

Granite Meadows Proposed Action

PFC Vote Tally October 29, 2018

Timestamp ^	Name	Organization	Support?
Oct 25, 2018	Charles Caruso	Bacon Valley Ditch Company	Enthusiastically support
Oct 25, 2018	Ryan Kerby	Citizen	Enthusiastically support
Oct 26, 2018	Lin Davis	Circle C Ranches	Enthusiastically support
Oct 26, 2018	John Lewinski	Citizen	Yes, can live with it
Oct 27, 2018	John Robison	Idaho Conservation League	Yes, can live with it
Oct 27, 2018	Rick Tholen	Meadowcreek Property Owners Association	Yes, can live with it
Oct 28, 2018	Ron Hamilton	Adams County Natural Resources Committee	Yes, can live with it
Oct 28, 2018	Gloria Pippin	Heartland Back Country Horsemen	Yes, can live with it
Oct 29, 2018	Jim Wassmuth	Tamarack Mill, LLC	Yes, can live with it
Oct 29, 2018	Larry Laxson	Valley County	Yes, can live with it
Oct 29, 2018	Sandra Mitchell	IRC/ISSA	Yes, can live with it
Oct 29, 2018	Mac Lefebvre	Idaho Forest Group	Yes, can live with it
Oct 29, 2018	Diane Evans Mack	Idaho Department Fish and Game	Yes, can live with it
Oct 29, 2018	Frank Schwartz	Weiser River Cattle Association	No, can't live with it (next question required)

Frank Schwartz response to the question: If you can't live with the PA, what changes are required to the PA in order to gain your support?

The "No" vote on the Granite Meadows Proposed Action is due to several factors. WRCA members believe that grazing contributes to the objectives of PL 111-11 and should be acknowledged as a beneficial treatment. See also the comments provided on the GM NOI. The new opening paragraph in the Proposed Action Veg and Fuel Treatments section (page 5, and in the appendices where it is repeated) should be removed since it is not productive and the conditions to change are already covered in the purpose and need. The first sentence in the third paragraph of the Proposed Action should add the words "and ongoing grazing program" acknowledging grazing as a treatment. On page 7, the new paragraph on Targeted Grazing and the new section beginning "Coordination with existing permittees" should be replaced with the following: "The contributions of the ongoing grazing program toward the project objectives will be addressed. NEPA for grazing has previously been completed (provide the document reference). Coordination with permittees will be ongoing within the project area. Grazing may be used in combination with other vegetative treatment methods. Grazing is sustainable and helps meet both short term and long term CFLRP objectives." The details of targeted grazing and the ongoing grazing program should be in the DEIS and are not needed in the PA. Please see recent BLM NEPA (e.g., BOSH) for examples of how it has been addressed and successfully survived litigation. Please delete the Appendix 1 sentence right before Table A-7 which begins "Effects to channel stability..." since it is speculative.