



United States
Department of
Agriculture

Forest Service

Pacific Southwest
Forest and Range
Experiment Station

Berkeley, California
94701


General Technical
Report PSW-35

Proceedings of

OUR NATIONAL LANDSCAPE

A Conference on Applied Techniques for Analysis
and Management of the Visual Resource

April 23-25, 1979, Incline Village, Nevada



Note

We intentionally sought to process and deliver the Conference Proceedings to the potential user as soon as possible. To do this, we decided to have each author assume full responsibility for submitting manuscripts in photoready format within 2 weeks after the Conference. The manuscripts did not receive full, conventional Forest Service editorial processing and, consequently, you may find typographical errors and differences in format. The views expressed in each paper are those of the author and not necessarily those of the sponsoring organizations. Trade names are used solely for information and convenience of the reader and do not imply official endorsement by the sponsoring organizations. There will be other products in conjunction with the Conference, and the material contained within this Proceedings will appear in different forms with appropriate degrees of polish.

Co-Chairmen and Technical Coordinators:

GARY H. ELSNER is in charge of land use and landscape planning methodology research at the Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Berkeley, California. **RICHARD C. SMARDON** is a post-graduate research landscape architect with the Department of Landscape Architecture, University of California, Berkeley, and a cooperator with the Research Unit.

Proceedings of

OUR NATIONAL LANDSCAPE

A Conference on Applied Techniques for Analysis and Management of the Visual Resource

April 23-25, 1979, Incline Village, Nevada

Gary H. Elsner Richard C. Smardon
Technical Coordinators

CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
Introduction	
Opening Remarks, <i>by Robert Z. Callaham</i>	1
Conference Orientation for Our National Landscape: An Experiment in Creative Conference Programming, <i>by Richard C. Smardon, Gary H. Elsner, and George C. Coombes</i>	4
Conserving the Magnitude of Uselessness: A Philosophical Perspective, <i>by Alan Gussow</i>	6
Resource Policy and Esthetics: The Legal Landscape, <i>by M. Rupert Cutler</i>	12
Managing the Forest Landscape for Public Expectations, <i>by John R. McGuire</i>	16
Major Challenges in Landscape Planning: Simulated Field Trips	
Surface Mining, <i>by Robert Leopold, Bruce Rowland, and Reed Stalder</i>	20
Visual Impacts in the Urban-Wildland Interface, <i>by Arthur W. Magill, Rowan A. Rowntree, and Robert O. Brush</i>	25
Simulated Field Trip on Ski Area Development, <i>by John J. Lindsay and Hubertus J. Mittmann</i>	31
Timber Management Simulated Field Trip, <i>by Ronald M. Walters, Warren R. Bacon, and Asa D. Twombly</i>	36
Highway Development, <i>by Peter M. Harvard and Bernard L. Chaplin</i>	44
The Countryside Visual Resource, <i>by Sally Schauman</i>	48
Linear Utility Corridors—A Simulated Visual Field Trip, <i>by Robert W. Ross, Jr.</i>	55
Range Management Visual Impacts, <i>by Bruce R. Brown and David Kissel</i>	58
A Simulated Field Trip: "The Visual Aspects of Power Plant Sitings," <i>by Bill Bottomly and Alex Young</i>	63
Dams and Water Developments, <i>by Robert H. Schueneman</i>	70
The Off-Road Recreation Vehicle—Visual Impacts, <i>by Stephen F. McCool</i>	73
Tech logy Available to Solve Landscape Problems	
Descriptive Approaches to Landscape Analysis, <i>by R. Burton Litton, Jr.</i>	77
Computers and the Landscape, <i>by Gary H. Elsner</i>	88
Setting Technical Standards for Visual Assessment Procedures, <i>by Kenneth H. Craik and Nickolaus R. Feimer</i>	93

Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station
P.O. Box 245
Berkeley, California 94701

September 1979

**Technology Available to Solve Landscape Problems—Session A:
Descriptive Approaches**

Seeing Desert as Wilderness and as Landscape—An Exercise in Visual Thinking, <i>by John Opie</i>	101
Conducting a Wildland Visual Resources Inventory, <i>by James F. Palmer</i>	109
Visual Unit Analysis: A Descriptive Approach to Landscape Assessment, <i>by R. J. Tetlow and S. R. J. Sheppard</i>	117
Enviroplan—A Summary Methodology for Comprehensive Environmental Planning and Design, <i>by Robert Allen, Jr., George Nez, Fred Nicholson, and Larry Sutphin</i>	125
The Mt. Mitchell Scenery Assessment, <i>by Robert F. Scheele and Gary W. Johnson</i>	129
Landscape Maps as an Aid to Management of Scenic Mountain Areas, <i>by Roland Baumgartner</i>	136

**Technology Available to Solve Landscape Problems—Session B:
Computerized and Quantitative Approaches**

Policy Capturing as a Method of Quantifying the Determinants of Landscape Preference, <i>by Dennis B. Propst</i>	142
A Computerized System for Portrayal of Landscape Alterations, <i>by A. E. Stevenson, J. A. Conley, and J. B. Carey</i>	151
Analysis of Landscape Character for Visual Resource Management, <i>by Paul F. Anderson</i>	157
Visual Absorption Capability, <i>by Lee Anderson, Jerry Mosier, and Geoffrey Chandler</i>	164
A Proposed Biophysical Approach to Visual Absorption Capability (VAC), <i>by W. C. Yeomans</i>	172
The Visual Information System, <i>by Merlyn J. Paulson</i>	182
Visual Management Support System, <i>by Lee Anderson, Jerry Mosier, and Geoffrey Chandler</i>	189
A Systematic Approach for Locating Optimum Sites, <i>by Angel Ramos and Isabel Otero</i>	196
The Use of VIEWIT and Perspective Plot to Assist in Determining the Landscape's Visual Absorption Capability, <i>by Wayne Tlusty</i>	201

**Technology Available to Solve Landscape Problems—Session C:
Psychometric and Social Science Approaches**

Visual Resources and the Public: An Empirical Approach, <i>by Rachel Kaplan</i>	209
Measuring Familiarity for Natural Environments Through Visual Images, <i>by William E. Hammitt</i>	217
The Q-Sort Method: Use in Landscape Assessment Research and Landscape Planning, <i>by David G. Pitt and Ervin H. Zube</i>	227
Complexity and Valued Landscapes, <i>by Michael M. McCarthy</i>	235
Perception and Landscape: Conceptions and Misconceptions, <i>by Stephen Kaplan</i>	241
The Social Value of English Landscapes, <i>by Edmund C. Penning-Roswell</i>	249
Dimensions of Landscape Preferences from Pairwise Comparisons, <i>by F. González Bernaldez and F. Parra</i>	256
Numerical Modeling of Eastern Connecticut's Visual Resources, <i>by Daniel L. Civco</i>	263
The Derivation of Scenic Utility Functions and Surfaces and Their Role in Landscape Management, <i>by John W. Hamilton, Gregory J. Buhyoff and J. Douglas Wellman</i>	271
Visual Perception of Landscape: Sex and Personality Differences, <i>by A. Macia</i>	279

**Technology Available to Solve Landscape Problems—Session D:
Evaluation of Visual Assessment Methods**

Appraising the Reliability of Visual Impact Assessment Methods, <i>by Nickolaus R. Feimer, Kenneth H. Craik, Richard C. Smardon and Stephen R.J. Sheppard</i>	286
Evaluation and Recommendations Concerning the Visual Resource Inventory and Evaluation Systems Used Within the Forest Service and the Bureau of Land Management, <i>by Blaise George Grden</i>	296
The Generation of Criteria for Selecting Analytical Tools for Landscape Management, <i>by Marilyn Duffey-Armstrong</i>	305

**Appropriate Combinations of Technology for Solving Landscape Management
Problems—Session E: Surface Mining and Reclamation**

Texas Lignite and the Visual Resource: An Objective Approach to Visual Resource Evaluation and Management, <i>by Harlow C. Landphair</i>	312
Computer-Aided Visual Assessment in Mine Planning and Design, <i>by Michael A. Hatfield, J. LeRoy Balzer, and Roger E. Nelson</i>	323
Opportunities for Visual Resource Management in the Southern Appalachian Coal Basin, <i>by John W. Simpson</i>	328
Visual Analysis as a Design and Decision-Making Tool in the Development of a Quarry, <i>by Randall Boyd Fitzgerald</i>	335
A Case Study: Death Valley National Monument California-Nevada, <i>by Daniel Hamson and Toni Ristau</i>	340

**Appropriate Combinations of Technology for Solving Landscape Management
Problems—Session F: Urbanization; Highway Development**

Assessing the Visual Resource and Visual Development Suitability Values in Metropolitanizing Landscapes, <i>by Charles B. Yuill and Spencer A. Joyner, Jr</i>	348
Measuring the Impact of Urbanization on Scenic Quality: Land Use Change in the Northeast, <i>by Robert O. Brush and James F. Palmer</i>	358
A Comprehensive Approach to Visual Resource Management for Highway Agencies, <i>by William G. E. Blair, Larry Isaacson, and Grant R. Jones</i>	365
Highway Attitudes and Levels of Roadside Maintenance, <i>by Gary D. Hampe and F. P. Noe</i>	373
A Method for Improved Visual Landscape Compatibility of Mobile Home Parks, <i>by Daniel R. Jones</i>	380

**Appropriate Combinations of Technology for Solving Landscape
Management Problems—Session G: Recreational Development**

Does the Public Notice Visual Resource Problems on the Federal Estate?, <i>by John D. Peine</i>	401
Landscape Assessment for Tourism, <i>by Clare A. Gunn</i>	409
Assessment of Visual Resources Desirable for Tourism and Recreational Uses Along the Site of Lake Choon-Chon in Korea, <i>by Won-Woo Suh</i>	415
Projecting the Visual Carrying Capacity of Recreation Areas, <i>by Thomas J. Nieman and Jane L. Futrell</i>	420
Visual Resource Inventory and Innaha Valley Study: Hells Canyon National Recreation Area, <i>by David H. Blau, Michael C. Bowie, and Frank Hunsaker</i>	428
The Use of Computer Graphics in the Visual Analysis of the Proposed Sunshine Ski Area Expansion, <i>by Mark Angelo</i>	439

Appropriate Combinations of Technology for Solving Landscape Management

Problems—Session H: Rural and Agricultural Development

Managing for Naturalness in Wildland and Agricultural Landscapes, by <i>Joan Nassauer</i>	447
Visual Resources of the New Jersey Pine Barrens: Integrating Visual Resources into the Planning Process, by <i>John W. Sinton</i>	454
Toward a State Landscape Policy: Incremental Planning and Management in Vermont, by <i>Mark B. Lapping</i>	462

Appropriate Combinations of Technology for Solving Landscape Management

Problems—Session I: Utility Corridors; Siting of Power Plants

Northwest Montana/North Idaho Transmission Corridor Study: A Computer-Assisted Corridor Location and Impact Evaluation Assessment, by <i>Timothy J. Murray, Daniel J. Bisenius, and Jay G. Marcotte</i>	470
Aesthetic Impact of a Proposed Power Plant on an Historic Wilderness Landscape, by <i>Carl H. Petrich</i>	477
Simulation of the Visual Effects of Power Plant Plumes, by <i>Evelyn F. Treiman, David B. Champion, Mona J. Wecksung, Glenn H. Moore, Andrew Ford, and Michael D. Williams</i>	485
Evolution of a Visual Impact Model to Evaluate Nuclear Plant Siting and Design Option, by <i>Brian A. Gray, John Ady, and Grant R. Jones</i>	491
Visual Sensitivity of River Recreation to Power Plants, by <i>David H. Blau and Michael C. Bowie</i>	499
Classification of the Visual Landscape for Transmission Planning, by <i>Curtis Miller, Nargis Jetha, and Rod MacDonald</i>	507

Appropriate Combinations of Technology for Solving Landscape Management

Problems—Session J: Timber Management

Scenic Beauty Estimation Model: Predicting Perceived Beauty of Forest Landscapes, by <i>Terry C. Daniel and Herbert Schroeder</i>	514
The Semantic Differential in Landscape Research, by <i>H. E. Echelberger</i>	524
Identification of Scenically Preferred Forest Landscapes, by <i>Roberta C. Patey and Richard M. Evans</i>	532
Visual Management System and Timber Management Application, by <i>Warren R. Bacon and Asa D. (Bud) Twombly</i>	539
The Role of the Landscape Architect in Applied Forest Landscape Management: A Case Study on Process, by <i>Wayne Thusty</i>	548
A System to Program Projects to Meet Visual Quality Objectives, by <i>Fred L. Henley and Frank L. Hunsaker</i>	557
Project Visual Analysis for the Allegheny National Forest, by <i>Gary W. Kell</i>	565

Appropriate Combinations of Technology for Solving Landscape Management

Problems—Session K: Water Resource Development

Landscape Preference Assessment of Louisiana River Landscapes: a Methodological Study, by <i>Michael S. Lee</i>	572
Management of the Lower St. Croix Riverway: the Application of Cognitive Visual Mapping and Social and Resource Assessment Methods, by <i>Robert Becker, William Gates, and Bernard J. Niemann, Jr</i>	581
A Visual Resource Management Study of Alternative Dams, Reservoirs and Highway and Transmission Line Corridors near Copper Creek, Washington, by <i>John Ady, Brian A. Gray, and Grant R. Jones</i>	590

Predicting the Visual Quality Impacts of Development: A Simulation of Alternative Policies for Implementing the Massachusetts Scenic and Recreational Rivers Act, <i>by Carl Steinitz</i>	598
Combining Computer and Manual Overlays—Willamette River Greenway Study, <i>by Asa Hanamoto and Lucille Biesbroeck</i>	610
VIEWIT Uses on the Wild and Scenic Upper Missouri River, <i>by Dwight K. Araki</i>	618
Appropriate Combinations of Technology for Solving Landscape Management Problems—Session L: Outer Continental Shelf and Coastal Energy Development	
Managing the Visual Effects of Outer Continental Shelf and Other Petroleum-Related Coastal Development, <i>by Philip A. Marcus and Ethan T. Smith</i>	627
Visual Simulation of Offshore Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) Terminals in a Decision-Making Context, <i>by Brian E. Baird, Stephen R. J. Sheppard, and Richard C. Sardon</i>	636
Visual Impact Assessment in British Oil and Gas Developments, <i>by Dennis F. Gillespie and Brian D. Clark</i>	645
A Technique for the Assessment of the Visual Impact of Nearshore Confined Dredged Materials and Other Built Islands, <i>by Roy Mann</i>	654
Landscape Management Systems	
The Visual Management System of the Forest Service, USDA, <i>by Warren R. Bacon</i>	660
The Bureau of Land Management and Visual Resource Management— An Overview, <i>by Robert W. Ross, Jr.</i>	666
Soil Conservation Service Landscape Resource Management, <i>by Sally Schauman and Carolyn Adams</i>	671
Legal and Policy Tools Available to Use in Solving Landscape Management Problems	
Litigation and Landscape Esthetics, <i>by Michael McCloskey</i>	674
The Interface of Legal and Esthetic Considerations, <i>by Richard C. Sardon</i>	676
Landscape Values in Public Decisions, <i>by Richard N. L. Andrews</i>	686
Visual Quality Testimony in an Adversary Setting, <i>by Bruce H. Murray and Bernard J. Niemann, Jr.</i>	693
New Dimensions of Visual Landscape Assessment	
Wildlands Management for Wildlife Viewing, <i>by Tamsie Cooper and William W. Shaw</i>	700
Potential Future Impacts on Visual Air Quality for Class I Areas, <i>by David Nochumson, Flavio Gurule, and Mona J. Wecksung</i>	706
Visual Resource Management of the Sea, <i>by Louis V. Mills, Jr.</i>	717
Designing Future Landscapes from Principles of Form and Function, <i>by Larry D. Harris and Patrick Kangas</i>	725
People, Planners and Policy: Is There an Interface?, <i>by Susan Kopka</i>	730
Future Direction for Research and Management	
Human Habitat at the Fringe of the Forest: The Character of the Place, <i>by Richard L. Meier and William Ewald</i>	738
Research Needs for Our National Landscapes, <i>by Elwood L. Shafer</i>	744
The Energy Crisis and the American Landscape, <i>by Stuart Udall</i>	748

Acknowledgments

We especially acknowledge our Technical Planning Committee, which included R. Burton Litton, Jr., Arthur W. Magill, Alex Young, and J. Alan Wagar, for their early conceptual contributions to the Conference. This Committee met weekly for more than 12 months to make the substantive contributions necessary for perfecting the Conference program. We also acknowledge the contributions of the Program Advisory Committee. Participating on this Committee were: Edward H. Stone II, Elwood L. Shafer, Ronald E. Stewart, Robert O. Brush, Sanford O. Silver, Herbert Echelberger, Gordon D. Lewis, Pieter E. Hoekstra, Benjamin Spada, Lane Marshall, F. Brian Clark, Robert J. Tetlow, George C. Coombes, Larry Isaacson, and William P. Gregg. Shirley I. Ramacher did a superb job as Conference Administrator. Several individuals were particularly helpful in providing administrative support for the Conference at critical times. These include George C. Coombes of University of California Extension, Elwood L. Shafer, Edward H. Stone II, Robert E. Buckman, and Robert Z. Callaham of the Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture. Sally Schauman and Robert E. Leopold were particularly helpful in facilitating interagency coordination and support with the Soil Conservation Service and the Bureau of Land Management, respectively.

We also thank all exhibitors who prepared poster sessions, or who supplied models, films, slide shows and other visual presentations. And special thanks go to the session moderators who did a skillful job in moderating sessions and reviewing session papers. These moderators, in order of appearance, include: Robert Z. Callaham, Edward H. Stone II, Jim Mertes, Edward C. Thor, Donald Appleyard, Carl Steinitz, Rachel Kaplan, Terry C. Daniel, Edwin R. Browning, J. Alan Wagar, Ronald W. Hodgson, Robert E. Leopold, David Davies, Herbert E. Echelberger, David W. Lime, and Jens Sorensen.

The bus tours of landscape planning projects were well-organized and conducted by Wayne D. Iverson and Glenn S. Smith, with the assistance of Jon Hoefer, Frank Magary, Daid Stoms, Katherine Snow, and Charles Lowrie.

This Conference could not have been possible without the generous support of the major sponsors and organizers: Forest Service, Soil Conservation Service, and Bureau of Land Management. Other cosponsors include: The American Society of Landscape Architects, Washington, D.C.; the Bonneville Power Administration, Department of Energy, Portland, Oregon; The Cooperative Extension, University of California, Berkeley; the Department of Landscape Architecture, University of California, Berkeley; the Federal Highway Administration, Department of Transportation, Washington, D.C.; the Geological Survey, RALI Program, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C.; The International Union of Forestry Research Organizations, Vienna, Austria; the National Park Service; the Society of American Foresters, Bethesda, Maryland; the Surface Environment and Mining Program (SEAM), Forest Service; the Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service, Washington, D.C.; and the Tennessee Valley Authority, Norris, Tennessee.