

Section C

Description/Specifications/Work Statement

C.1. General Information.

C.1.1. Instructions to BPA Holders

If the deliverables will take longer than 2 weeks to complete after the comment period, please provide the additional cost as an option item. Assume all subtasks listed in the delivery table (Table 2) will be required for the comment period.

C. 1.2. Introduction and Background.

This project will provide content analysis of comment submissions on the **Alaska Roadless Rule**. The project will consist of 1 individual comment period. The comment period, the estimated number of unique letters, and the anticipated start of the comment period are listed in the table below.

Table 1: Comment Period Information

Comment Period Name	Unique Pages	Anticipated Start of Comment Period	Comment Period Duration
DEIS	956	October 2019	60 days

Assume 100 submissions per comment period will be sent to the contractor for manual entry into CARA. Others will be provided electronically (via email, web-form, MS Word document or scanned pdf).

The Forest Service's Comment Analysis and Response Application (CARA) database will be used for this project. This software will be used for any letter entry, comment coding, and drafting of concern responses. The Forest Service will work with the contractor to provide access to the CARA system.

CARA automatically enters comments received via the public web form in CARA, which features batch uploading of emails, automated form sorting, and online entry of hard copy letters, coding, and public concern writing and linkage to comments.

C.1.3. Scope of Work.

C.1.3.1. The purpose of this work is to analyze all comments received during the official comment period (see Table 1) following procedures as specified in Table 2.

C.1.3.2. The base period of performance for this Task Order is August 27, 2018- December 30, 2019. The Contracting Officer may extend this period, based on need and funding.

C.1.3.3. Upon issuance, the Contractor shall consider receipt of the Task Order as the Government's Notice to Proceed.

C.2. Definitions.

C.2.1. Duplicates, forms, form masters, forms-plus, unique: Every submission is classified for processing either as a duplicate (letters with the same text and same author), form letter (letters with the same text, but different authors), a form master (the form copy that is coded), a form-plus (a form with codeable comment(s) added), or unique (everything else). See Attachment 1 for details and examples of these types of written comments).

C.2.2. Letters and submissions are synonymous terms used interchangeably in this Task Order. They refer to all submissions received in response to the Government's request for comments.

C.2.3. Originals. Originals are submissions as received from commenters.

C.2.4. Delivery types. Submissions will be received via email and web-form and hard copy.

C.2.5 Supporting Documentation: Formerly known as attachments.

C.3. Government-Furnished Property and Services.

C.3.1. The contractor shall return all deliverables and comment letters to the assigned Government COR.

C.3.2. Should the Contractor require additional training from the NEPA Services Group (NSG), the Contractor shall compensate the Agency for time, travel and accommodations, based on the federal per diem rate for the location where training is to be conducted. Web-conference training for CARA may be presented when the project begins.

C.4. Requirements.

C.4.1. General Requirements.

Subtasks listed in Table 2 are the requirements of this Task Order.

C.4.2. Minimum Acceptable Requirements.

C.4.2.1. Minimum Acceptable Requirement percentages for Subtasks are specified in Table 2 column (f). Inspection criteria are not limited to the Description and Requirements specified in Table 2 column (e).

C.4.2.2. Minimum Acceptable Requirement for Contract Items are based on the Minimum Acceptable Requirements for applicable Subtasks (see Table 2).

Failure to meet Minimum Acceptable Requirements on any subtask shall result in a non-compliance and unsuitability rating for the relevant Contract Item on the Contractor Inspection Report. In the event of a non-compliance and unsuitability rating, the Contractor Inspection Report stating specific concerns and report of findings will be communicated to the Forest Service Contracting Officer administering this Task Order. Copies of the Contractor Inspection Report and documentation of performance will be furnished to the Contractor to assist in producing effective resolutions and remedies.

C.4.3. Schedule and Due Dates.

Table 2 column (d) specifies the Government's minimum acceptable due or delivery dates. Unless otherwise noted, due dates are measured in calendar days from the Call award or the last day of the Comment Period. Due dates are by close of business, Mountain Time.

TABLE 2: Delivery Table for Each Comment Period

SUBTASK (for each comment period)		DELIVERABLES	SCHEDULE (CALENDAR DAYS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)	DESCRIPTION AND REQUIREMENTS	MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE QUALITY
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
2a	Enter Letter Information	Submitters' contact information, letter attributes, and comment text in CARA.	Information entered no later than COB on 2 nd day letter is received by contractor.	<p>For letters not already entered into CARA, contact information will be entered manually.</p> <p>Include submitter's first and last name, organization name, letter text, attachments (if applicable), delivery type, date submitted, and preferred contact method (email or USPS).</p> <p>As it is Non-Public Information (NPI), enter the submitters' contact information (name, address, email, ect) into the fields provided within CARA and not within the body of the comment text.</p> <p>If letters contain attachments with project specific comments from the commenter, enter text of the attachment into the "letter text" box in CARA.</p> <p>Transcripts from the 15 Subsistence Hearings will be uploaded (by the client) into the CARA comment period labeled "Other" with the description "Subsistence"</p>	Any errors discovered are corrected within 2 business days
	Hardcopy submissions	Uploaded hardcopy submissions are free of NPI	Completed before letters are available in the reading room	<p><i>This does not apply to submissions that occur directly through the CARA system.</i></p> <p>After ensuring the NPI is posted to the appropriate CARA fields, delete it from the Letter Text Box.</p> <p>Do not attach scanned letters/postcards as CARA attachments. However, if the letter has enclosures, include them as attachments in CARA</p> <p>Assigned CARA letter number is written or stamped in the upper right corner of all hardcopy submissions.</p>	Any errors discovered are corrected within 2 business days

TABLE 2: Delivery Table for Each Comment Period

SUBTASK (for each comment period)		DELIVERABLES	SCHEDULE (CALENDAR DAYS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)	DESCRIPTION AND REQUIREMENTS	MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE QUALITY
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
				Time and cost spent processing hard copy files is tracked and reported to client	
3a	Create Coding Structure	Coding Structure in CARA	As agreed to by COR	<p>Propose coding structure to present to client and COR.</p> <p>Coding structure may incorporate additional categories identified by the client. These categories must also include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A category for attachments that provide a brief summary of the content of the attachment (see subtask 3b) <p>Attend meeting with client and COR to discuss any modifications. The coding structure and any subsequent changes must be provided to the COR for approval prior to use.</p> <p>Coding Structure for Subsistence Hearing Transcripts is provided in Attachment 2.</p>	COR acceptance

3b	Identify and Code Comments	Coding status of all letter shown as “complete” in CARA	Begin no later than: 15 days into comment period. All letters coded no later than 5 business days from close of comment period.	<p>Identify all codeable comments, including where comments begin and end.</p> <p>Attachments to letters must be identified and the content of the attachment summarized in one or two sentences. One way to approach this is to include the title of the attachment(s) at the end of the original letter, code the title, and include the summary as an “annotation” to the comment. This will aid in producing a report that contains the attachment title and their summaries.</p> <p>Code comments in CARA using COR-approved coding structure. CARA features an auto-markup function that flags potential early-attention letters. Each flag needs to be verified as an early attention letter. False positives should be cleared by the contractor each day. Client should check the early attention letters daily. Contractor should give coding priority to verified early attention letters.</p> <p>Code letter position. For each letter, identify whether the position falls into the following categories and code the greeting or some other non/comment text to the appropriate category: XXX.01 Leave 2001 Roadless Rule in Place XXX.02 Change 2001 Roadless Rule XXX.03 Exempt Tongass from 2001 Roadless Rule</p>	Any errors discovered are corrected within 2 business days
4a	Comment Summary: Public Concerns	Status of all concern statements shown as “ready for response” in CARA	Due (from close of comment): 5 days: draft 10 days: final	<p>In CARA, summarize comments by identifying, composing, and organizing public concerns and subconcerns, with sample statements from original comment text. All grouped comments must be linked to a public concern.</p> <p>Contractor will provide a written summary using the outline below and including quantification of coded comments</p>	Any edits requested by client are incorporated within 2 business days

4b	Content Analysis Datasheets	Excel Document	<p>Due (from close of comment): 10 days: Final</p> <p>Drafts provided on request from COR.</p>	<p>Excel document(s) with columns identified by the client will be formatted for ease of printing on 8.5 x 11 paper.</p> <p>Separate document provided for Subsistence Hearing Transcripts.</p>	COR Acceptance
----	-----------------------------	----------------	--	---	----------------

4c	Content analysis report	Word Document	<p>Due (from close of comment): 5 days: Interim 10 days: Final</p> <p>Drafts provided on request from COR.</p>	<p>Clear, concise overview of key ideas summarized from the concerns, including sample statements. Anticipate an estimate of 250 paraphrased or summarized comments. (with input from EIS contractor for format/output)</p> <p>Separate summary provided for Subsistence Hearing Transcripts (See below Subsistence Hearing Transcripts (beginning on page 9) for Summary Outline).</p>	<p>Good or excellent (see scoring rubric)</p>
----	-------------------------	---------------	--	---	---

Section I

I.1. Should the actual number of submissions received be greater or less than the estimates specified in C.1.1, costs will be revisited based on the difference between the estimated and actual amounts and the characteristics of the amount difference.

Attachment 1: Submission Types – Definitions

Comment letter: A submission that contains comments: deliverable by email, mail, fax, web form, ect.

Comment: A point made in a letter. Letters can contain many comments.

Unique letter: Being the only one of its kind; unlike any other letter received.

Petition: Cover letter with signatures- We consider a petition any letter that then has a set of signatures attached (not multiple signatures on the letter, but actually attached to the letter).

Names and/or contact information will not be entered into the CARA database for each person signed onto the letter in the attachment. A response (if warranted) would only be provided to the individual that signed (or sponsored) the letter and it is their responsibility to disseminate that information to those on the petition. Examples of this are cover letters expressing/supporting an opinion with a spreadsheet attached with names, contact information (not required) and a comment in agreement with the overall comments in the cover letter.

Form Letter (duplicate letter): A form letter is any letter type (email, attachment, excel, hard copy) that contains the exact same information as another letter, except for the commenter's name (who it is from).

To ensure each form letter is coded correctly into the database, it is advised that they are entered individually (not as large batch attachments). When form letters are attached in batches to one entry they may not be numbered in the way the submitters have anticipated.

The stereotypical form letter is from a special interest group that creates a letter and their followers all submit that letter, or post cards with pre-written text that is duplicate of other post cards.

Form Plus: A form plus letter is any letter type (email, attachment, excel, hard copy) that contains the exact same information as another letter, except for the commenter's name (who it is from) and a few sentence that disqualify the letter for sorting as a Form Letter.

To ensure each form plus letter is coded correctly into the database, it is advised that they are entered individually (not as large batch attachments). When form letters are attached in batches to one entry they may not be numbered in the way the submitters have anticipated.

The stereotypical form plus letter is from a special interest group that creates a letter or post card and their followers all submit that letter and the submitter has added a statement such as "thank you for taking the time to work on this project".

Substantive formal comment is defined as "*within the scope of the proposal, have a direct relationship to the proposal, and include supporting reasons for the responsible official to consider*" (36 CFR 219.62).

Attachment 2: Subsistence Hearing Transcripts

1. Written transcripts from the 15 subsistence hearings will be scanned and loaded into a separate comment period in CARA (by Client) to be coded by Contractor. The transcripts (est 400 pages) will be coded using the structure below.

Coding Structure – 801 (and subcodes under 801)

Procedural Concerns

- 1.1 Notification
- 1.2 Involvement
- 1.3 Hearings
- 1.4 Other Procedural Concerns

Subsistence Resource Concerns

- 2.1 Competition – concerns about competition for subsistence resources
- 2.2 Abundance – concerns about abundance or availability of subsistence fish, wildlife, and vegetation resources
- 2.3 Access – concerns about access to subsistence resources including transportation concerns
- 2.4 Availability – concerns about availability of other lands for the purpose to be achieved
- 2.5 Options – concerns about other alternatives that would reduce or eliminate lands needed for subsistence resources
- 2.6 Monitoring – concerns about the monitoring of subsistence resources
- 2.7 Geographic – concerns about specific geographies impacted by roadless rulemaking
- 2.8 Other – other concerns about subsistence resources
- 2.9 Non-substantive comments

DEIS and Related Analysis Concerns

- 3.1 Key Issues – concerns regarding key issues
- 3.2 Alternatives – concerns about alternatives
- 3.3 Effects Analysis – concerns regarding effects to environment
- 3.4 Other – Other concerns about the DEIS

2. Contractor will provide a written summary using the outline below and including quantification of coded comments.

Summary Outline

Introduction/Overview

Methodology

Summary – Procedural, DEIS and Related Analysis, and Subsistence Resources

Subsection 1 – Procedural Concerns

- a) Notification
- b) Involvement
- c) Hearings
- d) Other procedural concerns

Subsection 2 – Subsistence Resource Concerns

- a) Competition – concerns about competition for subsistence resources
- b) Abundance – concerns about abundance or availability of subsistence fish, wildlife, and vegetation resources
- c) Access – concerns about access to subsistence resources including transportation concerns
- d) Availability – concerns about availability of other lands for the purpose to be achieved
- e) Options – concerns about other alternatives that would reduce or eliminate lands needed for subsistence resources
- f) Monitoring – concerns regarding the monitoring of subsistence resources
- g) Geographic – concerns regarding specific geographies impacted by roadless rulemaking
- h) Other – other concerns regarding subsistence resources
- i) Non-substantive comments

Subsection 3 – DEIS and Related Analysis Concerns

- a) Key Issues – concerns regarding the development and analysis of key issues
- b) Alternatives – concerns regarding the development of alternatives
- c) Effects Analysis – concerns regarding the analysis of alternatives and final determinations
- d) Other – Other concerns regarding the DEIS

Attachment 3: 60 day Public Comment Period

a. Estimate of comments by type:

Type of Comment	Estimated Amount	
Unique Letters	1,500	
Form Letters	100 master forms letter	37,000 total
Form Plus		600 total
Petitions	10 cover letters with petitions	16,000 number of signatures

- See attached for definitions/descriptions

b. Entry- hard copies including post cards

- Mailed to contractor once weekly until the close of the comment period. For five days following the close of the comment period, mailings will occur daily. Hardcopies (postmarked within the comment period) received more than five days past the close of the comment period, will be uploaded to CARA by the client before being mailed to the contractor.
- Contractor will scan and include as attachments in CARA.
- CARA assigned letter number stamped or written in the upper right corner of hard copies.
- All address information is populated in the CARA entry fields but not in the body text section of the CARA form
- The originals will be mailed back to the client

c. Coding

- Each comment will be coded separately, rather than coding a whole letter to one topic

d. Attachments

- When copy and pasting from an attached letter please use the following disclaimer: *The following text was copy/pasted from an attached letter. The system cannot display the formatting, graphics or tables from the attached original.*
- Attachments to letters must be identified and the content of the attachment summarized in one or two sentences. One way to approach this is to include the title of the attachment(s) at the end of the original letter, code the title, and include the summary as an “annotation” to the comment.

e. Emails

- Emails that are form (and Form Plus) letters will be sorted by subject, batched and uploaded by Client to the comment period (Other NOA 2 Form Letters) then coded by contractor. NPI will not be masked. Only the master version of these letters (with NPI masked) will be made available in the public reading room. Contractor will ensure a copy of each master is in the NOA comment period with the public reading room.
 - Once uploaded, the “Plus” comments will be coded by the contractor.
- Emails that are unique will be uploaded to the NOA Comment period by the client. As uploads occur, contractor will be notified of letter numbers so that they can make masking NPI a priority.
 - Once uploaded, the comments will be coded by the contractor.
- Counts and statistic information about the comment period will incorporate data from both the NOA and NOA2 comment periods (along with separate information for the subsistence comment period (NOA3))

f. Federal Regulation.gov (fdms extracts)

- FDMS extracts will be uploaded by the client to NOA Comment period. As uploads occur, contractor will be notified of letter numbers so that they can make masking NPI a priority.
- Once uploaded, the comments will be coded by the contractor.
- After upload and scanning for offensive language, client will make these comments public in the FDMS reading room

g. Outputs

- Issues statements
 - Estimate 250 issue, or concern, statements (based on 186 in Scoping)

2. Client and Contractor will work with EIS contractor on what output/format will be most useful for response to comments (as well as what the EIS contract identifies as a deliverable)

ii. Summary

1. need to include a breakdown of type of comments.

Type of Comment	Amount received	
Unique Letters	Xxx,xxxx	
Form Letters	XX master forms letter	X total
Form Plus	Xx master form pluses	X total
Petitions	XX cover letters with petitions	Xxx number of signatures

- a. For petitions provide CARA number of cover letters as well as number of signatures per petition. (this information will be provided by the contractor separate from the summary statement).

CARA number	Amount received
12345	10,000 signatures
98783	50 signatures
9887654	586 signatures

- b. Demographics- how many comments by each state/zip codes and how many people did not include a state (this information will be provided by the contractor separate from the summary statement).

Attachment 4: Preliminary Coding Structure

Preliminary Coding Structure

Suggested Re-Order/re-number of the Primary categories for the coding structure:

801	808 No Further Response Required
802	809 Early Attention
803	XXX NEPA
804	803.09 Environmental Justice
805	XXX Editorial
806	805.02 Alternative 1 No Action
806	805.03 Alternative 2 Roadless
806	805.04 Alternative 3 Logical Extension
806	805.05 Alternative 4 Partial Dev LUDs
806	805.06 Alternative 5 All Dev. LUDs
806	805.07 Alternative 6 Full Extension
806	805.08 Modifications to Alternatives
807	802 Environmental
807	802.02 Environmental – Ecosystem
807	802.03 Environmental – Fish and Watershed
807	802.04 Environmental – Wildlife
807	802.05 Environmental - Geology, Karst, Caves, Soils, and Landslides
807	802.06 Environmental – Vegetation; rare or sensitive plant; invasive plants
807	802.07 Environmental – Wetlands and Floodplains
808	803.01 SocioEconomic - Hunting
808	803.02 SocioEconomic – Recreation and Tourism
808	803.03 SocioEconomic - Timber
808	803.04 SocioEconomic - Mining
808	803.05 SocioEconomic - Access
808	803.06 SocioEconomic - Fish
808	803.07 SocioEconomic - Industry
809	803.08 Energy Projects and Transmission
810	805.01 State-Specific Rule
811	810 Attachments & References
812	XXX Forest Plan
813	Position

802 Environmental

802.01 Environmental – General

802.0101 Protect & Preserve (spaces won't be around forever)

802.0102 Forests don't need managed

802.0103 Tribal Input Required

802.0104 Protect Environment from Industry (environment more important than industry)

802.0106 Forest belongs to the people

802.0107 Rule favors industry over environment

802.02 Environmental – Ecosystem

802.0201 Forest value as ecosystem (value notes, preserve for future)

802.0202 Old growth sequesters carbon (spec. to carbon sink, carbon sequestration)
802.0203 Healthy environment good for economy
802.0204 Logging/Roads hurt ecosystem (general)
802.0205 Government has obligation to protect
802.0206 Rule favors industry over environment (specific ecosystem information)
802.0207 General climate change information and effects
802.0208 Roadless Rule prevents damage; removing is bad precedent
802.0209 General old-growth/environment (not specific to climate change or rule)
802.0210 Chugach ecosystem
802.0211 Specific Areas for Protection
802.0212 Cultural Significance (specific focus on meaning to indigenous peoples)
802.0213 Support Protecting T77 and TNC/Audubon
802.0214 Do Not Support T77 and TNC/Audubon
802.0215 Effects on Tongass Conservation Strategy
802.0216 Effects on habitat/landscape connectivity and fragmentation
802.0217 Effects on Ecosystem Services

802.03 Environmental – Fish and Watershed

802.0301 Construction Degrades Fish Habitat
802.0302 Roadless Rule Maintains Habitat
802.0303 Healthy Forests/Watersheds Vital for Fish Populations
802.0304 Tongass 77 Watersheds Critical to Fish Habitat
802.0305 Irreversible Damage to Ecosystems
802.0306 Effect of Climate on Fish Habitat
802.0307 Tongass is Pristine Fish Habitat

802.04 Environmental – Wildlife

802.0401 Effects on Deer
802.0402 Effects on Wolves
802.0403 Effects on Goshawk
802.0404 Effects on Marten
802.0405 Effects on Brown & Black Bears
802.0406 Effects on Other Wildlife
802.04.07 Endangered Species Act and consultation

802.05 Environmental - Geology, Karst, Caves, Soils, and Landslides

802.06 Environmental – Vegetation; rare or sensitive plant; invasive plants

802.07 Environmental – Wetlands and Floodplains

803.01 SocioEconomic - Hunting

803.0101 Game Population

802.0102 Rule change would harm Subsistence

802.0103 Rule change would improve Subsistence

802.0104 Wilderness Essential to Communities

802.0105 Access Increases Hunting Pressure

803.02 SocioEconomic – Recreation and Tourism

803.0201 Protecting Wild/Salmon Protects Recreation and Tourism

803.0202 Visitors Want Pristine Wilderness

803.0203 Intact Watersheds and Recreation/Tourism Worth More Than Logging

803.0204 Tourism Statistics

803.0205 Ecotourism Supports Livelihood

803.0206 Logging Roads Used by Recreationists and Tourists

803.0207 Effects on Scenery

803.0208 Effects on outfitter guides

803.03 SocioEconomic - Timber

803.0301 Timber Market is Overseas/Export Policy

803.0302 Subsidization of Timber Industry/Below cost timber sales

803.0303 - Forest Service Favors Timber

803.0304 Economic Viability of Timber Industry

803.0305 Economic Analysis of Timber Industry

803.0306 Rule prevents successful timber industry/Rule change will help industry

803.0307 Public Interest Over Timber Profit

803.0308 Short-term profit for long-term impact

803.0309 Timber Industry Not Negatively Affected

803.0310 Timber is not a driving economic force/Market Demand

803.0311 Reducing Timber Affects the Economy

803.04 SocioEconomic - Mining

803.0401 Rule Limits Mining

803.0402 Rule Does Not Limit Mining

803.0403 Access is Essential for Mining (reasonable access)

803.0404 Request Clarification for Mining Projects

803.0404 Leasable Minerals (oil, gas, coal, geothermal)

803.05 SocioEconomic - Access

803.0501 Rule change not needed; Rule Does Allow Access

803.0502 Rule change needed, rule restricts access

803.0503 Roads Needed for Certain Uses

803.0503 Exemption Requests and Closure Concerns

803.0504 Lack of Roads Affects Power Prices

803.0505 Questions About Exemptions Not Mentioned

803.0506 Access Not Valid Argument for Proposal

803.0507 Effects on State Road Construction and State Transportation Plan

803.06 SocioEconomic - Fish

803.0601 Economic Statistics for Fish Industry

803.0602 Erosion of Protections Puts Salmon Industry at Risk

803.0603 Protect Habitat to Protect Salmon Industry

803.0604 Fishing Brings in Tourism Dollars

803.0605 Salmon Protection Critical to Industry

803.0606 Other State and Country Economies

803.0607 General Statement about Salmon and Economy

803.0608 Salmon Economics

803.07 SocioEconomic - Industry

803.0701 Support Sustainable Industries

803.0702 Roads Support Industry

803.0703 Roads Detrimental to Multiple Industries

803.0704 More Benefits to Sustainable Industry

803.0705 Rule Did Not Affect Non-Timber Industry

803.0706 Economic Analysis

803.0707 Roadless Rule Hurts Economy

803.0708 Sustainability Requires Roadless Rule

803.0709 Roads Required for Environment/Safety

XXXXXX Energy Projects and Transmission

XXX.0801 Effects on energy generation project (dams, hydropower, other)

XXX.0802 Effects on energy transmission projects (utility lines and corridors)

XXXXX Environmental Justice

XXX.0901 Environmental Justice concern

805.01 State-Specific Rule

805.0101 Alaskans Should Have Control

805.0102 Balance Economic Growth and Conservation

805.0103 General Support for State-Specific

805.0104 Oppose Alaska-Specific Rule

805.0105 Rule Changes Would Be A Step Backward

805.0106 Federal vs State

805.0107 Management Should Be Federal

805.0108 Rule should follow State's recommendation (State's advisory committee)

805.0109 State-specific roadless area characteristics

805.02 Alternative 1 No Action

805.0201 Leave Rule Intact (Support Alt 1)

805.0202 Value in Pristine Wilderness

805.0203 No Action Because Against Logging

805.0204 Collaborative and Supportive

805.0205 National Forests are for All Americans

805.0206 Rule Protects Water, Business, Wildlife

805.0207 General Opposition Alt 1

805.03 Alternative 2 Roadless

805.0301 General Opposition Alternative 2

805.0302 General Support Alternative 2

805.04 Alternative 3 Logical Extension

805.0401 General Opposition Alternative 3

805.0402 General Support Alternative 3

805.0403 Opposition for Community Priority areas

805.0404 Support for Community Priority areas

805.05 Alternative 4 Partial Dev LUDs

805.0501 General Opposition Alternative 4

805.0502 General Support for Alternative 4

805.0403 Opposition for Timber Priority areas

805.0404 Support for Timber Priority areas

805.06 Alternative 5 All Dev. LUDs

805.0601 General Opposition Alternative 5

805.0602 General Support Alternative 5

805.07 Alternative 6 Full Extension

805.0701 General Opposition Alternative 6

805.0702 General Support Alternative 6

805.08 Modifications to Alternatives

805.0801 Alternative 1 Modifications

805.0802 Alternative 2 Modifications

805.0803 Alternative 3 Modifications

805.080301 Proposed Changes to Community Priority Areas

805.0804 Alternative 4 Modification

805.080401 Proposed Changes to Timber Priority Areas

805.0805 Alternative 5 Modifications

805.0806 Proposed Changes to LUD II Priority

805.0807 Proposed Changes to Watershed Priority

805.0808 Proposed Changes to Roadless Priority

805.0806 Alternative 6 Modifications

805.0807 Combinations of Alternatives

808 No Further Response Required

808.01 Beyond the Scope

808.02 Position/Opinion No Rationale

808.03 Introductory or Background Statements

809 Early Attention

809.01 Comment Period Extension

809.02 Requests for Information

809.03 Threat of Harm

810 Attachments & References

810.01 Supporting Documentation

810.02 Duplicate Text Addressed in Letter

810.03 Technical Content

XXX Forest Plan

XXX.01 Forest Plan changes are needed, general

XXX.02 Forest Plan changes not needed, general

XXX.03 Specific changes to Forest Plan

XXX.04 Effects on Young-growth Transition Strategy

XXX NEPA

- XXX.01 Purpose and Need
- XXX.02 Range of Alternatives
- XXX.03 General compliance with NEPA
- XXX.04 Adequacy of DEIS with specifics
- XXX.05 DEIS relies too heavily on 2016 Forest Plan EIS
- XXX.05 Scoping comments not addressed
- XXX.06 Public Involvement
- XXX.07 General Cumulative effects

XXX Editorial

- XXX.01 Typos and non-significant edits

XXX Position

- XXX.01 Leave 2001 Roadless Rule in Place
- XXX.02 Change 2001 Roadless Rule
- XXX.03 Exempt Tongass from 2001 Roadless Rule

