and activities should not transfer water between drainages or between unconnected water bodies within the same drainage to avoid spreading disease and aquatic invasive species.

⁶ The landscape scale (10,000 acres or greater) is typically composed of variable elevations, slopes, aspects, soils, plant associations, and disturbance processes. This “big-picture” scale is formed from an assemblage of mid-scale units (100 to 1,000 acres) that individually make up a homogeneous forest stand or plant community. A combination of 10 mid-scale units or more typically comprises the landscape scale. In turn, mid-scale units are composed of assemblages of fine scale units (less than 10 acres) that provide detailed information on vegetation patterns such as species composition, age, structure, and distribution of plants.

- Project areas should be monitored to ensure there is no introduction or spread of invasive species.
- Treatment of invasive species should be designed to effectively control or eliminate them; multiple treatments may be needed.
- Pesticide use should minimize impacts on nontarget plants and animals.

In contrast to terrestrial resources, desired conditions for aquatic resources are described by using HUC watershed scales to help provide their relative importance or niche. Conditions for large land areas are described under 4th to 5th HUC levels of watershed scale whereas site-specific conditions are described at the 6th HUC level.

In general, treatment projects for invasive plants should be designed at the mid or fine-scale to address objectives for desired conditions adequately. Projects may have generalized objectives such as ensuring that invasive species are absent or are present only to the extent that these species do not adversely affect expected species composition or natural processes such as the normal fire regime. Therefore, projects should ensure that new invasive species are not introduced and that existing populations are eradicated, contained, or controlled. Projects may also be developed to rehabilitate or restore sites previously infested with invasive species through practices such as re-seeding with native species.

Forest planners, silviculturists, vegetation ecologists, and other pertinent specialists should be consulted in setting up invasive species treatment projects in support of desired conditions for a particular landscape or watershed. In some cases, desired conditions may not be known or else established for particular landscapes or watersheds on individual forests due to a lack of science necessary to provide descriptions at multiple scales. Therefore, treatment projects for invasive species may be focused more appropriately on the watershed restoration process described previously rather than on desired conditions.

Wilderness and Other Natural Areas

Due to potentially less disturbance or lack of pathways (roads, utility corridors, etc.) that can contribute to invasive weed spread, natural areas such as wilderness or research natural areas (RNAs) may be less susceptible to invasive weed introductions as compared to other types of public lands. However, invasive weed infestations can still expand exponentially within these areas, especially along waterways, livestock driveways, and trails. As a natural area, a wild and scenic river may particularly be vulnerable to invasive weed spread due to concentrated traffic of humans and watercraft along the river system. Invasive weeds should also be expected to move along road systems or waterways that lead into wilderness, RNAs, or wild and scenic rivers.

Requirements for Managing Natural Areas

Wilderness

Projects for managing invasive species in wilderness should be coordinated between wilderness resource managers and invasive species coordinators. Both prevention and EDRR should be emphasized for invasive species management in wilderness areas due to the need to avoid or limit trammeling (syn., hampering). The term “trammeling” is derived from Section 2(c) of the Wilderness Act of 1964 which describes wilderness as “...an area where the earth and its community of life are untrammelled by man...” Accordingly, management activities for invasive species in wilderness should not unduly disturb the natural and untrammelled qualities of wilderness character, which is based on the particular combination of biophysical, observed, and symbolic qualities that distinguishes a wilderness from all other lands.

Integrated weed management: In developing IWM for wilderness, practices with relatively low impact such as adjustment of livestock grazing rates or use of traditional/primitive tool skills should be employed when possible. Implementation of IWM methods in wilderness generally must conform to ten “prohibited uses” listed in Section 4(c) of the Wilderness Act of 1964:

commercial enterprise	permanent roads
landing of aircraft	motorized equipment
motor vehicles	structures
temporary roads	installations
motorboats	mechanical transport

Because of Section 4(c), IWM for wilderness typically tries to forego mechanized control methods by putting greater emphasis on alternatives such as treatment with biocontrol agents. However, short-term trammeling with mechanized equipment may be necessary to protect or improve wilderness character when prevention or EDRR measures are inadequate to control an invasive species. In some cases, it may be necessary to consider aerial application of herbicide to control invasive weeds.

To allow short-term trammeling in wilderness such as herbicide application or use of mechanized equipment, completion of a Minimum Requirements Decision Guide (MRDG) form will be necessary. MRDGs are primarily used to assess whether management actions in wilderness such as controlling invasive species or performing research is necessary and if so, how they can be implemented with the least impact on wilderness character. The MRDG also determines whether one or more of the “prohibited uses” listed above is necessary to achieve wilderness management objectives. The MRDG often helps develop alternatives that may be considered in the NEPA process and can be done in advance or at the same that NEPA is being prepared. **To facilitate**

EDRR in wilderness, documents required for management activities such as MRDGs, PUPs, and NEPA should be prepared and approved prior to invasion by invasive species. MRDGs and PUPs involving wilderness on NFS lands must be approved by the Regional Forester. MRDG forms and further information are at <http://www.wilderness.net/MRA>.

Wilderness stewardship: To meet the stated goal of the Chief's *Wilderness Challenge* to bring every Forest Service wilderness to a minimum stewardship level by 2014, every Forest Service unit associated with the National Wilderness Preservation System must meet at least 6 of 10 stewardship elements. To meet the stewardship element specific for invasive plants, a wilderness must be successfully treated for resident invasive plant infestations. The expected outcome for accomplishing this element is that identification and removal of invasive plants threatening the natural appearance and biological processes of each wilderness will allow native species to thrive and restore the natural landscape.

In the near future, wilderness stewardship will include standards and requirements for inventory, development of integrated management plans, appropriate treatment, and follow-up monitoring for invasive species. Wilderness personnel, vegetation ecologists, and other pertinent specialists should be consulted in setting up treatment projects in support of restoring wilderness condition.

Research natural areas

The Forest Service's network of RNAs permanently protects examples of natural ecosystems for the purposes of scientific study, education, and also for conserving biological diversity. Included in this network are (1) high quality examples of widespread ecosystems, (2) unique ecosystems or ecological features, and (3) rare or sensitive species of plants and animals and their habitat. Specifically, RNAs may only be used for

1. research and development,
2. study,
3. observation,
4. monitoring, and
5. educational activities that do not modify conditions for which the RNA was established.

The prime consideration in managing RNAs is maintenance of natural conditions and processes which must be protected against human activities that directly or indirectly modify the integrity of ecological processes (FSM 4063.3). Only non-manipulative types of research or monitoring may be used in RNAs. Exotic plants or animals in RNAs must be removed to the extent practicable (FSM 4063.34). If exotic plants or animals have been introduced into an established RNA, the Station Director and the Regional Forester must exercise control measures that are in line with established management principles and standards to eradicate them, as practical (FSM 4063.3).

Only tried and reliable IWM techniques should be used and then applied only where the vegetative type would be lost or degraded without management. The criterion is that management practices must provide a closer approximation of the naturally occurring vegetation and the natural processes governing the vegetation than would be possible without management (FSM 4063.34). The Station Director, with the concurrence of the Forest Supervisor, may authorize management practices that are necessary for invasive weed control or to preserve the vegetation

for which the RNA was created (FSM 4063.3). These practices may include grazing, control of excess animal populations, or prescribed burning. Any pesticide use to control invasive species requires approval by the Regional Forester (see **Pesticide Use for Invasive and Non-Invasive Species**, p. 33).

Wild and scenic rivers

The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968 established the National Wild and Scenic River System. Forest supervisors are responsible for developing boundary descriptions, river classifications, and management plans for designated rivers. Under FSM 2354.31, river management is placed under direction given in the Forest Management Plan. Some wild and scenic rivers are entirely or partially within the National Wilderness System and are managed accordingly. Forest pests found in designated or study wild and scenic rivers must be controlled in a manner compatible with the Act and management objectives of contiguous NFS lands (FSM 2354.421).

Natural Area Management

Prevention

Currently, many natural areas in the Region remain uninfested or are slightly infested by invasive weeds. Therefore, early consideration should be given to possible factors that could contribute to invasive plant establishment such as livestock grazing rates, high-use trail heads, and potential vectors (livestock, wildlife, pets, etc.). Potential weed invaders should be identified and prioritized before infestations occur, and viable management strategies and treatments should be specifically developed. Forests should particularly make concerted efforts to anticipate and manage weeds in remote areas. Managers should ensure that grazing permittees and outfitters are held responsible for weed infestations in allotments and outfitter camps. Also, recreationists need to be made aware of the need to prevent transfer of weed seed into pristine areas by following measures such as use of weed-free hay for horses and removal of seed from clothing and pets.

General prevention measures: Measures that can be taken specifically to prevent introduction of invasive weeds into natural areas include—

- Avoid moving through weed infestations whenever possible.
- Minimize soil disturbance from activities such as hiking, camping, treatment projects, etc.
- For weed awareness and prevention, post signs at trailheads in weed-infested areas that—
 - Advise visitors to inspect animals, clothing, and vehicles for seeds and remove seed when leaving the infested area.
 - Discourage picking of unidentified “wildflowers” and discarding them along trails or roadways.
- For livestock operations in natural areas—
 - Encourage livestock permittees and backcountry pack-and-saddle stock users to feed livestock with weed-free forage for 3-5 days before travel into wilderness areas.

- Encourage use of weed-free forage or bedding for livestock or pack-and-saddle stock.
- Clean out livestock trailers and vehicles before reaching trailheads.
- Encourage inspection and brushing of horses, mules, llamas, and herding dogs before entering natural areas.
- While working in natural areas—
 - Avoid wearing pants with cuffs where seed may collect.
 - Remove all seeds and debris from equipment, clothes, boots, and personal protective equipment (PPE) before entering or exiting.
 - Always cover loads or else bag plant parts before transporting invasive plants that have developed seeds.
 - Regularly inspect areas used by visitors and lessees and those areas where offsite materials (straw, hay, etc.) have been used.

Detection and surveillance

The presence of invasive weeds and other invasive species in natural areas should be detected through regularly conducted efforts involving surveillance, searching, and/or survey. A formal, written strategy should be developed for natural areas that outlines a system for detection and surveillance of invasive species. The strategy should ensure that early detection of invasive species is incorporated with regular work duties of pertinent personnel such as wilderness personnel, range management specialists, hydrologists, or other personnel as applicable. Early detection of invasive species in wilderness may be enhanced by setting up a network that relies jointly on wilderness personnel, wilderness partners, and an educated public. Consideration should also be given to the addition of a requirement for detection and surveillance of invasive plants in permits that concern outfitter guides and range allotments.

Inventory and monitoring

Upon detection, invasive species infestations within natural areas must be entered into the FACTS database through the TESP-IS application. Once invasive species are detected in natural areas, an inventory should be conducted whereby information is collected on the invasive species population or infestation according to procedures outlined in the **Data Management for Invasive Species** section (p. 39). In addition, treatment data and treatment locations must also be entered into FACTS through the TESP-IS application.

For invasive weed infestations in wilderness, inventory information that can be entered into FACTS with the TESP-IS application may be obtained from an invasive plant survey form, which is used by wilderness strike teams in Region 3 to report occurrences of invasive plants in wilderness areas. Inventory and monitoring data shown on these forms for invasive plants should be used by invasive species coordinators and pesticide applicators for project planning and for locating populations of invasive plants for treatment. Invasive plant survey forms for wilderness and further information may be obtained by contacting the Regional Wilderness Program Coordinator in Albuquerque at (505) 842-3233.

Fire management

Fire-related BMPs (p. 19) along with BAER requirements such as use of weed-free seed should be followed for fires in natural areas. BAER funds may be used for detection surveys of invasive species in natural areas and, if warranted, rapid response actions within the first year following fire containment. An MRDG is necessary for BAER treatment projects in wilderness that are used to treat highly erosive soils, heritage sites, and potential flooding of communities and tribal lands. BAER teams and managers of natural areas should incorporate re-establishment of native or desired non-native species in burned wilderness areas into post-fire planning. In preparation for fire incidents in a wilderness or RNA, a seed bank operated by a facility that can store seed of native or rare species for post-fire planting should be considered.

Pesticide use

All PUPs addressing pesticide use in candidate or designated wilderness and RNAs must be approved by the Regional Forester rather than approved at the forest level (FSM 2151.04a and 2323.04c). PUPs submitted by the Forest Supervisor to the Regional Forester for approval of pesticide use in wilderness should be accompanied by an MRDG. Both the wilderness and pesticide coordinators at the Regional Office should be contacted prior to submission of the PUP and MRDG package.

Animal-mounted spray operations: For herbicide spray operations in natural areas or other types of roadless areas, sprayers mounted on horses or llamas may be used in lieu of backpack sprayers that have to be transported over long distances. Mules may serve better as water carriers rather than using them for spraying (M. Tucker, Rangeland Manager, San Juan NF – personal comm.). Animal-mounted spray operations employing either CO₂ or battery-powered sprayers can be used in compliance with the ten “prohibited” uses required by the Wilderness Act since such operations do not involve motor vehicles or mechanized equipment. Animal-mounted sprayers can be operated by a person on foot or by spraying from a saddle horse while leading the pack animal. Operators of animal-mounted sprayers must have a good working knowledge of horsemanship and packing. Useful contacts for animal-mounted spray operations may be found in the **Appendix** of this document.

Herbicide considerations: So-called “new chemistry” herbicides such as aminocyclopyrachlor (e.g., Perspective®) or aminopyralid (e.g., Milestone®) may be better for spray operations in natural areas rather than older herbicide products since these newer herbicides typically require less product to be applied on a per acre basis and can be more efficient to transport. For example, weeds can be controlled with aminopyralid at rates from 4-7 fluid ounces per acre as compared to 48-64 fluid ounces per acre required by some 2,4-D products.

Public notification: The public should be kept informed about spray operations in designated natural areas. Notification of spray operations in natural areas should be made in local papers and at each trailhead. A project overseer may need to be present during spraying to talk to passersby. Receptionists at the local field office and possibly at the forest supervisor’s office should have copies of the spray schedule and project map so the public can be kept informed.

Weed Management Planning

A specific, detailed weed management plan should be developed to address invasive species in natural areas such as wilderness and wild and scenic rivers. The plan should incorporate IWM

techniques and entail detailed planning in accordance with the **Weed Management Plans** subsection above (p. 53). In cases where invasive weed infestations in natural areas are too extensive to eradicate or contain, a control strategy with an adaptive management approach should be used. A basic approach that may be employed in wilderness to manage invasive plants is shown in the outline below:

Goal

Reduce sources for invasive plant spread into a wilderness area.

Strategy

Procedures:

1. Inventory and prioritize populations of invasive plant species of highest concern in wilderness and surrounding areas.
2. Identify pathways and sources of invasive plant spread such as trails, livestock driveways, waterways, campsites, and other potential weed infested areas that can be treated to minimize transport of seed or propagules into non-infested wilderness. This includes (a) canyons that serve as primary trail accesses to the wilderness and (b) upstream areas that contribute to streams or rivers running through the wilderness.
3. Develop a weed management plan for managing priority invasive weeds in wilderness area. The plan should include a formal, written strategy that outlines a system for consistent detection and surveillance of invasive species.
4. Implement control methods and monitoring as necessary for treatment of targeted sites including eradication of relatively small, isolated patches of invasive plants when feasible.
5. Evaluate treatment results and make necessary adjustments in accordance with the adaptive management process.

Volunteerism for Natural Areas

As a means of limiting invasive weed establishment in wilderness or wild and scenic rivers, users of natural areas (hikers, outfitters, rafters, etc.) as well as nearby private landowners should be encouraged to volunteer in detecting invasive weeds and performing IWM activities. In some cases, partnering coalitions may be established (e.g., adoption of a specific watershed or river stretch by non-governmental organizations (NGOs) for detection and control of invasive plants). Volunteerism may be encouraged by actions such as—

- Performing outreach to individuals and organizations potentially interested in volunteering.
- Providing volunteers with training in identification and control of invasive weeds.
- Identifying and contributing to resources needed for the volunteer program.
- Recognizing efforts of volunteers through awards and other means of recognition.

Coordination of Invasive Species Management

Invasive Species Issue Teams

A national invasive species issue team (NISIT) and respective Regional invasive species issue teams (RISITs) are used in the Forest Service to coordinate management activities and research needs across deputy areas at the Region, Station, and Area levels. RISITs are multidisciplinary and are responsible for implementing the national strategy for invasive species management within the Region or Area. In addition to the RISIT, forest-level invasive species issue teams (FISITs) may also be set up to coordinate various staffs and programs across a particular forest.

In accordance with the *Forest Service National Strategic Framework for Invasive Species Management*, regional and station offices are expected to provide the Washington Office with an up-to-date report every five years on their progress in implementing an Invasive Species Systems Approach (ISSA) for invasive species management. The RISIT for Region 3 will be expected to provide an accomplishments report for invasive species management across respective units. This includes prioritizing species and verifying accuracy of invasive species accomplishments. An updated version of Region 3's invasive species report entitled *Review and Assessment of Programs for Invasive Species Management in the Southwestern Region, 2012* (<http://www.fs.usda.gov/main/r3/forest-grasslandhealth/invasivespecies>) may serve as part of the accomplishments report.

Coordination with Other Forest Service Branches

When practicable, the USFS branches of Research & Development and S&PF along with other programs or agencies may be enlisted in employing applied research to develop technology and techniques for reduction of invasive species impacts to NFS lands (FSM 2903). This includes identification of priority/high-risk invasive species that threaten aquatic and terrestrial areas on NFS lands. The Rocky Mountain Research Station (505-842-3671) in Albuquerque, NM or the Regional Invasive Species/Pesticide Coordinator (505-842-3280) may be contacted for further information.

Cooperation with Departments of Transportation, County Road Departments, and Utilities

Forests should coordinate with State departments of transportation (DOTs), County road maintenance departments, and utilities on management of vegetation in highway/road ROWs and utility corridors traveling through NFS lands to limit the spread of noxious weeds and nonnative invasive plants. Management activities for all parties must be in compliance with an appropriate NEPA document. In addition, a PUP must be submitted by the DOT, road department, or utility to the applicable forest unit(s) where the pesticide will be applied.

Cooperative road agreements: In some cases, it may be feasible to work with State, County, or local public road authorities to control invasive plants in ROWs that are covered under a

cooperative agreement. Under FSH 1509.11, CHAPTER 31.2 – COOPERATIVE FOREST ROAD AGREEMENTS, the Forest Service is allowed to work cooperatively with State, County, or local public road authorities for the cooperative planning, survey, design, construction, reconstruction, improvement, and maintenance of certain “Forest Roads.” The Forest Service may require, but not in conflict with an existing permit, easement, contract, or other agreement, the user or users of a road, to maintain the roads in a satisfactory condition commensurate with the particular use requirements of each road. Forest road agreements must contain a complete list of cooperative roads under “Schedule A” which determines which roads are under jurisdiction of the cooperator and which roads are under jurisdiction of the Forest Service. The Forest Service may reimburse the cooperator for up to 100 percent of their costs (both for in-house costs and/or contract costs) to perform the Forest Service’s share of the work on roads identified under Schedule A. Forest road agreements may be used as a means to pay the cooperator to perform work that is the Forest Service’s responsibility. [Contact: forest road managers, grants & agreements personnel]

State highway MOUs: In Arizona, an MOU has been set up between ADOT, Federal Highways Administration (FHWA), and the Forest Service that allows management of invasive plants and hazardous vegetation along highways managed by ADOT on NFS lands. The MOU has a provision for an annual maintenance plan that contains specific information on weed control measures for ADOT or FHWA-managed ROWs and construction projects. In Arizona, treatments conducted by ADOT for invasive weeds in ROWs on NFS lands can be reported in FACTS as part of the overall treated acreage accomplishment for a forest. A similar arrangement is currently being set up between the Forest Service and NMDOT in New Mexico.

Utility corridor management: For specific information on recommended vegetation management procedures for power line authorizations, the Forest Service’s *National Desk Guide to Preparing Vegetation Management Procedures for Power Line Authorizations* (Dec. 20, 2013) should be consulted. The desk guide is intended to provide (1) utility holders of a special use authorization for a power line with a set of comprehensive vegetation management procedures for utility corridors and (2) consistent guidance to Forest Service staff in reviewing and approving these vegetation management procedures. [Contact: forest lands and minerals personnel]

Cooperative Weed Management

Policy direction given in FSM 2903 for management of invasive species across the National Forest System includes the following provisions:

As appropriate, collaborate and coordinate with adjacent landowners and other stakeholders to improve invasive species management effectiveness across the landscape. Encourage cooperative partnerships to address invasive species threats within a broad geographical area.

In view of Forest Service policy and the Service’s *National Strategic Framework for Invasive Species Management*, program coordinators for invasive plants and/or pesticides in Region 3 should promote and support cooperative efforts with Cooperative Weed Management Areas (CWMAs) when feasible. CWMAs (or similar entities) are local organizations consisting of land managers, land owners, and other interested parties whose purpose is to facilitate cooperation in managing common weed problems across jurisdictional boundaries within the defined area of the CWMA (see figures 2 and 3 below).




Figure 2. Cooperative Weed Management Areas in Arizona




Figure 3. Cooperative Weed Management Areas in New Mexico

Major CWMA advantages include

1. encouraging cooperators to plan for effective weed management across ownership boundaries and to prioritize management efforts;
2. enhancing participation in weed management activities of mapping, planning, monitoring, and treatment of weeds within the CWMA;
3. pooling of talent and resources for weed management; and
4. providing channels of communications between cooperators.

Due to their large land base, forests should be key participants in applicable CWMAs. CWMAs are typically co-located with local Soil and Water Conservation Districts which may be used as a contact. In some cases, CWMAs may be able to help forests develop their own weed management plans. Existing CWMA plans may have already identified priority weed species of local concern and have developed maps of priority areas for treatment. CWMAs may also provide (1) training for local landowners, (2) weed identification publications, and (3) treatment guidance that is specific to local areas. Instructions for setting up CWMAs may be found in the *CWMA Cookbook* (<http://www.fs.fed.us/invasivespecies/documents/cookbook.pdf>). An example of cooperative weed management can be found in *Idaho's Strategic Plan for Managing Noxious Weeds* (<http://www.agri.idaho.gov/Categories/PlantsInsects/NoxiousWeeds/Documents/general/stratplan.pdf>).

Guiding principles for participation in Cooperative Weed Management Areas

Region 3 principles for CWMA participation are—

1. Forest Service personnel involved with invasive plant management are encouraged to participate in local CWMAs and help initiate CWMAs when applicable.
2. Cooperation with CWMAs by Forest Service participants should be based on a planned process for weed management that employs integrated weed management principles.
3. CWMA activities should be focused on invasive plants that have the greatest potential for impacting resources within the CWMA including NFS lands.
4. Management of invasive plants on NFS lands should be coordinated with CWMA activities whenever possible.
5. Agreements such as cooperative agreements, participating agreements (including Wyden Amendment-based agreements), challenge cost-share agreements, and MOUs should be used between the Forest Service and CWMAs when practical.

Regional cooperation with Cooperative Weed Management Areas

Major objectives for supporting CWMAs in Region 3 include (1) building CWMA capacity, (2) providing technical support, and (3) managing information. Forest Service cooperation with CWMAs in Region 3 should facilitate these objectives. The following actions may be used to help achieve the three objectives.

1. **Building CWMA capacity:** Actions include—

- a. Conduct outreach efforts to involve as many stakeholders within the CWMA as possible.
- b. Hold regular meetings to develop and coordinate CWMA activities.
- c. Develop a weed management plan and a timeline for the CWMA to achieve management objectives for targeted invasive weed species across the CWMA.
- d. Develop and maintain a list of funding sources for invasive plant control. (See **Resources for CWMA development** in the following subsection)
- e. Seek funding through grants or cost-share agreements for projects that target a select group of critical invasive plant species.
- f. Provide information to public on CWMA activities either informally through networking or formally through presentations or posters.
- g. Develop “success stories” based upon the successful completion of funded projects and share broadly within the State.
- h. Participate in State and national-level organizations for invasive plants/species such as advisory committees, planning committees, exotic plant councils, etc.

2. **Providing technical support:** Actions include—

- a. Develop a priority list for targeted invasive species and revise as needed.
- b. Provide services for local weed control or by contracting with commercial applicators.
- c. Develop EDRR procedures to detect and eradicate newly established invasive plants.
- d. Provide training on invasive plant identification and methods for surveying and treatment.
- e. Conduct site visits to assess local projects and make recommendations for improvement.
- f. Promote pilot projects to develop new strategies and technology for control.
- g. Transfer new invasive plant management technology to land managers through websites, presentations, posters, articles, publications, training, etc.
- h. Develop or support the development of user-friendly taxonomic keys to aid in field identification of important invasive plant species.
- i. Identify “experts” who are able to classify important invasive plant species.
- j. Support the development and distribution of high quality digital images of important invasive plants species and “look-alike” species.

- k. Support local projects for biological control from their initiation through the release and monitoring phases.
 - l. Assess the status of current biological control research and evaluate existing programs for potential partnerships.
3. **Managing information:** Actions include—
- a. Develop an efficient, user-friendly methodology for inputting field data for purposes of mapping and surveying.
 - b. Assure that accurate plant distribution data is available and facilitate a process for easily updating information on invasive plant populations.
 - c. Identify and evaluate existing invasive plant mapping databases to determine which ones best serve the needs of invasive plant managers.
 - d. Support risk mapping of targeted invasive plants.

Resources for Cooperative Management and Partnership

State & Private Forestry grant program for invasive plants: The Forest Service provides funding through its S&PF branch for cooperative invasive plant management on State and private lands. Funds provided by S&PF's Forest Health Protection program as a grant to individual State Forester offices can be used specifically by weed management organizations to control invasive weeds in forest and woodland areas of the State. A major goal of the program is to promote Forest Service partnership with non-Federal cooperators such as CWMAs in projects on non-Federal lands that will complement invasive weed management on NFS lands. Priority for funding is therefore given to projects that will treat invasive plants on non-Federal lands of State, County, municipal, or private entities that can affect national forests or grasslands. The Federal cost-share for a treatment project cannot exceed 50 percent of the total project cost. Additional program information may be found at <http://www.fs.fed.us/foresthealth/management/fhm-invasives-plants.shtml>. [Contact: State Forester offices of individual States]

Federal agency agreements: Agreements may be made between CWMAs and Federal agencies (Forest Service, BLM, etc.) to manage invasive plants. This includes Cooperative Agreements, Participating Agreements, Challenge Cost-share Agreements, and Memoranda of Understanding.

1. **Cooperative agreements** – A Cooperative Agreement (CA) is a form of Federal financial assistance (similar to a grant) where the Federal agency is substantially involved in the project (e.g., Forest Service collaboration, participation, or intervention). To implement a CA (or a grant), there must be a specific statute that authorizes Federal financial assistance along with providing appropriate funding. For some types of CAs, a particular funding authority may require a non-Federal matching contribution. [Contact: forest grants and agreement specialists]
2. **Participating agreements** – Participating agreements (PAs) with non-Federal parties may be used by the Forest Service in cooperative, mutually beneficial projects that involve national forests. PAs associated with the Cooperative Funds and Deposits Act of 1975 may be used specifically for forestry protection (including weed control) and for

developing publications associated with cooperative environmental education. Wyden Amendment-based PAs may be used when the Forest Service enters into cooperatively performed work on private or public land that benefits natural or cultural resources on national forest lands within the watershed. PAs created through the Secure Rural School and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000 allow the Forest Service to enter into contracts, grants, cooperative agreements, and partnership agreements with individuals and non-profit organizations for projects that have been recommended by a Resource Advisory Committee (RAC) and approved by the Forest Service. It encourages the use of local residents to accomplish the work. Through a PA, both parties are expected to contribute towards costs of the project on a 50:50 basis; however, the cooperator's contribution may not be less than 20 percent. [Contact: forest grants & agreement specialists]

3. **Challenge cost-share agreements** – Challenge cost-share agreements (CSs) are used when the Forest Service cooperatively develops, plans, and implements projects with other parties that are mutually beneficial and can enhance Forest Service activities. CSs may be based on Interior Committee appropriations or on the Secure Rural School and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000 which allows the Forest Service and cooperators to enter into projects that have been recommended by the Resource Advisory Committee (RAC). Cooperator contributions to a CS are generally a 50:50 match which may be satisfied by providing cash, real or personal property, services, and/or in-kind contributions such as volunteer labor. As a general rule, if the cooperator's only contribution is indirect costs, then the contribution is extremely weak and will not qualify for a CS. [Contact: forest grants & agreement specialists]
4. **Memoranda of Understanding** – A Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) is ordinarily used to establish a framework or a better relationship but does not have special legislative authority. It is simply a "gentleman's handshake" agreement with no promises or obligations by either party. Therefore, MOUs do not commit the Forest Service or other agencies to provide resources, funding, property, technical services, or anything of value now or in the future. As an example, MOUs have been set up between the Forest Service, Federal Highway Administration, and various State departments of transportation to facilitate invasive species management in ROWs on NFS lands in conjunction with requisite NEPA documents. [Contact: forest program managers and grants & agreement specialists]

BLM's grant program for noxious and invasive weeds: The goal of the BLM grant program is to establish integrated weed management within weed management areas of a State. The program allows CWMAs to inventory, manage, prevent and eradicate plants designated as noxious or invasive. It also funds CWMA efforts to educate the public as to the existence of noxious and invasive weeds and plants. [Contact: grant managers in State offices of BLM]

County funding: Some counties in Region 3 may provide funding to CWMAs for the purposes of weed control and information/education on weeds. [Contact: local County offices]

Forest Service Catalog for Partnership and Collaboration Training Resources: This catalog can help identify appropriate training to overcome hurdles to collaboration and partnership activities. The catalog may be found at the Forest Service website: <http://www.fs.usda.gov/detail/prc/tools-techniques/collaboration/?cid=STELPRDB5408799>.

National Fish & Wildlife Foundation grants for the Pulling Together Initiative: The *Pulling Together Initiative* seeks proposals that will help control invasive plant species primarily through public/private partnerships such as Cooperative Weed Management Areas. Applications must have a 1:1 non-Federal match in the grant request. [Contact: National Fish & Wildlife Foundation, website: <http://www.nfwf.org/whatwedo/grants/applicants/Pages/home.aspx>]

Soil and Water Conservation Commission grant programs: Soil and Water Conservation Commissions (SWCCs) in individual States annually fund special projects relating to water quality and conservation. These funds are awarded by the SWCC through the Water Quality and Conservation Grant Program. [Contact: executive directors of SWCCs, website <http://www.nacdnet.org/>]

Soil and Water Conservation District funding: Many Soil and Water Conservation Districts (SWCDs) contribute funding and/or staff hours to CWMA efforts. As subdivisions of State governments, SWCDs receive limited State funding but have the ability to implement mill levy taxes through local referenda. [Contact: local Soil and Water Conservation District offices]

USDA grant programs: USDA publishes an annual workbook entitled *U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Grant and Partnership Programs that Can Address Invasive Species Research, Technical Assistance, Prevention and Control* that has information on USDA programs that may be used to fund and support invasive species-related projects. The workbook lists USDA programs that provide funding or technical expertise such as programs offered by the Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS), which includes the Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) and the Plant Materials Program. In addition to providing funding for CWMAs, funds may also be available for invasive species projects on NFS lands. An electronic copy of the workbook may be obtained from the National Invasive Species Information Center website (<http://www.invasivespeciesinfo.gov/toolkit/grants.shtml>) or by contacting the Regional Invasive Species/Pesticide Coordinator.

WorkPlan and Accomplishments Reporting

Coordinators for invasive species or pesticide use at the forest or district level should be involved in all phases of the budget process either by entering budget information directly into WorkPlan or else by being able to provide input on needed program funding to the relevant budget coordinator. This includes (1) developing projects that qualify for program funding, (2) reporting accomplishments into FACTS by using TESP-IS, and (3) setting up grants and working with contractors for invasive species management. Once all projected accomplishments for invasive species have been planned in WorkPlan, the actual field accomplishments should be reported by the coordinator into FACTS via the TESP-IS application. Performance measures, guidance, and definitions for the NFS Invasive Species Management Program are available at: <http://fsweb.wo.fs.fed.us/invasivespecies/budget/index.shtml> [internal USFS website].

Integrated Resource Restoration Pilot

Regions 1, 3, and 4 are currently participating in the Integrated Resource Restoration (IRR) pilot to test the advantages of merging multiple budget line items into just one budget line item (BLI) which has been designated as NFRR (National Forest Resource Restoration). Management with the NFRR BLI is accomplished by merging former BLIs that separately addressed

1. forest products,
2. vegetation and watershed management,
3. fish and wildlife habitat management,
4. non-WUI hazardous fuels,
5. post-fire restoration and rehabilitation (other than BAER), and
6. legacy roads and trails (including road decommissioning).

All program work previously covered by these former BLIs is now funded through the NFRR BLI, which is intended to help facilitate the accomplishments of landscape restoration programs. The single BLI approach to integrated management allows greater flexibility for restoration and resiliency enhancement of a particular landscape rather than being constrained by using a number of single-purpose BLIs that separately address various ecosystem functions.

WorkPlan

Project planning in WorkPlan

At a minimum, coordinators for invasive species or pesticide use should use WorkPlan to plan for basic activities to be accomplished by their program in the upcoming fiscal year including prevention, EDRR, detection, inventory, treatment, and monitoring. Specialists in other programs should be consulted as necessary to plan joint projects involving invasive species. Planned projects should support restoration projects outlined in the forest's five-year restoration plan as well as forest goals in watershed restoration or desired conditions as described above in the

Restoration Planning for Invasive Species subsection (p. 59). Wilderness stewardship should also be supported when feasible (see **Wilderness stewardship**, p. 66). Cooperative work to be done with other agencies, organizations, or individuals such as CWMAs, DOTs, and wilderness volunteers should also be entered into WorkPlan.

Targets to be accomplished by the relevant unit should be mutually agreed upon when allocating resources; however, it is up to the Forest Supervisor as advised by his/her staff to determine annual priorities and targets for the forest. When available, additional funding may be allocated to a forest in order to meet Regional priorities and needs. Forests should provide adequate baseline funding through WorkPlan to perform EDRR for all invasive species taxa (including Class A weed species). Supplemental funding should be requested and entered into WorkPlan if EDRR funding is inadequate. Forests with Class E infestations should allocate sufficient funding in WorkPlan to conduct necessary treatment projects on a scale sufficient to protect landscape resources. WorkPlan should also include any planned treatment projects for Class B species (and possibly Class C species in some cases) that are designed to meet management objectives such as reducing existing infestations, stopping any further spread, or protecting localized resources.

Work activity codes for invasive species management

WorkPlan guidance for NFS invasive species management may be found at the website: <http://fsweb.wo.fs.fed.us/invasivespecies/budget/index.shtml> [internal USFS website]. When planning invasive species management projects in WorkPlan, forests are required to utilize the following three work activity codes for the invasive species program as dictated by the type and scope of individual projects:⁷

1. **ISC – Invasive Species Control (Treatments and Treatment Monitoring).** Invasive species control activities include any direct treatment or action to eliminate, reduce, contain, or remove a population (or infestation) of aquatic or terrestrial invasive species. The work activity code includes all invasive species treatments or actions (pesticide applications, biocontrol activities, trapping, mowing, grazing, burning, etc.) and all treatment monitoring efforts (including treatment efficacy monitoring activities). Separate projects should be planned under the ISC code according to whether a proposed treatment project will (1) eradicate new or small infestations or (2) involve long-term operations to control large infestations with multiple treatments. Accomplishment measures linked to the ISC code are measured in acres.
2. **ISD – Invasive Species Detection (Surveys, Inventories, and Mapping).** Invasive species detection activities include any project or action to search, survey, detect, inventory, or map any invasive species in aquatic or terrestrial areas of the National Forest System. This work also includes early detection activities where the detection activity is typically conducted with the expectation that small infestations will be rapidly eradicated or contained to prevent their establishment or spread (see “ISC” definition above). Projects to survey, inventory and/or map any invasive species infestation, should be coded in WorkPlan as “ISD”. For larger populations detected and quantified, it may be

⁷ These work activity codes correspond to the USDA Invasive Species Program Budget Crosscut categories and will be used to compile annual NFS expenditure and accomplishment information for required reporting to USDA, the National Invasive Species Council, and the Invasive Species Advisory Committee.

necessary to plan for long-term control operations as a separate project. At this time, there is no NFS accomplishment measure associated with this new work activity code.

3. **ISP – Invasive Species Prevention (All Prevention Activities).** Invasive species prevention activities include a wide variety of pre-emptive actions and activities that focus on vectors and invasion pathways as a means of reducing or eliminating the risk of invasive species establishment. Activities under this code include programs for education and awareness as well as preventative or exclusionary activities such as decontamination of equipment/vehicles, boat inspections, or developing a barrier to prevent spread of an aquatic invasive species. In addition, prevention activities include any cleaning, scraping, sanitizing, or any other physical removal of invasive species attached to equipment, structures, animals, people, or vehicles. At this time, there currently is no NFS accomplishment measure associated with prevention activities.

Appropriate linkages to a wide variety of budget line items have been made for each of these work activity codes, as well as to the program accomplishment measures (performance measures) where necessary. Overall performance measures (outputs and outcome) for the national NFS invasive species program will correspond to the respective work activity code for each of the core budget line item funding sources, including those under NFRR.

Core, integrated, and partnership accomplishments

Project planning information such as planned expenditures and accomplishments must be entered into WorkPlan. Accomplishments in WorkPlan are categorized as either core accomplishments, integrated accomplishments, or partner accomplishments as discussed below:

1. **Core accomplishments** – A core accomplishment is achieved through direct expenditure of Forest Service funds. Core accomplishments are typically those measures that are associated with the same resource as the specified budget line item (BLI) or associated with a particular performance measure. For example, the acreage resulting from treatment of invasive aquatic invertebrates by using NFRR funding is a core accomplishment for the INVSPE-AQUA-FED-AC accomplishment measure.
2. **Integrated accomplishments** – Integrated accomplishments are those that are achieved by using funds from one or more BLIs that are unassociated with the resource program tied to that particular accomplishment measure. For example, the acreage resulting from treatment of WUI hazardous fuels by using partial NFRR funding is an integrated accomplishment. The results of integrated projects are intended to achieve multiple goals and objectives. However, work activity codes for invasive species in WorkPlan are not available for integrated budget line items.
3. **Partnership accomplishments** – A partnership accomplishment is achieved through application and expenditure of dollars or in-kind or volunteer contributions by partners and cooperators. Partnership accomplishments are typically tied specifically to collected partnership funds (CWFS, GBGB, GDGD, etc.), reimbursable agreements (FREX, NFEX, CMEX, etc.), or volunteer agreements. Region 3 partnerships include the three projects associated with the Collaborative Forest Landscape Restoration Program (CFLRP): (1) the Four Forest Restoration Initiative on the Kaibab, Coconino, Tonto, and Apache-Sitgreaves NFs, (2) the Zuni Mountains project on the Cibola NF, and (3) the Southwest Jemez Mountains project on the Santa Fe NF.

Planned accomplishments are categorized as Core, Integrated, or Partner in WorkPlan regardless of the system (database) of record for the accomplishment code. The sum of all accomplishments (**core + integrated + partnership**) equals the unified accomplishment and will count toward the *Unified Target* assigned by the Region. FACTS is the database of record used for projects listed under the ISC and ISP activity codes. The system of record for ISD activities is the TESP-IS application. However, projects for detecting invasive species are not categorized as either a core or integrated accomplishment at this time.

All accomplishment codes that are linked to a specific BLI/work activity combination are considered to be “Core” accomplishments and are available to assign to the project once the work activity is selected. The user can either choose to add the core accomplishment(s) to the project or not. Core accomplishments added to the project will be displayed under the heading “Core” on the project general screen. Accomplishment codes that are not linked to the specific BLI/work activity combination on the project are considered as “Integrated” accomplishments. Any other accomplishment code can be added to the project by selecting the “Add Integrated” link. Integrated accomplishments added to the project will be displayed under the heading “Integrated” on the project general screen. When new projects are created, accomplishment codes are no longer automatically added to a project. Note that projects (survey, inventory, and mapping) coded with the “ISD” work activity code in WorkPlan are not be categorized as either a core or integrated accomplishment at this time.

Priority acres: Priority acres are used in some accomplishment codes to determine performance measures and are derived primarily from program or project plans at the district or forest level. Plans such as weed management plans can be used to identify priority areas on which to focus integrated management actions to directly prevent, control, or eradicate a priority/high-risk aquatic or terrestrial invasive species. Priority areas identified for invasive species treatments may include any specifically-delineated project area. Examples include—but are not limited to—fuels treatment areas, developed recreation areas, transportation corridors, wilderness areas, research natural areas, utility ROWs, etc. The size of the priority area treated is typically measured in acres. For linear features (such as a stream/river, trail, roadway, power-line, ditch, etc.), the area size can be calculated from the length and average width. In some cases, a smaller portion of a delineated project area infested by invasive species may be prioritized for treatment over the larger infestation.

Accomplishments Reporting for Invasive Species

Performance measure reporting

As summarized in table 6 below, all projected core and integrated accomplishments should be planned in WorkPlan and reported as actual accomplishments in the appropriate system of record by the October 31 deadline. The appropriate accomplishment code(s) should be used according to the planned project.

Table 6. Reportable items

Accomplishment Code *	Description	Core BLIs **	Integrated BLIs **	Partnership BLIs **	Unit of Measure	Database of Record	Measure Category
INVPLT-NXWD-FED-AC	Highest priority acres treated annually for noxious weeds and invasive plants on National Forest System lands	NFRR	<i>various:</i> CFLN CWKV NFN3 RIRI SSCC WFW3 etc.	<i>various:</i> CWFS NFXN etc.	acres	FACTS	Vegetation and Watershed Management
INVSPE-TERR-FED-AC	Highest priority acres treated annually for invasive terrestrial and aquatic species on National Forest System lands	NFRR	<i>various:</i> CFLN CWKV NFN3 RIRI SSCC WFW3 etc.	<i>various:</i> CWFS NFXN etc.	acres	FACTS	Wildlife, Fish & TES Habitat Management
SP-INVSPE-FED-AC	Number of acres treated annually for invasive species on Federal lands	SPFH			acres	FACTS and FAD***	SPF-Forest Health Protection

* To receive an outcome-based accomplishment for treating invasive species, input into FACTS must document that a minimum of 50 percent of acres treated were monitored for treatment effectiveness. The date of evaluation **MUST** be entered later than the completion date of the accomplishment; otherwise, the performance data will not be credited.

** BLI codes:

CFLN—COOPERATIVE FOREST LANDSCAPE
 CWFS—COOPERATIVE WORK TRUST FUND
 CWKV—COOPERATIVE WORK, KV
 NFN3—REHAB AND RESTORATION
 NFRR—RESOURCE RESTORATION
 NFXN—NON-FEDERAL REIMBURSABLE AGREEMENTS
 RIRI—RESTORATION OF IMPROVMENTS - FOREST LANDS
 SPFH—FOREST HEALTH MANAGEMENT - FEDERAL LANDS
 SSCC—STEWARDSHIP CONTRACTING
 WFW3—WILDLANDS REHAB AND RESTORATION

*** In addition to input recorded in FACTS, data is also recorded in FAD (Forest Accomplishments Database) by S&PF staff as a core accomplishment.

Performance measures for Integrated Resource Restoration

The IRR pilot has five performance measures with targets to be met through various outputs. One of these performance measures is **Number of acres treated annually to sustain or restore watershed function and resilience** (code WTRSHD-RSTR-ANN), which is an output-based accomplishment. To achieve this accomplishment, the performance measure INVPLT-NXWD-

FED-AC is used in combination with a number of other performance measures as demonstrated in table 7.

Table 7. Integrated Resource Restoration performance measures

Performance Measure	Database of Record
TMBR-SALES-TRT-AC	FACTS
FOR-VEG-IMP	FACTS
FOR-VEG-EST	FACTS
RG-VEG-IMP	FACTS
S&W-RSRC-IMP	WorkPlan
INVPLT-NXWD-FED-AC	FACTS
HBT-ENH-TERR	WFRP
HBT-ENH-LAK	WFRP
FP-FUELS-NON-WUI	FACTS

In addition to reporting accomplishments for activities and projects associated with invasive species management, coordinators for invasive species management in Region 3 should be prepared to report accomplishments for activities related to WTRSHD-RSTR-ANN. Although overall forest targets are eventually associated with WTRSHD-RSTR-ANN, these targets are not initially assigned to individual projects in WorkPlan since it is ultimately up to the Forest Supervisor as to what specific work should be accomplished and will be given a target. Therefore, individual projects are put into WorkPlan as planned accomplishments rather than under WTRSHD-RSTR-ANN.

National performance reporting

Annual performance information for the NFS Invasive Species program is compiled from data maintained in the NRM-FACTS database. Treatment activities and efficacy records generated for invasive species by Forest Service field units and reported in FACTS are used to create annual performance reports (including program outputs and outcome) for the program. However, there are no associated national performance measures for pre-treatment survey and inventory accomplished by local field units since such work is not reported at the national level. Guidance, definitions, and performance measures for the NFS Invasive Species program are available at <http://fswb.wo.fs.fed.us/invasivespecies/budget/index.shtml> [internal USFS website].

Program performance measures: The primary **output** performance measures for invasive species treatments on NFS lands and waters as well as areas treated under the Wyden Amendment are—

INVPLT-NXWD-FED-AC = Number of priority acres treated annually for invasive plants and noxious weeds on National Forest System lands

INVSPE-TERR-FED-AC = Number of priority acres treated annually for (non-plant) invasive terrestrial and aquatic species on National Forest System lands

The two performance measures are compiled across all invasive taxa for the number of treated acres completed annually on NFS lands and waters into the following output measure (**Output #1**):

INVPLT-INVSpe-COMP-FED = Number of acres of priority treatments completed annually against invasive plants (and noxious weeds) and other invasive terrestrial and aquatic species on National Forest System lands

National business rules and standards require that at least 50 percent of the total acres treated during the year must be monitored for treatment efficacy. This applies to all treatment activities conducted on NFS lands and waters, and on other areas treated under the Wyden Amendment. When this minimum level of monitoring is met, efficacy data is averaged and extrapolated across all treatments and applied towards the restoration performance calculations for the reporting year. The program performance output measure (**Output #2**) used to determine number of priority acres monitored across all taxa for treatment efficacy is—

INVPLT-INVSpe-MON-FED = Number of priority treated acres annually monitored for treatment efficacy on National Forest System lands - All Aquatic and Terrestrial Invasive Species Taxa (plants, vertebrates, invertebrates, pathogens, fungi, and algae)

The number of priority acres successfully restored on NFS lands is based on accomplishing planned objectives of Forest Service field units for the prevention, eradication, or control of targeted species on priority acres. The NFS program accomplishment measure for restoration across all taxa is defined as—

INVPLT-INVSpe-REST-FED = Number of priority treated acres successfully restored and/or protected against invasive plants and noxious weeds, and aquatic and terrestrial invasive species on National Forest System lands.

National performance for the NFS invasive species program is summarized across all taxa for the reporting year and is calculated by using output data from acres completed and acres restored. This program performance **Outcome** measure is represented as a percentage and is defined as—

INVPLT-INVSpe-REST-FED-PCT = Percent of priority treated acres successfully restore and/or protected against invasive plants and noxious weeds and aquatic and terrestrial invasive species on National Forest System lands

The program performance **Outcome** measure currently has a national target of 65 percent and is assessed and updated every five years to account for the long-term nature of outcome performance. The national outcome target will be adjusted in FY2015 based on the previous five-year average. Although the national target is based on data compiled at the Regional levels, the target is applied only at the national level.

References

- CAL-IPC. 2012. Preventing the spread of invasive plants: best management practices for land managers. 3rd ed. CAL-IPC Publ. 2012-03. Calif. Invasive Plant Council, Berkeley, CA. Available at http://www.cal-ipc.org/ip/prevention/PreventionBMPs_LandManager.pdf.
- Chippendale, J. F. 1991. Potential returns to research on rubber vine (*Cryptostegia grandiflora*). M. S. Thesis. Univ. Queensland, Brisbane. In CIPM (ed.) Invasive plant management: CIPM online textbook, Chapt. 3. Center Invasive Plant Manage, Bozeman, MT. Available at <http://www.weedcenter.org/textbook/index.html> (accessed August 12, 2013).
- Clark, J. (comp.) 2003. Invasive plant prevention guidelines. Center Invasive Plant Manage. Bozeman, MT. Available at http://www.weedcenter.org/store/docs/CIPM_prevention.pdf.
- DiVittorio, J., M. Grodowitz, J. Snow, and T. Manross. 2012. Inspection and cleaning manual for equipment and vehicles to prevent the spread of invasive species. Tech. Memo. No. 86-68220-07-05. USDI Bur. Reclam. Available at <http://www.usbr.gov/mussels/prevention/docs/EquipmentInspectionandCleaningManual2012.pdf>.
- Enloe, S. F. 2006. Pasture and rangeland weed management. In S.E. Dewey (ed.) MT-UT-WY Weed Management Handbook. Coop. Ext. Serv., Univ. Wyoming, Laramie. Available at http://www.uwyo.edu/ces/programs/weed_management_handbook_files/weed_management_handbook.pdf (accessed Oct. 23, 2013).
- Fleming, J. 2005. Vehicle cleaning technology for controlling the spread of noxious weeds and invasive species. 0551 1203P. San Dimas, CA: USDA Forest Service, San Dimas Tech. and Develop. Center. 27 pp.
- Jacobs, J. 2007. Invasive plant management: options and actions. In CIPM (ed.) Invasive plant management: CIPM online textbook, Chapt. 8. Center Invasive Plant Manage., Bozeman, MT. Available at <http://www.weedcenter.org/textbook/index.html> (accessed August 16, 2013).
- Moody, M.E. and R.N. Mack. 1988. Controlling the spread of plant invasions: the importance of nascent foci. J. Appl. Ecol. 25(3):1009-1021.
- Radosevich, S. 2002. Plant invasions and their management. Chapter 4 in CIPM (ed.) Invasive Plant Management: CIPM Online Textbook. Center Invasive Plant Manage., Bozeman, MT. Available at <http://www.weedcenter.org/textbook/index.html>.
- Rejmánek, M. and M.J. Pitcairn. 2002. When is eradication of exotic pest plants a realistic goal? In C.R. Veith and M.N. Clout (ed.) Turning the tide: the eradication of invasive species. IUCN SSC Invasive Species Spec. Group, Cambridge, UK.
- Seese, C, D. Pluth, and R. Al-Chokhachy. 2001. Equipment Decontamination with Sparquat 256. Poster at PACFISH/INFISH Biol. Opinion Effectiveness Monitoring (PIBO-EM). PIBO Effectiveness Monitoring Prog., USDA Forest Serv., Rocky Mtn. Res. Stn., Logan, UT. Available at http://www.fs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/stelprdb5374542.pdf.
- Sheley, R.L., T.J. Svejcar, and B.D. Maxwell. 1996. A theoretical framework for developing successional weed management strategies on rangeland. Weed Tech. 10:766-773.

References

- Simberloff, D. 2003. Eradication—preventing invasions at the outset. *Weed Sci.* 51:247–253.
- Tu, M. and B. Meyers-Rice. 2001. TNC site weed management plan template. The Nature Conservancy's Wildland Invasive Species Program. Available at <http://www.invasive.org/gist/products.html>.
- Williams, B.K., R.C. Szaro, and C.D. Shapiro. 2009. Adaptive management: the U.S. Department of the Interior technical guide. Adaptive Manage. Working Group, U.S. Dept. Interior, Washington, DC. Available at <http://www.doi.gov/initiatives/AdaptiveManagement>.
- Zamora, D. L., D.C. Thill, and R.E. Eplee. 1989. An eradication plan for plant invasions. *Weed Tech.* 3:2-12.

Appendix - Contacts for Animal-Mounted Spray Operations

Training Contacts

Horsemanship and packing only

Rocky Mountain Region Specialty Pack String

Address: PO Box 111, Shawnee CO 80475

Cell phone: (303) 838-8675

Website: http://www.fs.usda.gov/detail/psicc/about-forest/districts/?cid=fsm9_032536

Horsemanship, packing, and spray operations

Ninemile Wildlands Training Center

Address: Ninemile Wildlands Training Center, Ninemile Ranger Station, 20325 Remount Road, Huson MT 59846

Phone: (406) 626-5201

Website: <http://www.fs.usda.gov/detailfull/lolo/home/?cid=STELPRDB5085919>

Information Contacts for Animal-Mounted Sprayers

Battery-powered sprayers

High Country Sprayers, LLC

Address: 1995 S. Elba/Almo Hwy. Elba, ID 83342

Cell phone: (208) 431-9547

Website: <http://www.highcountrysprayers.com/index.html>

CO2-powered sprayers

White River Conservation District

Address: Box 837, Meeker CO 81641

Phone: (970) 878-5628

The use of trade or firm names in this publication is for reader information and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Agriculture of any product or service. It does not contain recommendations for their use, nor does it imply that the uses discussed here have been registered. All uses of pesticides must be registered by appropriate State and/or Federal agencies before they can be recommended.



CAUTION: Pesticides can be injurious to humans, domestic animals, desirable plants, and fish or other wildlife—if they are not handled or applied properly. Use all pesticides selectively and carefully. Follow recommended practices for the disposal of surplus pesticides and pesticide containers.