This file was created by scanning the printed publication.
Text errors identified by the software have been corrected;
however, some errors may remain.

Sonderdruck aus:

Silvae Genetica

J. D. Sauerländer's Verlag, Frankfurt a. M.

Silvae Genetica 46, (1997)

Silvae Genetica
is edited by the
Bundesforschungsanstalt für Forst- und Holzwirtschaft Hamburg
in collaboration with

Dr. M. Raj Ahuja
BFH – Institut für Forstgenetik
Siekerlandstrasse 2
D-22927 Grosshansdorf
Germany
Fax: +49-4102-696-200
e-mail: ahuja@aix0001.holz.uni-hamburg.de

Dr. Ph. Baradat
ENSAM-INRA, Laboratoire d'Arboriculture Fruitière
2, Place Perra Viala
F-34060 Montpellier Cedex 1
France
Fax: +33-04-9961-2616
e-mail: baradat@ensam.inra.fr

Dr. Richard Barnes
University of Oxford
Dept. of Plant Sciences
Oxford Forestry Institute
South Parks Road
Oxford OX1 3RB
United Kingdom
Fax: +44-1-865-275-074

Dr. Nuno M. G. Borralho
RAIZ Forest and Paper Research Institute,
Herdade da Torre Bela, Ap. 15,
2065 Alcoentre, Portugal
Fax: +351-63-44490
e-mail: raiz.cif@mail.telepac.pt

Dr. Dietrich Ewald
BFH – Institut für Forstpflanzenzüchtung
Eberswalder Chaussee 3
D-15377 Waldsieversdorf
Germany
Fax: +49-33433-66-199
e-mail: ewald@aixh0001.holz.uni-hamburg.de

Dr. Leonardo A. Gallo
INTA
E.E.A. – Bariloche
Unidad de Genética Forestal
Casilla de Correo 277
8400 Bariloche, Patagonia
Argentina
Fax: +54-944-24991
e-mail: lgallo@inta.gov.ar

Prof. Dr. Maciej Giertych
Polish Academy of Sciences
Institute of Dendrology
Parkowa 5
PL-62 035 Kórnik
Poland
Fax: +48-61-170166
e-mail: idkornik@pozni1v.tup.edu.pl

Prof. Dr. Hans-H. Hattemer
Abt. für Forstgenetik und Forstpflanzenzüchtung
Georg-August-Universität Göttingen
Büsgenweg 2
D-37077 Göttingen
Germany
Fax: +49-551-39-8367
e-mail: sfehrma@ufogen.uni-forst.gwdg.de

Silvae Genetica
herausgegeben von der
Bundesforschungsanstalt für Forst- und Holzwirtschaft Hamburg
unter Mitwirkung von

Prof. Dr. Martin Hubbes
Faculty of Forestry
University of Toronto
Earth Sciences Centre
33 Willcocks Street
Toronto, Ontario M5S 383
Canada
Fax: +1-416-978-3834

Dr. Keith W. Hutchison
University of Maine
Department of Biochemistry, Microbiology and Molecular Biology
5735 Hitchner Hall
Orono, Maine 04469-5735
USA
Fax: +1-207-581-2801
e-mail: keithh@maine.maine.edu

Dr. D. K. Khurana
Department of Tree Improvement
College of Forestry
Dr. Y. S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry
Nauni, Solan (H. P.) 173230
India
Fax: +91-1792-20225/52242

Prof. Dr. Edward G. Kirby
Department of Biology Sciences
Rutgers University
University Heights
Newark, New Jersey 07102
USA
Fax: +1-201-648-1007
e-mail: ekirby@andromeda.rutgers.edu

Dr. Veikko Koski
Finnish Forest Research Institute
P. O. Box 18
FIN-01301 Vantaa
Finland
Fax: +358-9-8570-5711
e-mail: veikko.koski@metla.fi

Prof. Dr. Dag Lindgren
SLU
Dept. of Forest Genetics & Plant Physiology
S-901 83 Umeå
Sweden
Fax: +46-90-165901
e-mail: dag.lindgren@genfys.slu.se

Prof. Dr. Csaba Mátyás
The University of Sopron
Dept. of Environmental Science
Ady Endre u. 5
P. O. B. 132
H-9401 Sopron
Hungary
Fax: +36-99-329840
e-mail: cm@efe.hu

Prof. Dr. Gerhard Müller-Starck
Lehrbereich Forstgenetik
Forstwissenschaftliche Fakultät
Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München
Am Hochanger 13
D-85354 Freising
Germany
Fax: +49-8161-71-4861
e-mail: mueller-starck@genetik.forst.uni-muenchen. de

Silvae Genetica
édite par
Bundesforschungsanstalt für Forst- und Holzwirtschaft Hamburg
avec la coopération de

Dr. David B. Neale
Institute of Forest Genetics
USDA Forest Service
Pacific Southwest Research Station
P. O. Box 245
Berkeley, CA 94701
USA
Fax: +1-510-559-6499
e-mail: dbn@s27w007.pswfs.gov

Dr. Kihachiro Ohba
Matsuba 1–5–8
Ryugasaki-shi
Ibaraki 301
Japan
Fax: +81-298-53-6617

Dr. Olof Olsson
Department of Molecular Biology
Göteborg University
Medicinaregatan 9c
S-41390 Göteborg
Sweden
Fax: +46-31-773-3801
e-mail: olof.olsson@genfys.slu.se

Dr. Hans Roulund
Den. Kgl. Veterinaer- og Landbohøjskole
Institut for Botanik, Dendrologi og Forstgenetik
Arboretet
Kirkegårdsvej 3A
DK-2970 Hørsholm
Denmark
Fax: +45-35-28-36-29
e-mail: arboretet@kvl.dk

Dr. Outi Savolainen
University of Oulu
Department of Biology
Linnanmaa PL 400
FIN-90571 Oulu
Finland
Fax: +358-8-553-1061
e-mail: outi.savolainen@oulu.fi

Dr. Scott E. Schlarbaum
Dept. of Forestry, Wildlife and Fisheries
The University of Tennessee
Institute of Agriculture
P. O. Box 1071
Knoxville, TN 37901-1071
USA
Fax: +1-423-974-4733
e-mail: tenntip@utk.edu

Dr. David G. Thompson
Coillte Teoranta
The Irish Forestry Board
Research Laboratory
Newtownmountkennedy
Co. Wicklow
Ireland
Fax: +353-1281-0465
e-mail: btdetal@iol.ie

Editorial Office: Hans-J. Muhs

Address: Siekerlandstrasse 2
D-22927 Grosshansdorf
Federal Republic of Germany
Tel.: 49/4102/696-0
Fax: 49/4102/696-200

Rules for publishing a manuscript in Silvae Genetica can be requested from the publishing house or from the editorial office.

Schriftleitung: Hans-J. Muhs

Adresse; Siekerlandstrasse 2
D-22927 Grosshansdorf
Bundesrepublik Deutschland
Tel.: 04102/696-0
Fax: 04102/696-200

Richtlinien zur Veröffentlichung eines Manuskriptes in Silvae Genetica können von der Redaktion oder dem Verlag angefordert werden.

Maison d'Edition: Hans-J. Muhs

Adresse: Siekerlandstrasse 2
D-22927 Grosshansdorf
République fédérale d'Allemagne
Tel.: 49/4102/696-0
Fax: 49/4102/696-200

Des instructions pour publier un manuscript dans Silvae Genetica peuvent être demandées à la rédaction ou à la maison d'édition.

Age Trends in Douglas-fir Genetic Parameters and Implications for Optimum Selection Age

By G. R. JOHNSON, R. A. SNIEZKO and N. L. MANDEL

U.S.D.A. Forest Service, Forestry Sciences Laboratory, Corvallis, OR 97331-4401, USA

(Received 16th June 1997)

Abstract

Trends in genetic variation were examined over 51 progeny test sites throughout western Oregon. Narrow sense heritabilities for height and diameter showed an increasing trend to age 25, the oldest age examined. Before age 10, height heritabilities were relatively unstable. Type B site-site genetic correlations increased slowly with age for height and remained relatively stable for diameter. Age-age correlations were used to develop an equation to predict age-age correlations by using the log of the age ratios (LAR). Optimum selection age was calculated for a 60-year rotation by using two measures of efficiency: gain per year and discounted gain. The optimum selection age for height tended to be 2 to 3 years earlier than for diameter. Gain per year was maximized at age 10 for height and age 13 for diameter.

Key words: heritability, age-age correlations, Type B genetic correlation, gain efficiency, *Pseudotsuga menziesii* (MIRB.) FRANCO.

FDC: 165.3; 165.6; 181.65; 181.79; 232.19; 174.7 *Pseudotsuga menziesii*; (795).

Introduction

Tree improvement activities started in the Pacific Northwest (USA and Canada) in the 1950's, with large-scale operational breeding programs for coastal Douglas-fir beginning in Oregon and Washington in the 1960's. Many of these programs are entering the second generation, and organizations are developing or revising tree improvement strategies. Accurate genetic information is needed to make optimal decisions during design of the second generation programs. Such information includes estimates of heritability and their trends with time, patterns of genetic variability over the landscape, the partitioning of genetic variation into additive and nonadditive components, and age-age correlations. A number of studies have documented this information for young trials (CAMPBELL *et al.*, 1985; KING *et al.;* 1988; MAGNUSSEN and YANCHUK, 1994; NAMKOONG *et al.*, 1972; ST.CLAIR, 1994; STONECYPHER *et al.*, 1996; WOODS *et al.*, 1995), but very little information is available for older ages. NAMKOONG *et al.* (1972) report on a 53-year-old study and MAGNUSSEN and YANCHUK (1994) report age-24 data. STONECYPHER *et al.* (1996) reported extensively on data before age 12. Although these studies provide important information, two do not have sufficient number of sites or families to thoroughly address the trends, and one (STONECYPHER *et al.*, 1996) reports only on data to age 11, except for age-age correlations to age 17.

The Northwest Tree Improvement Cooperative (NWTIC) has open-pollinated progeny tests covering a range of environments, for which growth data ranging from age 5 to 25 are available. The number, size, and age of these tests allow for a more thorough examination of genetic time trends in the Pacific Northwest than has been reported to date. The objectives of this study were to use these data to document the trends in heritability and Type B genetic correlations over time, establish age-age correlations, and determine optimum age of selection for NWTIC programs.

Materials and Methods

The study used data from six local Oregon breeding zones, which are part of the NWTIC. These zones were chosen because all had age-15 or older assessment data. The philosophy of the NWTIC breeding programs is described in SILEN and WHEAT (1979). In each breeding zone, 300 to 1,200 parent trees were chosen from natural stands. Parent trees were tested only within their own breeding zone by using open-pollinated seed. Therefore, each breeding zone represents a separate and unique breeding program. The field trials for these programs were established in a "reps-in-sets" design and established on 6 to 12 sites (*Table 1*). In such a design, the open-pollinated families were assigned to sets of 25 to 50 families. At each test site three to five replications of each set were planted together. This can be viewed as planting a number of separate progeny trials at each location. Families were established as two- to four-tree noncontiguous plots. Test details are shown in *table 1*.

In four of the breeding zones, three sets were "randomly" chosen for analysis. In some cases sets were excluded because of severe mortality or injury from animal browse. The analyses were limited to three sets for Vernonia, Umpqua Coast, and Burnt Woods because only three sets had information after age 15. Medford only had four sets, of which three were chosen. In the remaining two breeding zones (Snow Peak and Gold Beach), six sets were chosen, representing both high and low heritability sets.

Genetic Calculations

Narrow sense heritabilities were determined for each progeny test site by using the formula:

$$h^2 = (4\,\sigma^2_{family}) / (\sigma^2_{family} + \sigma^2_{within\ family})$$

This formula assumes that the open-pollinated families were truly half-sibs, such that $\sigma^2_{family} = 1/4$ the additive genetic

Table 1. – Progeny test and assessment information.

Breeding Zone	No. of Progeny Trials	Families/ Set	Reps / site	plot size	Assessment Ages[z]	
					Height	Diameter[y]
Vernonia	12	50	5[x]	2	7[w], 10, 15, 20, 25	7[v], 15, 20, 25
Umpqua Coast	7	30	4	4	7, 10, 15, 20	7, 15, 20
Burnt Woods	7	30	4	4	7, 10, 15, 20	7, 15, 20
Snow Peak High	9	30	3	4	5, 10, 15	
Gold Beach	10	30	3	4	5, 10, 15	
Medford – Grants Pass	6	30	5	4	5, 10, 15	
TOTAL	**51**	**600**				

[z]) Age is age from seed, not plantation age. Plantation age is one year less for Snow Peak and Gold Beach, 2 years less for the other breeding zones.
[y]) Age-7 is diameter above the root collar, all other ages is diameter at breast height (DBH; 4.5 ft).
[x]) 3 sites had only 2 replications.
[w]) Age-7 height on 5 of 12 sites.
[v]) Age-7 diameter on 9 of 12 sites.

variance (σ^2_a) and ignores bias from genotype-environment interaction (to be discussed later). Variance components were obtained by using SAS Varcomp procedure (SAS, 1990) from the following model:

$$y_{ijkl} = \mu + set_i + rep_{ij} + family_{ik} + error_{ijkl}$$

where, y_{ijkl} is l^{th} tree in the k^{th} family in the j^{th} rep in the i^{th} set,

μ is the population mean,

set_i is the effect of the i^{th} set,

rep_{ij} is the effect of the j^{th} replication in the i^{th} set,

$family_{ik}$ is the effect of the k^{th} family in the i^{th} set,

$error_{ijkl}$ is the pooled effect of the replication-by-family interaction for the j^{th} replication and k^{th} family in the i^{th} set, and the effect of the $ijkl^{th}$ tree, ie. the within plot variation. The rep · family component was included in the error term because there was no evidence of rep · family interactions.

The REML option (SAS, 1990) was used to estimate the variance components because maximum likelihood procedures, such as REML, are reported to be superior to ANOVA-based estimators when data are unbalanced (SEARLE *et al.*, 1992; SWALLOW and MONAHAN, 1984). The individual tree data all had some degree of imbalance as a result of mortality.

Heritability trends over time were examined by using relative heritabilities for each site. Relative heritabilities were constructed by setting the age-15 h^2 for each site to the overall average (0.195 for height, 0.203 for DBH) and determining the heritabilities for other ages according to the ratio of assessment-age h^2 to age-15 h^2:

$$\text{relative } h^2_{age\text{-}x} = (h^2_{age\text{-}x} / h^2_{age\text{-}15}) \cdot 0.195 \text{ for height (0.203 for DBH).}$$

The relative h^2 estimates were pooled over all sites to compare the time trends from this study with those found in prior studies.

The single-site approach used in the above equations gives biased estimates of heritabilities, because any genotype-environmental interaction is confounded in the estimate of the family variance component (COMSTOCK and MOLL, 1963). Genotype-environment interaction was examined in a separate set of analyses using Type B genetic correlations (BURDON, 1977). The Type B genetic correlation represents the site-to-site genetic correlation. By examining each pair-wise combination, it was possible to obtain an average Type B genetic correlation for each breeding zone and a standard deviation based on the multiple estimates.

Type B genetic correlations were computed for all pairs of tests within a breeding zone using the equation:

$$r_b = (\sigma^2_{family}) / (\sigma^2_{family} + \sigma^2_{family \cdot site}) \qquad \text{(BURDON, 1977)}$$

Variance components were obtained by using plot means which had been standardized by subtracting the site mean and dividing by the site's phenotypic standard deviation. The model used was:

$$y_{ijkm} = \mu + set_i + site_{im} + rep_{imj} + family_{ik} + family \cdot site_{imk} + error_{jkm}$$

where, y_{ijkm} is plot mean for the k^{th} family in the j^{th} rep in the i^{th} set at the m^{th} site

μ is the population mean,

set_i is the effect of the i^{th} set,

$site_{im}$ is the effect of the m^{th} site in the i^{th} set,

rep_{imj} is the effect of the j^{th} replication at the m^{th} site in the i^{th} set,

$family_{ik}$ is the effect of the k^{th} family in the i^{th} set,

$family \cdot site_{imk}$ is the interaction between the k^{th} family and the m^{th} site in the i^{th} set, and

$error_{ijkm}$ is the effect of the three-way interaction in the i^{th} set, which for plot means, is the overall error term.

Age-age genetic correlations were estimated for each breeding zone using the formula:

$$r_g = \sigma_{family(younger\ age,\ older\ age)} / (\sigma^2_{family\ (younger\ age)} \cdot \sigma^2_{family\ (older\ age)})^{0.5}$$

where, r_g is the genetic correlation between the younger and older age,

$\sigma_{family(younger\ age,\ older\ age)}$ is the family covariance between two ages,

$\sigma^2_{family\ (younger\ age)}$ is the family variance for the younger age,

$\sigma^2_{family\ (older\ age)}$ is the family variance for the older age.

Variance and covariance components were estimated with adjusted plot-means and used the SAS Varcomp procedure TYPE1 option (SAS, 1990). These ANOVA-based estimators were selected because computer limitations did not allow for use of the REML option. The data were relatively balanced because the analyses used plot means. ANOVA-based estimators are of minimum variance (like maximum likelihood estimates) when data are balanced (READ, 1961). The analyses were performed over all sites within a breeding zone and were done individually for each of the three sets by using the model:

$$y_{jkm} = \mu + site_m + rep(site)_{mj} + family_k + family \cdot site_{mk} + error_{jkm}$$

This model provided three estimates of age-age correlations for four zones and six estimates for two zones (corresponding to the number of sets). Having a minimum of three zones for each age-age correlation provided a minimum of nine (maximum of 24) estimates from which the standard deviation was calculated. In calculating means and standard deviations, the Snow Peak and Gold Beach data were given a weight of 0.5 in order to have equal representation among breeding zones.

NAMKOONG and KANG (1990) and KANG (1991) demonstrated that the age-age correlation is a function of the variance of the younger measurements relative to the older measurements and the correlation of the early measurement with the subsequent growth. The components of the age-age correlation as reported in KANG (1991) were derived as follows. X_i is a measurement at time t for tree i, and X'_i is a measurement at time $t + \triangle t$. S_i and S'_i are X and X' variables standardized by the standard deviation of X' ($S_i = X_i / \sigma_{x'i}$). D_i is the difference between S_i and S'_i ($D_i = S'_i - S_i$). From these variables KANG shows that the correlation between the two measurements is:

$$r_{SS'} = \sigma_S + (\sigma_{SD} / \sigma_S)$$

Family means from the three breeding zones with age-20 height data were used to examine the standardized variances at each age and the correlation of height growth with subsequent growth to age 20.

Multiple regression was run on the age-age genetic correlation estimates to develop a equation to estimate age-age correlations based on the natural log of the age ratio (LAR = ln (younger age / older age)) as developed by LAMBETH (1980):

$r_{g(younger\ age,\ older\ age)} = \hat{a} + b \cdot LAR$. Again, information from Gold Beach and Snow Peak was given weights of 0.5 so that each breeding zone had equal weight. Equations were developed for height and diameter but not volume. Volume estimates were available only for two ages in two breeding zones and three in a third, thereby limiting the number of data points available for developing an equation. The resulting equations were used to estimate age-age genetic correlations with rotation age. The estimated genetic correlation with rotation age was used to estimate the genetic gain ($\triangle G$) from family selection for each selection age from the equation for indirect selection (FALCONER, 1989):

$$\triangle G = i\ r_g\ h_{selection\ age} h_{rotation\ age}\ \sigma_{P\ rotation\ age}$$

where, i is selection intensity,

r_g is the estimated age-age correlation between selection age and rotation,

$h_{selection\ age}$ is the square root of family mean heritability at selection age,

$h_{rotation\ age}$ is the square root of family mean heritability at rotation.

$\sigma^2_{P\ rotation\ age}$ is the phenotypic variance at rotation age.

To adjust for genotype-environmental interaction and obtain unbiased estimates of heritability, the selection age heritability was multiplied by the Type B genetic correlation, which yielded the following equation:

$$\triangle G = i\ r_g\ (r_b\ h^2_{selection\ age})^{0.5}\ h_{rotation\ age}\ \sigma_{P\ rotation\ age}$$

Gain efficiency (GE) is defined as the amount of gain per year and is simply:

$$GE = \triangle G / (\text{selection age} + \text{number of years to breed})$$

Because selection ages are compared relative to the same rotation age, the equation can be simplified for comparison purposes to:

$$GE = r_g (r_b h^2_{selection\ age})^{0.5} / (\text{selection age} + \text{number of years to breed})$$

The number of years to breed is the time from making selections until the first progeny tests are sown. The growth efficiency (GE) of family selection was examined for height and DBH at specific ages by using the above equation. A 5-year crossing period was assumed for calculating the GE. Family mean heritabilities were calculated from narrow sense heritabilities to represent family mean heritabilities for progeny trials with 30 individuals per family (n) by using the equation:

$$h^2_{family\ mean} = [1 + 1/4\ (n-1)]h^2 / [1 + 1/4\ (n-1)h^2] \quad \text{(FALCONER, 1989)}$$

Age-age correlations were extrapolated to a rotation age of 60 by using the results of the regression of age-age correlation on LAR. This required extrapolation of the data rather than interpolation. This we felt was justified because the LAMBETH relationship is to a large degree a function of the early growth being a component of the total growth at rotation (NAMKOONG and KANG, 1990; KANG, 1991). This part of the age-age correlation holds regardless of age. It should also be noted that the trait of most interest is volume at rotation, not 25-year volume which is a minor component of overall gain.

For ease in comparisons, GEs were changed to relative efficiencies (Q), which for this paper are the ratio of a GE at a given age divided by the GE for age 10.

Relative efficiency (Q') also was calculated in a method similar to the one described by WHITE and HODGE (1992). Instead of gain per year, WHITE and HODGE examined discounted gains relative to discounted gains at a specific selection age. We discounted gains for each selection age by using the equation:

$$\text{discounted gain} = r_g\ (r_b\ h^2_{selection\ age})^{0.5} / (1 + d)^t$$

where d is the discount rate and t the selection age. Q' was then calculated as discounted gain for a selection age divided by discounted gain for selection at age 10. Discount rates of 4%, 6%, and 8% were examined.

Results and Discussion

Narrow sense heritabilities (h^2) for each of the 51 progeny trials are shown in *tables 2a* and *2b*. In general there is an increasing trend in heritability with time. An exception is for height during the period before age 10. Four breeding zones (Umpqua Coast, Burnt Woods, Snow Peak, and Medford) showed a decrease in heritability from the youngest measurement to the age-10 measurements; the other two zones showed the opposite trend. Heritability decreased from the youngest age to age 10 at 23 of 44 sites. In contrast, all six breeding zones showed an increase in heritability from age 10 to 15; only 12 of 51 progeny trials showed a decrease in heritability (not tested statistically). It seems that at very young ages, the trend in heritability is relatively unstable, but a relatively stable trend exists for increasing heritability after age 10. Regression analyses performed on adjusted heritabilities indicated a statistically significant increase in heritability with age for both diameter and height. The dip in age-10 height heritability was statistically significant as indicated by a significant age-squared component. This dip in heritability conforms to the model proposod by FRANKLIN (1979), where the dip comes at a point when progeny tests move from the juvenile genotypic phase to the mature genotypic phase. The increasing trend in heritability was more profound for diameter than height as indicated by a higher r^2. The final equations including only significant effects were:

$$h^2_{height} = 0.238 - (0.0115 \cdot age) + (0.00058 \cdot age^2) \qquad r^2 = 0.094$$

$$h^2_{DBH} = 0.077 + (0.0083 \cdot age) \qquad r^2 = 0.344$$

The trend for increasing heritability is similar to that found in other Douglas-fir studies that examined traditional progeny tests (ie. not farm field trials) (*Figure 1*). All the studies in *figure 1* show an increasing trend between the ages of 12 and 23. Before age 12, the pattern is mixed, similar to the results found among the progeny trials in this study. A dip in heritability similar to that shown here was found in NAMKOONG *et al.* (1972) (*Figure 1*). A reason for the later dip in heritability for the NAMKOONG *et al.* study could be that its growth rate was significantly slower than those examined in this study, and stand development therefore would progress slower.

The Type B site-to-site genetic correlations were relatively strong, usually averaging over 0.65 (*Table 3*). There was a statistically significant trend of an increase in Type B correlations with age for height (Type B = 0.571 + 0.010 age, $\alpha = 0.0174$, $r^2 = 0.25$), but not for DBH (*Table 3*). Breeding zone influenced the intercept of the line, but not the slope. Although

Table 2a. – Heritabilities for height over 6 breeding zones.

Breeding zone	Site	Age of assessment (years)					
		5	7	10	15	20	25
Vernonia	1		0.16	0.25	0.20	0.11	0.16
	2		0.14	0.13	0.33	0.37	0.37
	3		0.22	0.25	0.23	0.23	0.24
	4		0.16	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.13
	5		0.05	0.07	0.07	0.25	0.09
	6			0.12	0.12	0.16	0.21
	7			0.08	0.16	0.11	0.15
	8			0.17	0.09	0.06	0.15
	9			0.14	0.14	0.04	0.11
	10			0.06	0.08	0.13	0.17
	11			0.05	0.06	0.16	0.28
	12			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02
	Mean		**0.15**	**0.13 (0.18)[z]**	**0.14 (0.21)**	**0.15 (0.23)**	**0.17 (0.20)**
Umpqua	1		0.34	0.22	0.23	0.26	
Coast	2		0.28	0.20	0.18	0.28	
	3		0.19	0.19	0.24	0.24	
	4		0.14	0.12	0.09	0.14	
	5		0.13	0.21	0.25	0.22	
	6		0.24	0.25	0.29	0.32	
	7		0.19	0.23	0.22	0.30	
	Mean		**0.22**	**0.20**	**0.22**	**0.25**	
Burnt	1		0.40	0.25	0.20	0.13	
Woods	2		0.34	0.24	0.20	0.17	
	3		0.42	0.30	0.39	0.37	
	4		0.15	0.00	0.05	0.18	
	5		0.19	0.21	0.20	0.16	
	6		0.19	0.16	0.23	0.29	
	7		0.07	0.08	0.06	0.07	
	Mean		**0.25**	**0.18**	**0.19**	**0.20**	
Snow	1	0.36		0.32	0.38		
Peak	2	0.43		0.38	0.44		
	3	0.26		0.20	0.24		
	4	0.17		0.16	0.19		
	5	0.24		0.26	0.31		
	6	0.65		0.23	0.38		
	7	0.27		0.10	0.22		
	8	0.32		0.22	0.22		
	9	0.01		0.12	0.24		
	Mean	**0.30**		**0.22**	**0.24**		
Gold	1	0.18		0.32	0.41		
Beach	2	0.28		0.27	0.25		
	3	0.16		0.19	0.17		
	4	0.02		0.06	0.12		
	5	0.08		0.03	0.09		
	6	0.02		0.08	0.12		
	7	0.20		0.29	0.32		
	8	0.11		0.19	0.26		
	9	0.24		0.05	0.09		
	10	0.16		0.17	0.19		
	Mean	**0.14**		**0.17**	**0.20**		
Medford - G.P.	1	0.15		0.05	0.11		
	2	0.12		0.07	0.07		
	3	0.14		0.12	0.15		
	4	0.18		0.20	0.17		
	5	0.10		0.08	0.11		
	6	0.07		0.12	0.18		
	Mean	**0.13**		**0.11**	**0.13**		

[z]) Means in parenthesis are of the progeny sites that are common to the sites with age-7 data for Veronia

the increase is slight, it appears that older ages yield higher Type B correlations. Similar trends have been shown in slash pine; with one study showing a slight increase in Type B correlations with age (DIETERS *et al.*, 1995) and another showing a relatively constant level (HODGE and WHITE, 1992).

Age-age genetic correlations were relatively strong (*Table 4*). As expected, as time between assessment ages decreased, the genetic correlation between the assessments increased. More variation was evident in correlations using the early assessments, as can be seen by the larger standard deviations for correlations involving age-5 height and age-7 diameter. Further examination showed that the Medford breeding zone had lower than average age-age correlations, which both lowered the mean age-age correlation and substantially added to the

Table 2b. – Heritabilities of diameter over 3 breeding zones.

		Assessment age (years)			
Breeding zone	Site	7	15	20	25
Vernonia	1	0.12	0.26	0.26	0.29
	2	0.11	0.15	0.28	0.30
	3	0.15	0.18	0.33	0.30
	4	0.03	0.15	0.19	0.26
	5	0.20	0.19	0.19	0.23
	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	7	0.05	0.08	0.13	0.13
	8	0.00	0.22	0.20	0.26
	9	0.19	0.11	0.07	0.06
	10		0.30	0.25	0.34
	11		0.14	0.14	0.14
	12		0.04	0.07	0.09
	Mean	**0.09**	**0.15**	**0.18**	**0.20**
Umpqua	1	0.30	0.36	0.33	
Coast	2	0.28	0.26	0.31	
	3	0.18	0.20	0.26	
	4	0.12	0.17	0.15	
	5	0.00	0.18	0.22	
	6	0.22	0.32	0.34	
	7	0.13	0.21	0.22	
	Mean	**0.18**	**0.24**	**0.26**	
Burnt	1	0.32	0.25	0.23	
Woods	2	0.15	0.33	0.33	
	3	0.37	0.41	0.48	
	4	0.01	0.09	0.11	
	5	0.26	0.26	0.24	
	6	0.13	0.31	0.37	
	7	0.00	0.10	0.22	
	Mean	**0.18**	**0.25**	**0.28**	



Figure 1. – Narrow sense height heritability trends for plantation grown Coastel Douglas-fir.

Table 3. – Type B, site-to-site genetic correlations averaged over each breeding zone for the traits height and diameter.

Age	Breeding zone						Mean	
	Vernonia	Umpqua Coast	Burnt Woods	Snow Peak	Gold Beach	Medford - G.P.	All	Vernonia Ump.Coa. B. Woods
Height								
5 / 7	0.615	0.742	0.673	0.555	0.708	0.604	0.649	0.677
10	0.761	0.802	0.632	0.651	0.698	0.423	0.661	0.731
15	0.785	0.839	0.698	0.776	0.700	0.508	0.718	0.774
20	0.828	0.756	0.769					0.784
25	0.803							
Diameter								
7	0.706	0.735	0.674					0.705
15	0.609	0.743	0.697					0.683
20	0.582	0.742	0.653					0.659
25	0.591							

standard deviation estimate. Removal of the Medford data resulted in age-age correlations of 0.82 and 0.72 for the correlations of age 5 with 10 and 15, respectively. Respective standard deviations were 0.05 and 0.13.

The age-20 family mean height data shows that as ages become closer, the overriding factor affecting the age-age correlation is the standard deviation (variance) of the earlier measurement rather than the correlation of the earlier measurement with the additional growth (*Table 5*). The correlation with the additional growth decreases, but the age-age correlation continues to increase due to the increased variance of the earlier measurement.

The relation among site index (height at age 15), heritability of age-15 height, site survival, and the age 10 to 15 genetic correlation was examined for the 51 progeny tests. The only significant correlation found was between site heritability and survival ($r = 0.36$, $\alpha = 0.01$). A similar relation was found in loblolly pine (NCSU-ICTIP, 1995). No other significant correlations were found, thereby implying that site index did not affect the genetic components of the trials. In similar analyses with slash pine, no significant correlation between site class and heritability was found (DIETERS et al., 1995; HODGE and WHITE, 1992). Other factors should be examined in the future to determine the key environmental components affecting heritability.

Table 4. – Age-age genetic correlations averaged over 6 breeding zones, with standard deviation of the estimates in parenthesis for the traits height and diameter.

Younger age	Older age (years)			
	10	15	20	25
Height				
5	0.69 (0.17)	0.63 (0.16)		
7	0.97 (0.08)	0.85 (0.07)	0.79 (0.09)	0.64 (0.21)
10		0.94 (0.04)	0.90 (0.09)	0.74 (0.05)
15			0.99 (0.03)	0.93 (0.04)
20				0.97 (0.03)
Diameter				
7		0.83 (0.16)	0.74 (0.14)	0.56 (0.21)
15			0.98 (0.02)	0.87 (0.02)
20				0.95 (0.03)

The regression of height age-age correlations on LAR using all six breeding zones resulted in a statistically significant relation ($\alpha = 0.0001$), but with a relatively poor r^2:

$$r = 1.086 + 0.359 \text{ LAR} \qquad (r^2 = 0.438)$$

There was a significant zone-by-LAR interaction. The model r^2 increased to 0.706 when the interaction was added. The significant interaction implies that not any single equation would suffice for the Pacific Northwest. This is in contrast to LAMBETH's (1980) results, which indicated one equation was broadly applicable. Further examination of our data showed the interaction was a result of the Medford data. When the regressions were run without Medford, the zone-by-LAR interaction dropped out of the model and resulted in the following equation:

$$r = 1.077 + 0.309 \text{ LAR} \qquad (r^2 = 0.541)$$

The above equation was used to estimate the genetic correlation of assessment age with rotation (age 60) for calculating relative efficiency.

The equation for the diameter assessments of three breeding zones was very similar to that of height:

$$r = 1.064 + 0.329 \text{ LAR} \qquad (r^2 = 0.487)$$

Relative efficiency (Q) for height using the previously mentioned equations for heritability and age-age correlations

Table 5. – Family mean age-age correlation, correlations with additional growth to age 20, and the standard deviation of standardized family means (s) for 3 ages.

	Correlation with age-20 height			Correlation with additional growth to age 20			Standardized standard deviation		
Breeding Unit	age-7	age-10	age-15	age-7	age-10	age-15	age-7	age-10	age-15
Vernonia - set 1	0.514	0.742	0.942	0.986	0.921	0.661	0.175	0.421	0.805
Vernonia - set 2	0.688	0.856	0.950	0.986	0.936	0.721	0.206	0.456	0.789
Vernonia - set 3	0.589	0.660	0.908	0.985	0.903	0.643	0.191	0.418	0.794
Ump. Coast - set 1	0.692	0.879	0.959	0.513	0.323	-0.060	0.890	0.960	1.061
Ump. Coast - set 2	0.767	0.884	0.959	0.272	0.319	0.456	1.054	0.960	0.906
Ump. Coast - set 3	0.727	0.896	0.943	0.653	0.546	0.446	0.758	0.844	0.901
Burnt Woods - set 1	0.678	0.879	0.948	0.964	0.952	0.848	0.299	0.424	0.686
Burnt Woods - set 2	0.716	0.815	0.945	0.952	0.909	0.766	0.345	0.480	0.749
Burnt Woods - set 3	0.791	0.884	0.977	0.973	0.944	0.870	0.295	0.451	0.741
Mean	0.685	0.833	0.948	0.809	0.750	0.595	0.468	0.601	0.826

over time is shown in *figure 2*. Height Q (i.e., gain per year) was maximized by selecting at age 10. Relative efficiencies were very similar for ages 8 through 14, implying that little would be lost if selection occurred within this range. Altering the equation for height to include the Medford data shifted the height curve to the right, because the Medford data implied that early selections were poorly correlated with older selections. Increasing rotation age also shifted the curves so that older ages became more efficient. As expected, earlier rotation ages gave earlier maximums, age 9 maximized Q for 50-year rotations, and age 7 for 40-year rotations. When Q' (discounted gain) was examined, the optimum selection age ranged from age 9 (discount rate = 8%) to age 18 (discount rate = 4%) (*Figure 2*). Although the Q' maximums were similar to the Q maximum for discount rates of 6% and 8% (age 9 and 11, respectively), the Q' lines for these discount rates showed a very limited range of ages having values close to the maximum. Efficiencies dropped off quickly after the curve peaked, unlike the curve for Q, which had a broad range of near optimum selection ages.

The Q for diameter selection was maximized at a later age than that for height (age 13 vs. age 10) and also had a broad range of selection ages that gave close to optimal REs (*Figure 3*). It is reasonable for DBH to have an older maximum than height, because DBH cannot be measured accurately in young stands just passing breast height in total height.

The Q' maximums for DBH also were larger than the Q' maximums for height when using 6% and 8% discount rates (ages 9 and 11, respectively). The 4% DBH Q' maximum was less than that for height (age 16 vs age 18).

These results, especially the gain per year calculations (Q), are similar to recommendations made by STONECYPHER *et al.* (1996); based on results from numerous Douglas-fir studies, they state that "early growth measurements (8 to 15-years) provide a reliable basis for ranking families and/or sources for performance and stability."

The optimum selection ages fall within the range of our data, therefore the estimates of heritability are probably reliable. The estimated correlation with age-60 cannot be verified since



Figure 2. – Relative efficiencies for selecting height at different ages using gain per vear (Q), and discounted gain (Q') using discount rates of 0.04, 0.06 and 0.08 (standardized to age-10 efficiency = 100).



Figure 3. – Relative efficiencies for selecting DBH at different ages, using gain per year (Q), and discounted gain (Q') using discount rates of 0.04, 0.06 and 0.08 (standardized to gain from age-10 selection).

it is outside the range of our data. Data to age 53 was available from NAMKOONG *et al.* (1972) and was used to examine the impact of using data younger than rotation age. Using their correlations of deviations in plot error (their *table 6*), LAR equations were developed for all data and for only data less than age-25. The equation for all the data was:

Age-age correlation = 1.0035 + (0.4010 · LAR) (r^2 = 0.7587, α = 0.0001)

The equation for using only the data less than age-25 was:

Age-age correlation = 1.0315 + (0.6034 · LAR) (r^2 = 0.7716, α = 0.0001)

Both equations accounted for about the same amount of variation, but the equation using only the younger data yielded significantly smaller correlations than the equation using all the data. This single example (with a limited statistical design) suggests that the estimated correlations with age-60 may be low. If this were the case, then the theoretical optimum selection ages would be younger than what was previously stated.

It should also be noted that the equations developed in this paper with the NWTIC data yield larger age-age correlations than even the equation using all the NAMKOONG *et al.* (1973) data. Data was also available on provenance DBHs from another test site of the series investigated by NAMKOONG *et al.* (1973) (SILEN, unpublished data). The ages available were 29, 41, 51, 61, 71, and 81. Analysis of the age-age correlations of provenance means resulted in the following equation:

Age-age correlation = 1.0133 + (0.2617 · LAR) (r^2 = 0.7565, α =0.0001)

This equation is not statistically different from the one developed in this paper with NWTIC data.

From a growth perspective, breeding programs should be increasing volume, not only height or diameter. Genetic correlations of height and diameter with age-20 volume averaged over three sets for three breeding zones are shown in *table 6*. Correlations became relatively stable after age 10 for height and age 15 for diameter. Calculation of REs for these genetic correlations using heritabilities previously calculated show that the most efficient age for these points is age 7. Two points should be made: (1) this early optimum considers "rotation age" to be 20 years, which is unrealistic; and (2) even though the averages may imply this to be an optimum selection age, the relatively unstable heritabilities and age-age correlations for the younger ages imply that risks may be too great. MAGNUSSEN and YANCHUK (1993) point out that optimum selection age tends to be older than that calculated with averages when one considers risks in their calculations.

Table 6. – Genetic correlations of height and diameter with age-20 volume. Correlations are means of 3 sets from 3 breeding cooperatives. Standard deviations are in parenthesis.

Trait	Assessment age (years)			
	7	10	15	20
Height	0.781 (0.071)	0.828 (0.094)	0.843 (0.104)	0.854 (0.087)
Diameter	0.685 (0.148)		0.959 (0.015)	0.992 (0.011)

Previous studies have shown that optimum age for family selection is earlier than that for within family selection when dealing with traditional progeny tests (LAMBETH *et al.*, 1983; MAGNUSSEN and YANCHUK, 1993). It therefore appears that the optimum age for within family selection couid be later than the optimums calculated above for family selection. Use of two-stage selection is a logical alternative. Because several years are needed to develop breeding orchards after selections are made, one could select a number of individuals within the best families at the optimum age for family selection (age 10 for height) and then rogue the breeding orchard based on later assessments. Later assessments would provide trees large enough to give reasonable heritabilities for DBH and allow for thorough assessment of candidate trees for form and health.

Literature

BURDON, R. D.: Genetic correlation as a concept for studying genotype-environmental interaction in forest tree breeding. Silvae Genet. **26**: 168–175 (1977). — CAMPBELL, R. K., ECHOLS, R. M. and STONECYPHER, R. W.: Genetic variances and interactions in 9-year-old Douglas-fir

grown at narrow spacings. Silvae Genet. **35**(1): 24–32 (1985). — COMSTOCK, R. E. and MOLL, R. H.: Genotype-environmental interactions. *In*: Statistical genetics and plant breeding. *Edited by* R. E. HANSEN and H. R. ROBINSON. National Academy of Sciences and National Research Council, Washington D.C. Publ. 982. pp. 169–194 (1963). — DIETERS, M. J., WHITE, T. L. and HODGE, G. R.: Genetic parameter estimates for volume from full-sib tests of slash pine (*Pinus elliottii*). Can. J. For. Res. **25**: 1397–1408 (1995). — FALCONER, D. S.: Introduction to quantitative genetics. 3rd. Ed. Longman Scientific and Technical, Longman Group, Hong Kong (1989). — FRANKLIN, E. C.: Model relating level of genetic variance to stand development of four North American conifers. Silvae Genet. **28**(5–6): 207–212 (1979). — HODGE, G. R. and WHITE, T. L.: Genetic parameter estimates for growth traits at different ages in slash pine and some implications for breeding. Silvae Genet. **41**: 252–262 (1992). — KANG, G.: Components of juvenile-mature correlations in forest trees. Theor. Appl. Genet. **81**:173–184 (1991). — KING, J. N., YEH, F. C. and HEAMAN, J. C. H.: Selection of growth and yield traits in controlled crosses of coastal Douglas-fir. Silvae Genet. **37**(3–4): 158–164 (1988). — LAMBETH, C. C.: Juvenile-mature correlations in Pinaceae and implications for early selection. For. Sci. **26**: 571–580 (1980). — LAMBETH, C. C., VAN BUIJTENEN, J. P., DUKE, S. D. and MCCULLOUGH, R. B.: Early selection is effective in 20-year-old genetic tests of loblolly pine. Silvae Genet. **32**(5–6): 210–215 (1983). — MAGNUSSEN, S. and YANCHUK, A. D: Selection age and risk: finding the compromise. Silvae Genet. **42**(1): 25–40 (1993). — MAGNUSSEN, S. and YANCHUK, A. D.: Time trends of predicted breeding values in selected crosses of coastal Douglas-fir in British Columbia: a methodological study. For. Sci. **40**(4): 663–685 (1994). — NAMKOONG, G. and KANG, H.: Quantitative genetics of forest trees. *In:* Plant breeding reviews, Vol. 8. J. Janick, ed. p. 139–188 (1990). — NAMKOONG, G., USANIS, R. A. and SILEN, R. R.: Age-related variation in genetic control of height growth in Douglas-fir. Theor. Appl. Gen. **42**: 151–159 (1972). — North Carolina State University – Industry Cooperative Tree Improvement Program: Annual Report. Dept. Of Forestry, N. C. State Univ., Raleigh, NC. p. 14–15 (1995). — READ, R. R.: On quadratic estimates of the interclass variance for unbalanced designs. J. Royal Statist. Soc. B. **23**: 493–497 (1961). — SAS Institute Inc.: SAS/STAT User's Guide. Version 6. 4th Edition. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc. (1990). — SEARLE, S. R., CASELLA, G. and MCCULLOCH, C. E.: Variance Components. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York. 501p. (1992). — SILEN, R. R. and WHEAT, J. G.: Progressive tree improvement program in coastal Douglas-fir. Jour. of For. **77**(2): 78–83 (1979). — ST.CLAIR, J. B.: Genetic variation in tree structure and its relation to size in Douglas-fir. l. Biomass partitioning, foliage efficiency, stem form, and wood density. Can. J. For. Res. **24**: 1226–1235 (1994). — STONECYPHER, R. W., PIESCH, R. F., HELLAND, G. G., CHAPMAN, J. G. and RENO, H. J.: Results from genetic tests of selected parents of Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* (MIRB.) FRANCO), in an applied tree improvement program. For. Sci. Monogr. **32**: 1–35 (1996). — SWALLOW, W. H. and MONAHAN, J. F.: Monte Carlo comparison of ANOVA, MIVQUE, REML, and ML estimators of variance components. Technometrics **26**(1): 47–57 (1984). — WHITE, T. L. and HODGE, G. R.: Test designs and optimum age for parental selection in advanced-generation progeny tests of slash pine. Silvae Genet. **41** 293–302 (1992). — WOODS, J. H., KOLOTELO, D. and YANCHUK, A. D.: Early selection of coastal Douglas-fir in a farm-field test environment. Silvae Genet. **44**: 178–186 (1995).

Silvae Genetica is an international journal, which continues *Zeitschrift für Forstgenetik und Forstpflanzenzüchtung* (Journal of Forest Genetics and Forest Tree Breeding) founded by W. LANGNER in 1951. In Silvae Genetica original articles, short notes, reviews, announcements and reports on meetings and congresses on Forest Tree Breeding and Genetics and related fields are published in German, English or French.

Reproduction of contributions is not permitted; reproduction of illustrations is permitted only with the approval of the author and the publisher.

Authors should send two copies of their manuscript to one of the regional co-editors, generally close to the institute from which the article originates. Authors are however free to select an appropriate co-editor who should be a native speaker of the language of the paper and familar with the subject matter.

Original articles, including tables, illustrations and literature must not exceed six printed pages (equivalent to 24 typed pages with 30 lines at double spacing and with a 5 cm border). Longer papers can only be accepted in exceptional cases. The same applies to extra costs for special plates. – In preparing the manuscript authors are asked to conform to the following arrangements. The title page should contain the following information: a) Title of the paper; b) Christian and surnames of the authors; c) Institute where the article has been written; d) if necessary, the present address of the authors as footnote; e) up to 10 key words to facilitate referencing of the paper; and on a separate special page; f) a summary in the original language of the paper and one in English, if that is not the original language of the paper.

For financial and technical reasons tables, figures and mathematical terms or formulae must be submitted separately from the text and ready for photoprinting. This applies especially to mathematical formulae which should be numbered and brought together in the finished state into one or few groups.

Manuscripts ready for printing will be registered the month they reach the editorial office in Grosshansdorf. They will be published in the order of their receipt. Their rearrangement for technical reasons is the prerogative of the editorial manager.

Short notes may be up to one page in length (about 4 pages of typescript) and should also be classified by some key words. They will be published as soon as possible.

Galley proofs of original articles and short notes will be sent to authors for correction. They must be returned with the least possible delay. The only corrections permitted are those arising during typesetting. No amendments of the manuscript are permitted. Corrections on announcements, reports, and reviews will be made in the editorial office.

Reprints: Authors obtain, free of charge, up to 30 reprints of their articles. Additional reprints may be purchased if ordered in advance from the publisher.

Publication schedule: 6 numbers each year.

Silvae Genetica ist eine internationale Zeitschrift; sie setzt die im Jahre 1951 von W. LANGNER begründete *Zeitschrift für Forstgenetik und Forstpflanzenzüchtung* fort. Silvae Genetica veröffentlicht Originalarbeiten, Kurzmitteilungen, Besprechungen sowie Hinweise und Berichte über Tagungen und Kongresse über Genetik und Züchtung sowie verwandte Fachgebiete in deutscher, englischer oder französischer Sprache, soweit sie für Forstgenetik und Forstpflanzenzüchtung von Bedeutung sind.

Der Nachdruck der Beiträge ist nicht gestattet; der Nachdruck von Abbildungen nur mit Genehmigung des Verfassers und des Verlages.

Manuskripte werden in zweifacher Ausfertigung im allgemeinen an einen der regionalen Mitarbeiter in der Nähe der Institution erbeten, aus der der Artikel stammt. Autoren sind jedoch frei, einen entsprechenden Mitarbeiter zur Durchsicht ihrer Arbeit zu wählen. Er sollte die Sprache, in der der Artikel abgefaßt ist, als Muttersprache beherrschen und mit dem bearbeiteten Problem vertraut sein.

Originalarbeiten einschließlich Tabellen, Abbildungen und Literaturhinweisen sollen nicht über 6 Druckseiten hinausgehen. (Dieser Umfang entspricht ungefähr 24 Schreibmaschinenseiten mit 30 Zeilen mit doppeltem Abstand und 5 cm Rand). Längere Arbeiten können nur in Ausnahmefällen angenommen werden. Das gleiche gilt für spezielle Druckverfahren. – Die Anordnung der Manuskripte soll in der für Silvae Genetica üblichen Form geschehen. Die Titelseite soll die folgenden Informationen aufweisen: a) Titel der Arbeit, b) Vor- und Zunamen der Autoren, c) Institut, in dem die Arbeit entstanden ist, d) wenn notwendig, die gegenwärtige Anschrift der Verfasser als Fußnote, e) bis zu 10 Schlagworte zur Charakterisierung des Inhalts der Arbeit und zur leichteren bibliographischen Erfassung und außerdem auf besonderem Blatt, f) eine Zusammenfassung in der Originalsprache der Arbeit und eine weitere in englisch, wenn dies nicht die Originalsprache der Arbeit ist.

Aus finanziellen und technischen Gründen sollen Tabellen, Abbildungen und mathematische Ausdrücke oder Formeln getrennt vom Text als druckfertige Klischee-Vorlagen für den Photo-Druck eingereicht werden. Dies gilt besonders auch für im Text vorkommende mathematische Ausdrücke, die mit entsprechender Kennzeichnung (Numerierung) in einer oder wenigen Klischee-Vorlagen zusammengefaßt werden sollen.

Druckfertige Manuskripte werden mit dem Monat ihres Eingangs in die Schriftleitung in Grosshansdorf registriert und in der Reihenfolge ihres Eingangs veröffentlicht. Aus technischen Gründen kann der Redakteur jedoch eine andere Entscheidung treffen.

Kurzmitteilungen können bis zu einer Druckseite (etwa 4 Schreibmaschinenseiten) lang sein und sollten ebenfalls mit einigen Schlagworten charakterisiert werden. Sie erscheinen baldmöglichst außer der Reihe.

Druckfahnen von Originalarbeiten und Kurzmitteilungen werden den Autoren zur Korrektur zugesandt. Sie sollen unter Verwendung der international üblichen Symbole korrigiert und möglichst umgehend an den Verlag zurückgeschickt werden. Dabei sind nur solche Fehler zu korrigieren erlaubt, die auf fehlerhaften Satz zurückzuführen sind. Änderungen des Manuskripts sind nicht gestattet. Die Korrekturen von Ankündigungen, Berichten

Silvae Genetica est un Periodique international qui est la suite de *Zeitschrift für Forstgenetik und Forstpflanzenzüchtung* (Journal of Forest Genetics and Forest Tree Breeding) fondé en 1951 par W. LANGNER et publie des articles originaux, en allemand, anglais ou français, ainsi que des Notes et des mises au point annonces et des compterendus de Réunions et de Congrès sur l'Amélioration des Arbres Forestiers, la Génétique et les disciplines voisines. La reproduction des articles n'est pas autorisée, la reproduction des illustrations n'est autorisée qu'avec l'approbation de l'auteur et de l'éditeur.

Les auteurs doivent envoyer deux exemplaires de leur manuscrit à l'un des co-éditeurs régionaux, en général le plus proche de l'Institut dont l'auteur de l'article fait partie. Toutefois, les auteurs sont libre de choisier un co-éditeur de même langue que celle de l'article, et à qui le sujet de l'article soit familier.

Les articles originaux y compris les tableaux, les figures et la bibliographie, ne doit pas dépasser 6 pages imprimées (soit 24 pages dactylographiées de 30 lignes, à double interligne et avec une marge de 5 cm).

Les articles plus longs ne peuvent être acceptés que dans des cas exceptionnels. Les mêmes conditions s'appliquent aux frais supplémentaires pour les clichés spéciaux. Pour la préparation du manuscrit, il est demandé aux auteurs de se conformer aux indications suivantes: La page de titre doit contenir les informations suivantes a) Titre de l'article; b) Nom et prénoms des auteurs; c) Institut auquel l'auteur appartient; d) si nécessaire, l'adresse actuelle des auteurs en note infrapaginale; e) des mots clés permettant de faciliter la classification de l'article – dans le limite de 10; et, sur une page séparée f) un résumé dans la langue originale de l'article – un résumé en anglais, si ce n'est pas la langue originale de l'article.

Pour des raisons financières et techniques les tableaux, les figures et les termes ou formules mathématiques doivent être présentés-séparés du texte et prêts à l'impression-photo. Ceci s'applique particulièrement aux formules mathématiques qui doivent être numérotées, et rassemblées dans leur état définitif en un ou plusieurs groupes.

Les manuscrits prêts à l'impression seront enregistrès dans le mois de leur arrivée au Bureau de Rédaction de Grosshansdorf. Ils sont publiés dans l'ordre chronologique de leur arrivée au Bureau de Rédaction. Le Rédacteur peut toutefois modifier cet ordre pour des raisons techniques.

Les notes peuvent avoir jusqu'a 1 page (environ 4 pages dactylographiées) et doivent être indexées par quelques mots clés. Elles seront publiés aussi rapidement que possible.

Les épreuves d'imprimerie des articles originaux et des notes seront envoyées aux auteurs pour correction. Elles doivent être retournées dans le délai le plus bref possible. Les seules corrections permises sont celle qui ont rapport à la typographie. Aucune modification du manuscrit n'est pas permise. Les corrections des annonces, compte-redus et misses au point sont fait dans le Bureau de Rédaction.

Tiré à part: Les auteurs peuvent obtenir gratuitement jusqu'aux 30 tirés à part de leur

Subscription: Silvae Genetica may be ordered through book-sellers in Germany and other countries, or directly from the publisher. Subscriptions are effective for a complete volume and continue in force unless terminated following delivery of the last number of a volume.

Price of subscription for the 6 numbers of a volume, DM 485.–; for students DM 388.–; postal expenses are extra.

This journal is covered by Biological Abstracts, Biosciences Information Service of Biological Abstracts (Series Agriculture, Biology and Environmental Sciencies) of Institute for Scientific Information, Forestry Abstracts, Institute for Scientific Information of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Cambridge Scientific Abstracts.

Advertisements: Inquiries about the size and price of advertisements should be addressed to the publisher.

und Besprechungen werden in der Schriftleitung durchgeführt.

Sonderdrucke: Die Verfasser erhalten von ihren Arbeiten bis zu 30 Sonderdrucke kostenlos. Bei rechtzeitiger Bestellung bestehen für weitere Sonderdrucke Bezugsmöglichkeiten gegen Berechnung.

Erscheinungsweise: 6 Hefte im Jahr.

Bezugsmöglichkeiten: Silvae Genetica kann durch den in- und ausländischen Buchhandel oder direkt vom Verlag bezogen werden. Das Abonnement läuft weiter, wenn nicht unmittelbar nach der Lieferung des Schlußheftes eines Bandes eine Abbestellung erfolgt.

Bezugspreis für die 6 Hefte des Bandes beträgt DM 485,– (für Studenten DM 388,–) zuzüglich Versandspesen.

Anzeigen: Anfragen über Preise und Größe von Anzeigen werden an den Verlag erbeten.

article. Les quantités supplémentaires peuvent être achetées par commonde à l'avance auprès de l'éditeur.

Périodicité de publication: 6 fasicules par an.

Abonnement: On peut s'abonner à Silvae Genetica auprès des libraires en Allemagne ou dans les autres pays, ou bien directement auprès de l'éditeur. L'abonnement est valable pour un volume complet, et se poursuit automatiquement, à moins que l'abonné ne demande qu'il se termine après le dernier numéro du volume en cours.

Prix de l'abonnement: pour les 6 numéros d'un volume: 485,– DM; pour les étudiants: 388,– DM. Les frais d'expédition sont en sus.

Publicité: Les demandes d'informations concernant les prix et la dimension des annonces publicitaires doivent être adressées à l'éditeur.

Beiträge zur In-vitro Vermehrung und Wurzelentwicklung von Stiel- und Traubeneiche sowie zur Erhaltung forstlicher Genressourcen

Schriften aus der Forstlichen Fakultät der Universität Göttingen und der Niedersächsischen Forstlichen Versuchsanstalt, Band 111

Von A. MEIER-DINKEL, G. SCHÜTE, K. TAE SU und J. KLEINSCHMIT

212 Seiten mit 46 Abbildungen und 18 Tabellen
Kartoniert DM 26,–

Die Beiträge dieses Bandes befassen sich mit neuen Entwicklungen auf den Gebieten Vermehrung, Anzucht und Generhaltung mit Schwerpunkt auf den Eichenarten. Im Hauptbeitrag werden Untersuchungen zum Einfluß der Alterung der Mutterbäume auf die In-vitro-Vermehrung von Stiel- und Traubeneiche dargestellt. Einer ausführlichen Literaturübersicht zum Thema Juvenilität, Alterung und Rejuvenilisierung von Gehölzen folgen die Ergebnisse mehrjähriger Versuche zur In-vitro-Kultivierung unterschiedlicher Explantate adulter Eichen. Die Pfropfung von Reisern aus der juvenilen und adulten Zone auf 2jährige Sämlinge führte zu einer Verbesserung der In-vitro-Kultivierbarkeit der Explantate im Vergleich zu direkt den adulten Versuchsbäumen entnommenen Explantaten. Physiologische Unterschiede zwischen juvenilem und adultem Ausgangsmaterial derselben Genotypen waren jedoch stabil und blieben erhalten. Der 2. Beitrag befaßte sich mit Untersuchungen der Wurzelsysteme von Stiel- und Traubeneichen in Feldversuchen. Zwischen vegetativ (Stecklinge und in vitro vermehrte Pflanzen) und generativ vermehrten (unterschnittene Sämlinge und Direktsaaten) wurden signifikante Unterschiede in der horizontalen und vertikalen Wurzelentwicklung gefunden, deren Ursachen und praktische Auswirkungen diskutiert werden. Im 3. Beitrag wird die Notwendigkeit zur Erhaltung forstlicher Genressourcen vor dem Hintergrund der Waldentwicklung diskutiert. Das Vorgehen der forstlichen Generhaltung wird beschrieben und am Beispiel der Eichenarten, der Fichte und der Douglasie erläutert.

J. D. SAUERLÄNDER'S VERLAG · FRANKFURT AM MAIN