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#### Abstract

Conklin, David R.; Lenihan, James M.; Bachelet, Dominique; Neilson, Ronald P.; Kim, John B. 2016. MCFire model technical description. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-926. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 75 p.

MCFire is a computer program that simulates the occurrence and effects of wildfire on natural vegetation, as a submodel within the $\mathrm{MC1}$ dynamic global vegetation model. This report is a technical description of the algorithms and parameter values used in MCFire, intended to encapsulate its design and features a higher level that is more conceptual than the level provided by the computer source code, so that programmers and users can better understand its features and limitations. Two primary sources of the MCFire algorithms are the National Fire-Danger Rating System and CONSUME 3.0. MC1 reads elevation, soil data, and climate data; simulates vegetation biochemistry and biogeography; and invokes MCFire to simulate fire and its effects. Unlike MC1, which runs on a monthly time step, MCFire simulates fire on a daily time step. MCl and MCFire are typically run on a grid of independent cells, with neither model designed to simulate interaction among cells. MCFire estimates daily fire weather from monthly weather variables; calculates fuel load and moisture; determines the occurrence of fire; estimates fire behavior, including rate of spread, energy release, fireline intensity, crown scorching and area burned; and estimates fire effects on grass and tree mortality, biomass consumption, emissions, and black carbon production.
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## Preface

This report is a technical description of MCFire, a submodel within the MAPSSCENTURY (MC1) dynamic global vegetation model (Brachelet 2001a). MCFire was developed by the Mapped Atmosphere-Plant-Soil System Team, at the U.S. Forest Service Pacific Northwest (PNW) Research Station. The development of MCFire was led by James M. Lenihan, a PNW research ecologist, and the first draft of this report was composed by David R. Conklin, who worked with the Mapped Atmosphere-Plant-Soil System Team first as a programmer and later as a Ph.D. student at Oregon State University. It was originally written to facilitate the porting of MCFire logic from MC1 into other dynamic global vegetation models. Many years have elapsed since this draft was composed and reviewed, and in those years all the original authors have left the team and the PNW Station. MC1 and MCFire, however, continue to be used by an active community of professionals; and, although code development by MC1 users continues, much of MCFire logic remains unchanged. Furthermore, as of this writing, no publication has yet described the detailed structure of the MCFire algorithms for its users and students. For these reasons, we are publishing this report after a long hiatus.

An important change was made to MCFire since the original draft of this report was written: in 2005, the fire occurrence algorithm was revised to use the fine-fuel moisture code and build-up indices from the Canadian Forest Fire Weather Index System (Van Wagner 1987) instead of relying on Palmer Drought Severity Index (Palmer 1965), the 1,000-hour fuel moisture content (Fosberg et al. 1981), and finefuel flammability (Cohen and Deeming 1985). The new fire occurrence algorithm is described in appendix 3 .

Recently, MC1, including MCFire, was rewritten in C++ to improve its computational efficiency. The new version is called MC2. MCFire within MC2 retains the same algorithms as MCFire in MC 1 . The source code for both MC 1 and MC , including MCFire, is available from the PNW Station or from an Oregon State University code repository website. ${ }^{1}$
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## Section 1. Introduction

MCFire is a computer program that simulates the occurrence and effects of wildfire on natural vegetation (Lenihan et al. 1998) as a submodel within the MAPSSCENTURY (MC1) dynamic global vegetation model (Daly et al. 2000). A hybrid of MAPSS biogeography model (Neilson 1995) and Century Soil Organic Matter Model (Parton et al. 1987), MC1 simulates plant functional types, ecosystem fluxes of carbon, nitrogen, water, and fire disturbance. It has been documented extensively (Aber et al. 2001; Bachelet et al. 2001a, 2001b, 2003, 2004, 2005; Daly et al. 2000; Lenihan et al. 2003). $\mathrm{MC1}$ is implemented on spatial data grids of varying resolutions (ranging from 0.5 degree to 2.5 minutes) depending on the resolution of the climatic input data. $\mathrm{MC1}$ is run separately for each grid cell with no exchange of information among cells. MC1 reads climate data at a monthly time step and executes interacting modules that simulate biogeography, biogeochemistry, and fire disturbance (fig. 1).


Figure 1-Primary modules in $\mathrm{MC1}$ dynamic global vegetation model.

This report is a technical description of the algorithms and parameter values used in MCFire, intended to encapsulate the MCFire technical design and provide a level of explanation that is more conceptual than the level provided by the computer source code, so that programmers and users can better understand its features and limitations. MCFire is written in C programming language. The two primary sources of equations and parameters used in MCFire are the fire-danger rating system equations developed by the U.S. Forest Service (Cohen and Deeming 1985), and the CONSUME user guide (Prichard et al. 2007).

This report replicates many variables, equations, and parameter values taken from their sources for clarity and completeness. Where appropriate, relevant source code variable names are included in italics to help the reader link the description to the source code. Appendix 1 lists all key variables used by MCFire and appendix 2 documents the source code structure in detail. This report does not include the scientific justifications for MCFire model design developed by Lenihan et al. (1998), or the evaluations of the MCFire model skill by Lenihan et al. (2003).

In contrast to MC1, which operates on a monthly time step, MCFire simulates fire on a daily time step by estimating daily weather conditions (fig. 2). MCFire takes the following monthly inputs from MC1: the vegetation type (table 1), climate time series (precipitation, vapor pressure, wind speed, and minimum/maximum temperature), the Palmer Drought Severity Index, and the biomass pools from the $\mathrm{MC1}$ biogeochemistry module. From these, it estimates daily fire weather, fuel loading, fuel moisture, fire occurrence, fire behavior, and the effects of fire behavior. Each of these simulation steps is described below.

## Section 2. Fire Weather

MCFire uses monthly climate variables (table 2) to estimate daily weather data (table 3). To estimate daily temperature values (tmp, tmin, and tmax), the values for the first day of the month are set to the monthly values ( $m_{-}$tmp, $m_{-}$tmin, and $m_{-}$ tmax), and the values for the remaining days in the month are estimated by simple linear interpolation between values for the current month and the values for the next month. Daily values for December are interpolated between the monthly value for December and the monthly value for January of the current year (not January of the next year). For precipitation, the number of days with precipitation are estimated and randomly distributed within the month, and the monthly total precipitation amount is divided evenly among the days with precipitation.


Figure 2-Organization of the MCFire algorithm; note that principal subroutines are identified in parentheses, and that interactions between the fire module and the $\mathrm{MC1}$ biogeography and biogeochemistry modules are shown as dashed lines.

Table 1-Vegetation types simulated by MC1 as adapted from VEMAP (VEMAP Members 1995), with minimum and maximum average fire return intervals (Leenhouts 1998) and CONSUME 3.0 emissions fuel types (Prichard et al. 2007)

| Code | Vegetation | Minimum average fire-return interval | Maximum average fire-return interval | CONSUME 3.0 emissions fuel type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Tundra | 166 | 230 | Mixed conifer slash |
| 2 | Boreal coniferous forest | 200 | 225 | Mixed conifer slash |
| 3 | Maritime temperate coniferous forest | 110 | 184 | Douglas-fir slash |
| 4 | Continental temperate coniferous forest | 47 | 76 | Mixed conifer slash |
| 5 | Cool temperate mixed forest | 233 | 348 | Mixed conifer slash |
| 6 | Warm temperate subtropical mixed forest | 17 | 48 | Ponderosa-lodgepole pine slash |
| 7 | Temperate deciduous forest | 155 | 237 | Hardwoods slash |
| 8 | Tropical deciduous forest | 200 | 200 | Hardwoods slash |
| 9 | Tropical evergreen forest | 50 | 50 | Hardwoods slash |
| 10 | Temperate mixed xeromorphic woodland | 39 | 75 | Juniper slash |
| 11 | Temperate conifer xeromorphic woodland | 24 | 56 | Ponderosa-lodgepole pine slash |
| 12 | Tropical thorn woodland | 15 | 5 | Juniper slash |
| 13 | Temperate subtropical deciduous savanna | 26 | 80 | Hardwoods slash |
| 14 | Warm temperate subtropical mixed savanna | a 8 | 19 | Ponderosa-lodgepole pine slash |
| 15 | Temperate conifer savanna | 26 | 62 | Ponderosa-lodgepole pine slash |
| 16 | Tropical deciduous savanna | 7 | 7 | Hardwoods slash |
| 17 | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ grasslands ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 15 | 32 | Sagebrush |
| 18 | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ grasslands $^{\text {b }}$ | 12 | 24 | Sagebrush |
| 19 | Mediterranean shrubland | 20 | 43 | Chaparral |
| 20 | Temperate arid shrubland | 48 | 87 | Sagebrush |
| 21 | Subtropical arid shrubland | 82 | 319 | Sagebrush |
| 22 | Taiga | 166 | 230 | Mixed conifer slash |
| 23 | Boreal larch forest | 15 | 15 | Mixed conifer slash |

[^1]Table 2-Monthly climate variables used by the MCFire simulation model

| Variable | Description | Time step | Dimensions | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m+t m p$ | Average temperature | Month | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Input |
| $m \quad t m i n$ | Minimum temperature | Month | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Input |
| m_tmax | Maximum temperature | Month | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Input |
| vpr_sacred | Vapor pressure | Month | Pascals | Input |
| $m \_p p t$ | Total precipitation, water equivalent | Month | Millimeters of water | Input |
| ws | Average wind speed | Month | Meters per second | Input |
| $m \_p e t$ | Potential evapotranspiration | Month | Millimeters of water | Calculated |
| satvp_sacred | Saturated vapor pressure at average temperature | Month | Pascals | Calculated |
| $p d s i$ | Palmer Drought Severity Index | Month | Dimensionless | Input |

Table 3-Daily weather variables calculated by the MCFire simulation model

| Variable | Description | Units of <br> measurement | Time step | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tmp | Average temperature | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Daily | Calculated |
| tmin | Minimum temperature | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Daily | Calculated |
| tmax | Maximum temperature | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Daily | Calculated |
| rh | Relative humidity | Percent | Daily | Calculated |
| rhmin | Minimum relative humidity | Percent | Daily | Calculated |
| rhmax | Maximum relative humidity | Percent <br> Millimeters <br> of water | Daily | Calculated |
| ppt | Total precipitation, water <br> equivalent | Hours | Dalculated |  |
| ppt_dur | Precipitation duration | Dillimeters | Daily | Calculated |
| snowfall | Snowfall, water equivalent | of water | Daily | Calculated |
| snow | Snowpack, water equivalent | Millimeters <br> of water | Daily | Calculated |
| ws | Average wind speed | Meters per <br> second | Dours | Daily |
| daylit | Length of daylight | Calculated |  |  |

## Relative Humidity

MCFire uses daily relative humidity values to calculate daily fuel moisture content. It estimates daily average, minimum, and maximum relative humidity values from monthly vapor pressure and saturated vapor pressure values provided by $\mathrm{MC1}$. First, relative humidity is estimated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{-} r h[\text { month }]=\frac{v p r_{-} \text {sacred }[\text { month }]}{\text { satvp_sacred }[\text { month }]} \times 100 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{-} r h[$ month $]$ is the relative humidity as a percentage, $v p r_{-}$sacred $[$month $]$is the monthly value of vapor pressure from MCl in pascals, and satvp_sacred[month] is the monthly value of saturated vapor pressure from MC 1 in pascals.

To calculate daily values of relative humidity (rh[day]), MCFire interpolates linearly between the monthly values for the present month and the next month, as with daily temperatures.

Daily values of minimum and maximum relative humidity (rhmin[day], $r h m a x[d a y])$ are similarly interpolated from their respective monthly values. First, monthly values are calculated:

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{-} r h m i n[\text { month }]=\frac{v p r_{-} \text {sacred }[\text { month }]}{\operatorname{satvp_{-}(m_{-}\text {tmax}[\text {month}])} \times 100}  \tag{2}\\
& m_{-} \text {rhmax }[\text { month }]=\frac{v p r_{-} \text {sacred }[\text { month }]}{\operatorname{satvp_{-}(m_{-}\text {tmin}[\text {month}])} \times 100} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m_{-}$rhmin $[$month $]$is the monthly value of minimum relative humidity as a percentage, $m_{-}$rhmax $[$month $]$is the monthly value of maximum relative humidity as a percentage, $v p r_{-}$sacred $[$month $]$is the monthly value of vapor pressure from MC 1 in pascals, $\operatorname{satvp}(t)$ is the saturated vapor pressure in pascals at temperature t in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $m_{-}$tmin $[$month $]$is the monthly minimum temperature in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $m_{-}$tmax $[$month $]$is the monthly maximum temperature in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Rain and Snow

Daily precipitation estimates are used in the calculation of daily fuel moisture content. MCFire estimates daily precipitation from monthly precipitation values provided by MC1. The number of precipitation events each month is estimated using a regression function derived from weather station data archived by the National Climate Data Center (WeatherDisc Associates 1995). When the monthly
potential evapotranspiration ( $m \_$pet $[$month $]$) is $>100 \mathrm{~mm}$, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ppt_events }=0.887+0.052 \times \text { m_ppt }[\text { month }] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

but when monthly potential evaporation is $\leq 100 \mathrm{~mm}$, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ppt_events }=2.319+0.027 \times m \_p p t[\text { month }] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ppt_events is the number of precipitation events in the month, rounded up to an integer, with a maximum of 12 events; $m \_p e t[$ month $]$ is the total potential evapotranspiration in the given month in millimeters; and $m \_p p t[$ month $]$ is the total precipitation in the month in millimeters.

The fire model assumes that any given day will have either one precipitation event or none at all. The monthly precipitation is evenly divided among the precipitation events:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ppt_per_event }=\frac{m_{-} \text {ppt }[\text { month }]}{m_{-} \text {ppt_events }} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ppt_per_event is the amount of precipitation per event in millimeters; $m_{-} p p t[$ month $]$ is the total precipitation in the month in millimeters; and ppt_events is the number of precipitation events in the month, rounded up to an integer, with a maximum of 12 events (eqs. 4, 5).

MCFire calculates dead-fuel moisture by estimating precipitation rate and duration. When monthly potential evapotranspiration is $>100 \mathrm{~mm}$, then precipitation rate is set at 0.25 inches of rainfall per hour; otherwise it is set to 0.05 inches per hour. These rates are the same as those used for the rainfall rate WETRAT in Cohen and Deeming (1985), although their rates relied on "climate class" rather than potential evapotranspiration as the basis for selecting a precipitation rate. As with estimations of daily temperatures, MCFire first calculates monthly the precipitation rate; daily precipitation rates are then interpolated between the monthly rates of two successive months. MCFire then calculates precipitation duration as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ppt_dur }=\frac{p p t[d a y]}{p p t \_r a t[d a y]} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ppt_dur is the precipitation duration in hours, ppt[day] is the amount of precipitation on the given day in, and, ppt_rat $[d a y]$ is the estimated rate of precipitation (inches per hour) on the given day.

The value of ppt_dur (eq. 7) is rounded to the nearest hour with a maximum of 8 hours. When minimum daily temperature $\left(t_{\text {min }}\right)$ is $<32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, precipitation duration is set to zero.

Four parameters control snow accumulation and melt (table 4). The amount of precipitation that falls as snow is determined by comparing the temperature to two thresholds ( $s n w 0$ and $s n w l$ ). When the temperature is less than or equal to $s n w l$, then all the precipitation falls as snow and all the rainfall is transformed into snow (snowfall). When temperature is higher than or equal to $s n w 0$, all the precipitation falls as rain (rainfall). When the temperature is between snw0 and snwl, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { snowfall }[d a y]=\left(1-\frac{t m p[d a y]-s n w 1}{\text { snow } 0-\text { snow } 1}\right) \times p p t[d a y] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where snowfall[day] is the snowfall for the day in millimeters (water equivalent), $t m p[d a y]$ is the average daily temperature on the given day in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \operatorname{snw} 0$ is the temperature above which all precipitation is rain in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, s n w 1$ is the temperature below which all precipitation is snow in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $p p t[d a y]$ is the precipitation for the day in millimeters.

Table 4-MC1 climate parameters used by the MCFire simulation model, drawn from the MC1 fire_param.dat file

| Parameter | Description | Value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| snw 0 | Temperature above which all precipitation is rain | $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| snw 1 | Temperature below which all precipitation is snow | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| no_melt | Temperature below which snow does not melt | $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| melt_b | Snow melt relationship curve | $1.5 \mathrm{~mm} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /$ day |
| mc_tree_min | Minimum live tree moisture content | 80 percent |
| mc_tree_max | Maximum live tree moisture content | 130 percent |
| mc_grass_min | Minimum live grass moisture content | 30 percent |
| mc_grass_max | Maximum live grass moisture content | 120 percent |
| slp | Slope | 0 percent |
| mc_thres | Moisture content threshold | 12 percent |
| prob_thres | Probability threshold used in the decisions about <br> fine fuel flammability and tree mortality | 45 percent |
| pdsi_thres | Palmer Drought Severity Index below which a <br> fire may occur | -2.25 |
| partial_cell_burn | If $>1$, fire always burns the entire cell | 1 |

The snowpack (snow) is calculated at each time step by adding the amount of snow (eq. 8) and subtracting an estimated snowmelt amount. If the temperature is not higher that the parameter no_melt, snowmelt is zero and the new snowpack value is just the sum of snow and snowfall. Otherwise:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { snowmelt }=(\text { tmp }[\text { day }]-\text { no_melt }) \times \text { melt_b }  \tag{9}\\
\text { snow }[\text { day }]=\text { snow }[\text { day }-1]+\text { snowfall }[\text { day }]-\text { snowmelt } \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

where snowmelt is the amount of snowmelt in the current day in millimeters (water equivalent), $t m p[d a y]$ is the average temperature of the day in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, no_melt is the temperature threshold below which no snow melts in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (table 4), melt $b$ is the rate of snowmelt per degree temperature in millimeters per ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (table 4 ), snow $[i]$ is the snowpack on day $i$ in millimeters (water equivalent) from equation 9 , and snowfall[day] is the amount of snowfall on day day (eq. 8).

## Wind

Wind speed is used in the fire rate-of-spread calculation. Average wind speed (ws) for each day is interpolated between the monthly average wind speeds, as with daily temperatures.

## Day Length

Day length is used in the calculation of daily fuel moisture content (fig. 3). Day length is calculated as a function of the site latitude and the day of year (Cohen and Deeming 1985):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { daylit }=24 \times\left[1-\frac{\operatorname{acos}(\tan (l a t \times c 1) \times \tan (c 2 \times \sin ((\text { jdate }-c 3) \times c 4)))}{\pi}\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where daylit is the duration of daylight in hours, lat is the site latitude in decimal degrees, $c$ lis $0.01745, c 2$ is $0.41008, c 3$ is $82, c 4$ is 0.01745 , and $j d a t e$ is the day of year with 1 representing January 1.

The argument to function $\operatorname{acos}()$ is truncated to the range [-1..1] so that daylit (eq. 11) is constrained to a minimum of 0 hours and a maximum of 24 hours.

## Keetch-Byram Drought Index

The Keetch-Byram Drought Index is a measure of moisture in the duff and upper soil layers, used in the fire behavior calculations (Keetch and Byram 1968), ranging from 0 for very wet conditions to 800 for very dry conditions. Keetch-Byram


Figure 3-Daylight length for each day of the year plotted for six selected latitudes as a function of latitude.
for any given day depends on its value from the previous day and is initialized to zero for the first day of each simulation. MCFire calculates the drought factor and Keetch-Byram (in annual increments) as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { factor }=0.001 \times\left[\frac{\left.\left(800-k b d i_{\text {prev }}\right)\left(0.968 e^{0.0486 \times \text { Tcurr }}\right)-0.830\right)}{1+\left(10.88 e^{-0.0441 \times \text { Pann }}\right)}\right]  \tag{12}\\
k b d i_{\text {curr }}=\left(k b d i_{\text {prev }}-100 \times \text { Padj }\right)+\text { factor } \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

where factor is the drought factor, $k b d i_{\text {prev }}$ is the Keetch-Byram Drought Index value for the previous day, Tcurr is the average temperature for the current day in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ (with a minimum value of 50 ), Pann is the average annual precipitation in inches, $k b d i_{c u r r}$ is the Keetch-Byram Drought Index value for the current day, $e$ is a mathematical constant approximately equal to 2.71828 , and Padj is the adjusted precipitation in inches.

Adjusted precipitation (Padj) is zero on days without precipitation. On a day when fuel is blanketed by snow, Padj is equal to precipitation (Pcurr) on that day. When precipitation occurs on consecutive days, Padj is zero and the cumulative precipitation is $<0.2$ inches. On the first day that the cumulative precipitation amount is $>0.2$ inches during the consecutive days of precipitation, Padj is set to the cumulative precipitation minus 0.2 inches. In other words, the first 0.2 inches of precipitation is disregarded. Thereafter, Padj equals the daily precipitation.

## Palmer Drought Severity Index

MCFire uses the Palmer Drought Severity Index (Palmer 1965) to calculate fire occurrence. Monthly values are read from an input file. They are used as is and not interpolated into daily values.

## Section 3. Fuel Load

Because the MC1 biogeochemistry module does not resolve the live and dead carbon pools finely enough for fuel loading calculations, MCFire calculates its own set of live and dead carbon pools based on the MCl carbon pools. MCFire simulates four dead-fuel classes-1-hour, 10-hour, 100-hour, and 1000-hour (appendix 2) -and proportionately allocates the aboveground dead biomass simulated by the biogeochemistry module to the dead-fuel classes as a function of the current vegetation type (Albini 1976, Anderson 1982). MCFire also simulates two live fuels classes-grass biomass and the leaves and twigs of shrubs. Although the MCFire code takes the effect of shrub fuels into account, this program logic has no effect at present because the biogeochemistry module of MCl does not distinguish shrub biomass pool from other vegetation pools. Therefore, shrub live-fuel pool (wwood) is always zero.

Allometric functions keyed to the different vegetation types are used to simulate the depth of surface fuels and the vertical structure-crown height, length, and shape-of the overstory (Keane et al. 1996, Kercher and Axelrod 1984, Means et al. 1996, Peterson 1985,Weller 1987).

## Dead Fuels

MCFire calculates fuel weight in terms of dry matter. It calculates the total amount of dead-fuel weight by summing the various aboveground litter and dead wood pools supplied by the biogeochemistry module:
tot_dfuel $=$ mlittr + slittr $+d s t n d+d$ wod $1+d$ wod 2
where tot_dfuel is the total amount of dead-fuel weight (limited to a maximum value of 10.0), mlittr is metabolic carbon in litter, slittr is structural carbon in litter,
$d s t n d$ is standing dead grass, $d w o d 1$ is fine dead wood, and $\mathrm{d} w o d 2$ is coarse dead wood-all in grams of dry matter per square meter.

The amounts in the four dead-fuel classes-1-hour, 10-hour, 100-hour and 1000-hour-are calculated by multiplying total dead fuel (tot_dfuel) from equation 14 by four fractions defined for the vegetation type being simulated in the current grid cell (table 5).

Table 5-Dead-fuel class proportions (required by the MCFire simulation model) and depth ratios for MC1 simulated vegetation; data were synthesized from Albini (1976), Anderson (1982), and Andrews (1986)

| Vegetation | Dead-fuel class |  |  |  | Depth ratio ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-hour | 10-hour | 100-hour | 1000-hour |  |
| Tundra | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.400 |
| Boreal coniferous forest | 0.27 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.29 | 0.042 |
| Maritime temperate coniferous forest | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.43 | 0.042 |
| Continental temperate coniferous forest | 0.39 | 0.28 | 0.14 | 0.19 | 0.042 |
| Cool temperate mixed forest | 0.37 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.10 | 0.042 |
| Warm temperate subtropical mixed forest | 0.45 | 0.37 | 0.16 | 0.02 | 0.042 |
| Temperate deciduous forest | 0.62 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.042 |
| Tropical deciduous forest | 0.62 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.042 |
| Tropical evergreen forest | 0.62 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.042 |
| Temperate mixed xeromorphic woodland | 0.57 | 0.31 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.042 |
| Temperate conifer xeromorphic woodland | 0.61 | 0.31 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.042 |
| Tropical thorn woodland | 0.70 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.042 |
| Temperate subtropical deciduous savanna | 0.83 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.400 |
| Warm temperate subtropical mixed savanna | 0.70 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.400 |
| Temperate conifer savanna | 0.78 | 0.19 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.400 |
| Tropical deciduous savanna | 0.83 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.400 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ grasslands ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.93 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 1.000 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ grasslands ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.92 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 1.000 |
| Mediterranean shrubland | 0.49 | 0.36 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.400 |
| Temperate arid shrubland | 0.72 | 0.24 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.400 |
| Subtropical arid shrubland | 0.75 | 0.24 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.042 |
| Taiga | 0.27 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.29 | 0.042 |

[^2]
## Depth of the Fuel Bed

The total fuel-bed biomass is the sum of the dead fuel and the aboveground live grass biomass:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { tot_fuel_bed_bio }=0.0044409(\text { lgras }+d s t n d+d w o d 1+d w o d 2) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where tot_fuel_bed_bio is the total fuel-bed biomass (limited to a maximum of 10 500 ), lgras is aboveground live grass biomass, dstnd is standing dead grass, $d w o d 1$ is fine dead wood, and $d$ wod 2 is coarse dead wood-all in grams of dry matter per square meter-and 0.0044409 is the conversion factor (from grams per square meter to tons per acre).

To calculate the fuel-bed depth, the fuel-bed biomass is multiplied by a load-todepth ratio specific to each vegetation type $\mathrm{MC1}$ simulates (table 5):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { fuel_depth }=(\text { tot_fuel_bed_bio } \times 0.0044409) \times \text { depth_ratio } \times 0.3048 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where fuel_depth is the fuel-bed depth in meters (limited to a maximum of 2), tot_fuel_bed_bio is the total fuel-bed biomass in grams of dry matter per square meter (eq. 15), 0.0044409 is the conversion factor (from grams per square meter to tons per acre), depth_ratio is the fuel load to depth ratio per vegetation type in feet per ton of dry matter per acre, and 0.3048 is the conversion factor (from feet to meters).

## Live Fuels

Amounts of aboveground grass (lgras), tree leaf (lleaf), and tree wood (lwodl and lwod100) biomass are provided to MCFire by the MC1 biogeochemistry module. All aboveground grass biomass is placed in the grass class. For trees, the total biomass, leaf biomass, and vegetation type are used to estimate characteristics of the stand: number of stems per unit area, diameter at breast height (DBH), height, crown length, and bark thickness. Values for DBH, total aboveground tree biomass, and vegetation type are then used to estimate the biomass of leaves, branches, bark, and stem wood. Height, crown length, and bark thickness are subsequently used to simulate the crown fire occurrence (sec. 6) and consumption of biomass by fire. Branch biomass is used in the calculations of consumption and emissions (below).

Stand characteristics are calculated following the methods of Weller (1989) and the JABOWA model in Botkin et al. (1972a, 1972b). Parameters for these calculations are given in table 6. The calculations for deciduous trees and shrubs use parameters for California black oak (Quercus kelloggii); those for evergreens use parameters for Douglas-fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii).

Table 6-Parameters used by MCFire for calculating stand characteristics-live-tree biomass, stem density, leaf area, and diameter at breast height (DBH)-for deciduous and evergreen stands

| Parameter | Description | Deciduous ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Evergreen ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k$ | Stand thinning constant ${ }^{c}$ | 8696 | 5073 |
| $d b h_{-}$max | Maximum DBH | 349 cm | 220 cm |
| ht_max | Maximum tree height | 3960 cm | 8000 cm |
| $c$ | Constant in DBH equation ${ }^{d}$ | 0.451 | 0.116 |
| $d$ | Constant in DBH equation ${ }^{d}$ | 1.60 | 1.89 |
| specific_area | Specific leaf area | $0.012 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{g}$ <br> (dry matter) | $0.003 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}$ <br> (dry matter) |

${ }^{a}$ Model is California black oak.
${ }^{b}$ Model is Douglas-fir.
${ }^{c}$ From Weller (1989).
${ }^{d}$ From Kercher and Axelrod (1984).

The estimation of DBH is based on the SILVA model in Kercher and Axelrod (1984). The calculation of DBH requires preliminary calculations of tree leaf area index and stand closure index:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { tree_lai }=\text { lleaf } \times \text { specific_area }  \tag{17}\\
\text { stnd_clos }=\text { tree_lai/3.75 } \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

where lleaf is the portion of total tree biomass attributed to leaves in grams of dry matter per square meter, specific_area is specific leaf area in square meters per gram of dry matter, tree_lai is an estimate of tree leaf area index in square meters per square meter, and stnd_clos is an index of stand closure (with a maximum of 1 ).

The tree leaf area index (tree_lai) calculated in equation 17 is used only for calculating the stand characteristics of live fuels in this section, and should not be confused with other measures of leaf area in other parts of MCFire and MC1. Specific leaf area (specific_area) in equation 15 is parameterized for just two categories of vegetation, deciduous and evergreen (table 6)

Once the tree leaf area index and stand closure index are calculated, MCFire calculates live tree biomass, stem density, leaf area, and DBH:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ltree }=\text { lleaf }+ \text { lwod } 1+\text { lwod } 100  \tag{19}\\
& \qquad \text { stems }=\left(\frac{k}{\text { ltree }}\right)^{2} \times \text { stnd_clos } \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
l a=(\text { tree_lai }) / \text { stems }  \tag{21}\\
d b h=(l a / c)^{1 / d} \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

where ltree is the live tree biomass, lleaf is leaves of woody vegetation, lwod 1 is branches of woody vegetation, lwod 100 is stems of woody vegetation-all in grams of dry matter per square meter; stems is the stem density in stems per square meter; $k, c$, and $d$ are constants (table 6); stnd_clos is the index of stand closure (eq. 18); la is leaf area in square meters per stem; tree_lai is leaf area index in square meters per square meter (eq. 17); and $d b h$ is diameter at breast height in centimeters (table 6).

DBH (eq. 22) is constrained to be between 0.001 cm and a maximum value defined for two types of trees, evergreen and deciduous (table 6). Parameters $k$ in equation 20 and parameters $c$ and $d$ in equation 22 are also defined for deciduous or evergreen vegetation (table 6).

MCFire uses DBH (eq. 22) to calculate bark thickness, tree height, and crown length, based on equations from the JABOWA model (Botkin et al. 1972a, 1972b):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { bark_thick }=d b h \times \text { thick_ratio }  \tag{2}\\
\text { tree_ht }=0.01\left[137+2\left(h t \_m a x-137\right)\left(\frac{d b h}{d b h_{-} \text {max }}\right)-\left(h t_{-} \max -137\right)\left(\frac{d b h}{d b h_{-} \max }\right)^{2}\right]  \tag{24}\\
\text { crown_length }=d b h \times \text { thick_ratio } \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

where bark_thick is the stem bark thickness in centimeters, thick_ratio and cl_ratio are constants defined for each plant functional type (table 7), tree_ht is the canopy height in meters, $h t \_$max is maximum tree height defined for deciduous or evergreen trees (table 6) in centimeters, $d b h$ is diameter at breast height in centimeters (eq. 22), $d b h_{-}$max is maximum diameter at breast height defined for deciduous or evergreen trees (table 6) in centimeters, and crown_length is the crown length in meters.

Note that crown length (eq. 25), DBH (eq. 22), and maximum tree height (table 6) are expressed in centimeters, but that equation 24 estimates tree height in meters. MCFire currently calculates allometry in terms of just two categories of trees: evergreen and deciduous trees (fig. 4).

Table 7—Parameters used by MCFire in calculating crown length and bark thickness for MC1-simulated vegetation, taken from Keane et al. (1996)

| Vegetation | Bark-thickness <br> ratio $^{a}$ | Crown-length <br> ratio $^{b}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Tundra | 0.022 | 0.8 |
| Boreal coniferous forest | 0.022 | 0.8 |
| Maritime temperate coniferous forest | 0.062 | 0.7 |
| Continental temperate coniferous forest | 0.043 | 0.5 |
| Cool temperate mixed forest | 0.043 | 0.5 |
| Warm temperate subtropical mixed forest | 0.043 | 0.5 |
| Temperate deciduous forest | 0.033 | 0.4 |
| Tropical deciduous forest | 0.033 | 0.4 |
| Tropical evergreen forest | 0.033 | 0.4 |
| Temperate mixed xeromorphic woodland | 0.043 | 0.5 |
| Temperate conifer xeromorphic woodland | 0.062 | 0.4 |
| Tropical thorn woodland | 0.043 | 0.5 |
| Temperate subtropical deciduous savanna | 0.033 | 0.4 |
| Warm temperate subtropical mixed savanna | 0.043 | 0.5 |
| Temperate conifer savanna | 0.062 | 0.4 |
| Tropical deciduous savanna | 0.033 | 0.4 |
| C $_{3}$ grasslands ${ }^{c}$ | 0.022 | 0.8 |
| C $_{4}$ grasslands ${ }^{d}$ | 0.022 | 0.8 |
| Mediterranean shrubland | 0.043 | 0.7 |
| Temperate arid shrubland | 0.043 | 0.7 |
| Subtropical arid shrubland | 0.043 | 0.7 |
| Taiga | 0.022 | 0.8 |
| Ras |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Ratio of stem-bark thickness (centimeters) to stem diameter at breast height (centimeters).
${ }^{b}$ Ratio of crown length (meters) to canopy height (meters).
${ }^{c}$ Grasslands adapted to cool seasons.
${ }^{d}$ Grasslands adapted to warm or hot seasons.

MCFire also uses DBH (eq. 22) to calculate the fraction of aboveground live wood biomass in branches, distinct from biomass in stem wood or stem bark. The equation for deciduous broadleaf trees and shrubs is based on that for black oak, (Q. velutina) (Stanek and State 1978):

$$
\begin{equation*}
b t t=10^{1.00005+\left[2.10621 \times \log \left(d b h_{-} i n\right)\right]} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4-Tree height as a function of diameter at breast height (DBH) for evergreen and deciduous trees, estimated using the MCFire simulation-model equation $t 0.01\left[137+2\left(h t_{-} \max -137\right)\left(\frac{d b h}{d b h} \max \right)-\left(h t_{-} \max -137\right)\left(\frac{d b h}{d b h}{ }^{\text {max }}\right)^{2}\right]$ where bark_thick is the stem bark thickness in centimeters, thick_ratio and cl_ratio are constants defined for each plant functional type, tree_ht is the canopy height in meters, $h t \_m a x$ is maximum tree height defined for deciduous or evergreen trees in centimeters, $d b h$ is diameter at breast height in centimeters, $d b h_{-}$max is maximum diameter at breast height defined for deciduous or evergreen trees in centimeters, and crown_length is the crown length in meters.

$$
\begin{gather*}
b b l=10^{0.50580+\left[2.09357 \times \log \left(d b h_{i} i n\right)\right]}  \tag{27}\\
\text { branch_frac }=\frac{b b l}{b b t} \tag{28}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $b t t$ is total aboveground tree biomass in pounds of dry matter per stem, $d b h_{-}$in is diameter at breast height in inches, $b b l$ is branch biomass in pounds of dry matter per stem, and branch_frac is the portion of total aboveground tree biomass that is branches.

The equation to estimate aboveground live wood biomass in branches for evergreen needleleaf trees and shrubs is based on equations for ponderosa pine (Pinus ponderosa) (Means et al. 1994):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{bbl}=e^{1.5223+[2.7185 \times \ln (d b h)]}  \tag{29}\\
\mathrm{bsw}=e^{2.4171+[2.7587 \times \ln (d b h)]}  \tag{30}\\
b s b=e^{2.7015+[2.2312 \times \ln (d b h)]}  \tag{31}\\
\text { branch_frac }=\frac{b b l}{(b b t+b s w+b s b)} \tag{32}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $b b l$ is branch biomass in grams of dry matter per stem, $e$ is a mathematical constant approximately equal to $2.71828, d b h$ is diameter at breast height in centimeters (eq. 22), $b s w$ is stem wood biomass in grams of dry matter per stem, $b s b$ is stem bark biomass in grams of dry matter per stem, and branch_frac is the fraction of total aboveground tree biomass that is branches.

Once branch fraction is calculated, it is combined with the total aboveground wood biomass to calculate live fuel in branches and live fuel in stems:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { lbranch }=\text { lwood } \times \text { branch_frac }  \tag{33}\\
\text { lstem }=l \text { wood }- \text { lbranch } \tag{34}
\end{gather*}
$$

where lbranch is the portion of live fuel that is branches in grams of dry matter per square meter, lwood is the total aboveground wood biomass in live trees and shrubs in grams of dry matter per square meter, branch_frac is the fraction of total aboveground tree biomass that is branches (eqs. 28, 32), and lstem is the live fuel in stems in grams of dry matter per square meter.

Live fuel in branches (eq. 33) is used in the calculations of fire consumption and emissions. Live fuel in stems (eq. 34) is not used in the calculation of fire consumption and emissions in the current version of MCFire, because sufficient information on consumption of live fuel in stems is not available.

## Section 4. Fuel Moisture

Within MCFire, moisture content of vegetation is defined as a fraction of the dry weight of the vegetation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { moisture content }=\frac{\text { weight }_{\text {wet }}-\text { weight }_{\text {dry }}}{\text { weight }_{\text {dry } y}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The moisture content of each live-fuel class is a function of the soil moisture content to a specific depth in the profile (Howard 1978). The moisture content of each dead-fuel size class is a function of previous weather conditions averaged over a period, with the number of day based on size class (Cohen and Deeming 1985).

## Estimating Moisture from Live Fuels

The biogeochemistry module in $\mathrm{MC1}$ calculates a production-limiting factor for plants based on the effects of precipitation. This factor (pptprd), which ranges from 0 to 1 and is calculated for a tree vegetation type and grass vegetation type, essentially represents the ratio of available water to potential evapotranspiration on a monthly basis taking into account the different rooting depths of trees and grasses. MCFire translates pptprd to a percentage of maximum fuel moisture (fig. 5) following Howard (1978):

$$
\begin{equation*}
p c t_{-} o f_{-} \max =16.99365+\frac{84.59560}{1+e^{(11.5694-19.2443 \times p p t p r d)}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where pct_of_max is the percentage of maximum moisture content (limited to a maximum of 100), $e$ is a mathematical constant approximately equal to 2.71828 , and pptprd is the precipitation-based production-limiting factor from MC1.

The percentage of maximum moisture content (eq. 36) has a minimum value of 16.99365 percent, which should be taken into account when setting the maximum moisture content parameters (table 4).

To determine the moisture content of a vegetation type (tree or grass), MCFire applies maximum moisture content (eq. 36) to the range of moisture content defined by parameters (table 4). For example, the moisture content of trees is calculated as, moisture_content $=m c_{-}$tree_min $+($mc_tree_max-mc_tree_min $) \times \frac{\text { pct_of_max }}{100}$


Figure 5-Maximum moisture percentage of live vegetation as a function of the precipitation-based plant production-limiting factor (Howard 1978), provided by the biogeochemistry module of MC1, estimated using the MCFire simulation-model equation $16.99365+\frac{84.59560}{1+\mathrm{e}^{(11.5694-192443 \text { P.pppprd) }}}$ where pptprd is the precipitation-based production-limiting factor; this percentage is used to determine the maximum moisture content for trees and grass.
where moisture_content is the moisture content of tree, mc_tree_min is the minimum moisture parameter, mc_tree_max and is the maximum moisture parameter (table 4), and pct_of_max is the maximum moisture content (eq. 36)-all as percentages.

Moisture content of grass is calculated in the same way (eq. 32). Note that because moisture content is defined relative to the dry weight of vegetation (eq. 35), its value can be $>100$ percent. Currently MCFire is parameterized to have moisture content of live grass range from 30 to 120 percent, and to have moisture content of live trees range from 80 to 130 percent (table 4).

The moisture content calculations described above are performed for the entire month, and then MCFire interpolates linearly the value for each day in the month (mc_tree[day] for trees and mc_grass[day] for grass). The moisture content for the last day of the month is set to the monthly value, and the daily values are linearly
interpolated between the last day of the previous month and the last day of the current month.

## Estimating the Moisture Content of Dead Fuels

MCFire calculates moisture content (eq. 35) of dead-fuel classes for each day of the month. The calculations are done in English units for exact correspondence to originally published equations.

## Lower fuel classes-

MCFire calculates the moisture content of 1-hour and 10 -hour fuels by calculating the minimum moisture content, and multiplying it by constants. To estimate the conditions of the air in contact with the fuels in each class (Cohen and Deeming 1985), MCFire adds $15^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ to adjust air temperature and multiplies by 0.87 to adjust humidity. When the (unadjusted) daily minimum temperature is below freezing, relative humidity is assumed to be 100 percent (Cohen and Deeming 1985).

Equilibrium moisture content is calculated as a function of the temperature and relative humidity (Cohen and Deeming 1985), using a set of equations (fig. 6). When relative humidity is $<10$ percent:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e m c=0.03229+0.281073 \times r h-0.000578 \times t \times r h \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

when relative humidity is $\geq 10$ percent but $<50$ percent:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e m c=2.22749+0.160107 \times r h-0.014787 \times t \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and finally, when relative humidity is $>50$ percent:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e m c=21.06060+0.005565 \times r h^{2}-0.00035 \times r h \times t-0.483199 \times \mathrm{rh} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e m c$ is the equilibrium moisture content as a percentage, $r h$ is the relative humidity as a percentage, and $t$ is the air temperature in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

For these classes of fuels, the minimum equilibrium moisture content is calculated by using equations 38 through 40 with the adjusted daily maximum temperature and the adjusted minimum daily humidity values. The minimum equilibrium moisture content is multiplied by 1.0329 to calculate the moisture content of 1-hour fuels, and multiplied by 1.2815 to calculate the moisture content of 10 -hour fuels. When precipitation occurs or when the unadjusted air temperature is $<32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, the moisture content of both fuel types is set to 35 percent.

## Higher fuel classes-

To determine the moisture content of 100 -hour and 1000 -hour fuels, the overall approach is to calculate the 24 -hour equilibrium moisture content estimates, the boundary conditions of moisture content of 100 -hour and 1000 -hour fuels, and then calculate the moisture contents based on those boundary conditions. The 24 -hour


Figure 6-Equilibrium moisture content as a function of temperature and relative humidity (Cohen and Deeming 1985), plotted for five selected temperatures.
equilibrium moisture content is calculated by weighting the maximum moisture content by night length and minimum moisture content by day length (Cohen and Deeming 1985):

$$
\begin{equation*}
e m c_{-} \text {bar }=\frac{(\text { daylit } \times \text { emc_min })+(24-\text { daylit }) \times \text { emc_max }}{24} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e m c_{-} b a r$ is the 24 -hour equilibrium moisture content as a percentage, daylit is the length of daylight in hours (eq. 11), emc_min is the minimum equilibrium moisture content as a percentage, and emc_max is the maximum equilibrium moisture content as a percentage.

Minimum and maximum equilibrium moisture content values in equation 41 are calculated using equations 33 through 35 . For the minimum equilibrium moisture content, the daily maximum air temperature and the minimum relative humidity values are used. For the maximum equilibrium moisture content, daily minimum air temperature and the maximum relative humidity are used. For both
the minimum and the maximum equilibrium moisture content values, the unadjusted air-temperature and relative-humidity values are used, unlike the procedure for calculating 1 -hour and 10 -hour fuel moisture contents.

Boundary conditions of the 100 -hour and 1000 -hour fuel moisture content (Cohen and Deeming 1985) are calculated as a function of the precipitation duration for the day and the 24 -hour equilibrium moisture content (fig. 7):

$$
\begin{equation*}
b n d=\frac{\left(24-p p t \_d u r\right) \times e m c_{-} b a r+p p t_{-} d u r \times\left(C_{1} \times p p t_{-} d u r+C_{2}\right)}{24} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b n d$ is the boundary condition moisture content as a percentage, ppt_dur is the daily precipitation duration in hours (eq. 7), emc_bar is the 24 -hour average equilibrium moisture content as a percentage (eq. 41), $C_{1}$ is 0.5 for 100 -hour fuels and 2.7 for 1000 -hour fuels, and $C_{2}$ is 41 for 100 -hour fuels and 76 for 1000hour fuels.


Figure 7-Boundary conditions for 100-hour and 1000-hour fuel moisture content as a function of 24-hour average equilibrium moisture content and precipitation duration; boundary conditions are plotted using the MCFire simulation-model equation $\frac{\left(24-p p t_{-} d u r\right) \times e m c_{-} b a r+p p t_{-} d u r \times\left(C_{1} \times p p t_{-} d u r+A C_{2}\right.}{24}$ where ppt_dur is the daily precipitation duration in hours, emc_bar is the 24-hour average equilibrium moisture content as a percentage, $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ is 0.5 for 100 -hour fuels and 2.7 for 1000 -hour fuels, and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ is 41 for 100 -hour fuels and 76 for 1000 -hour fuels.

The 100 -hour and 1000 -hour fuel moisture content is calculated from corresponding boundary condition and a moisture content value from a prior day (Cohen and Deeming 1985):

$$
\begin{equation*}
m c=C \times(b n d-y m c)+y m c \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m c$ is the fuel moisture content as a percentage (limited to a maximum of 35), $C$ is 0.315634 for 100 -hour fuels and 0.306810 for 1000 -hour fuels, $b n d$ is the corresponding boundary condition as a percentage (eq. 42), and $y m c$ is a moisture content value from a prior day as a percentage.

For the 100 -hour fuel class, the moisture content value ( $y m c$ ) from the previous day is used in equation 43 . For the 1000 -hour fuel class, the moisture content value from the past seven days is used, and the corresponding boundary condition value is averaged across those seven days.

## Section 5. Fire Occurrence

MCFire simulates only one fire occurrence per year for the grid cell being simulated. Rather than explicitly simulating ignition, MCFire assumes that a source of ignition is always available when fuel conditions reach thresholds and that fire occurs in the month with the lowest 1000 -hour fuel moisture content. Within that month, it occurs on the first day when all of three conditions are met:

- Fuels must have been exposed to extended drought and have become sufficiently dry to justify the fire occurrence, as represented by Palmer Drought Severity Index (Palmer 1965); the value for the current month (sec. 2) must exceed a parameterized threshold (table 4).
- Coarse dead fuels must be dry, as represented by 1000 -hour fuel moisture content (Fosberg et al. 1981); the 1000 -hour fuel moisture content (sec. 4) must exceed a parameterized threshold (table 4).
- Fine dead fuels must be highly flammable, as represented by the fine-fuel flammability metric (Cohen and Deeming 1985), with flammability (below) exceeding a parameterized threshold (table 4).

MCFire calculates fine-fuel flammability as a function of the heat required to produce ignition in the 1-hour fuel class. The heat of ignition of the 1-hour fuel class (Cohen and Deeming 1985) is calculated (fig. 8) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { qign }=144.5-0.266 t-0.00058 t^{2}-0.01 t \cdot m c+18.54\left(1.0-e^{-0.151 m c}\right)+6.4 m c \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where qign is the heat of ignition in joules per gram of dry matter (limited to a maximum of 344), $t$ is the adjusted daily maximum temperature in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{sec} .4), e$ is a mathematical constant approximately equal to 2.71828 , and $m c$ is the moisture content of the 1-hour dead fuels as a percentage (sec. 4).


Figure 8-Heat of ignition as a function of moisture content for three selected temperatures; heat of ignition is plotted using the MCFire simulation-model equation (Cohen and Deeming 1985) 144.5-0.266t-0.00058 $t^{2}$ $-0.01 t \cdot m c+18.54\left(1.0-e^{-0.151 m c}\right)+6.4 m c$ where, $t$ is the adjusted daily maximum temperature in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $m c$ is the moisture content of the 1 -hour dead fuels as a percentage.

Before MCFire calculates fine-fuel flammability, it calculates an intermediate variable:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { chi }=(344-q i g n) / 10 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c h i$ is the intermediate variable, and qign is the heat of ignition in joules per gram of dry matter (eq. 44).

The fine-fuel flammability (fig. 9) is calculated as a function of the intermediate variable:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{-} \text {flamm }=\left(0.0000185 \cdot \text { chi }^{3.6}-0.00232\right) / 0.0099767 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{-}$flamm is the fine-fuel flammability as a percentage (limited to the range of 0 to 100 ) and $c h i$ is the intermediate variable from equation 45.


Figure 9-Fine-fuel flammability as a function of fine-fuel moisture content for three selected temperatures; fine-fuel flammability is plotted using the MCFire simulation-model equation $\left(0.0000185 \cdot c h i^{3.6}-0.00232\right) / 0.0099767$ where $c h i$ is an intermediate variable.

## Section 6. Fire Behavior

MCFire calculates potential fire behavior based on current weather and estimates of the mass, vertical structure, and moisture content of several live- and dead-fuel size classes. Figure 10 illustrates the organization of the MCFire algorithm.

## Fuel Characteristics

Fuel characteristics are used in nearly all calculations of fire behavior. MCFire calculates fuel loads for the day when a fire occurs. The dead-fuel load (wtotd) is the sum of the daily values of the $1-, 10-, 100-$ and 1000 -hour dead fuels (pounds of dry matter per square foot). Daily values of the dead and live fuels (sec. 3) are interpolated from monthly values. Live fuel load (wtotl) is taken as the sum of live grass fuel load and live shrub fuel load in pounds per dry matter per square foot (sec. 3). Because MC1 does not simulate shrubs, live-fuel load is currently equal to the grass fuel load. The total fuel load (wtot) for the day is the sum of the dead and live-fuel loads.


Figure 10—Organization of the MCFire simulation-model algorithm.

If the Keetch-Byram Drought Index for the day (eq. 13) is $>100$, an adjustment increment is calculated following Burgan $(1979,1988)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { tot_incr }=(k b d i-100)\left(\frac{w l p}{700}\right) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where tot_incr is the adjustment increment in pounds of dry matter per square foot, $k b d i$ is the daily value of the Keetch-Byram Drought Index (eq. 13), and wlp is the 1 -hour dead fuel of the day in pounds of dry matter per square foot.

The adjustment increment (eq. 47) is added to each of the dead-fuel classes (1-, $10-, 100-$ and 1000 -hour fuels), weighted by the proportion of each class to the total dead fuels. The adjusted dead-fuel amounts are used only in fire behavior calculations, and do not affect other parts of MCFire.

Fuel density characteristics are calculated assuming that both live-fuel density and dead-fuel density are 32 pounds per cubic foot (Cohen and Deeming 1985):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { rhobed }=(\text { wtot }-w 1000) / \text { depth } \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { betbar }=\text { rhobed/32 } \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where rhobed is bulk density of the fuel bed in pounds per cubic foot, wtot is the total fuel in pounds of dry matter per square foot, $w 1000$ is the 1000 -hour dead fuel in pounds of dry matter per square foot, depth is effective fuel-bed depth in feet, and betbar is the fuel packing ratio. Fuel packing ratio is a measure of fuelbed compactness and is defined as the fraction of the fuel array volume that is occupied by fuel.

The energy release calculations described below use daily net fuel-load amounts for five combustible fuel classes: 1-hour ( $w 1 n$ ), 10 -hour ( $w 10 n$ ), 100-hour ( $w 100 n$ ), live herbaceous fuels (wherbn), and live woody fuels (wwoodn). Each net fuel load amount is calculated by reducing the corresponding daily dead or livefuel loads ( $w 1 p, w 10 p, w 100 p$, wherbp, and wwood) by a noncombustible fraction. Currently the noncombustible fraction is simply assumed to be 0.0555 for all fuel classes (Cohen and Deeming 1985). The rate-of-spread calculations (below) also use net fuel loadings (wdeadn and wliven). However, instead of reducing fuel load by a noncombustible fraction for these calculations, MCFire weights the fuel classes in proportion to their surface areas.

Mineral-damping coefficients represent the reduction in reaction velocity by minerals (Rothermel 1972), and are used in the rate-of-spread calculations and energy-release calculations described below. The mineral-damping coefficient of live fuels is calculated (Cohen and Deeming 1985) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { etasl }=0.174 \times s l^{-0.19} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where etasl is the mineral-damping coefficient of live fuels, and $s l$ is the fraction of live fuels made up of silica-free noncombustible materials.

Currently MCFire sets the fraction of live fuels made up of silica-free noncombustible materials $(s l)$ to 0.01 . The mineral-damping coefficient of dead fuels (etasd) is calculated the same way using equation 50 , and the fraction of silica-free noncombustible materials for dead fuels is also set to 0.01 .

Moisture-of-extinction estimates, to be used in energy release calculations (above), require heating-number estimates for fuel classes. The heating number is the amount of the fuel that is heated to the ignition temperature at the time that flaming combustion starts. A heating number for the 1 -hour dead-fuel class is calculated (Cohen and Deeming 1985 p. 10) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h n 1=w 1 n \times e^{\frac{-138}{5 g l^{1}}} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h n 1$ is the heating number of the 1 -hour dead fuels in pounds of dry matter per square foot, $w 1 n$ is net fuel loading of 1-hour dead fuels in pounds of dry matter per square foot, $e$ is a mathematical constant approximately equal to 2.71828 , and $\operatorname{sgl} 1$ is the surface-to-volume ratios specific to the vegetation being simulated in square meters per cubic meter (table 8 ).

Heating numbers of 10-hour dead fuels ( $h 10 n$ ), 100-hour dead fuels ( $h 100 n$ ), live herbaceous fuels ( $h n w o o d$ ), and wood fuels ( $h n w o o d$ ) are calculated the same way, using corresponding net fuel loadings and surface-to-volume ratios (table 8).

Table 8-Surface-to-volume ratio of dead and live fuels used in MCFire calculations (fuel characteristics, rate of spread, and energy release) for MC1-simulated vegetation

| Vegetation | Ratio of dead fuels |  |  | Ratio of live fuels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 1-hour } \\ \text { (sg1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10-hour } \\ (\operatorname{sg} 10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100-h o u r \\ (\operatorname{sg100}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1000-hour } \\ (\operatorname{sg1000}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Woody } \\ \text { (sgwood) } \end{gathered}$ | Herbaceous (sgherb) |
|  | - - - | - - . | ---- - | $m^{3}-$ | ----- |  |
| Tundra | 1959 | 109 | 30 | 8 | 1462 | 1950 |
| Boreal coniferous forest | 1852 | 109 | 30 | 8 | 1470 | 1984 |
| Maritime temperate coniferous forest | 1960 | 109 | 30 | 8 | 1488 | 2045 |
| Continental temperate coniferous forest | 1937 | 109 | 30 | 8 | 1489 | 2120 |
| Cool temperate mixed forest | 1634 | 109 | 30 | 8 | 1478 | 1967 |
| Warm temperate subtropical mixed forest | 1670 | 109 | 30 | 8 | 1499 | 2012 |
| Temperate deciduous forest | 1730 | 109 | 30 | 8 | 1499 | 2003 |
| Tropical deciduous forest | 1730 | 109 | 30 | 8 | 1499 | 2003 |
| Tropical evergreen forest | 1730 | 109 | 30 | 8 | 1499 | 2003 |
| Temperate mixed xeromorphic woodland | 1789 | 109 | 30 | 8 | 1432 | 2060 |
| Temperate conifer xeromorphic woodland | 2072 | 109 | 30 | 8 | 1451 | 2059 |
| Tropical thorn woodland | 1906 | 109 | 30 | 8 | 1442 | 1909 |
| Temperate subtropical deciduous savanna | 1906 | 109 | 30 | 8 | 1442 | 1909 |
| Warm temperate subtropical mixed savanna | 1433 | 109 | 30 | 8 | 1386 | 2000 |
| Temperate conifer savanna | 2232 | 109 | 30 | 8 | 1500 | 2023 |
| Tropical deciduous savanna | 1906 | 109 | 30 | 8 | 1442 | 1909 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ grasslands ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2020 | 109 | 30 | 8 | 1498 | 2021 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ grasslands $^{\text {b }}$ | 2040 | 109 | 30 | 8 | 1495 | 2003 |
| Mediterranean shrubland | 1673 | 109 | 30 | 8 | 1409 | 2057 |
| Temperate arid shrubland | 2326 | 109 | 30 | 8 | 1497 | 1978 |
| Subtropical arid shrubland | 2425 | 109 | 30 | 8 | 1488 | 1750 |
| Taiga | 1852 | 109 | 30 | 8 | 1470 | 1984 |

[^3]Moisture-of-extinction estimates also require the ratio of dead-to-live fuel heating numbers (Cohen and Deeming 1985):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { wrat }=\frac{h n 1+h n 10+h n 100}{\text { hnherb }+ \text { hnwood }} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where wrat is the ratio of dead-to-live heating numbers; $h n 1$ is the heating number of the 1 -hour dead-fuel class, $h n 10$ is the heating number of the 10 -hour dead-fuel class, and $h n 100$ is the heating number of the 100 -hour dead-fuel class-all in pounds of dry matter per square foot (eq. 51 ); hnher is the heating number of the live herbaceous fuel class, and hnwood is the heating number of the live wood fuel class, both in pounds of dry matter per square foot.

Moisture extinction for dead fuels is parameterized for each kind of vegetation that $\mathrm{MC1}$ simulates (table 9). Live-fuel moisture of extinction depends on the moisture content of the dead fuels as well as on the heating numbers (Cohen and Deeming 1985):

$$
\begin{gather*}
m c l f e=\frac{m c_{-} 1 \times h n 1+m c_{-} 10 \times h n 10+m c_{-} 100 \times h n 100}{h n 1+h n 10+h n 100}  \tag{53}\\
m x l=2.9 \text { wrat }\left(1-\frac{m c l f e}{m x d}\right)-0.226 \tag{54}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $m c l f e$ is the weighted dead-fuel moisture content; $m c_{-} 1$ is the moisture content of 1 -hour dead fuels, $m c \_10$ is the moisture content of 10 -hour dead fuels, and $m c \_100$ is the moisture contents of 100 -hour dead fuels-all as percentages; hnlis the heating number of 1-hour dead fuels, $h n 10$ is the heating number of 10 -hour dead fuels, and $h n 100$ is the heating number of 100 -hour dead fuels-all in pounds of dry matter per square foot (eq. 51); wrat is the ratio of dead-to-live fuel heating numbers (eq. 52); $m x d$ is the moisture of extinction for dead fuels (table 9), and $m x l$ is the moisture of extinction for live fuels, set to be no less than mxd.

## Rate of Spread

Rate of spread is used to calculate the depth of the flaming zone (below), which in turn affects scorch-height and crown-kill calculations. Rate of spread is a function of fuel characteristics, topography, and wind (Cohen and Deeming 1985). The 1000-hour fuels class is not included in the rate of spread calculations because it has a relatively low surface-to-volume ratio. The rate of spread is calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ros }=\frac{\text { ir } \times \text { zeta } \times(1+\text { phislp }+ \text { phiwnd })}{\text { htsink }} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 9—Fuel characteristics used in calculations by the MCFire model simulations for MC1-simulated vegetation-combustion for live and dead fuels, used for rate-of-spread and energy release calculations; moisture of extinction, used for fuel characteristics calculations; and wind-reduction factor, used for rate-of-spread calculations

| $\underline{\text { Vegetation }}$ | Heat of combustion |  | Moisture of extinction of dead fuels (mxd) | Windreduction factor (wndfac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Live fuels <br> (hl) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dead fuels } \\ & \text { (hd) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | - - BTUs | und - - | Percent |  |
| Tundra | 8001 | 8001 | 30 | 0.5 |
| Boreal coniferous forest | 8039 | 8039 | 30 | 0.4 |
| Maritime temperate coniferous forest | 8068 | 8068 | 23 | 0.4 |
| Continental temperate coniferous forest | 8053 | 8053 | 21 | 0.5 |
| Cool temperate mixed forest | 8026 | 8026 | 30 | 0.4 |
| Warm temperate subtropical mixed forest | 8210 | 8210 | 30 | 0.4 |
| Temperate deciduous forest | 8006 | 8006 | 30 | 0.5 |
| Tropical deciduous forest | 8006 | 8006 | 30 | 0.5 |
| Tropical evergreen forest | 8006 | 8006 | 30 | 0.5 |
| Temperate mixed xeromorphic woodland | 8406 | 8406 | 16 | 0.5 |
| Temperate conifer xeromorphic woodland | 8293 | 8293 | 16 | 0.6 |
| Tropical thorn woodland | 8016 | 8016 | 17 | 0.6 |
| Temperate subtropical deciduous savanna | 8016 | 8016 | 17 | 0.6 |
| Warm temperate subtropical mixed savanna | 8680 | 8680 | 15 | 0.6 |
| Temperate conifer savanna | 8001 | 8001 | 16 | 0.6 |
| Tropical deciduous savanna | 8016 | 8016 | 17 | 0.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ grasslands $^{a}$ | 8014 | 8014 | 16 | 0.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ grasslands $^{\text {b }}$ | 8028 | 8028 | 15 | 0.6 |
| Mediterranean shrubland | 8547 | 8547 | 17 | 0.5 |
| Temperate arid shrubland | 8020 | 8020 | 16 | 0.6 |
| Subtropical arid shrubland | 8072 | 8072 | 15 | 0.6 |
| Taiga | 8039 | 8039 | 30 | 0.4 |

[^4]where ros is the rate of spread in feet per minute, $i r$ is the reaction intensity in BTUs per square foot per minute, zeta is the no-wind propagating flux ratio, phislp is the slope effect multiplier coefficient, phiwnd is the wind effect multiplier, and htsink is the heat sink in BTUs per cubic foot of fuel-all described in the sections below.

## Reaction intensity-

Reaction intensity is the heat release rate per unit area of the fire front (Rothermel 1972). It is calculated as a sum of the reaction intensity of dead-fuel classes (except for the 1000-hour dead fuels) and the live-fuel classes. Reaction intensity for dead fuels is:
ir $=$ gmaop $\times[($ wdead $n \times h d \times$ etasd $\times$ etamd $)+($ wliven $\times h l \times$ etasl $\times$ etaml $)]$
where $i r$ is the reaction intensity in BTUs per cubic foot per minute; gmaop is the optimum reaction velocity (eq. 58) per minute (Rothermel 1972); wdeadn is the surface-area weighted dead-fuel density and wliven is the surface-area weighted live-fuel density - both in pounds of fuel per square foot; $h d$ and is the heat of combustion of dead fuels and $h l$ is the heat of combustion of live fuels-both in BTUs per pound (table 9); etasd is the mineral-damping coefficient of dead fuels and etasl is the mineral damping coefficient of live fuels (eq. 50); etamd is the moisturedamping coefficient of live fuels; and etaml is the moisture-damping coefficient of dead fuels.

The optimum reaction velocity in equation 56 is calculated after the maximum reaction velocity is calculated (fig. 11), following Cohen and Deeming (1985):

$$
\begin{equation*}
g m a m x=\frac{s g b r t^{1.5}}{495+0.0594 \times s g b r t^{1.5}} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where gmamx is the maximum reaction velocity per minute, and sgbrt is the characteristic surface-to-volume ratio of the fuel in square meters per cubic meter.

The characteristic surface-to-volume ratio of the fuels (sgbrt) in equation 19 is calculated taking a sum of the characteristic surface-to-volume ratio of dead fuels ( $s g b r d$ ) and live fuels ( $s g b r l$ ) weighted by their respective fraction of the total surface area of fuels. The two component characteristic surface-to-volume ratios are calculated in an analogous way: for dead fuels (sgbrd) by computing the sum of the surface-to-volume ratios of the 1 -, 10- and 100-hour fuels (table 8 ) weighted by their respective fraction of the total surface area of dead fuels; and for live fuels ( sgbrl ) by computing the sum of the surface-to-volume ratios of the two live-fuel classes (table 8) weighted by their respective fraction of the total surface area of live fuels.


Figure 11—Maximum reaction velocity as a function of the surface-to-volume ratio.

Reaction velocity is ratio of the reaction zone efficiency (unitless) to the reaction time per minute (Rothermel 1972). Once the maximum reaction velocity (eq. 57) has been calculated, it is used to calculate optimum reaction velocity (figs. 12, 13):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { gmaop }=g m a m x \times p r \_f r a c^{133\left(s g b r^{20913}\right)} \times e^{\left(1-p r \_f r a c\right) \cdot 133 \cdot\left(s g b r^{209313}\right)} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where gmaop is the optimal reaction velocity in per minute, gmamx is the maximum reaction velocity per minute (eq. 57), $e$ is a mathematical constant approximately equal to $2.71828, p r \_f r a c$ is the ratio of actual-to-optimal fuel packing, and sgbrt is the characteristic surface-to-volume ratio in square meters per cubic meter.

To calculate the ratio of actual-to-optimal packing ( $p r_{-} f r a c$ ), the optimal packing ratio (fig. 14) must be calculated first:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { betop }=3.348 \times \text { sgbrt } t^{-0.8189} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where betop is the optimal packing ratio and sgbrt is the characteristic surface-tovolume ratio in square meters per cubic meter.


Figure 12-Optimum reaction velocity for selected values of ratio of actual-to-optimum packing as a function of surface-to-volume ratio of fuels.


Figure 13-Optimum reaction velocity for selected values of surface-to-volume ratios as a function of ratio of actual-to-optimum packing of fuels.


Figure 14-Optimum packing ratio as a function of surface-to-volume ratio of fine fuels.

The ratio of actual-to-optimal packing is then a simple ratio:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p r_{-} f r a c=\frac{\text { betbar }}{\text { betop }} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p r$ _frac is the ratio of actual-to-optimal packing, betbar is the actual packing ratio (eq. 49), and betop is the optimal packing ratio (eq. 59).

To determine the surface-area weighted fuel densities of dead fuels (wdeadn) and live fuels used in equation 50 (wliven), MCFire first estimates the surface area of each fuel class under consideration. To do so, MCFire first divides the weight of each fuel class by a packing ratio for dead fuels (rhod) and a packing ratio for live fuels (rhol) -both assumed to be 32 pounds per cubic foot-and then multiplies the result by the surface-to-volume ratio for the fuel (table 8). Then the net fuel loading values of the three dead-fuel classes- $w 1 n, w 10$, or $w 100$ (above)—are weighted by the proportion of the surface area of each fuel class to the sum of all surface area of the dead fuels to determine the surface-area weighted fuel density of dead fuels (wdeadn). Similarly, the net fuel-loading values of the two live-fuel classeswherbn and wwoodn (above) -are weighted by the proportion of the surface area of each fuel class to the sum of all surface area of the live fuels to determining the surface-area weighted fuel density of live fuels (wliven).

The heat-of-combustion rates of the dead fuels ( $h d$ ) and live fuels ( $h l$ ) used in equation 56 are set as parameters for each kind of vegetation simulated by MC1 (table 9).

The calculation of mineral-damping coefficients for dead (etasd) and live (etasl) fuels used in equation 56 is described above.

The moisture-damping coefficients used in equation 56 (etamd and etaml) represent the reduction in reaction velocity by fuel moisture (Rothermel 1972). The moisture-damping coefficient of dead fuels (fig. 15) is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { etamd }=1-2.59 \text { dedrt }+5.11 d e d r t^{2}-3.52 d e d r t^{3} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where etamd is the moisture damping coefficient of dead fuels, and dedrt is the fraction of the moisture of extinction in dead fuels represented by the actual moisture content.

The fraction of the moisture of extinction represented by the actual moisture content of dead fuels (dedrt) in equation 61 is determined by calculating the ratio of the surface-area weighted sum of 1 -hour, 10 -hour, and 100 -hour fuel moisture to


Figure 15-Moisture-damping coefficient as a function of the fraction of the moisture of extinction in fuels represented by the actual moisture content, using different calculations or rate of spread and for energy release (Cohen and Deeming 1985): for rate of spread, the MCFire simulation-model equation used is $1-2.59 d e d r t+5.11 d e d r t^{2}-3.52 d e d r t^{3}$ where dedrt is the fraction of the moisture of extinction in dead fuels represented by the actual moisture content; for energy release, the same equation is used, but with substitutions ( -2.0 for $-2.59,1.5$ for 5.11 , and -0.5 for -3.52 ).
the moisture of extinction ( $m x d$ ) for the vegetation being simulated. Moisture-ofextinction ( $m x d$ ) values are specified as parameters (table 9). The moisture-damping coefficient (etaml) of live fuels (fig. 15) is calculated in a similar way, using equation 61, with one difference: for live fuels, the moisture fraction does not use the parameterized moisture of extinction ( $m x d$ ) values. Instead, the surface-area weighted sum of the two live-fuel classes is divided by the moisture of extinction of live fuels (eqs. 51 to 54 ), which is calculated daily.

## No-wind propagation flux ratio-

The no-wind propagating flux ratio represents the amount of heat available to propagate to new fuels, and is calculated as (Cohen and Deeming 1985):

$$
\begin{equation*}
z e t a=\frac{e^{(0.792+0.681 \sqrt{\text { sgbrt) }} \text { betbar }+0.1)}}{192+0.2595 \text { sgbrt }} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where zeta is the no-wind propagating flux ratio, sgbrt is the characteristic surface-to-volume ratio for the five fuel classes under consideration in square meters per cubic meter, and betbar is the actual packing ratio (eq. 49).

## Slope-effect multiplier-

The slope-effect multiplier is calculated (Cohen and Deeming 1985) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { phislp }=\text { slpfct } \times \text { betbar } \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where phislp is the slope effect multiplier; betbar is the actual packing ratio (eq. 49); and slpfct is the slope-effect multiplier coefficient: 0.267 when slope is $\leq 25$ percent, 0.533 when slope is $>25$ percent but $\leq 40$ percent, 1.068 when slope is $>40$ percent but $\leq 55$ percent, 2.134 when slope is $>55$ percent but $\leq 75$ percent, and 4.273 when slope is $>75$ percent.

## Wind-effect multiplier-

The wind-effect multiplier is calculated in one of two ways (Cohen and Deeming 1985 p. 11). MCFire first tests whether:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w s \times 88.0 \times w n d f a c t \leq i r \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w s$ is the average windspeed in miles per hour, wndfac is the fuel model wind-reduction factor (table 9), and ir is the reaction intensity (eq. 56) in BTUs per square foot per minute.

For relatively low wind speeds, when reaction intensity is larger as in equation 64, the wind effect multiplier is calculated in the following steps:

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{-} \text {eff }=0.02526 \times \text { sgbrt }^{0.54}  \tag{65}\\
& c_{-} \text {var }=7.47 \times e^{-0.133 s g b r 0^{0.5 s}} \tag{66}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
e \_e f f=0.715 \times e^{-0.000359 s g b r t}  \tag{67}\\
u f a c t=c \_v a r \times p r \_f r a c^{-e \_e f f}  \tag{68}\\
\text { phiwnd }=u f a c t \times(w s \times 88 \times w n d f a c)^{\left(b \_e f f\right)} \tag{69}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $b \_e f f, c_{-} v a r, e_{-}$eff and ufact are intermediate variables, sgbrt is the characteristic surface-to-volume ratio for the five fuel classes under consideration in square meters per cubic meter, $p r$ frac is the ratio of actual-to-optimal packing (eq. 60 ), $w s$ is wind speed in miles per hour, wndfac is the wind reduction factor for the vegetation being simulated (table 9), and phiwnd is the wind-effect multiplier.

When wind speeds are higher, resulting in the balance shifting away from reaction intensity in equation 64, the wind effect multiplier is calculated as a function of reaction intensity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { phiwnd }=u f a c t \times(0.9 \times i r)^{b_{-}-f f} \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where phiwnd is the wind effect multiplier, ufact and $b$ _eff are the same intermediate variables as above (eqs. 68, 65), and ir is the reaction intensity in BTUs per square foot per minute (eq. 56).

## Heat sink-

Heat sink is the product of the fuel-bed bulk density and a surface-area weighted average of the moisture-content dependent terms for each fuel class (Cohen and Deeming 1985):

$$
\begin{equation*}
h t s i n k=\text { rhobed } \frac{\sum_{\text {fuels }} s a(250+1116 m c) e^{-138 / s g}}{\text { satot }} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

where htsink is the size of the heat sink in BTUs per cubic foot; rhobed is the fuel-bed bulk density in pounds of fuel per cubic foot (eq. 48); fuels are the 1-hour, 10-hour, 100-hour dead fuels and the herbaceous and woody live-fuel classes; $s a$ is the surface area of the fuel class (sal, sa10, sa100, saherb, or sawood) in square feet per square foot; $m c$ is the fractional moisture content of the fuel class ( $m c_{-} 1$, $m c \_10, m c \_100, m c \_g r a s s$, or $\left.m c \_t r e e\right)$ as a percentage; e is a mathematical constant approximately equal to 2.71828 ; sg is the surface-to-volume ratio of the fuel class in square meters per cubic meter (table 8 ); and satot is the total surface area of all the fuels in square feet per square foot.

## Energy Release

Energy release is a principal component in the calculation of fire-line intensity and the energy-release component (below). Many calculations in this section are analogous to those done for the rate of spread (above), with two important differences: (1) the 1000 -hour dead fuels are included in reaction intensity calculations; and (2) when calculating the combined characteristic surface-to-volume ratio, the values from the fuel classes are weighted by their mass, rather than by their volume.

Reaction intensity for energy release is calculated as a weighted average of the reaction intensities for the dead and live fuels. The weightings are the fractions calculated by dividing each of the two fuel types-dead and live-by total fuel (Cohen and Deeming 1985):

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { ire }= & \text { gmaope } \times[(\text { fdeade } \times \text { wdedne } \times h d \times \text { etasd } \times \text { etamde })  \tag{72}\\
& +(\text { flivee } \times \text { wlivene } \times h l \times \text { etas } \times \text { etamle })]
\end{align*}
$$

where $i r e$ is the reaction intensity for energy release in BTUs per square foot per minute; gmaope is the weighted optimum reaction velocity of loading (described below) per minute; fdeade is the ratio of dead-fuel weight (wtotd) to all fuel weight (wtot); flivee is the ratio of live-fuel weight (wtotl) to all fuel weight (wtot); wdedne is dead-fuel weight minus the noncombustible fraction (assumed to be 0.0555 for both fuels) and wlivne is live-fuel weight minus the noncombustible fraction (assumed to be 0.0555 for both fuels) -both in pounds of dry matter per square foot; hdis the heat of combustion of the dead fuels and $h l$ is the heat of combustion of the live fuels-both in BTUs per pound (table 9); etasdetasl is the mineral damping coefficient for dead fuels and etasl is the mineral damping coefficient for live fuels (eq. 50); and etamde is the energy release moisture damping coefficient for dead fuels and etamle is the energy release moisture damping coefficient for live fuels, described below.

The optimum reaction velocity (figs. 12, 13) is calculated the same way as the optimum reaction velocity (eq. 58) for rate of spread (above), with two small differences: (1) the 1000-hour dead-fuel class is included in all fuel-related calculations, and (2) the characteristic surface-to-volume ratio is calculated as a mass-weighted average-not a volume-weighted average-of the surface-to-volume ratios of the component fuel classes.

The energy release moisture damping coefficients for dead fuels and live fuels (fig. 15) are calculated as they were calculated for rate of spread (above) using equation 61 , but the coefficients $-2.59,5.11$ and -3.52 are replaced with $-2.0,1.5$, and -0.5 (Cohen and Deeming 1985). As noted above, the 1000 -hour fuel class is included in the calculation.

## Fireline Intensity and Lethal Scorch Height

Fireline intensity is used to determine the occurrence of crown fire (below), and lethal scorch height is used to simulate crown kill in the absence of a crown fire (sec. 7). All equations are from Cohen and Deeming (1985), except where noted. To calculate fireline intensity, residence time of the flaming front is first calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { tau }=\frac{384}{\text { sgbrt }} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t a u$ is the residence time of flaming front in minutes, and sgbrt is the characteristic surface-to-volume ratio for all fuels in square meters per cubic meter.

Then depth of the flaming zone is calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f d=\operatorname{ros} \times t a u \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f d$ is the depth of the flaming zone in feet, ros is the rate of spread in feet per minute (eq. 55), and tau is the residence time of flaming front in minutes (eq. 73).

Finally, fireline intensity is calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f i=\text { ire } \times \frac{f d}{60} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f i$ is the fireline intensity in BTUs per foot per second, ire is reaction intensity in BTUs per square foot per minute (eq. 72), and $f d$ is depth of the flaming zone in feet (eq. 74).

Given fireline intensity, lethal scorch height can be calculated following Van Wagner (1973) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s h=\frac{63}{158-t m p} \times \frac{f^{7 / 6}}{\sqrt{f+w s^{3}}} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s h$ is the lethal scorch height in feet, $t m p$ is the daily average air temperature in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}, f i$ is the fireline intensity in BTUs per foot per second (eq. 75), and $w s$ is the wind speed in miles per hour.

## Crown Fire

MCFire simulates a crown fire when fireline intensity (eq. 75)—converted from BTUs per second per foot to kilowatts per meter using a conversion factor of 0.288895 -exceeds a threshold value (Van Wagner 1977). First, the estimated heat of canopy ignition is calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=460+26 \times \text { mc_tree } \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ is the estimated heat of canopy ignition in kilojoules per kilogram, and $m c_{-}$tree is the daily tree-moisture content as a percentage (sec. 4).

Then the crown fire threshold is calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { fli_crit }=(0.010 \times z \times h)^{1.5} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

where fli_crit is the threshold for crown fire in kilowatts per meter, $z$ is height of the bottom of the crown in meters calculated as the difference between tree height (eq. 24) and crown length (eq. 25), and $h$ is the estimated heat of canopy ignition in kilojoules per kilogram (eq. 77).

## Section 7. Fire Effects

Whenever a fire occurs, MCFire can estimate its effects on vegetation and the effects of its emissions on the environment using fuel characteristics and fire behavior metrics. Figure 10 illustrates the organization of algorithm by which MCFire simulates fire behavior from fuel loading and moisture, which in turn drive mortality and consumption of fuels (Peterson and Ryan 1986, Ryan and Reinhardt 1988). Consumption is partitioned into two distinct types, flaming and smoldering; each type is linked to gaseous and particulate fire emissions using emission models and rates from CONSUME 3.0 (Prichard et al. 2007). Fire-induced fluxes of carbon are calculated on a per-unit area basis. MCFire also calculates black-carbon production as a separate process from emissions, following Kuhlbusch and Crutzen (1995). Finally, MCFire calculates the fraction of the grid cell area burned, used to prorate the estimated fire effects before values are passed back to MC1.

## Crown Kill

When a crown fire occurs (sec. 6), MCFire assumes that all of the leaves and branches are consumed, that the stems and roots are killed without being consumed (table 10), and that 90 percent of live aboveground grass is consumed.

Absent a crown fire, MCFire estimates partial crown kill by comparing the lethal scorch height (eq. 76) with the tree height and crown height. The crown height is the height of the bottom of the canopy, calculated by subtracting the tree height (eq. 24) from the crown length (eq. 25). MCFire assigns a one to the crownkill fraction if the lethal scorch height exceeds the tree height, and a zero if it fails to reach the bottom of the canopy. If it is between tree height and crown height, crown-kill fraction (Peterson and Ryan 1986) is estimated (fig. 16) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c k=1-\left(\frac{h t-h k}{c l}\right)^{2} \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c k$ is the fraction of crown volume killed, $h t$ is the tree height in meters (eq. 24); $h k$ is the lethal scorch height in meters, converted from $s h$, the lethal scorch height calculated in feet (eq. 76); cl is the crown length in meters (eq. 25); and $\left(\frac{h t-h k}{c l}\right)^{2}$ represents the square of the unscorched fraction of the crown.

Table 10—Fire effects on live biomass pools simulated by the MCFire model under three kinds of fire events: crown fire, tree mortality without crown fire, and fire with partial mortality

| Fire event | Biomass pool | Consumed | Killed |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Crown fire |  | Percent |  |
|  | Aboveground grass | 90 | - |
|  | Tree leaves | 100 | 0 |
|  | Tree branches | 100 | 0 |
|  | Tree stems | - | 100 |
|  | Roots | - | 100 |
| Mortality but no crown fire | Aboveground grass | 90 | - |
|  | Tree leaves | 0 | 100 |
|  | Tree branches | 0 | 100 |
|  | Tree stems | - | 100 |
|  | Roots | - | 100 |
|  | Aboveground grass | 90 | - |
|  | Tree leaves | 0 | $\mathrm{ck} \times 100$ |
|  | Tree branches | 0 | $\mathrm{ck} \times 100$ |
|  | Tree stems | - | $\mathrm{ck} \times 100$ |
|  | Roots | - | $\mathrm{ck} \times 100$ |

$-=$ No results because MCFire does not estimate percent killed for aboveground grass, tree stems, or tree roots. ${ }^{a}$ When there is mortality is incomplete, MCFire uses crown kill fraction (eq. 79) to estimate percent killed: ck= $1-((h t-h k) / c l)^{2}$ where $c k$ is the fraction of crown volume killed; $h t$ is the tree height in meters (eq. 24$)$; $h k$ is the lethal scorch height in meters, converted from $s h$, the lethal scorch height in feet (eq. 76); $c l$ is the crown length in meters (eq. 25); and $((h t-h k) / c l)^{2}$ represents the square of the unscorched fraction of the crown.


Figure 16-Crown-kill fraction (the proportion of crown volume killed by fire) as a function of the unscorched fraction of the crown length (tree height minus scorch height divided by the crown length).

## Tree Mortality

When a crown fire occurs, MCFire assumes that all woody vegetation is killed (table 10). Absent a crown fire, MCFire estimates the probability that trees are killed (fig. 17) based on their bark thickness and the fraction crown kill fraction:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { prob_mort }=\frac{1}{1+e^{-1.466+1.910 b t-0.175 b t^{2}-5.4 c k^{2}}} \times 100 \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

where prob_mort is the probability that the trees are killed as a percentage, $b t$ is bark thickness (eq. 23) in centimeters (limited to a maximum of 5), $e$ is a mathematical constant approximately equal to $2.71828, e$ is a mathematical constant approximately equal to 2.71828 , and $c k$ is the crown kill fraction (eq. 79).

If the probability of mortality (eq. 80) exceeds a parameterized threshold, all trees in the grid cell are considered to be killed. Currently MC1 uses the threshold value for testing fine-fuel flammability (prob_thres in sec. 5 and table 4) and assumes that all the trees will survive the fire if the probability of mortality (prob mort) does not exceed the threshold.


Figure 17—Probability of tree mortality for selected bark thicknesses as a function of crown-kill fraction; probability of tree mortality is plotted using the MCFire simulation-model equation $\frac{1}{1+e^{-1.466+1.910 b t-0.1775 b t^{2}-5.4 c c^{2}}} \times 100$ where $b t$ is bark thickness (eq. 23) in centimeters (limited to a maximum of 5) and $c k$ is the crown kill fraction (the proportion of crown volume killed by fire); the probability of mortality is high for all crown kill fractions when bark thickness is very low, but it is low when bark thickness is high.

## Biomass Consumption and Partial Mortality

MCFire calculates fire effects for five live biomass pools (aboveground live-grass biomass, live-tree leaves, live-tree branches, live-tree stems, and live roots) and four dead biomass pools (1-hour, 10 -hour, 100 -hour and 1000 -hour fuels). In every fire event, MCFire estimates that 90 percent of aboveground live grass biomass is consumed. For each of the other biomass pools, MCFire calculates the percentage consumed (consumed) and percentage killed (killed), depending on which of these three fire events has occurred (table 10):

1. When a crown fire occurs (above), all live tree leaves and live tree branches are consumed, and live tree stems and live roots are marked as killed.
2. When tree mortality occurs without a crown fire (above), all live tree biomass pools and the live roots pool are marked as killed.
3. In all other situations, mortality is considered incomplete and all live-tree biomass pools and the live-roots pool are marked as having the same percentage killed as the crown-kill fraction (eq. 79).

After the biomass consumption has been calculated as percentages, MCFire applies the percentages to live grass pools (lgrass), live-tree leaf pools (lleaf), and live-tree branch pools (lbranch) to calculate consumption amounts as weight (tons of dry matter per acre). The consumed weights are used in the emissions calculations (below).

Estimates of the proportions of the dead-fuel pools that are consumed are based on equations in CONSUME version 3.0 (Prichard et al. 2007). The amounts of 1-hour, 10 -hour, and 100 -hour dead fuels consumed are estimated as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{-} 1 h r=0.9 \times d 1  \tag{81}\\
c_{-} 10 h r=-0.048132+0.917393 \times d 10  \tag{82}\\
c_{-} 100 h r=-0.124649+0.869309 \times d 100-0.004804 \times m c_{-} d u f f \tag{83}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $c_{-} 1 h r$ is the amount of 1-hour dead fuels consumed, $c_{-} 10 h r$ is the amount of 10 - hour dead fuels consumed, and $c_{-} 100 \mathrm{hr}$ is the amount of 100 -hour dead fuels consumed-all in tons of dry matter per acre; $d 1$ is the daily amount of 1-hour dead fuels, $d 10$ is the daily amount of 10 -hour dead fuels, and $d 100$ is the daily amount of 100 -hour dead fuels-all in tons of dry matter per acre (sec. 3); and $m c \_d u f f$ is an estimate of the moisture content of the duff as a percentage, set to the precipitation-based production coefficient (pptprd) for grass from the $\mathrm{MC1}$ biogeochemistry module.

To estimate the amount of the 1000 -hour dead fuels consumed, reduction in diameter is first estimated. When the moisture content of the duff (mc_duff) is $\leq 70$ percent:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { dia_reduc }=-1.465442+0.466083 \times \text { preburn_dia }-0.014756 \times \text { mc_duff } \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

When moisture content is $>70$ percent:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { dia_reduc }=0.5779 \times e^{-0.03\left(m c \_d u f f-70\right)} \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

where dia_reduc is the reduction in the diameter of the large coarse wood in inches, preburn_dia is preburn fuel diameter set to 6.6 inches (Ottmar 1998, Peterson and Ottmar 1991), $e$ is a mathematical constant approximately equal to 2.71828 , and $m c \_d u f f$ is an estimate of the moisture content of the duff as a percentage, set to the precipitation-based production coefficient (pptprd) for grass from the MC1 biogeochemistry module.

The fractional reduction in volume is calculated from the diameter reduction, and used to estimate the amount of the 1000 -hour dead-fuel class consumed:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { vol_reduc }=1-\left(\frac{Q M D-\text { dia_reduc }}{Q M D}\right)^{2}  \tag{86}\\
c \_1000 h r=\text { vol_reduc } \times d 1000 \tag{87}
\end{gather*}
$$

where vol_reduc is the fractional reduction in volume of the 1000 -hour dead fuel, QMD is the quadratic average diameter of fuels set to 6.6 inches (Ottmar 1998, Peterson and Ottmar 1991), dia_reduc is the reduction in the diameter of the large coarse wood in inches (eqs. 84, 85), $c_{-} 1000 \mathrm{hr}$ is the amount of 1000 -hour fuel consumed in tons of dry matter per acre, and $d 1000$ is the amount of 1000 -hour fuel on the current day in tons of dry matter per acre.

## Emissions

After MCFire calculates the total amount of fuel consumed by flaming combustion and the total amount consumed by smoldering combustion, emissions are calculated for each total. The equations used in this section are based on the CONSUME version 3.0 (Prichard et al. 2007).

## Flaming versus smoldering combustion-

MCFire assumes that all fine fuels are consumed by flaming combustion: live grass (lgrass), leaves of woody vegetation (lleaf), branches of woody vegetation (lbranch), 1 -hour (d1) dead fuels, and 10 -hour dead fuels (d10).

For the 100 -hour fuels, the flaming portion of combustion is first calculated (fig. 18). The equation for flaming portion of combustion given in CONSUME 3.0 user guide (Prichard et al. 2007) has been adjusted to correct an error and simplified to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.f \_ \text {portion }=1-e^{-(0.216169} c_{\_} 100 h r^{2}\right)^{266} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ portion is the flaming portion of combustion, $e$ is a mathematical constant approximately equal to 2.71828 , and $c_{-} 100 h r$ is the amount of 100 -hour fuel consumed in tons of dry matter per acre (eq. 87).


Figure 18-Flaming portion of combustion as a function of total consumption of 100 -hour dead fuel, plotted using the MCFire simulation-model equation $1-e^{-\left(0.216169 c_{-} 100 h r\right)^{226}}$ where $c_{-} 100 \mathrm{hr}$ is the amount of 100 -hour fuel consumed in tons of dry matter per acre.

If the diameter reduction from flaming combustion is $>1.68$ inches, then flaming combustion is assumed to account for all the consumption of the 100 -hour fuels. If the diameter reduction is $\leq 1.68$ inches, the amount consumed by flaming is calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f c_{-} 100 h r=d 100 \times\left(1-\frac{\left[1.68-\left(\text { dia_reduc } \times f_{-} \text {portion }\right)\right]^{2}}{1.68^{2}}\right) \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f c_{-} 100 \mathrm{hr}$ is the amount of 100 -hour fuel consumed by flaming combustion in tons of dry matter per acre, $d 100$ is the original amount of 100 -hour fuel in tons of dry matter per acre, dia_reduc is the diameter reduction attributable to fire in inches (eqs. 84, 85), and $f$ _portion is the flaming portion of combustion (eq. 88).

For 1000-hour fuels, the amount consumed by flaming combustion ( $f c_{-} 1000 \mathrm{hr}$ ) is calculated with equation 89 , substituting the weight of 1000 -hour fuels ( $d 1000$ ) for 100 -hour fuels ( $d 100$ ), and replacing all occurrences of the constant 1.68 with 6.6, representing the quadratic average diameter of 1000 -hour fuels (Ottmar 1998, Peterson and Ottmar 1991).

Once all the flaming combustion amounts have been calculated, MCFire calculates the total smoldering combustion amount (in tons of dry matter per acre) by calculating the difference between the total combustion amount (tot_c) and the total flaming combustion amount (tot_fc). The total combustion amount is the sum of 1-hour, 10 -hour, 100 -hour, and 1000-hour dead-fuel combustion, plus live grass, leaf, and branch combustion (above). The total flaming-combustion amount is the sum of fine fuels-live grass (lgrass), leaves of woody vegetation (lleaf), branches of woody vegetation (lbranch), 1-hour dead fuels (d1), and 10-hour dead fuels ( $d 10$ )—plus the portion of 100 -hour fuel consumption amounts ( $d 100$ ) that was not consumed ( $f c_{-} 100 h r$ ) and the portion of 1000 -hour fuel consumption amounts ( $d 1000$ ) that was not consumed ( $f c_{-} 1000 h r$ ).

## Emissions species-

MCFire translates the amounts of fuel burned by flaming and smoldering combustion (above) using emission rates for seven types of unmounded vegetation (table 11). Because MC1 does not directly use the seven unmounded fuel types in table 11, MCFire selects the fuel type that most closely matches the MC1 vegetation type being simulated in the current grid cell (table 1).

To calculate total quantities (table 11) for the seven emission components-carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, methane, nonmethane hydrocarbons, total particulate matter, particulate matter $<10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (PM10), and particulate matter $<2.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (PM2.5)—MCFire multiplies the flaming (tot_fc) and smoldering (tot_sc) combustion quantities by the corresponding emissions rates for each vegetation type.

## Black Carbon

MCFire calculates fuel conversion to black carbon for six fuel classes: live grass, live tree leaves, live tree branches, and the four dead-fuel classes (1-hour, 10-hour, 100 -hour, and 1000 -hour). The calculation follows Kuhlbusch and Crutzen (1995), and is the same for all six fuel classes under consideration:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b l k c=\left(\frac{28.5}{1.3^{88.2-c o n s u m e d}+1}\right) \times\left(\frac{100-\text { consumed }}{\text { consumed }}\right) \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

where blkc is portion of fuel converted to black carbon as a percentage, and consumed is the portion the pool consumed by the fire as a percentage.

Table 11—Comparative amounts of seven emission components calculated by the MCFire model for MCIsimulated vegetation-particulate matter (PM), particulates <10 $\mu \mathrm{m}\left(\mathrm{PM}_{10}\right)$, particulates $<2.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}\left(\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}\right)$, carbon monoxide (CO), carbon dioxide ( $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ), methane $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right)$, nonmethane hydrocarbons (NMHC)—under flaming and smoldering conditions; emission rates are from Prichard et al. (2007)

| Emissions fuel type <br> (MCI vegetation) | Flaming combustion |  |  |  |  |  | Smoldering combustion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PM | PM ${ }_{10}$ | $\mathbf{P M}_{2.5}$ | CO | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{C H}_{4}$ | NMHC | PM | $\mathbf{P M}_{10}$ | PM2.5 | CO | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | NMHC |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | un | r ton com | mb | d - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Douglas-fir slash (Maritime temperate coniferous forest) | 24.7 | 16.6 | 14.9 | 143 | 3,385 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 35.0 | 27.6 | 26.1 | 463 | 2,804 | 15.2 | 8.4 |
| Hardwoods slash <br> (Temperate deciduous forest) | 23.0 | 14.0 | 12.2 | 92 | 3,389 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 38.0 | 25.9 | 23.4 | 366 | 2,851 | 19.6 | 14.0 |
| Ponderosa-lodgepole pine slash (Warm temperate subtropical mixed forest) | 18.8 | 11.5 | 10.0 | 89 | 3,401 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 48.6 | 36.7 | 34.2 | 285 | 2,971 | 14.6 | 9.6 |
| Mixed conifer slash (Continental temperate coniferous forest) | 22.0 | 11.7 | 9.6 | 53 | 3,458 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 33.6 | 25.3 | 23.6 | 273 | 3,023 | 17.6 | 13.2 |
| Juniper slash (Tropical thorn woodland) | 21.9 | 15.3 | 13.9 | 82 | 3,401 | 3.9 | 5.5 | 35.1 | 25.8 | 23.8 | 250 | 3,050 | 20.5 | 15.5 |
| Sagebrush ( $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ grasslands) ${ }^{a}$ | 45.0 | 31.8 | 29.1 | 155 | 3,197 | 7.4 | 6.8 | 45.3 | 29.6 | 26.4 | 212 | 3,118 | 12.4 | 14.5 |
| Chaparral (Mediterranean shrubland) | 31.6 | 16.5 | 13.5 | 119 | 3,326 | 3.4 | 17.2 | 40.0 | 24.7 | 21.6 | 197 | 3,144 | 9.0 | 30.6 |
| Average values | 26.7 | 16.8 | 14.7 | 105 | 3,365 | 4.2 | 6.5 | 39.4 | 30.0 | 25.6 | 292 | 2,994 | 15.6 | 15.1 |

[^5]The percentage of pool consumed by fire (consumed) is calculated above, and can range from 0 to 100. For black-carbon calculations, however, values are only calculated for the upper end of that range- 70 to 100 percent (fig 19).

To calculate the mass of black carbon produced in each of the six fuel classes, MCFire multiplies the black carbon conversion percentage (blkc) by the corresponding carbon pool in the MC1 biogeochemistry module (appendix 2). The conversion percentage for:

- Live grass is applied to the live grass-carbon pool (lgras)
- Live trees is applied to the live tree-leaves carbon pool (lleaf)
- Live branches is applied to both the fine woody pools (lwodl) and coarse woody pools (lwod2)


Figure 19—Black-carbon production as a function of fuel consumption, estimated as a function of the portion of the fuel being consumed by fire; because the MCFire simulation model calculates black carbon conversion and consumption as distinct pathways for carbon, black carbon conversion is zero when consumption is 100 percent.

- One-hour dead fuels is applied to the litter (littr), standing dead grass (dstnd), and fine dead wood carbon pools ( $d w o d 1$ )
- Hundred-hour dead fuels is applied to coarse wood carbon pool ( $d w o d 2$ )

Although the black-carbon conversion percentage is calculated as a function of fuel consumed by fire, the two pools are distinct and fuel converted to black carbon is not included in fuel consumed by fire. Therefore black carbon is not regarded as an emission; instead MC1 transfers black carbon to a highly recalcitrant soil carbon pool. This distinction is essential in understanding the incongruent structures of black-carbon production and fuel-consumption calculations. For fuel consumption, MCFire combines the MCl fine woody pools (lwod1) and coarse woody pools (lwod2), and then partitions them into branches (lbranch) and stems (lstem), for which independent consumption rates are calculated. For black-carbon conversion, MCFire only uses the consumption rate of branches to calculate a black-carbon conversion percentage, but applies that percentage to the sum of fine woody pools (lwodl) and coarse woody pools (lwod2), some portion of which contains stems. Another incongruence is that MCFire does not calculate a 10-hour black-carbon conversion percentage. For fuel consumption calculations, MCFire combines MCl's
dead carbon pools (eq. 5), and then partitions them into 1 -hour, 10 -hour, 100 -hour, and 1000 -hour fuel classes using parameterized fractions (table 5). For black carbon, MCFire applies conversion rates for 1-hour fuels to fine dead-wood carbon pools (dwod 1 ) and 100-hour fuels to coarse dead wood carbon pools (dwod2), but does not use the conversion rates for 10 -hour and 1000 -hour fuels, because corresponding dead carbon pools were not readily identified. Harmonizing the black carbon calculations with fuel consumption calculations would improve overall model skill.

## Area Burned

For each fire occurrence, MCFire calculates the area burned as a fraction of the grid cell being simulated but does not identify the location of the area burned within the cell. Nor does it calculate the area burned directly from weather and fire behavior, but instead as function of the current vegetation type, drought conditions, and the time since the last fire in the grid cell. MC 1 and MCFire do not simulate any interaction among cells. In particular MCFire does not simulate fire spread among grid cells.

MCFire first estimates a current fire-return interval based on the drought conditions of the current simulation time step and historical minimum and maximum fire-return intervals:
where curr_fri is the estimate of the current fire-return interval in years; min_mfri and max_mfri are the minimum and maximum average fire-return intervals in years (table 1); pdsi is the current monthly value of the Palmer Drought Severity Index; pdsi_min is the minimum Palmer Drought Severity Index value assumed to be -4 ; and pdsi_max is the maximum Palmer Drought Severity Index value. The value of pdsi_max is set to the value of the input parameter pdsi_thresh (table 4), the threshold above which fire is not simulated.

The minimum and maximum historical fire-return interval values (eq. 91) are parameterized for each type of vegetation that MC1 simulates (table 1). The drought condition of the current simulation time step, as represented by the Palmer Drought Severity Index value, is used to select a value in the interval between the minimum and maximum fire-return intervals. The values used in equation 91 do not represent the full range of Palmer Drought Severity Index values naturally observed, but rather a range of dry conditions under which fire is likely to occur.

MCFire calculates the burned area as a reciprocal of the estimated current firereturn interval (eq. 91):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { part }=\frac{\text { burn_count }+1}{\text { curr_fri }^{\prime}} \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

where part is the fraction of the cell burned, burn_count is the number of full calendar years since the last fire, and curr_fri is the estimated current fire-return interval in years (eq. 91).

Area burned (part) is limited to a maximum of 1.0 ( 100 percent burned), so the fraction ranges from the simple reciprocal of the curr_fri (eq. 91) to 1.0. If fire were to occur at a regular interval under the same drought conditions, then the sum of area burned (part) would not reach 1.0 until simulation is complete for the curr_fri years.
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## English and Metric Equivalents

These conversion factors are accurate to six significant digits.

## English Equivalents

| When you know: | Multiply by: | To find: |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Millimeters $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 0.0393701 | Inches |
| Centimeters $(\mathrm{cm})$ | 0.393701 | Inches |
| Meters $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.28084 | Feet |
| Meters $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.000621371 | Miles |
| Meters per second $\left(\mathrm{m} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | 196.850 | Feet per minute |
| Meters per second $\left(\mathrm{m} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | 2.23694 | Miles per hour |
| Mils | 25.6410256 | Micrometers $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ |
| Grams (g) | 0.00220462 | Pounds |
| Grams $(\mathrm{g})$ | $1.10231 \times 10^{-6}$ | Tons |
| Grams per square meter $\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}^{-2}\right)$ | 0.000204816 | Pounds per square foot |
| Grams per square meter $\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}^{-2}\right)$ | 8.92179 | Pounds per acre |
| Grams per square meter $\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}^{-2}\right)$ | 0.00446090 | Tons per acre |
| Grams per cubic meter $\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}^{-3}\right)$ | $62.4280 \times 10^{-6}$ | Pounds per cubic foot |
| Joules $(\mathrm{J})$ | 0.000947817 | British thermal units |
| Joules per gram $\left(\mathrm{J} \mathrm{g} \mathrm{g}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.429923 | British thermal units per pound |
| Degrees Celsius $(\mathrm{C})$ | $1.8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}+32$ | Degrees Fahrenheit |
| Pascals $($ Pa $)$ | 0.000145038 | Pounds per square inch |
| Stems per square meter $\left(\mathrm{stems} \mathrm{m}^{-2}\right)$ | 4046.86 | Stems per acre |
| Kilowatts per meter $\left(\mathrm{kW} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{m}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.288895 | British thermal units per |
|  |  | second per foot |

## Metric Equivalents

| When you know: | Multiply by: | To find: |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Inches (in) | 25.4000 | Millimeters |
| Inches (in) | 2.54000 | Centimeters |
| Feet (ft) | 0.304800 | Meters |
| Feet per minute (ft minute ${ }^{-1}$ ) | 0.005080 | Meters per second |
| Micrometers $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | 0.039 | Mils |
| Miles (mi) | 1609.34 | Meters |
| Miles per hour (mph) | 0.44704 | Meters per second |
| Pounds (lb) | 453.592 | Grams |
| Pounds per square inch $(\mathrm{psi})$ | 6894.76 | Pa |
| Pounds per square foot $(\mathrm{lb} \mathrm{ft}$ |  |  |
| Pounds per acre (pound ac $\left.{ }^{-1}\right)$ | 4882.43 | Grams per square meter |
| Pounds per cubic foot $\left(\right.$ pound foot $\left.{ }^{-3}\right)$ | 0.112085 | Grams per square meter |
| Tons (T) | 16018.50 | Grams per cubic meter |
| Tons per acre (T ac-1) | 907185.00 | Grams |
| British thermal units $(\mathrm{BTU})$ | 224.170 | Grams per square meter |
| British thermal units per pound | 1055.06 | Joules |
| (BTU pound ${ }^{-1}$ ) | 2.32600 | Joules per gram |
| Degrees Fahrenheit $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ |  |  |
| Stems per acre $\left(\right.$ stems ac $\left.{ }^{-1}\right)$ | $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}-32\right) / 1.8$ | Degrees Celsius |
| British thermal units per second per | 0.000247105 | Stems per square meter |
| foot (BTU sec ${ }^{-1}$ foot ${ }^{-1}$ ) | 3.46147 | Kilowatts per meter |
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## Appendix 1: Key Variables in MCFire Source Code

Key variables in MCFire source code are listed below, along with their dimensions (unless dimensionless), description, and the parts of this report where they are addressed.

| Variable | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| ad | Exponent in optimum reaction velocity calculation |
| $b \_e f f$ | Exponent in wind effect multiplier calculation (eq. 65) |
| bark_thick | Stem bark thickness in centimeters (eq. 23) |
| bbl | Branch biomass in pounds or grams of dry matter per stem (eqs. 27, 29) |
| betop | Expression for optimum packing ratio (eq. 59) |
| betbar | Packing ratio (eq. 49) |
| $b i$ | Burning index |
| blkc[fuelpool] | Percentage of black carbon produced from each fuel pool (eq. 90) |
| blkc_totbio | Black carbon produced by fire in grams per square meter |
| bnd_100 | 100-hour fuel moisture content boundary condition as a percentage (eq. 42) |
| bnd_1000[day] | 1,000-hour fuel moisture content boundary condition as a percentage (eq. 42) |
| bnd_bar | Average of the 1000 -hour fuel moisture boundary conditions for the previous week as a percentage |
| branch_frac | Branch biomass as a fraction of total aboveground biomass (eqs. 28, 32) |
| $b s b$ | Stem bark biomass in grams of dry matter per stem (eq. 31) |
| bsw | Stem wood biomass in grams of dry matter per stem |
| $b t$ | Bark thickness in centimeters |
| $b t t$ | Aboveground tree biomass in grams of dry matter per stem (eq. 26) |
| burn_day | Day of fire occurrence ( $\operatorname{Jan} 1=0$ ) |
| burn_day[day] | Fire occurrence (Boolean) |
| burn_mo[month] | Fire occurrence (Boolean) |
| burn_year | Fire occurrence (Boolean) |
| c | Parameter used to calculate diameter at breast height |
| c_1000hr | 1,000 -hour fuel consumed by the fire in tons of dry matter per acre (eq. 87) |
| c_100hr | 100 -hour fuel consumed by the fire in tons of dry matter per acre (eq. 83) |
| c_10hr | 10 -hour fuel consumed by the fire in tons of dry matter per acre (eq. 82) |
| c_lhr | 1-hour fuel consumed by the fire in tons of dry matter per acre (eq. 81) |
| c_lbranch | Live branches fuel consumed by the fire in tons of dry matter per acre |
| c_lgrass | Live grass consumed by the fire in tons of dry matter per acre |
| c_lleaf | Tree leaves consumed by the fire in tons of dry matter per acre |
| c_var | Multiplicative factor in the wind-effect multiplier calculation (eq. 66) |
| cen_outvars[3] | Live-grass output from the MC1 biogeochemistry module in grams of carbon per square meter |
| cen_outvars[104] | Metabolic litter output from the MC1 biogeochemistry module in grams of carbon per square meter |
| cen_outvars[251] | Structural litter output from the MC1 biogeochemistry module in grams of carbon per square meter |
| cen_outvars[262] | Standing dead-grass output from MC1 biogeochemistry module in grams of carbon per square meter |
| cen_outvars[419] | Live fine-wood output from the MC1 biogeochemistry module in grams of carbon per square meter |

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{ll}\hline \text { Variable } & \text { Description } \\
\text { cen_outvars[445] } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Woody-vegetation live-leaf output from the MC1 biogeochemistry module in } \\
\text { grams of carbon per square meter }\end{array} \\
\text { cen_outvars[452] } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Live coarse-wood output from the MC1 biogeochemistry module in grams of } \\
\text { carbon per square meter }\end{array}
$$ <br>
cen_outvars[482] <br>
Fine dead-wood output from the MC1 biogeochemistry module in grams of carbon <br>

per square meter\end{array}\right]\)| Coarse dead-wood output from the MC1 biogeochemistry module in grams of |
| :--- |
| cen_outvars[483] |
| chi |
| carbon per square meter |


| Variable | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| dwod2, dwod100 | Coarse dead wood in grams of carbon per square meter |
| e_eff | Exponent in wind effect multiplier calculation (eq. 67) |
| em_ch4[day] | Methane emissions in grams per square meter |
| em_co[day] | Carbon monoxide emissions in grams per square meter |
| em_co2[day] | Carbon dioxide emissions in grams per square meter |
| em_nmhc[day] | Nonmethane hydrocarbon emissions in grams per square meter |
| em_pm[day] | Particulate emissions in grams per square meter |
| em_pm10[day] | Emissions from particulate $\leq 10$ micrometers in grams per square meter |
| em_pm2p5[day] | Emissions from particulate $\leq 2.5$ micrometers in grams per square meter |
| eme | Equilibrium moisture content as a percentage (eqs. 38 to 40; fig. 6) |
| emc_bar | Weighted 24-hour average equilibrium moisture content as a percentage (eq. 41) |
| emc_max | Minimum equilibrium moisture content as a percentage |
| emc_min | Maximum equilibrium moisture content as a percentage |
| erc | Energy release component in BTUs per square foot |
| EtaM() | Expression for moisture-damping coefficient |
| etamd | Moisture-damping coefficient for dead fuels for spread calculations (eq. 61) |
| etamde | Moisture-damping coefficient for dead fuels for energy release calculation as a fraction |
| etaml | Moisture-damping coefficient for live fuels for spread calculations |
| etamle | Moisture-damping coefficient for live fuels for energy release calculation as a fraction |
| etasd | Mineral-damping coefficient for dead fuels as a fraction |
| etasl | Mineral-damping coefficient for live fuels as a fraction (eq. 50) |
| event_erc | Energy release component in BTUs per square foot |
| event_fi | Fireline intensity in BTUs per square foot per second |
| event_lsh | Lethal scorch height in feet (Van Wagner 1973) |
| event_mc | 1,000-hour fuel moisture content as a percentage |
| event_month | Month of fire (1 to 12) |
| event_pflamm | Probability of a fire starting as a percentage |
| event_ros | Rate of spread in feet per minute |
| $f$ _dia_reduc | Diameter reduction from flaming combustion in inches |
| $f$ _portion | Fraction of diameter reduction that is caused by flaming combustion |
| f1000e | Weighting factor for 1,000-hour dead fuel class as a fraction |
| f100e | Weighting factor for 100 -hour dead fuel class as a fraction |
| floe | Weighting factor for 10 -hour dead fuel class as a fraction |
| fle | Weighting factor for 1-hour dead fuel class as a fraction |
| factor | Drought factor in Keetch-Byram Drought Index calculations (eq. 12) |
| fc_1000hr | Flaming combustion from 1,000-hour fuel in tons of dry matter per acre (eq. 89) |
| $f c_{\text {c }} 100 \mathrm{hr}$ | Flaming combustion from 100 -hour fuel in tons of dry matter per acre (eq. 89) |
| $f c_{-} 10 \mathrm{hr}$ | Flaming combustion from 10 -hour fuel in tons of dry matter per acre |
| $f c_{-} 1 \mathrm{l} r$ | Flaming combustion from 1-hour fuel in tons of dry matter per acre |
| fc_lbranch | Flaming combustion from live branches in tons of dry matter per acre |
| fc_lgrass | Flaming combustion from live grass in tons of dry matter per acre |
| fc_lleaf | Flaming combustion from live tree leaves in tons of dry matter per acre |
| fd | Depth of the flaming zone in feet (eq. 74) |
| fdeade | Weighting factor for dead fuel as a fraction |
| fef_ch4 | Pounds of methane emitted per ton of fuel combusted (table 11) |


| Variable | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| fef_co | Pounds of carbon monoxide emitted per ton of fuel combusted (table 11) |
| fef_co2 | Pounds of carbon dioxide emitted per ton of fuel combusted (table 11) |
| fef_nmhc | Pounds of nonmethane hydrocarbons emitted per ton of fuel combusted (table 11) |
| $f e f \_p m$ | Pounds of particulates emitted per ton of fuel combusted (table 11) |
| fef_pm10 | Pounds of particulate $\leq 10$ micrometers emitted per ton of fuel combusted (table 11) |
| fef_pm25 | Pounds of particulate $\leq 2.5$ micrometers emitted per ton of fuel combusted (table 11) |
| fem_ch4 | Flaming emissions of methane in pounds per acre |
| fem_co | Flaming emissions of carbon monoxide in pounds per acre |
| fem_co2 | Flaming emissions of carbon dioxide in pounds per acre |
| fem_nmhc | Flaming emissions of nonmethane hydrocarbons in pounds per acre |
| fem_pm | Flaming emissions of particulates in pounds per acre |
| fem_pm10 | Flaming emissions of particulates $\leq 10$ micrometers in pounds per acre |
| fem_pm25 | Flaming emissions of particulate $\leq 2.5$ micrometers in pounds per acre |
| ${ }_{\text {fi }}$ | Fireline intensity in BTUs per foot per second |
| fii | Fireline intensity in kilowatts per meter |
| ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | Flame length in feet |
| fli_crit | Critical fireline intensity in kilowatts per meter (eq. 78) |
| fuel_depth | Fuel-bed depth in meters |
| gmamx | Maximum reaction velocity (eq. 57) |
| gmaop | Expression for optimum reaction velocity (eq. 58) |
| grass | Current moisture content of live grass as a percentage |
| $h$ | Estimated heat of canopy ignition in kilojoules per kilogram (eq. 77) |
| hd | Dead fuel heat of combustion in BTUs per pound (table 9) |
| $h k$ | Lethal scorch height in meters (eq. 79) |
| $h l$ | Live fuel heat of combustion in BTUs per pound (eqs. 56, 72; table 9) |
| hn1 | Heating number of 1-hour fuel (eq. 51) |
| hn10 | Heating number of 10 -hour fuel (eq. 51) |
| hn100 | Heating number of 100 -hour fuel (eq. 51) |
| hnherb | Heating number of live grass fuel (eq. 51) |
| hnwood | Heating number of live wood fuel (eq. 51) |
| $h t$ | Canopy height in meters |
| ht_max | Maximum canopy height in centimeters (table 6) |
| htsink | Heat sink in BTUs per cubic foot of fuel (eq. 71) |
| Intensity() | Expression for reaction intensity in BTUs per foot per minute |
| ir | Reaction intensity for spread calculations in BTUs per square foot per minute (eq. 56) |
| ire | Reaction intensity for energy release calculations in BTUs per square foot per minute (eq. 72) |
| jdate | Julian date (January 1 = 1) |
| k | Thinning constant (table 6) |
| kbdi | Keetch-Byram Drought Index for the current day (eq. 13) |
| killed[] | Percent of live biomass pool killed by the fire |
| la | Leaf area in square meters per stem (eq. 21) |
| lat | Site latitude in degrees |


| Variable | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| lbranch | Live branches in grams of dry matter per square meter (eq. 33) |
|  | Live branches in tons of dry matter per square meter |
|  | Live branches in grams of carbon per square meter |
| lgras | Live grass in grams of carbon per square meter |
| lgrass | Live grass in grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | Live grass in tons of dry matter per square meter |
| littr | Litter in grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | Litter in grams of carbon per square meter |
| livrt | Ratio of the surface-area weighted live-fuel moisture content to the moisture of extinction for live fuels |
| livrte | Ratio of the mass-weighted live-fuel moisture content to the moisture of extinction for live fuels |
| lleaf | Leaves of woody vegetation in grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | Leaves of woody vegetation in tons of dry matter per square meter |
|  | Leaves of woody vegetation in grams of carbon per square meter |
| lstem | Stems of woody vegetation in grams of dry matter per square meter (eq. 34) |
| ltree | Live tree biomass in grams of dry matter per square meter (eq. 19) |
| lwod1 | Live fine wood in grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | Live fine wood in grams of carbon per square meter |
| lwod100 | Live coarse wood in grams of dry matter per square meter |
| lwod2 | Live coarse wood in grams of carbon per square meter |
| lwood | Live wood in grams of dry matter per square meter |
| $m$ _pet[month] | Monthly potential evapotranspiration in millimeters (table 3) |
| $m$ _ppt[month] | Monthly precipitation in millimeters (table 3) |
| m_rh[month] | Monthly average relative humidity as a percentage (eq. 1) |
| m_rhmax[month] | Monthly maximum relative humidity a s percentage (eq. 3) |
| m_rhmin[month] | Monthly minimum relative humidity as a percentage (eq. 2) |
| $m$ _tmax | Monthly maximum temperature in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (table 3) |
| $m$ _tmin | Monthly minimum temperature in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (table 3) |
| $m \_t m p$ | Monthly average temperature in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (table 3) |
| max_mfri | Maximum average fire return interval in years (table 1) |
| $m c_{\text {_ }} 1$ | Moisture content of 1-hour dead fuel class as a fraction (eq. 53) |
| $m c_{-} 10$ | Moisture content of 10 -hour dead fuel class as a fraction (eq. 53) |
| mc_100 | Moisture content of 100 -hour dead fuel class as a fraction (eq. 53) |
| mc_1000 | Moisture content of 1,000-hour dead fuel class as a fraction (eq. 43) |
| mc_1000hr | Moisture content of 1,000-hour dead fuel class as a percentage (eq. 43) |
| mc_100hr | Moisture content of 100 -hour dead fuel class as a percentage (eq. 53) |
| $m c_{\text {_ }} 10 \mathrm{hr}$ | Moisture content of 10-hour dead fuel class as a percentage (eq. 53) |
| $m c_{\text {_ }} 1 \mathrm{l}$ r | Moisture content of 1-hour dead fuel class as a percentage (eq. 53) |
| mc_duff | Moisture content of duff as a percentage |
| mc_grass[month] | Monthly moisture content of grass as a percentage |
| mc_grass[day] | Daily moisture content of grass as a fraction |
| mc_grass_max | Maximum moisture content of grass as a percentage (table 4) |
| mc_grass_min | Minimum moisture content of grass as a percentage (table 4) |
| mc_thres | 1,000-hour fuel moisture content threshold as a percentage (table 4) |
| mc_tree[month] | Monthly moisture content of trees as a percentage |
| mc_tree[day] | Daily moisture content of trees as a percentage |


| Variable | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| mc_tree_max | Maximum moisture content of trees and shrubs as a percentage (table 4) |
| mc_tree_min | Maximum moisture content of trees and shrubs as a percentage (table 4) |
| mclfe | Weighted dead-fuel moisture content for the live fuel moisture of extinction calculation as a fraction (eq. 53) |
| melt_ $b$ | Slope of snow melt equation in millimeters of water per ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| min_mfri | Minimum average fire return interval in years (table 1) |
| mlittr | Metabolic litter carbon in grams of dry matter per square meter |
| mxd | Moisture of extinction of dead fuels as a percentage (table 9) |
|  | Moisture of extinction of dead fuels as a fraction (table 9) |
| $m x f$ | Fraction of the moisture of extinction represented by the actual moisture content |
| mxl | Moisture of extinction of live fuels as a fraction (eq. 54) |
| no_melt | The temperature below which snow does not melt in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (table 4) |
| p_flamm | The probability of a fire starting as a percentage (eq. 46) |
| Padj | Keetch-Byram Drought Index adjusted precipitation |
| Pann | Average annual precipitation in inches of water |
| part | Fraction of the cell area burned (eq. 92) |
| pct_of_max | Current moisture content as a percentage of the maximum moisture content (eq. 36) |
| $p d s i$ | Current monthly value of the Palmer Drought Severity Index-standard deviation (tables 2, 4) |
| pdsi_max | Upper end of the Palmer Drought Severity Index range used to calculate part, above-standard deviation |
| pdsi_min | Lower end of the Palmer Drought Severity Index range used to calculate part, above-standard deviation |
| pdsi_thres | Palmer Drought Severity Index threshold for fire occurrence-standard deviation (table 4) |
| phislp | Slope effect multiplier (eq. 63) |
| phiwnd | Wind effect multiplier (eqs. 69, 70) |
| ppt[day] | Daily precipitation in millimeters of water |
| ppt_dur | Number of hours of rain in the day (eq. 7) |
| ppt_events | Number of days of rain in the month (eqs. 4, 5) |
| ppt_per_event | Precipitation per rain or snow event in millimeters of water (eq. 6) |
| ppt_rat[day] | Rainfall intensity in inches per hour |
| pptprd | Moisture availability ratio as a fraction |
| pr_frac | Packing ratio as a fraction of the optimum packing ratio as a fraction (eq. 60) |
| preburn_dia | Large dead wood diameter before fire in inches |
| prob_mort | Probability that the trees will be killed as a percentage (eq. 80) |
| prob_thres | Probability threshold used for decisions about fine-fuel flammability and tree mortality as a percentage (table 4) |
| qign | Heat of ignition in joules per gram (eq. 44) |
| QMD | Quadratic average diameter of 1,000-hour dead fuel before fire in inches |
| rand_seed | Seed for random number generator used to pick rain days |
| rh[day] | Daily average relative humidity as a percentage (eq. 1) |
| $r h$ _corr | Value of relative humidity used in the calculation of dead fuel moisture content as a percentage |
| rhmax | Daily maximum relative humidity as a percentage |
| rhmin | Daily minimum relative humidity as a percentage |
| rhobar | Weighted fuel density in pounds of fuel per cubic foot |


| Variable | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| rhobed | Bulk density of fuel bed in pounds of fuel per cubic foot (eq. 48) |
| rhod | Dead fuel particle density in pounds of fuel per cubic foot |
| rhol | Live fuel particle density in pounds of fuel per cubic foot |
| ros | Rate of spread in feet per minute (eq. 55) |
| sal | Surface area of 1 -hour dead fuel class in square feet of fuel per square foot of ground |
| sal0 | Surface area of 10 -hour dead fuel class in square feet of fuel per square foot of ground |
| sal00 | Surface area of 100 -hour dead fuel class in square feet of fuel per square foot of ground |
| sadead | Surface area of dead fuel in square feet of fuel per square foot of ground |
| saherb | Surface area of live grass fuel in square feet of fuel per square foot of ground |
| salive | Surface area of live fuels in square feet of fuel per square foot of ground |
| satot | Surface area of all fuels in square feet of fuel per square foot of ground |
| satvp() | Expression for saturated vapor pressure in pascals as a function of temperature |
| satvp_sacred [month] | Monthly saturated vapor pressure in pascals |
| sawood | Surface area of live shrub fuel in square feet of fuel per square foot of ground |
| sd | Silica-free mineral fraction of dead fuels |
| sef_ch4 | Emissions of methane from smoldering combustion in pounds per ton (table 11) |
| sef_co | Emissions of carbon monoxide from smoldering combustion in pounds per ton (table 11) |
| sef_co2 | Emissions of carbon dioxide from smoldering combustion in pounds per ton (table 11) |
| sef_nmhc | Emissions of nonmethane hydrocarbons from smoldering combustion in pounds per ton (table 11) |
| sef_pm | Emissions of particulates from smoldering combustion in pounds per ton (table 11) |
| sef_pmi0 | Emissions of particulates $\leq 10$ micrometers from smoldering combustion in pounds per ton (table 11) |
| sef_pm25 | Emissions of particulate $\leq 2.5$ micrometers from smoldering combustion in pounds per ton (table 11) |
| sem_ch4 | Methane produced by smoldering combustion in pounds per acre |
| sem_co | Carbon monoxide produced by smoldering combustion in pounds per acre |
| sem_co2 | Carbon dioxide produced by smoldering combustion in pounds per acre |
| sem_nmhc | Nonmethane hydrocarbons produced by smoldering combustion in pounds per acre |
| sem_pm | Particulate produced by smoldering combustion in pounds per acre |
| sem_pm10 | Particulate $\leq 10$ micrometers produced by smoldering combustion in pounds per acre |
| sem_pm25 | Particulate $\leq 2.5$ micrometers produced by smoldering combustion in pounds per acre |
| sgl | Surface-to-volume ratio for 1-hour fuels in square meters per cubic meter (table 8) |
| sgl0 | Surface-to-volume ratio for 10 -hour fuels in square meters per cubic meter (table 8) |
| sg100 | Surface-to-volume ratio for 100 -hour fuels in square meters per cubic meter (table 8) |
| sg1000 | Surface-to-volume ratio for 1,000 -hour fuels in square meters per cubic meter (table 8) |


| Variable | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| sgbrde | Average surface-to-volume ratio for dead fuels in square meters per cubic meter |
| sgbrle | Average surface-to-volume ratio for live fuels in square meters per cubic meter |
| sgbrt | Average surface-to-volume ratio for all fuels in square meters per cubic meter, for rate of spread calculation |
| sgbrte | Average surface- to-volume ratio for all fuels in square meters per cubic meter, for energy release calculation |
| sgherb | Surface-to-volume ratio for live grass fuel in square meters per cubic meter (table 8) |
| sgwood | Surface-to-volume ratio for live shrub fuel in square meters per cubic meter (table 8) |
| sh | Lethal scorch height in feet (eq. 76) |
| sl | Silica-free mineral fraction of live fuels |
| slittr | Structural litter carbon in grams of dry matter per cubic meter |
| slp | Ground slope as a percentage (table 4) |
| slpfct | Slope effect multiplier coefficient (eq. 63) |
| snow[day] | Daily snowpack in millimeters of water (eq. 10) |
| snowfall[day] | Daily snowfall in millimeters of water (eq. 8) |
| snowmelt | Snow melt in the current day in millimeters of water (eq. 9) |
| snw0 | The temperature above which all precipitation is rain in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (table 4) |
| snw1 | The temperature below which all precipitation is snow ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (table 4) |
| specific_area | Specific leaf area in square meters per gram of dry matter (table 6) |
| std | 0.0555 , the fraction of dead fuels made up of inert, noncombustible materials |
| stems | Number of stems per unit area (eq. 20) |
| stl | 0.0555 , the fraction of dead fuels made up of inert, noncombustible materials |
| stnd_clos | Stand closure fraction (eq. 18) |
| stress_pct | Vegetation water stress as a percentage |
| tau | Residence time of flaming front in minutes (eq. 73) |
| TCurr | Average temperature for the current day in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |
| temp_corr | Daily temperature used in dead fuel moisture calculation in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |
| thick_ratio | Ratio of bark thickness to diameter at breast height (table 7) |
| tmax[day] | Daily maximum temperature in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  | Daily maximum temperature in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |
| tmin[day] | Daily minimum temperature in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  | Daily minimum temperature in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |
| tmp[day] | Daily average temperature in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  | Daily average temperature in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |
| tot_c | Total combustion in tons of dry matter per acre |
| tot_dfuel | Total dead fuel in grams of dry matter per square meter (eq. 14) |
| tot_fc | Total flaming combustion in tons of dry matter per acre |
| tot_fuel_bed_bio | Total fuel-bed biomass in tons of dry matter per acre (eq. 15) |
| tot_incr | Adjustment in dead fuel mass when daily Keetch-Byram Drought Index is $>100$, in pounds of dry matter per square foot (eq. 47) |
| $t o t \_s c$ | Total smoldering combustion in tons of dry matter per acre |
| tree | Current moisture content of live trees as a percentage |
| tree_ht | Canopy height in meters (eq. 24) |
| tree_lai | Tree leaf area index used in calculation of stand characteristics as a ratio (eq. 17) |
| uf | Unscorched fraction of the crown length as a fraction |
| ufact | Wind effect multiplier coefficient (eq. 68) |


| Variable | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| vl | Volume of 1-hour dead fuel in cubic feet of fuel per square foot of ground |
| v10 | Volume of 10 -hour dead fuel in cubic feet of fuel per square foot of ground |
| v100 | Volume of 100 -hour dead fuel in cubic feet of fuel per square foot of ground |
| vclass | VEMAP2 vegetation class (VEMAP Members 1995) |
| vherb | Volume of live grass fuel in cubic feet of fuel per square foot of ground |
| vol_reduc | Reduction in volume of the 1,000-hour dead fuel as a fraction (eq. 86) |
| vpr_sacred[month] | Monthly average vapor pressure in pascals (table 2) |
| vwood | Volume of live wood fuel in cubic feet of fuel per square foot of ground |
| w10 | Daily value of the amount of 10 -hour dead fuel in grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | Daily value of the amount of 10 -hour dead fuel in pounds of dry matter per square foot |
| w100 | Daily value of the amount of 100 -hour dead fuel in grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | Daily value of the amount of 100 -hour dead fuel in pounds of dry matter per square foot |
| w1000 | Daily value of the amount of 1,000 -hour dead fuel in grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | Daily value of the amount of 1,000 -hour dead fuel in pounds of dry matter per square foot |
| w100n | Daily net fuel loading of the 100 -hour fuel class in pounds of dry matter per square foot |
| w10n | Daily net fuel loading of the 10 -hour fuel class in pounds of dry matter per square foot |
| wln | Daily net fuel loading of the 1 -hour fuel class in pounds of dry matter per square foot |
| wlp | Daily value of the amount of 1 -hour dead fuel in grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | Daily value of the amount of 1 -hour dead fuel in pounds of dry matter per square foot |
| wdeadn | Net loading of dead fuels for spread calculation in pounds of dry matter per square foot |
| wdedne | Net loading of dead fuels for energy release calculation in pounds of dry matter per square foot |
| wherbn | Net fuel loading of live grass fuel in pounds of dry matter per square foot |
| wherbp | Daily value of the amount of live grass in grams of dry matter per square meter Daily value of the amount of live grass in pounds of dry matter per square foot |
| wliven | Net loading of live fuels for spread calculation in pounds of dry matter per square foot |
| wlivne | Net loading of live fuels for energy release calculation in pounds of dry matter per square foot |
| wndfac | Wind factor (table 9) |
| wrat | Ratio of dead-to-live fuel heating numbers (eq. 52) |
| ws | Wind speed in meters per second (table 2) |
|  | Wind speed in miles per hour (table 2) |
| wtmcd | Surface-area weighted moisture content of dead fuels as a fraction |
| wtmcde | Mass-weighted moisture content of dead fuels as a fraction |
| wtmel | Surface-area weighted moisture content of live fuels as a fraction |


| Variable | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| wtmcle | Mass-weighted moisture content of live fuels as a fraction |
| wtot | Total fuel load in pounds of dry matter per square foot |
| wtotd | Total dead fuel load in pounds of dry matter per square foot |
| wtotl | Total live fuel load in pounds of dry matter per square foot |
| wwood | Live shrub wood fuel, currently set at zero in grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | Live shrub wood fuel, currently set at zero in pounds of dry matter per square foot |
| wwoodn | Net load of live shrub wood fuel in pounds of dry matter per square foot |
| $y m c_{-} 100$ | Moisture content of 100-hour dead fuel for the previous day as a percentage |
| $y m c_{-} 1000$ | Moisture content of 1000-hour dead fuel 7 days ago as a percentage |
| $z$ | Distance from the ground to the bottom of the crown in meters |
| zeta | No-wind propagating flux ratio (eq. 62) |

## Appendix 2: MCFire Source Code Organization

This appendix provides additional information about the MCFire source code organization and structure. MCFire fire model is written in C programming language, and compiled with MC1 source code, and run as a submodel within MC1. The source code for MCFire is maintained in a version management system (also known as revision control system, or RCS). The source code file names and their version numbers that comprise the MCFire model at the initial draft of this report are listed in table 12. Model development has been and is ongoing, with code updates occurring frequently, causing the version number to evolve. However, the general organization of the code has remained stable.

## Organization of MCFire Procedures

MCFire source code consists of nine primary procedures, which in turn call one or more secondary procedures (table 12). Secondary procedures can be called by one of the primary or secondary procedures. Although a procedure named "assign()" appears twice in table 12 and they appear to perform similar work, they are intended to be unique and operate distinctly.

## Organization of MCFire Data

Variable declarations are structured to mirror the overall structure of the code. The source file mapss_types.h defines a data structure named DataInputs, which is used to pass data between the biogeochemistry module and the fire module and among the primary procedures of the fire module. Moreover, the conceptual namespaces associated with some of the primary procedures contain additional variable declarations. These variables are used to share data between a primary procedure and its associated secondary procedures (fig. 20).

The DataInputs structure itself includes six substructures, one containing variables required for saving internal MC 1 components and restarting MC , and the
others containing data associated with particular primary procedures. Substructure fire_param is associated with ReadFireParams(). Substructure fire_state contains fire model variables required for saving internal MC 1 components and restarting MC1. Substructure fire_data is associated with FireData(). Substructure fuel_data is associated with FuelLoad(), DFuelMC(), and LFuelMC(). Substructure fire behav is associated with FireBehavior(). Substructure fire_eff is associated with FireEffect() and FireSched(). These substructures of DataInputs are not the same as the variables declared in the conceptual namespaces of the primary procedures.

Many variables contain amounts of fuel or biomass of various types. Table 13 lists these variables organized by conceptual types, to aid in reader understanding.

Table 12—Primary procedures, secondary procedures, and source files for the MCFire simulation model

| Primary procedure | Description | Time <br> step | Secondary <br> procedure(s) | Source file (version) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## MC1 Invocation of MCFire

MC1 executes MCFire by calling sets of MCFire procedures. The calls to MCFire procedures take place in three locations in the source code, all within the mapss_1t() procedure in mapss_1t.c version 1.22 source file. They occur:

- At the beginning of the simulation, when MC1 calls ReadFireParams() to read the fire parameters


## Secondary Procedures of the MCFire Source Code

Secondary procedures are listed below, along with their associated sections in this report. Secondary procedures are called by primary procedures or by other secondary procedures.

| Procedure | Definition | File name | Calling procedure | Further described in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ann_effect() | Summarize fire effects | fire_sched.c | FireSched() | Appendix 2 |
| bed_depth() | Calculate fuel-bed depth | fuel_load.c | FuelLoad() | Section 3 |
| black_carbon() | Calculate fractions of fuels converted to black carbon | fire_eff.c | FireEffect() | Section 7 |
| consump() | Calculate amounts of fuel consumed and vegetation killed | fire_eff.c | FireEffect() | Section 7 |
| crown_fire() | Decide whether there is a crown fire | fire_behav.c | FireBehavior() | Section 6 |
| crown_kill() | Calculate fraction of crown volume killed | fire_eff.c | FireEffect() | Section 7 |
| daily_dat() | Construct daily climate variables (except precipitation) from monthly climate inputs | fire_data.c | FireData() | Section 2 |
| daily_ppt() | Construct daily precipitation series from monthly precipitation amounts | fire_data.c | FireData() | Section 2 |
| dead_wood() | Divide dead fuels into size classes | fuel_load.c | FuelLoad() | Section 3 |
| emissions() | Calculate amounts of seven emissions (particulate matter, particulates $<10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, particulates $<2.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, carbon monoxide carbon dioxide, methane, and nonmethane hydrocarbons) | fire_eff.c | FireEffect() | Section 7 |
| flammability() | Calculate probability of fire start | dfuel_mc.c | DFuelMC() | Section 5 |
| fuel_mc() | Calculate moisture content of dead fuels | dfuel_mc.c | DFuelMC() | Section 4 |
| intensity() | Calculate fireline intensity and related measures | fire_behav.c | FireBehavior() | Section 6 |
| live_mc() | Calculate moisture content of trees and grass | 1fuel_mc.c | LFuelMC() | Section 4 |
| live_wood() | Calculate live wood fuel class sizes | fuel_load.c | FuelLoad() | Section 3 |
| mortality() | Decide whether the trees are killed | fire_eff.c | FireEffect() | Section 7 |
| part_burn() | Calculate fraction of cell burned | fire_sched.c | FireSched() | Section 7 |
| prelim() | Do preliminary calculations such as packing ratio and net fuel loading | fire_behav.c | FireBehavior() | Section 6 |
| release() | Calculate reaction velocity and energy release | fire_behav.c | FireBehavior() | Section 6 |
| snow_cond() | Determine snow, update snowpack | fire_data.c | FireData() | Section 2 |
| spread() | Calculate rate of spread | fire_behav.c | FireBehavior() | Section 6 |
| tree_dim() | Calculate stand characteristics | fuel_load.c | FuelLoad() | Section 3 |
| vveg2emfac() | Look up emission factors based on vegetation class | fire_eff.c | FireEffect() | Section 7 |
| vveg2load() | Look up fuel load factors based on vegetation class | fuel_load.c | FuelLoad() | Section 3 |

- In mapss_1d(), which is called by mapps_1t(), where two MCFire procedures are called-at the beginning of each simulated year, MCl calls FireData() to estimate daily weather and DFuelMC() to calculate moisture content of dead fuels
- Also in the mapss_1d() procedure, where, for each simulated month, MC 1 calls LFuelMC(), FuelLoad(), FireBehavior(), FireEffect(), FireOccur(), and FireSched(); these procedures calculate daily fuel conditions, fire behavior, fir effects, and fire occurrence (table 12).

FireBehavior () and FireEffect() are called even in months when no fire occurs. Although calls to these procedures are not strictly necessary, the procedures support possible future development of the fire occurrence algorithm that would rely on potential fire behavior (for example, the rate of spread).

## MCFire Data Passed to MC1

When a fire is simulated, FireSched() and ann_effect() procedures pass data back to $\mathrm{MC1}$ to characterize the fire event. MCFire calls the part_burn() procedure to determine the fraction of the cell that is affected by the event, and ensures that fire fluxes are scaled appropriately before transmittal to MC1. The fire event data, after scaling and aggregation, are stored in three data structures: fire_behav and fire_eff substructures within data_point structure and the cen_state array (table 14). The ann_effect() procedure performs postprocessing of the fire simulation results to format them for use by the $\mathrm{MC1}$ biogeochemistry module.

## Appendix 3: Revised Fire Occurrence Algorithm

In 2005, the fire occurrence algorithm was revised to use different thresholds for determining the day on which a fire will occur. The revised logic was introduced in revision 1.6 of fire_occur.c file, and remains in place with all subsequent revisions, including $\mathrm{MC} 2-\mathrm{MC1}$ rewritten in $\mathrm{C}++$ to improve computational efficiency. With the revised algorithm, fire still occurs in the month with the lowest 1000 -hour fuel moisture content, but instead of using Palmer Drought Severity Index (Palmer 1965), the 1000 -hour fuel moisture content (Fosberg et al. 1981), and fine-fuel flammability (Cohen and Deeming 1985) to determine whether fire occurs on a given day, MCFire uses a fine-fuel moisture code and build-up index from the Canadian Forest Fire Weather Index System (Van Wagner 1987). Fire occurs on the first day of the month when both the fine-fuel moisture code and build-up index exceed threshold values. In addition, only one fire is simulated per year per grid cell. The fine-fuel moisture code and build-up index threshold values are parameterized for the eight tree types simulated by MC1, within each climate zone delineated by MC1 (table 15).

```
BEGIN simulation
    READ fire parameters }\mp@subsup{}{}{a
    FOR each year to simulate
            CALL FireData() to estimate daily values of fire weather }\mp@subsup{}{}{a
            Estimate rainfall intensity
            CALL daily_ppt() to estimate daily precipitation amounts
            CALL daily_dat() to estimate other daily variables
            CALL snow_cond() to calculate snowpack and snowmelt
            CALL kbdi() to estimate Keetch-Byram Drought Index
        CALL DFueIMC() to estimate daily moisture content and flammability of dead fuels }\mp@subsup{}{}{a
            CALL fuel_mc() to estimate dead-fuel moisture
            CALL flammability() to calculate flammability of fine fuels
            CALL min_mc_data() to find the month with the minimum 1,000-hour fuel moisture content
        FOR each month of the year
            CALL LFueIMC() to estimate moisture content of live fuels }\mp@subsup{}{}{a
                    CALL live_mc() to estimate live-fuel moisture.
            CALL FuelLoad() to estimate live and dead fuel loading a
                    CALL vveg2load() to get vclass-dependent parameters
                    IF trees are included in fuel load
                    CALL tree_dim() to estimate diameter at breast height and height
                    CALL live_wood() to estimate loads of live branch and stem wood classes
                    END IF
                    IF dead fuels are included in fuel load
                    CALL dead_wood() estimate loads of dead-fuel classes
                    END IF
                    CALL bed_depth() to calculate fuel bed depth
            CALL FireBehavior() to estimate fire behavior }\mp@subsup{}{}{a
                    FOR each day of the month
                    CALL prelim() to perform calculations common to other functions
                    CALL spread() to calculate rate of spread
                    CALL release() to calculate energy release
                    CALL intensity() to calculate fireline intensity
                    CALL crown_fire() to determine if crown fire would occur
                    END FOR
            CALL FireEffect() to estimate fire effects }\mp@subsup{}{}{a
                    FOR each day of the month
                CALL vveg2emfac() to get emission factors for current vegetation
                CALL crown_kill() to calculate percentage of crown killed
                CALL mortality() to determine tree mortality
                CALL consump() to calculate carbon consumed by fire
                CALL emissions() to calculate emissions
                    CALL black_carbon() to calculate carbon conversion to black carbon
                    END FOR
            CALL FireOccur() to determine whether to simulate fire, and, if so, the day of occurrence }\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathrm{ a}
            CALL FireSched() to apply the effects of a fire }\mp@subsup{}{}{a
            IF a fire occurred this month
                    CALL part_burn() to calculate area burned
                    Scale emissions to area burned
                    Scale mortality and consumption fluxes to area burned
                    CALL ann_effect() to record fire effects
            END IF
            END FOR each month of the year
    END FOR each year to simulate
END simulation
a}=\mathrm{ Primary procedure.
```

Figure 20-Pseudocode of MCFire showing the general structure of the MCFire algorithm.

Table 13-MCFire variables organized by concept; note that the dimension of some variables are metric and the dimension of the other variables are in Imperial units

| Conceptual type | Variable | Description | Dimension |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Incoming MC1 carbon pools (monthly) | aglivc | Aboveground live grass | Grams of carbon per square meter |
|  | rleavc | Leaves of woody vegetation | Grams of carbon per square meter |
|  | fbrchc | Fine branches of woody vegetation | Grams of carbon per square meter |
|  | rlwodc | Coarse branches and boles of woody vegetation | Grams of carbon per square meter |
|  | metabc_1 | Metabolic carbon in litter | Grams of carbon per square meter |
|  | strucc_1 | Structural carbon in litter | Grams of carbon per square meter |
|  | stdedc | Standing dead grass | Grams of carbon per square meter |
|  | woodlc | Fine dead wood | Grams of carbon per square meter |
|  | wood2c | Coarse dead wood | Grams of carbon per square meter |
| MCFire carbon pools (monthly) | lgras | Corresponds to aglive | Grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | lleaf | Corresponds to rleavc | Grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | lwod1 | Corresponds to fbrchc | Grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | lwod100 | Corresponds to rlwodc | Grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | lwood | $=l w o d l+l$ wodl00; not in fire_sched.c | Grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | lbranch | $=$ branch_frac $\times$ lwood; not in fire_sched.c | Grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | ltree | $=l l e a f+l w o d l+l w o d 100 ;$ not in fire_sched.c | Grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | mlittr | Corresponds to metabc_1 | Grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | slittr | Corresponds to strucc_1 | Grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | littr | $=$ mlittr + slittr | Grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | dstnd | Corresponds to stdedc | Grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | dwodl | Corresponds to woodlc | Grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | dwod2, <br> dwod100 | Correspond to wood2c | Grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | tot_dfuel | $=l i t t r+d s t n d+d w o d l+d w o d 100$ | Grams of dry matter per square meter |
| MCFire fuel pools (monthly) | $\underset{\text { lgrass }}{\text { l_1 }}$ | Correspond to lgras above | Grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | $d_{-} 1 h r$ | frac_lhr of tot_dfuel | Grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | $d_{-} 10 \mathrm{hr}$ | frac_10hr of tot_dfuel | Grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | $d_{\text {d_ }} 100 \mathrm{hr}$ | frac_100hr of tot_dfuel | Grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | d_1000hr | frac_1000hr of tot_dfuel | Grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | lgrass | Monthly, converted from lgrass in grams of dry matter per square meter above | Tons of dry matter per acre |
|  | lleaf | Monthly, converted from lleaf in grams of dry matter per square meter above | Tons of dry matter per acre |
|  | lbranch | Monthly, converted from lbranch in grams of dry matter per square meter above | Tons of dry matter per acre |
| MCFire daily fuel pools (daily) | wherbp, <br> wherbn | Corresponds to lgras | Grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | wwood, wwoodn | 0 | Grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { wlp, } \\ & \text { wln } \end{aligned}$ | Corresponds to $d_{-} 1 \mathrm{hr}$ | Grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | w10, wlon | Corresponds to $d_{-} 10 \mathrm{hr}$ | Grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { w100, } \\ & \text { w100n } \end{aligned}$ | Corresponds to d_100hr | Grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | w1000 | Corresponds to $d_{-} 1000 \mathrm{hr}$ | Grams of dry matter per square meter |
|  | d1 | Daily, converted from wlp | Tons of dry matter per acre |
|  | d10 | Daily, converted from w10 | Tons of dry matter per acre |
|  | d100 | Daily, converted from w100 | Tons of dry matter per acre |
|  | d1000 | Daily, converted from w1000 | Tons of dry matter per acre |

MCFire calculates fine-fuel moisture daily, closely following Van Wagner (1987). The fine-fuel moisture code is a dimensionless value ranging from 0 to 101, representing the moisture conditions of the litter layer on a given day. It is calculated as a function of the litter layer's moisture content (percent) that is computed in one of three ways on a given day: under a drying regime, under a wetting regime, or under neither regime. To determine which regime is in place, MCFire calculates a drying equilibrium and a wetting equilibrium of moisture content, both calculated from the relatively humidity and temperature on the day in question. If the drying equilibrium for that day is lower than the moisture content of the previous day,

Table 14—Structures, each with multiple variables, used for passing MCFire data to MC1; note that the data structure cen_state is directly used by MC1's biogeochemistry module

| Data structure | Variable | Value | Corresponding variable in MC1 biogeochemistry module |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fire_behav | burn_mo[burn_month] | true | None |
|  | burn_yr | true | None |
|  | burn_day[burn_month] | burn_day | None |
|  | event_ros | ros | None |
|  | event_mc | $\mathrm{mc} \_1000 \mathrm{hr}$ | None |
|  | event_pflamm | p_flamm | None |
|  | event_kbdi | kbdi | None |
|  | event_erc | erc | None |
|  | event_fli | fli | None |
|  | event_lsh | 1sh | None |
|  | event_month | burn_month | None |
| fire_eff | em_co2[burn_day] | $-{ }^{a}$ | None |
|  | $e m_{-}$-co[burn_day] | - ${ }^{a}$ | None |
|  | em_ch4[burn_day] | $-{ }^{a}$ | None |
|  | em_nmhc[burn_day] | $-{ }^{a}$ | None |
|  | em_pm[burn_day] | - ${ }^{a}$ | None |
|  | $e m \_2 p 5\left[b u r n \_d a y\right]$ | $-{ }^{a}$ | None |
|  | em_10[burn_-_day] | - ${ }^{a}$ | None |
|  | consume_totbio | $-{ }^{a}$ | None |
|  | consume_live | $-{ }^{a}$ | None |
|  | consume_dead | - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | None |
|  | blkc_totbio | - ${ }^{a}$ | None |
|  | death_totbio | $-{ }^{a}$ | None |
|  | death_stem | - ${ }^{a}$ | None |
| cen_state | cen_state[0] | consume [1] $\times \mathrm{pb}$ | frac live leaf consumed, REMF(1) |
|  | cen_state[1] | consume[2] $\times \mathrm{pb}$ | frac live fine branch consumed, REMF(2) |
|  | cen_state[2] | consume[2] $\times \mathrm{pb}$ | frac live large wood consumed, REMF(3) |
|  | cen_state[3] | consume[3] $\times \mathrm{pb}$ | frac dead fine branch consumed, REMF(4) |
|  | cen_state[4] | $\text { consume }[5] \times \mathrm{pb}$ | frac dead large wood consumed, REMF(5) |
|  | cen_state[5] | consume [0] $\times \mathrm{pb}$ | frac live grass consumed, FLFREM |
|  | cen_state[6] | consume[3] $\times \mathrm{pb}$ | frac dead standing fuel consumd FDFREM(1) |
|  | cen_state[7] | consume [3] $\times \mathrm{pb}$ | frac litter consumed, FDFREM(2) |
|  | cen_state[8] | turnover $[0] \times \mathrm{pb}$ | live leaf turnover rate from fire, LEAFDR(MO) |
|  | cen_state [9] | turnover [1] $\times \mathrm{pb}$ | live fine branch turnover rate from fire, WOODDR(3) |
|  | cen_state[10] | turnover [2] $\times \mathrm{pb}$ | live coarse wood turnover rate from fire, WOODDR(4) |
|  | cen_state[11] | rootd $\times \mathrm{pb}$ | fine roots killed, $\mathrm{FD}(1)$ |
|  | cen_state[12] | rootd $\times \mathrm{pb}$ | coarse roots killed, FD(2) |
|  | cen_state[13] | true | fire signal, DID_BURN |

[^6]moisture content is calculated by applying a drying rate-calculated from relative humidity, temperature, and wind speed-to the moisture content of the previous day. If the moisture content of the previous day is lower, then a wetting rate-calculated from relatively humidity and wind speed-is applied to the moisture content. If the moisture content of the previous day is between the drying equilibrium and a wetting equilibrium, then moisture content is assumed to be the same as moisture content for the previous day.

MCFire accounts for the effect of rainfall on moisture content as modeled by Van Wagner (1987): it assumes 0.5 mm of rain is intercepted by the canopy, and applies the remainder to increase the moisture content of the litter layer. MCFire uses the empirical formula from Van Wagner (1987) to calculate the rate at which rainfall increases moisture content. As the rainfall amount or the current moisture content increases, less rainfall is held by the litter.

MCFire also calculates the build-up index daily, closely following Van Wagner (1987). The build-up index is a dimensionless value representing total fuel available to a flaming front, ranging from 0 to 281 (fig. 21). The build-up index is a harmonic average of the duff moisture code and the drought code, both computed daily. The duff moisture code is an index of the moisture content of the duff layer, ranging from 0 to 250 . It is calculated as a $\log$ function of the moisture content (percent). If rainfall is $\leq 1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ on a given day, moisture content is initially the same as the duff moisture code for the previous day; on the first day simulated, MCFire assumes that the duff moisture code for the previous day is 6 . If rainfall $>1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, higher moisture content is calculated as a function of the duff moisture code from the previous day and that the rainfall amount for the previous day is $>1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. In either

Table 15—Fine-fuel moisture code and build-up index thresholds, used to by MCFire determine occurrence of fire on a given day for each tree type that MC1 simulates and for each climate zone and variable that MC1 defines

|  |  | Tree type $^{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Threshold | Climate zone | EN | EN-DB | DB | DB-EB | EN-EB | EB | DN | DN-EN |
| Fine-fuel | Arctic | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 |
| moisture code | Boreal | 83.95 | 92 | 92 | 87 | 91.42 | 91.42 | 83.95 | 83.95 |
|  | Temperate | 89.13744 | 92 | 92 | 87 | 91.42 | 91.42 | 89.13744 | 89.13744 |
|  | Subtropical | 89.13744 | 92 | 92 | 87 | 91.42 | 91.42 | 89.13744 | 89.13744 |
|  | Tropical | 89.13744 | 92 | 92 | 87 | 91.42 | 91.42 | 89.13744 | 89.13744 |
| Build-up index | Arctic | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 |
|  | Boreal | 38.2 | 150 | 150 | 110 | 223.11 | 223.11 | 38.2 | 38.2 |
|  | Temperate | 245 | 150 | 150 | 110 | 223.11 | 223.11 | 245 | 245 |
|  | Subtropical | 245 | 150 | 150 | 110 | 223.11 | 223.11 | 245 | 245 |
|  | Tropical | 245 | 150 | 150 | 110 | 223.11 | 223.11 | 245 | 245 |

[^7]circumstance, the initial moisture content is reduced using a drying rate, calculated from temperature, humidity, and day length.

Drought code is an index of very slow drying moisture in the system, ranging from 0 to 800 . The overall logical structure of drought code calculation is similar to that for the duff moisture code. On a given day, if rainfall is $\leq 2.8 \mathrm{~mm}$, moisture content for the day is initially the same as the drought code for the previous day; on the first day simulated, MCFire assumes that the drought code for the previous day is 15 . If rainfall on that day is $>2.8 \mathrm{~mm}$, a higher moisture content is calculated as a function of the drought code and effective rainfall for the previous day. In either circumstance, the initial moisture content is reduced using a drying rate, calculated from temperature and day length.


Figure 21-Build-up index plotted as a function of duff moisture code, for selected values of drought code; the index, a dimensionless value representing total fuel available to a flaming front ranging from 0 to 281 , is a harmonic average of duff moisture code and drought code, both computed daily.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{I}$ On file with: Forestry Sciences Laboratory, 3200 SW Jefferson Way, Corvallis, OR 97730.

[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ Grasslands adapted to cool seasons.
    ${ }^{b}$ Grasslands adapted to warm or hot seasons.

[^2]:    ${ }^{a}$ Fuel bed depth (feet) divided by the fuel load (tons of dry matter per acre).
    ${ }^{b}$ Grasslands adapted to cool seasons.
    ${ }^{c}$ Grasslands adapted to warm or hot seasons.

[^3]:    ${ }^{a}$ Grasslands adapted to cool seasons.
    ${ }^{b}$ Grasslands adapted to warm or hot seasons.

[^4]:    ${ }^{a}$ Grasslands adapted to cool seasons.
    ${ }^{b}$ Grasslands adapted to warm or hot seasons.

[^5]:    ${ }^{a} \mathrm{C} 3$ is grassland adapted to cool seasons and C 4 is grassland adapted to warm or hot seasons.

[^6]:    ${ }^{a}$ Fire effects are scaled by the burned area $(p b)$.

[^7]:    ${ }^{a}$ Evergreen (E), needleleaf (N), deciduous (D), and broadleaf (B).

