

From: [Schmid, David - FS](#)
To: [Marceron, Terri -FS](#)
Cc: [VanOrmer, Chad M -FS](#); [Hernandez Burke, Melinda - FS](#)
Subject: Re: Roadless Rulemaking Consultation w/ CAC & CIRI (and possibly others)
Date: Wednesday, August 15, 2018 4:09:31 PM

Terri, I'm stuck in the airport with a delayed flight. I can take a call if you like. Essentially the States request (petition) was for an exemption from the 2001 Roadless Rule on the Tongass. The Secretary reached an agreement with the Governor that we would work with the State on a specific Roadless rule, and not an exemption. In an effort to notify the Tribes and Corps ahead of the public announcements, we assumed a State(wide) Specific Rule making effort that would included the Chugach. Right after we sent the letters we met with the State to finalize MOU. The State clarified their position that their interests were only with the Tongass. We agreed to move forward with a Tongass specific rule, and unfortunately, had to send follow up letters to tribes and Corps. Again this effort was the Secretary's response to a petition from Governor Walker. I don't know that the Corps had requested Roadless exemptions on the Chugach?

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 15, 2018, at 1:34 PM, Marceron, Terri -FS <tmarceron@fs.fed.us> wrote:

Hello:

I cc'ed or bcc'ed you on my response to CAC & CIRI on their request for consultation seeking to offer it on August 24 (Melinda said Chad was available to travel to Anchorage that day).

I need Chad (not Robin) to participate in consultation – I need someone who can deliver the messaging and can represent Dave/Sec level on the change to the rulemaking scope and who the decision-makers are (I would anticipate that both these Regional Corps would elevate and request consultation above my level to the Sec or Chief). The letters, to me and in reading their responses, imply that possibly I made the decision not to include the CNF and that's why they are consulting w/ me.

I understand their disappointment that they were not consulted in advance of the revised scope decision. I need to be clear on what the consultation is based on so please clarify what am I consulting on? CIRI and CAC want the Chugach NF to be included in the rulemaking so is this consultation considering changing the decision to include the Chugach (or not)? Or are we consulting specific to the rulemaking as it relates to the Tongass (though I will capture their concerns about not including the CNF in this rulemaking effort)? If the Chugach is not included, in the future, can the State revisit their decision to request to include us? Did the State consult with Tribes on this rulemaking?

Personally, I'm disappointed because their response/tone seems directed at the Chugach and is the most negative I've seen since first arriving here and working to involve Tribes/Corps pre-decisionally where I have the authority (or the DR's do).

I will share that I expect CAC will have legal representation at this consultation; don't think CIRI will.

Thanks for the support and clarity needed to schedule/implement this consultation.

T

<image001.png> **Terri Marceron**
Chugach Forest Supervisor
Forest Service
Chugach Supervisor's Office

p: 907-743-9525

c: 907-267-9656

f: 907-743-9476

tmarceron@fs.fed.us

161 East 1st Ave. Door 8

Anchorage, AK 99501

www.fs.fed.us

[<image002.png><image003.png><image004.png>](#)

Caring for the land and serving people