

From: Jean Public [<mailto:jeanpublic1@yahoo.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, December 23, 2014 11:25 AM

To: FS-comments-intermtn-payette; vicepresident@whitehouse.gov; americanvoices@mail.house.gov; foe@foe.org; humanelines@hsus.org; info@peta.org; info@idausa.org

Subject: public comment on letter of december 18 signed by keith lannom on ea and spending of american taxpayers dollars

is there a scheme going on whereby one group of managers lobs the hell out of this forest and then another group comes in and says to the public: we have to have massive tax dollars to "restore" the forest. is this the real scheme that is going on here. the forest will be all right if you just stop logging it and destroying the animals homes and food so that they die. nature can take care of herself if the management isn't evil, venal, avaricious and working for lumber profiteers. i want some changes here.

we are sick of this scheme that is played out all over this country. it needs to be stopped. you need to stop harming the taxpayers of this country so you can keep your jobs. the best way to take care of nature is to leave it alone. not assault and attack it continually with burning and pesticides and logging.

why are some trees growing in neat rows - you did that. nature does not do that. now you want to change that but you did it. are we hiring deranged planners?

on page 2 i see no reason at all where this forest should be assaulted so that local communities can live off it. let the local communities live off the ability of its residents and the private lands that they control. item 8 needs to be taken from this plan. let the community pay its own way instead of leaching.

on page 3 stop the commercial logging on 13,000 acres and the prescribed burning. the burning pollutes the air and brings on lung cancer, heart attacks, pneumonia, asthma and allergies. you have no right to pollute the air like this. those fine particulate pieces you release kill the american population as it blows eastward. stop all the tree thinning mentioned on page 3.

on page 4 i see no reason for more trails. this is an item that can be deferred. people can use the trails that are there. we don't need to keep cutting up the forest into small pieces highly used. i do not want expansion of parking places. the two top columns on page 4 are completely destructive to the forest.

this comment is for the public record. please receipt. jeanpublic jeanpublic1@yahoo.com