

Monitoring Social Indicators
for
Ecosystem Management:
The technical assessment data

Jo Ellen Force, Gary E. Machlis, Shawn E. Dalton and David Fosdeck

5 January 1995

Submitted to the Interior Columbia River Basin Project under Order #43-0E00-4-9187

Contact: Dr. Jo Ellen Force
College of Forestry, Wildlife and Range Sciences
University of Idaho, Moscow, ID 83844-1133
Phone: (208) 885-7311
Fax: (208) 885-6226
E-mail: joellen@uidaho.edu

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Social Indicators Defined	2
The Human Ecosystem Model	4
The Social Indicators Used in Assessment	9
Data Collection and Organization	15
Data	18
Application	46
References Cited	50
Appendix'	51

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Social indicators used for the technical assessment of Idaho and Montana counties in the ICRBP	11
Table 2. ICRBP Idaho and Montana county data for variables and indicators	19
Table 3. ICRBP Idaho and Montana county data ranked for each indicator	29
Table 4. Data sources for social indicators used in technical assessment for Idaho and Montana counties in the ICRBP	52
Table 5. ICRBP Idaho and Montana county raw data	61

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Working model of human ecosystem: equilibrium model	5
Figure 2. Working model of human ecosystem: dynamic model	8
Figure 3. Record Reference Number Form	57
Figure 4. Data Collection Record Form	58

INTRODUCTION

Understanding and monitoring human resources are crucial for successful ecosystem management. One approach is the use of social indicators to assess the current socioeconomic conditions and provide baseline data for continued monitoring. Social indicators are statistics collected for policy **analysis** and decision-making. The purpose of this report is to present the county-level data collected for selected social indicators. Data were collected for all the counties of Idaho and the thirteen counties in Western Montana that are in the Interior Columbia River Basin Project (ICRBP).

This report is the second of three which address the use of social indicators as part of the monitoring activities associated with ecosystem management. The rationale for the use of social indicators, the development of a human ecosystem model, and an extensive description of the model variables is presented in ***Monitoring Social Indicators for Ecosystem Management*** by Machlis, Force and Dalton (1994). The third document (to be completed March 1995) will be an atlas displaying the data in this report in a series of maps.

In this report, we first briefly define social indicators and summarize the human ecosystem model. Next, we present the social indicators (derived from the model) which were used in this assessment. The procedures followed for data collection and organization are then described. The data are presented for each indicator, first by county in alphabetical order and then rank ordered. The report concludes with a discussion of the potential applications of this assessment.

SOCIAL INDICATORS DEFINED

There are numerous formal definitions of social indicators. **Rossi** and Gilmartin emphasize data collection over time:

Social indicators are time-series that allow comparison over an extended period and can be desegregated by relevant characteristics. Since they are time-series, social indicators are measures that allow the identification of long term trends, periodic changes, and fluctuations in rates of change (**Rossi** and Gilmartin, 1980:15).

Other definitions stress the policy relevance and social-values associated with indicators. The U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare defined social indicators as:

. . .a statistic of direct normative interest which facilitates concise, comprehensive, and balanced judgments about the conditions of major aspects of society. It is in all cases a direct measure of welfare and is subject to the interpretation that, if it changes in the “right” direction, while other things remain equal, things have gotten better, or people are better off (**USHEW**, 1969:97).

For the **ICRBP**, both the time-series character and policy relevance of social indicators are particularly important. In this paper, social indicators are defined as ***an integrated set of social, economic and ecological measures, collected over time and primarily derived from available data sources, grounded in theory and useful to ecosystem management and decision-making.***

This definition has several implications. Social indicators are not merely a collection of facts or statistics, but an integrated **set** of measures. (Measures are the numerical values used to calculate the indicator, such as the percent of. population of a certain age or the ratio of part-time to full-time workers.) Social indicators are primarily developed from existing data sources, available over time and repeatedly collected. They are organized around an explicit theoretical framework that provides a rationale for selecting individual indicators and their measures. The indicators reflect social, economic and human ecological concerns; i.e.

they are multidisciplinary. The indicators provide “usable knowledge,” relevant to monitoring, decision-making, policy analysis, research and other activities related to ecosystem management.

Social indicators are, as we noted in our earlier paper, the “basic facts.” Alone they cannot provide explanations for *why* conditions are changing or what structural constraints limit the amount of change. To carefully track an increase in population is not to be able to explain the attractiveness of place or the rationale of the migrant. Social indicators, then, are best used to provide baseline description and monitor trends in social conditions. Social indicators have been widely employed in policy analysis; for a detailed review of the literature, see Machlis et al. 1994.

Social indicators, like other social science methodologies, have both strengths and weaknesses. Social indicators allow for systematic comparison across spatial units and over time. Social indicators can provide a concise description of socioeconomic conditions, and often can be interpreted by non-experts.

Social indicators have weaknesses as well. They are dependent upon accessible secondary information. Another weakness is the potential instability of measurement criteria -- the potential for indicator data to be collected differently or redefined at different times (e.g., changes in the definition of rape in crime statistics). The selection of indicators is far from value-free; imbedded in the choice of an indicator (such as per capita income or library circulation rates) is the assumption that the indicator is important, and that its variation across spatial units and over time is meaningful. Hence, there is considerable debate over what constitutes appropriate indicators (Alonso and Starr, 1987). Several

indicators may be plausible ‘candidates to monitor a specific socioeconomic characteristic.

For example, both the percent of people below the poverty level and the percent unemployed provide insight into the distribution of economic wealth.

THE HUMAN ECOSYSTEM MODEL

If social indicators are to be useful to natural resource managers in the 1990s, they must be understood in the broader context of human ecosystem management (for a detailed discussion, see Machlis et al., 1994). The basis of using social indicators for ecosystem management is a sound theoretical model. The model should be: 1) derived from strong theory and empirical studies, 2) relevant to a wide range of resource management situations, 3) applicable at various temporal and spatial scales, and 4) able to explicitly link social and biological ‘systems.

The approach we have taken treats the human ecosystem as an organizing framework and model. The human ecosystem is ***a coherent system of biophysical and social factors capable of adaptation and sustainability over time***. For example, a rural community can be considered a human ecosystem, if it exhibits boundaries, resource flows, social structures, and continuity over time. Human ecosystems can be described at several spatial scales, and these scales are hierarchically linked. Hence, a family unit, community, county, region, nation, even the global population can fruitfully be treated as human ecosystems.

While the scale of human ecosystems can vary, there are several essential elements. Figure 1 outlines these elements. A set of ***critical resources*** are required to provide the system with necessary supplies. These resources are of three kinds: 1) ***natural resources***




Figure 1. Working Model of Human Ecosystem: Equilibrium Model
(Machlis, Burch and Force, 1994)

(such as energy, wood or water); 2) **socioeconomic resources** (such as labor or capital); and 3) **cultural resources** (such as myths and beliefs).

The flow of these critical resources is regulated and used by *the social system*, the general social structures that guide much of human behavior. The social system is composed of three subsystems. The first is a set of **social institutions**, defined as collective solutions to universal social challenges or needs. For example, the collective challenge of maintaining human health leads to medical institutions, which can range from modern hospital systems to rural health cooperatives, preventative care and traditional shamans. Other social institutions deal with such universal challenges as justice (law), faith (religion), and sustenance (agriculture and resource management).

The second subsystem is a series of **social** cycles, which are the temporal patterns for allocating human activity. Time is both a fixed resource as well as a key organizing tool for human behavior. Some cycles may be physiological (such as diurnal patterns and life cycles); others institutional (e.g., permitted hunting seasons). Still others may be specific to the individual (such as grave-yard shifts) or environment (such as climate change). Social cycles significantly influence the distribution of critical resources. An example is the set of collective rhythms within a community or culture that organize its calendar, festivals, harvests, fishing seasons, business days and so forth.

The third subsystem is the **social order**, which is a set of cultural patterns for organizing interaction among people and groups. The social order includes three key mechanisms for ordering behavior: personal **identities** (such as age or gender), **norms** (rules for behaving) and **hierarchies** (of wealth or power, for example). Hence, certain **predictions**

about interaction are created when one can identify the age, gender, wealth and power of individuals or groups, and such expectations allow the social system to function.

The social order (individually, collectively and in relationship to social institutions and social cycles) provides high predictability in much of human behavior. Taken together, social institutions, social cycles and the social order constitute the social system. Combined with the flow of critical resources, this creates the human ecosystem at a particular scale. Each of these elements substantially influence the others. For example, changes in the flow of energy (such as an embargo and resultant rationing) may alter hierarchies of power (those with fuel get more) and norms for behavior (such as **informal** sanctions against wasting fuel).

Finally, a particular human ecosystem may be hierarchically nested within human ecosystems at different scales. Hence, the rural community as a human ecosystem may be linked to a larger watershed, region or state, and to smaller human ecosystems such as clans or households. Changes in a human ecosystem at one scale may have effects at both larger and smaller scales. For example, a rise in rural unemployment may impact family health conditions, increase demands upon community doctors, and deplete state and federal medical funds. Figure 2 illustrates the dynamic model, emphasizing scale linkages and adaptive change over time. The human ecosystem model provides the rationale for selecting a comprehensive set of social indicators for ecosystem management. For a detailed definition of each key variable, the reader should refer to Machlis et al. (1994).




Figure 2. Working Model of Human Ecosystem: Dynamic Model
(Machlis, Burch and Force, 1994)

THE SOCIAL INDICATORS USED IN ASSESSMENT

In this assessment, the county was used as the level of analysis for social indicators to monitor the human ecosystem. This is for several reasons. First, good quality secondary data are often available at this scale, consistently collected at regular intervals, and comparable across all counties in the U.S. The county is a major unit of analysis for most national census efforts, and is an exceptionally stable geographic unit for time-series data (little change in county boundaries occur over time).

Second, counties are an important administrative unit for government regulations and policy related to both social and biophysical aspects of ecosystem management. County governments are increasingly taking on environmental management responsibilities (remediation of Superfund landfill sites is an example), as additional discretionary authority is 'granted by the states and mandated by the federal government. In a study of counties in Washington, Oregon and Idaho, **McGown** (1994) found that a significant proportion of counties were involved in activities associated with ecosystem management: comprehensive planning (93%), monitoring water quality (40%), and wildfire mitigation (25%) are examples.

Third, county governments are moving to **expand** their capability to deal with environmental issues. Waugh and Hy (1988) surveyed county executives nationwide and found four of the top five issues facing county governments were environmental: solid waste, land use and zoning, water supply/sewage and toxic waste. In response, counties are increasing the presence of technical staff to deal with environmental management activities (**McGown**, 1994).

Fourth, county boards and planning and zoning commissions have significant impacts on land use within ecosystems. These governmental units are *de facto* land managers, addressing many ecosystem management issues. They develop comprehensive plans, establish zoning ordinances, grant variances, and in many ways impact human ecosystems.

Finally, county government is the socio-political unit closest to the landscape scale often discussed in ecosystem management —cities and towns are too small in area and states include too many landscape types. Hence, the use of county-level data is a plausible strategy in applying social indicators for ecosystem **management**.

There are a wide variety of potential -indicators for each variable in the human ecosystem model. In many cases, there are several appropriate measures for each indicator. The choice of indicators and measures was based on several criteria: 1) ‘an extensive review of the literature, 2) close adherence to the human ecosystem model, 3) relevance to ecosystem management activities, 4) ease of understanding and interpretation by resource managers and others, and 5) availability, accessibility and quality of data. In a few cases, appropriate indicators were not available. Table 1 presents the selected social indicators. The first column lists the variables, derived from the model. The second column lists indicators chosen to represent the variables. The- third column shows the measures for each indicator. In many cases, calculations are required to provide a measure that will allow comparison among counties. For example, it may be useful to express a given measure such as number of divorces in relation to a unit of population. The fourth column describes how each of these calculations were made.

Table 1. Social indicators used for the technical assessment of Idaho and Montana counties in the ICRBP.

Variable	Indicator	Measure (Date of Collection)	Calculation
<i>Natural Resources</i>			
1. Energy	Occupied housing units heated with wood	% Occupied housing units heated with wood (1990)	Number of occupied housing units heated with wood <i>divided by</i> total number of occupied housing units
2. Land	Federal land	% of land owned by federal government (1992)	Number of acres owned by federal government <i>divided by</i> total number of acres in county
	Population density on non-federal land	Number of people per acre of non-federal land (1990/1 992)	Total population <i>divided by</i> number of acres of non-federal land
3. Water	Not Available		
4. Materials	Material production	The dominant manufacturing or extractive industry in county (1987)	
5. Nutrients	Agricultural product	Ratio of value of crop products to value of livestock products (1992)	\$ value of crop products <i>divided by</i> \$ value of livestock products
<i>Socioeconomic Resources</i>			
6. Information	Library loans	Number of books loaned by public libraries per capita per year (1993)	
7. Population	Total resident population	Total resident population (1990)	
	Rural population	% of total population that lives in rural areas (1990)	Rural population <i>divided by</i> total population
8. Labor	Unemployment	Civilian labor force unemployment rate (1989)	
9. Capital	Bank deposits	Bank deposits (June) (1989)	
	Income	Median household income (1989)	

Variable	Indicator	Measure (Date of Collection)	Calculation
<i>Cultural Resources</i>			
10. Organization	Not Available		
11. Beliefs	Votes by political party	% votes cast for Republican presidential candidate (1992)	Number of votes cast for Republican candidate <i>divided by</i> total votes cast
12. Myth	Major religion family	% practicing major religions (1990)	
<i>Social Institutions</i>			
13. Health	Infant mortality Physicians	Number infant deaths per 1000 live births (1988) Number physicians per 100.000 population (1990)	
14. Justice	Law enforcement	Number police officers with arrest powers per 1000 population (1992/1990)	Number of police officers with arrest powers <i>divided by</i> number of total residents (convert to per 1000 residents)
15. Faith	Religious adherents	% of population who claim adherence with an established religion (1990)	
16. Commerce	Earnings	\$ Earnings in all industries (1988)	
17. Education	High school graduates	% high school graduates among persons 25 or older (1990)	
18. Leisure	Not Available		

Variable	Indicator	Measure (Date of Collection)	Calculation
19. Government	Voting rate	% population > 18 years of age participating in presidential elections (1992)	Number of votes cast for president <i>divided by</i> total population > 18 years of age
	Local government finances	\$ Direct government expenditures per capita (1986-711990)	\$ in direct expenditures <i>divided by</i> total population
20. Sustenance	Resource-related employment	% employed persons in agriculture, forestry, fisheries, mining (1990)	Number of employed persons in agriculture, forestry, fisheries, and mining <i>divided by</i> total civilian labor force
	Land use	Acres of irrigated land (1992)	
<i>Identity (social order)</i>			
21. Age	Median age	Median age (1990)	
	Dependency Ratio	% persons < 18 and > 64 years of age (1990)	Total population minus persons 18-64 <i>divided by</i> total population
22. Gender	Women in labor force	% all women in labor force (1990)	Number of women working full time <i>divided by</i> all women in labor force, including those not currently employed
	Sex ratio	Ratio of females to males (1990)	Female population <i>divided by</i> male population
23. Class	Professional and skilled employment	% workers that are professional and skilled workers (1990)	Number of employed persons in health, education and other professions <i>divided by</i> total civilian labor force
24. Caste	Ethnic/racial composition	% of total population in ethnic/racial groups (1990)	Number of Black, American Indian, Asian, Hispanic and other races population <i>divided by</i> total population
25. Clan	Household composition	% households of single parents with children under 18 (1990)	Number of male householders, no spouse present, with own children plus number of female householders, no spouse present, with own children <i>divided by</i> All family households with persons under 18 years old

Variable	Indicator	Measure (Date of Collection)	Calculation
<i>Social Norms (social order)</i>			
26. Formal	Crime	Number of serious crimes known to police per 100,000 population (1991)	
27. Informal	Divorce rate	Number of divorces per 1000 population (1987/1990)	
<i>Hierarchy (social order)</i>			
28. Wealth	Poverty rate	% persons living below poverty level (1990)	Number of persons below poverty level divided by Persons for whom poverty status has been determined
29. Power	Elected positions	Number of elected positions per 1000 population (1994/1990)	Number of elected positions divided by total population
30. status	Not Available		
3 1. Knowledge	College graduates	% of adults who are college graduates (1990)	
32. Territory	Home ownership	% housing units occupied by owner (1990)	Number of housing units occupied by owner divided by total number of housing units
<i>Social Cycles</i>			
3 3. P h y s i o l o g i c a l	Elderly population	% of total population who are 70 years old or older	Number of people > 69 years of age divided by total population
34. Individual	Employment terms Work days	Full-time workers (1990) Seasonal workers (1 990).	% Full-time workers divided by all workers % Seasonal workers divided by all workers
35. Institutional	Not Available		
36. Environmental	Not Available		

DATA COLLECTION AND ORGANIZATION

Data for all the counties in Idaho and 13 western Montana counties in the ICRBP were collected on a total of 41 indicators for the variables in the human ecosystem model presented above. The most recent available data were sought in all cases. The data were obtained from the 1990 Decennial Census (18 measures), the 1994 *Country and City Data* Book (9 measures), other U. S. Bureau of Census documents, state government sources and other publications (see Table 4 in the Appendix for a complete listing of all data sources).

The first step in data collection was to obtain the "FIPS" code (Federal Information Processing Standards) for each county of interest. This is the identifier used in U. S. Census documents and allows geographic identification of the county. Record Reference Forms and Data Records were maintained for all data which were not on CD-ROM (see Appendix for copies).

U. S. Census data collected for this study were primarily taken from the STF-3A and *USA Counties* CD-ROMs. These CD-ROMs are published by the U.S. Bureau of the Census and were available through the Federal Documents Depository at the University of Idaho. Each 1990 Census CD-ROM comes with a data display program called EXTRACT. The EXTRACT software uses a structured query format to select specific geographic areas and data catalogs. EXTRACT was used to select the 57 counties in the study area and the selected indicators. The data from EXTRACT was saved in a **DBASE(TM)-.DBF** format which was imported into Quattro **Pro(TM)** for compilation and editing (**QuattroPro** 6.0 for Windows was used). This allowed data calculations to be easily performed. The raw data, with Census codes where appropriate, are available in Table 5 in the Appendix.

The dates of the available data ranged from 1986 for local government finances to 1994 for the number of elected positions in local government. Most data are 1990 figures. Data on the dominant manufacturing or extractive industry, the dominant agricultural product, and the major religion families are nominal; some data are ratios (e.g., sex, dependency). The majority of the data are percentages and/or adjusted for population size.

Limitations and Unavailable Indicators

County, data were not available for indicators for six variables. Hierarchy (social order) includes the variable *status* which is closely related to *class* for which the indicator “professional and skilled employment” was measured. Indicators of *Institutional* social cycles are often constants (such as hunting seasons, fiscal years). Although institutional cycles are important considerations in understanding the human ecosystem and in implementing ecosystem management, they would not be expected to vary much over time and space. The problems encountered for the other four variables are discussed below.

We explored obtaining data on several possible indicators of *water* resources. Well water data and water flow data in rivers are collected at various points *within* watersheds, but are not organized by county boundaries. The availability of water within a county may vary considerably, making extrapolation of data from these sources unreliable.

Data on service and other non-governmental *organizations* were not available from a centralized data source. Such data can be collected at the local level from phone books, Chamber of Commerce materials and other primary data collection activities.

Social indicators of the institution of *leisure* as it relates to ecosystem management could include measures of human participation in natural-resource based leisure activities,

recreational land use, and expenditures on natural-resource related recreation programs and facilities. Visitor-days of activities are compiled by the USDA Forest Service and USDI Bureau of **Land** Management by recreational activity and sometimes by a specific recreational site. The National Park Service also compiles visitor-day statistics. None of these data are compiled by county units. *County Profiles of Idaho* has information on land designated for recreation use, such as state and county parks. Such data do not recognize federal land used for recreation, which is significant in the ICRBP area, and are unlikely to vary much over time. There are data available on government expenditures on recreation programs and facilities from the Idaho Association of Counties, but for only 28 of the 44 counties.

Environmental cycles, such as changes in precipitation or drought conditions, impact the social cycles in the human ecosystem. Data on precipitation are collected at a number of weather stations in Idaho and Montana by the National Weather Bureau and are available at the University of Idaho's Climate Data Laboratory. Extrapolating data from these stations to the county level was not possible because of widely varying climatological zones within many counties. Several sources were contacted to obtain data on official drought years, but no reliable data were identified.

DATA

The data are displayed by variable and corresponding indicator(s) in the human ecosystem model for all 57 counties in alphabetical order in Table 2. The first 44 counties are in Idaho and the last 13 are in western Montana. Data in Table 3 (page 29) are ranked in value from lowest to highest across all 57 counties for each variable and corresponding indicator(s). The median value (i.e., that value for which 50 percent of the values are greater and 50 percent are less) in each indicator is in bold print.

The data indicate considerable diversity among the 57 counties on most every social indicator. Examples are: the percent of land in federal ownership varies from 2.5 percent in Lewis County, ID to 93.1 percent in Custer County, ID; the 1990 population varies from 727 in **Camas** County, ID (with a density of 0.003 people per acre of nonfederal land) to 205,775 in Ada County, ID (0.560 people/acre); the median household income ranges from **\$17,917/year** in Washington County, ID to **\$31,400/year** in Jefferson County, MT; the percent employed in forestry, fisheries, agriculture and mining is as low as 2.5 percent in Ada County, ID to as high as 39.6 percent in Owyhee County, ID; and the proportion of single parent households with children under 18 varies from 6.1 percent in Oneida County, ID to 26.4 percent in Lake County, MT. Careful examination of the data in Tables 2 and 3 by decision makers, managers and citizens will increase their understanding of the basic social conditions in these counties in the **ICRBP**.

Table 2. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County Data for Variables and Indicators

FIPS code	County	1 Energy % Heat - Wood 1990	2A Land % Federal ownership 1992	2B Land Pop./acre nonfed. land 19901992	4 Materials Dominant mfg/ind.* 1987
16001	Ada	5.5	45.5	0.560	27
16003	Adams	59.2	65.2	0.011	24
16005	Bannock	7.5	33.0	0.138	34
16007	Bear Lake	24.0	45.6	0.018	38
16009	Benewah	55.7	10.9	0.018	24
16011	Bingham	15.6	29.4	0.040	20
16013	Blaine	16.0	77.5	0.036	27
16015	Boise	62.3	76.8	0.012	24
16017	Bonner	57.4	44.9	0.044	24
16019	Bonneville	8.5	53.5	0.132	27
16021	Boundary	57.2	60.9	0.026	24
16023	Butte	30.8	86.2	0.015	24
16025	Camas	46.2	64.8	0.003	NA
16027	Canyon	13.0	6.0	0.257	20
16029	Caribou	11.0	39.5	0.010	28
16031	Cassia	8.1	56.4	0.027	20
16033	Clark	32.1	66.1	0.002	NA
16035	Clearwater	58.6	59.1	0.015	24
16037	Custer	48.0	93.1	0.019	24
16039	Elmore	12.5	72.8	0.040	27
16041	Franklin	8.1	32.8	0.032	20
16043	F r e m o n t	39.5	60.0	0.023	24
16045	Gem	31.4	38.0	0.053	24
16047	Gooding	12.8	52.5	0.053	24
16049	Idaho	59.7	83.2	0.015	24
16051	Jefferson, ID	27.6	48.8	0.046	20
16053	Jerome	10.9	26.2	0.053	27
16055	Kootenai	29.7	32.3	0.130	24
16057	Latah	24.6	16.7	0.053	24
16059	Lemhi	60.2	90.8	0.026	24
16061	Lewis	39.6	2.5	0.012	24
16063	Lincoln, ID	25.0	74.7	0.017	20
16065	Madison	18.1	20.3	0.099	27
16067	Minidoka	7.8	36.2	0.063	20
16069	Nez Perce	15.2	4.1	0.065	24
16071	Oneida	7.9	53.3	0.010	NA
16073	Owyhee	16.1	76.3	0.007	NA
16075	Payette	18.6	25.6	0.085	20
16077	Power	12.7	33.5	0.012	35
16079	Shoshone	30.9	74.7	0.033	24
16081	Teton	50.8	33.2	0.018	27
16083	Twin Falls	9.3	51.6	0.089	20
16085	Valley	60.6	88.3	0.022	24
16087	Washington	26.5	37.2	0.015	24
30023	Deer Lodge	15.3	38.6	0.035	24
30029	Flathead	29.1	74.1	0.070	24
30039	Granite	40.9	63.9	0.006	24
30043	Jefferson, MT	32.8	52.8	0.016	32
30047	Lake	34.3	18.2	0.028	24
30049	Lewis & Clark	13.0	48.0	0.041	27
30053	Lincoln, MT	55.4	76.2	0.032	24
3006 1	Mineral	50.1	83.1	0.025	24
30063	Missoula	12.2	43.4	0.084	24
30077	Powell	26.9	48.8	0.009	24
3008 1	Ravalli	42.6	73.3	0.061	24
30089	Sanders	65.8	52.3	0.010	24
30093	Silver Bow	4.8	51.5	0.152	27
16000	Idaho				24
30000	Montana				24

Table 2. ICRBP Idaho and Montana County Data for Variables and Indicators

County	5A Nutrients Dominant product* 1992	5B Nutrients \$ Value Crops to Livestock 1992	6 Information Library Circ/capita 1993	7A Population Total Persons 1990	7B Population % Population - Rural 1990
Ada	2	0.35	6.2	205775	12.1
Adams	NA	NA	18.2	3254	100.0
Bannock	1	1.56	9.2	66026	16.4
Bear Lake	2	0.17	9.6	6084	59.1
Benewah	1	9.05	5.1	7937	100.0
Bingham	1	2.88	10.3	37583	61.1
Blaine	1	1.08	7.3	13552	54.2
Boise	2	0.82	6.0	3509	100.0
Bonner	2	0.27	6.5	26622	80.4
Bonneville	1	2.82	9.3	72207	21.8
Boundary	1	2.23	9.7	8332	100.0
Butte	1	1.62	5.2	2918	100.0
Camas	2	0.67	8.0	727	100.0
Canyon	1	1.17	6.8	90076	48.1
Caribou	1	1.46	14.2	6963	55.3
Cassia	2	0.76	6.1	19532	56.9
Clark	1	4.53	2.4	762	100.0
Clearwater	1	1.79	8.5	8505	66.3
Custer	2	0.13	6.1	4133	100.0
Elmore	d	NA	5.7	21205	34.7
Franklin	2	0.20	5.9	9232	59.8
Fremont	1	3.51	1.2	10937	72.4
Gem	2	0.57	14.1	11844	61.2
Gooding	2	0.28	12.9	11633	75.8
Idaho	2	0.88	9.4	13783	76.6
Jefferson, ID	1	1.32	13.1	16543	83.8
Jerome	2	0.76	8.7	15138	56.9
Kootenai	1	4.71	7.6	69795	48.9
Latah	1	8.11	8.8	30617	39.5
Lemhi	2	0.06	8.2	6899	57.4
Lewis	1	8.32	4.7	3516	100.0
Lincoln, ID	2	0.86	6.4	3308	100.0
Madison	1	7.18	7.5	23674	39.6
Minidoka	1	3.46	10.1	19361	56.4
Nez Perce	1	3.74	4.7	33754	16.8
Oneida	2	0.84	14.1	3492	100.0
Owyhee	2	0.61	3.4	8392	100.0
Payette	1	1.30	5.4	16434	66.0
Power	1	2.30	15.0	7086	46.4
Shoshone	NA	NA	9.0	13931	81.4
Teton	1	2.11	6.7	3439	100.0
Twin Falls	1	1.04	8.9	53580	41.9
Valley	2	0.19	24.1	6109	100.0
Washington	2	0.93	7.1	8550	46.5
Deer Lodge	2	0.49	2.5	10278	26.9
Flathead	1	1.13	8.7	59218	60.3
Granite	2	0.06	1.5	2548	100.0
Jefferson, MT	2	0.31	5.8	7939	100.0
Lake	2	0.49	4.8	21041	84.5
Lewis & Clark	2	0.29	9.1	47495	25.7
Lincoln, MT	2	0.16	4.1	17481	84.9
Mineral	2	0.43	6.7	3315	100.0
Missoula	2	0.26	6.9	78687	24.1
Powell	2	0.08	3.3	6620	49.5
Ravalli	2	0.17	3.7	25010	89.1
Sanders	2	0.45	4.9	8669	100.0
Silver Bow	2	0.02	3.7	33941	7.4
Idaho	1	1.01	7.7	1006749	
Montana	2	0.67	NA	799,065	

Table 2. ICRBP Idaho and Montana County Data for Variables and Indicators

County	8 Labor % Unemplmnt 1989	9A Capital Bank deposits 1989	9B Capital Med. Hsehd. Inc. 1989	11A Beliefs: % Votes Repub. 1992	12A Myth % 1990	12B Myth Religion Family* 1990
Ada	3.4	1462563	30246	44.5	25-49	Latter Day Saints
Adams	11.9	15939	22455	38.9	25-49	Latter Day Saints
Bannock	5.2	314354	26275	37.3	> 50	Latter Day Saints
Bear Lake	6.6	54092	21646	49.7	> 50	Latter Day Saints
Benewah	8.2	49242	21508	33.0	> 50	Catholic
Bingham	6.9	162091	25158	45.9	> 50	Latter Day Saints
Blaine	4.0	88346	31199	28.0	25-49	Latter Day Saints
Boise	7.5	0	26048	39.3	> 50	Christian
Bonner	7.4	149721	21465	28.5	< 25	
Bonneville	4.4	451635	30462	46.8	> 50	Latter Day Saints
Boundary	5.5	56582	21662	39.2	< 25	
Butte	4.8	16966	26292	40.1	> 50	Latter Day Saints
Camas	5.0	2311	24440	41.6	> 50	Latter Day Saints
Canyon	6.0	406214	22979	50.8	25-49	Latter Day Saints
Caribou	4.8	52321	29979	41.7	> 50	Latter Day Saints
Cassia	7.0	190503	23381	53.2	> 50	Latter Day Saints
Clark	6.3	2780	24583	46.1	> 50	Latter Day Saints
Clearwater	9.5	52461	23925	30.9	< 25	
Custer	3.5	24385	24393	38.3	> 50	Latter Day Saints
Elmore	5.0	56149	23750	44.8	25-49	Baptist
Franklin	2.9	47270	25446	52.2	> 50	Latter Day Saints
Fremont	7.6	53187	23498	47.1	> 50	Latter Day Saints
Gem	5.8	81473	21495	42.9	25-49	Latter Day Saints
Gooding	4.5	79158	19823	39.1	25-49	Latter Day Saints
Idaho	6.5	108546	22093	40.2	25-49	Catholic
Jefferson, ID	6.2	65467	24421	48.8	> 50	Latter Day Saints
Jerome	7.2	88657	21209	44.2	25-49	Latter Day Saints
Kootenai	6.3	340313	25593	36.0	< 25	
Latah	3.3	202984	22635	32.8	< 25	
Lemhi	6.3	54441	19697	40.3	> 50	Latter Day Saints
Lewis	5.0	37846	20926	33.4	25-49	Catholic
Lincoln, ID	4.6	18242	21640	38.6	> 50	Latter Day Saints
Madison	4.3	126468	23000	59.1	> 50	Latter Day Saints
Minidoka	6.7	89779	23327	44.5	> 50	Latter Day Saints
Nez Perce	4.2	265260	25219	32.0	< 25	
Oneida	3.5	28736	22582	38.2	> 50	Latter Day Saints
Owyhee	4.3	38981	18595	47.8	25-49	Catholic
Payette	6.2	89359	20367	42.9	25-49	Latter Day Saints
Power	9.0	41545	24771	45.4	> 50	Latter Day Saints
Shoshone	8.1	118043	20980	22.0	25-49	Catholic
Teton	5.1	16165	22799	39.7	> 50	Latter Day Saints
Twin Falls	4.5	405476	23520	44.0	25-49	Latter Day Saints
Valley	6.9	42655	24232	37.3	25-49	Latter Day Saints
Washington	7.1	80019	17917	42.1	25-49	Latter Day Saints
Deer Lodge	8.0	78332	20281	15.8	> 50	Catholic
Flathead	7.8	368029	24145	37.2	25-49	Catholic
Granite	6.1	17476	18278	42.0	25-49	Catholic
Jefferson, MT	3.2	19039	31400	36.5	25-49	Catholic
Lake	7.6	115842	19755	34.1	25-49	Catholic
Lewis & Clark	4.6	283762	26409	35.7	25-49	Catholic
Lincoln, MT	10.3	60682	20898	33.4	< 25	
Mineral	9.1	14983	20938	24.6	25-49	Lutheran
Missoula	5.2	435533	23388	29.8	25-49	Catholic
Powell	5.1	30100	21621	36.0	< 25	
Ravalli	9.5	149683	21113	35.9	< 25	
Sanders	12.1	44683	18616	30.2	< 25	
Silver Bow	7.6	238879	21216	19.2	> 50	Catholic
Idaho				42.0		
Montana				35.1		

Table 2. ICRBP Idaho and Montana County Data for Variables and Indicators

County	13A Health Infant deaths/1000 live births 1988	13B Health #physicians/100,000 pop. 1990	14 Justice # Police officers/1000 Pop. 1992/1990	15 Faith % Adherents 1990
Ada	8.5	178	0.68	42.8
Adams	NA	31	3.69	18.6
Bannock	7.8	144	0.91	63.5
Bear Lake	8.9	82	0.66	89.6
Benewah	6.8	50	1.51	48.0
Bingham	9.0	37	1.04	73.4
Blaine	NA	317	2.29	28.4
Boise	NA	NA	1.42	43.3
Bonner	3.1	109	1.84	20.3
Bonneville	10.3	174	1.08	77.8
Boundary	8.7	96	2.04	34.7
Butte	NA	NA	1.37	50.7
Camas	NA	NA	6.88	16.9
Canyon	12.3	114	0.39	43.7
Caribou	9.5	72	1.01	84.8
Cassia	14.8	87	1.54	76.1
Clark	NA	NA	2.62	71.3
Clearwater	NA	47	2.23	34.2
Custer	NA	48	1.45	42.6
Elmore	6.2	85	1.27	39.0
Franklin	17.3	43	0.65	90.6
Fremont	5.6	46	1.74	70.8
Gem	26.0	51	0.84	36.1
Gooding	8.3	60	0.60	48.2
Idaho	NA	58	1.16	33.8
Jefferson, ID	11.0	24	0.60	73.5
Jerome	4.3	53	0.53	54.7
Kootenai	11.5	145	0.86	32.0
Latah	2.4	121	0.49	32.4
Lemhi	20.4	58	NA	40.3
Lewis	NA	57	1.99	49.1
Lincoln, ID	22.2	30	NA	54.9
Madison	2.1	68	0.80	91.7
Minidoka	3.0	41	0.41	64.3
Nez Perce	7.2	222	0.50	36.1
Oneida	14.9	57	1.43	84.5
Owyhee	15.4	NA	1.07	39.5
Payette	8.6	43	0.67	43.1
Power	24.8	28	0.99	59.5
Shoshone	6.4	65	2.08	38.6
Teton	NA	29	1.16	72.5
Twin Falls	9.0	183	0.45	50.4
Valley	11.4	180	1.64	29.3
Washington	7.6	23	1.99	54.1
Deer Lodge	6.4	97	1.75	62.3
Flathead	11.5	193	0.62	37.4
Granite	22.7	78	1.96	25.3
Jefferson, MT	9.9	101	1.01	22.7
Lake	18.5	95	0.57	34.6
Lewis & Clark	7.3	204	0.53	42.0
Lincoln, MT	4.0	80	1.14	35.5
Mineral	26.3	90	1.81	15.4
Missoula	5.3	244	0.58	30.5
Powell	29.4	121	0.76	22.3
Ravalli	17.4	80	0.64	30.7
Sanders	NA	58	0.81	27.5
Silver Bow	2.0	197	1.12	48.3
Idaho	8.8		125	50.4
Montana	8.7		158	42.7

Table 2. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County Data for Variables and Indicators

County	16 Commerce Earnings - all indus. 1988	17 Education % H.S. grads or higher 1990	19A Government % Pop > 18 Voting 1992	19B Government \$ gov't. expenditures/capita 1986-7/1990
Ada	2463006	87.2	74.5	943.26
Adams	25464	75.3	83.0	1382.91
Bannock	525182	82.9	72.3	1213.16
Bear Lake	28616	79.8	75.3	1758.71
Benewah	80056	74.2	66.3	1310.32
Bingham	286268	76.8	69.2	1152.12
Blaine	153224	91.7	80.2	1121.61
Boise	19015	80.0	93.1	1025.93
Bonner	179703	78.2	72.2	935.32
Bonneville	644592	84.0	75.3	999.90
Boundary	51618	74.6	66.9	1068.17
Butte	258660	80.4	78.9	1507.88
Camas	8374	81.8	95.5	1925.72
Canyon	672432	71.0	60.9	890.36
Caribou	90179	84.3	74.6	1981.90
Cassia	163006	72.7	61.7	1049.56
Clark	13217	74.7	79.7	2099.74
Clearwater	77755	73.4	58.6	1375.66
Custer	44316	81.7	75.6	1330.75
Elmore	186063	83.1	47.5	1023.34
Franklin	38554	82.2	73.1	1115.68
Fremont	61576	75.6	72.6	978.33
Gem	68005	70.1	67.5	1063.83
Gooding	75162	72.5	68.9	1065.93
Idaho	102251	75.1	67.3	1168.11
Jefferson, ID	77817	77.6	72.3	1227.11
Jerome	92830	72.4	65.3	812.52
Kootenai	489005	81.1	71.5	1449.96
Latah	229385	86.6	69.2	849.20
Lemhi	47198	73.9	75.5	1101.61
Lewis	39096	78.8	70.9	1592.72
Lincoln, ID	29187	79.8	73.5	1148.73
Madison	151053	87.6	48.4	899.72
Minidoka	146591	68.5	59.2	1265.43
Nez Perce	412614	79.9	66.8	1205.78
Oneida	17188	78.7	84.6	1374.57
Owyhee	56434	62.0	54.5	1155.86
Payene	101938	67.4	58.9	803.21
Power	110758	72.1	65.2	1764.04
Shoshone	114432	70.1	63.4	1758.67
Teton	18871	80.2	84.0	1250.36
Twin Falls	465495	75.4	62.4	1379.25
Valley	56192	83.8	94.6	229.170
Washington	49601	72.7	70.2	1192.98
Deer Lodge	55717	74.5	67.0	1245.38
Flathead	520340	82.1	74.0	1354.32
Granite	17269	75.9	70.1	2825.75
Jefferson, MT	52343	81.3	75.3	1171.43
Lake	119742	77.3	71.9	1050.33
Lewis & Clark	509103	87.4	76.7	1278.03
Lincoln, MT	131775	73.3	68.1	1355.76
Mineral	20777	74.0	69.1	1598.79
Missoula	754552	85.4	74.3	1152.67
Powell	45577	76.5	57.4	1102.72
Ravalli	121077	79.1	82.8	855.66
Sanders	45636	75.2	72.9	1303.50
Silver Bow	296092	78.3	71.3	1428.95
Idaho			79.7	
Montana			81.0	

Table 2. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County Data for Variables and Indicators

County	20 A Sustenance % employed - for, fish, ag. min 1990	20B Sustenance Irrig. Land (acres) 1992	21A A g e Median Age 1990	21B Age Dependency Ratio' 1990
Ada	2.5	73794	30.9	0.39
Adams	19.5	22417	36.2	0.43
Bannock	3.1'	39574	29.5	0.43
Bear Lake	20.5	42617	30.9	0.53
Benewah	8.6	1293	34.6	0.43
Bingham	11.7	307812	27.6	0.49
Blaine	7.7	64283	33.3	0.33
Boise	11.8	2954	35.6	0.40
Bonner	5.3	2617	36.3	0.43
Bonneville	3.8	153314	28.7	0.44
Boundary	11.5	1399	32.8	0.45
Butte	18.8	56134	33.3	0.48
Camas	22.9	7486	36.7	0.44
Canyon	9.7	215279	31.6	0.45
Caribou	19.7	70201	30.3	0.49
Cassia	22.0	252012	29.2	0.49
Clark	34.1	48428	32.9	0.43
Clearwater	8.7	316	37.5	0.40
Custer	22.9	58436	34.7	0.43
Elmore	9.8	75108	27.7	0.39
Franklin	18.2	50901	27.5	0.54
Fremont	20.5	130845	28.1	0.49
Gem	15.5	38677	36.0	0.46
Gooding	28.7	115398	34.7	0.48
Idaho	16.1	2418	36.5	0.43
Jefferson, ID	14.8	183956	26.4	0.50
Jerome	22.0	150444	32.6	0.46
Kootenai	4.6	18723	35.0	0.41
Latah	6.9	2060	27.4	0.33
Lemhi	17.3	70300	38.1	0.44'
Lewis	15.5	337	36.6	0.46
Lincoln, ID	19.6	59694	33.9	0.44
Madison	11.5	127851	20.0	0.38
Minidoka	17.0	177516	30.4	0.48
Nez Perce	5.0	2277	35.6	0.41
Oneida	21.2	28906	31.4	0.55
Owyhee	39.6	100449	30.4	0.46
Payette	10.1	56592	34.1	0.47
Power	24.5	102892	29.8	0.46,
Shoshone	28.2	217	37.3	0.43
Teton	24.1	51358	30.2	0.45
Twin Falls	12.4	231351	33.3	0.45
Valley	7.6	21143	37.0	0.41
Washington	19.2	40227	37.3	0.48
Deer Lodge	5.9	20233	38.0	0.43
Flathead	4.2	34425	35.1	0.41
Granite	19.9	39996	35.6	0.44
Jefferson, MT	8.5	31333	35.3	0.40
Lake	9.9	92087	34.4	0.46
Lewis & Clark	3.9	39798	34.1	0.40
Lincoln, MT	8.9	4233	34.6	0.42
Mineral	10.0	1342	35.1	0.42
Missoula	4.2	22161	31.7	0.36
Powell	13.1	55924	36.1	0.37
Ravalli	9.3	65717	37.6	0.44
Sanders	13.4	18856	36.9	0.45
Silver Bow	7.5	8101	35.9	0.42

Table 2. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County Data for Variables and Indicators

County	22A Gender % women in labor force	22B Gender Ratio female:male	23 Class % Prof. workers	24 Caste % Ethnic groups in pop.	25 Clan % single parent households
	1990	1990	1990	1990	1990
Ada	38.1	1.03	19.3	5.7	19.8
Adams	18.5	0.94	10.6	3.1	17.1
Bannock	28.4	1.01	24.5	10.1	18.5
Bear Lake	17.0	1.03	17.2	4.0	7.1
Benewah	19.8	0.97	17.3	9.9	18.2
Bingham	27.4	0.98	21.1	22.8	16.6
Blaine	41.6	0.93	17.7	4.9	21.8
Boise	22.5	0.84	17.7	3.0	13.6
Bonner	23.3	1.80	17.4	3.6	19.9
Bonneville	30.0	0.99	27.6	8.5	15.3
Boundary	18.0	0.98	14.6	8.7	17.8
Butte	23.7	0.98	18.0	5.6	1 5 . 4
Camas	25.2	0.88	11.0	1.4	9.4
Canyon	30.0	1.03	18.4	23.9	19.9
Caribou	15.5	1.01	13.1	3.6	6.4
Cassia	24.2	1.01	17.1	23.3	13.6
Clark	26.8	0.79	8.9	20.5	12.0
Clearwater	22.4	0.92	16.1	5.1	28.2
Custer	29.3	0.94	10.7	3.7	15.7
Elmore	29.3	0.90	17.9	17.9	17.0
Franklin	21.2	0.99	17.5	4.2	7.9
Fremont	29.1	0.98	18.2	11.7	12.8
Gem	22.3	1.08	16.0	9.6	16.5
Gooding	22.6	0.99	17.0	14.1	14.2
Idaho	22.7	0.97	14.4	3.7	14.0
Jefferson, ID	24.4	0.98	21.7	11.6	11.2
Jerome	25.1	1.01	19.8	11.5	17.0
Kootenai	27.9	1.04	19.4	3.5	18.7
Latah	26.9	0.95	43.2	5.1	15.4
Lemhi	23.8	1.02	15.2	3.9	16.9
Lewis	18.2	0.97	11.6	7.3	14.0
Lincoln, ID	27.5	0.95	17.1	6.6	8.2
Madison	17.7	1.13	34.3	6.9	8.8
Minidoka	27.5	1.00	13.0	33.0	14.7
Nez Perce	28.4	1.04	21.0	7.5	21.7
Oneida	19.8	1.01	17.8	2.7	6.1
Owyhee	26.7	0.92	14.4	3 5 . 9	17.3
Payene	27.3	1.00	13.6	15.4	19.8'
Power	25.1	1.02	17.9	25.9	17.8
Shoshone	19.7	1.01	22.9	5.0	23.7
Teton	26.0	0.90	15.1	9.7	10.9
Twin Falls	28.8	1.04	17.5	10.2	19.0
Valley	24.9	0.96	13.0	3.8	20.5
Washington	21.2	1.06	15.1	20.6	15.9
Deer Lodge	22.8	1.02	28.8	4.4	16.6
Flathead	26.2	1.01	18.0	3.5	19.4
Granite	22.2	0.92	13.8	1.4	17.5
Jefferson, MT	35.2	0.97	18.9	3.5	13.2
Lake	24.9	1.01	17.9	23.3	26.4
Lewis & Clark	38.5	1.05	23.5	4.4	23.9
Lincoln, MT	20.4	1.02	16.0	3.7	19.6
Mineral	26.5	1.00	18.4	4.5	18.8
Missoula	30.8	1.03	27.4	5.1	25.4
Powell	27.7	0.71	20.0	7.3	19.6
Ravalli	23.6	1.03	20.2	2.8	17.1
Sanders	17.2	0.98	22.8	8.2	17.1
Silver Bow	25.7	1.04	29.0	4.8	20.1

Table 2. ICRBP Idaho and Montana County Data for Variables and Indicators

County	26 Formal Norms Serious crimes/100,000 1991	27 Informal Norms # Divorces/1000 Pop 1987/1990	28 Wealth % below poverty level 1990	29 Power # elected officials/1000 pop 1994/1990	31 Knowledge %pop > 25 college grad 1990
Ada	4567	7.2	8.8	0.04	24.9
Adams	864	4.6	10.9	2.77	10.8
Bannock	4795	3.7	13.8	0.14	19.8
Bear Lake	1609	3.6	14.3	1.48	11.4
Benewah	2945	5.4	16.3	1.13	8.8
Bingham	2447	3.6	15.6	0.24	13.1
Blaine	4748	7.5	7.7	0.66	33.0
Boise	2513	8.8	13.2	2.56	14.4
Bonner	4794	5.2	15.6	0.34	15.2
Bonneville	4808	7.1	9.9	0.12	23.2
Boundary	1721	3.6	14.0	1.08	13.3
Butte	498	1.7	13.5	3.08	13.5
Camas	1600	9.6	11.8	12.38	15.0
Canyon	6163	6.2	15.1	0.10	12.0
Caribou	1865	3.4	7.1	1.29	11.8
Cassia	5919	5.4	14.5	0.46	14.0
Clark	2290	2.6	9.3	11.81	14.1
Clearwater	2393	6.5	12.2	1.06	11.4
Custer	1970	3.1	14.8	2.18	15.6
Elmore	2500	8.6	12.7	0.42	15.8
Franklin	2100	3.0	10.6	0.97	14.3
Fremont	1958	2.8	14.9	0.82	11.1
Gem	2054	5.7	16.9	0.76	8.6
Gooding	1674	5.8	17.0	0.77	13.3
Idaho	1469	3.8	13.8	0.65	12.7
Jefferson, ID	2378	4.7	14.3	0.54	11.8
Jerome	3047	4.8	15.9	0.59	11.0
Kootenai	5209	6.9	12.1	0.13	16.0
Latah	2826	5.4	18.5	0.29	35.8
Lemhi	1285	7.2	20.2	1.30	11.8
Lewis	2012	5.4	15.6	2.56	13.2
Lincoln, ID	NA	4.8	13.6	2.72	11.9
Madison	3512	2.0	28.6	0.38	19.2
Minidoka	3719	5.7	13.3	0.46	9.0
Nez Perce	3809	6.4	12.0	0.27	15.6
Oneida	2109	2.6	14.1	2.58	12.9
Owyhee	2656	1.9	24.7	1.07	8.7
Payette	3573	7.7	17.8	0.55	9.8
Power	4636	3.0	13.2	1.27	11.1
Shoshone	4654	5.0	16.2	0.65	9.0
Teton	902	2.9	18.1	2.62	17.4
Twin Falls	4986	6.3	13.6	0.17	13.3
Valley	5552	9.8	12.7	1.47	19.4
Washington	2414	4.3	19.6	1.05	10.3
Deer Lodge	1607	4.2	18.6	0.88	11.5
Flathead	2964	6.1	14.5	0.20	17.2
Granite	2290	3.9	21.8	5.49	16.9
Jefferson, MT	NA	4.7	7.4	1.51	20.8
Lake	3088	4.9	21.4	0.52	15.7
Lewis & Clark	2742	6.2	11.8	0.21	27.8
Lincoln, MT	3474	6.6	14.1	0.69	12.5
Mineral	5370	3.9	17.6	2.71	13.1
Missoula	887	6.5	17.0	0.15	27.7
Powell	1330	4.7	16.9	1.96	16.6
Ravalli	1028	5.3	16.3	0.48	18.2
Sanders	1266	3.1	19.6	1.38	14.8
Silver Bow	3733	1.7	14.7	0.74	17.9
Idaho					17.7
Montana					19.8

Table 2. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County Data for Variables and Indicators

County	32 Territory % owner occupied housing 1990	33 Physiological Cycles % Elderly Population' 1990	34A Individual Cycles % Full time workers* 1990	34B Individual Cycles % Seasonal workers' 1990
Ada	69.1	7.1	64.4	16.2
Adams	75.3	9.6	45.1	26.9
Bannock	68.7	6.7	56.1	20.6
Bear Lake	83.2	11.4	55.6	27.1
Benewah	76.4	9.3	53.5	24.1
Bingham	76.7	6.9	55.6	25.5
Blaine	64.2	4.1	66.2	13.2
Boise	79.8	5.5	55.4	22.5
Bonner	75.8	9.4	56.2	21.5
Bonneville	71.5	5.7	62.1	18.6
Boundary	78.3	8.4	56.7	24.0
Bune	74.6	8.7	59.7	25.4
Camas	75.6	9.4	46.8	33.5
Canyon	68.7	9.7	58.8	20.7
Caribou	80.2	7.8	57.6	21.5
Cassia	71.4	8.0	56.2	23.4
Clark	62.8	7.0	60.0	24.5
Clearwater	74.3	10.4	52.4	25.1
Custer	71.0	7.4	61.7	19.2
Elmore	54.4	4.9	65.6	17.5
Franklin	80.2	10.0	5 1 . 9	23.7
Fremont	80.2	8.0	55.7	24.6
Gem	77.7	12.2	58.4	2 2 . 1
Gooding	69.9	12.4	54.5	21.6
Idaho	75.5	10.6	54.1	24.7
Jefferson, ID	80.5	6.7	56.1	24.2
Jerome	70.4	9.9	55.6	23.7
Kootenai	71.3	9.0	57.7	19.8
Latah	56.4	7.1	43.3	32.2
Lemhi	73.6	11.7	56.5	20.5
Lewis	71.2	11.7	51.6	27.4
Lincoln, ID	72.0	9.7	58.4	20.8
Madison	59.9	4.0	34.4	37.5
Minidoka	74.5	8.1	59.1	20.1
Nez Perce	66.2	11.5	59.4	18.6
Oneida	81.8	11.5	56.9	21.8
Owyhee	68.4	8.8	56.1	25.2
Payette	70.9	11.6	58.0	22.9
Power	73.8	7.1	56.5	24.2
Shoshone	70.9	11.5	55.2	23.0
Teton	74.0	7.6	52.4	24.3
Twin Falls	67.8	10.7	60.3	18.7
Valley	69.3	7.7	5 2 . 1	23.3
Washington	72.0	13.6	50.0	29.4
Deer Lodge	72.9	14.0	55.3	26.0
Flathead	70.6	8.9	66.8	21.0
Granite	75.4	11.5	51.8	26.5
Jefferson, MT	80.7	6.9	64.3	19.0
Lake	70.2	11.1	52.4	26.9
Lewis & Clark	68.5	7.7	63.0	18.2
Lincoln, MT	73.3	8.5	53.0	23.7
Mineral	72.9	8.0	54.0	24.0
Missoula	60.1	7.2	54.0	22.7
Powell	71.8	10.0	56.1	24.0
Ravalli	75.1	11.2	53.8	24.9
Sanders	75.1	10.5	50.4	30.7
Silver Bow	70.8	12.1	55.4	22.2

Table 2. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County Data for Variables and Indicators

● Expbnation Notes for Variables

-
- 4 Codes for dominant materials
- 20 Food and Kindred Products
 - 24 Lumber and Wood Products
 - 27 Printing and Publishing
 - 28 Chemicals and Allied Products
 - 32 Stone, Clay and Glass Products
 - 34 Fabricated Metal Products
 - 35 industrial Machinery and Equipment
 - 38 Instruments and Related Products

5A Codes for dominant products

- 1 Crops
- 2 Livestock

128 Religion family

Religious family groupings are defined in the publication
"Churches and Church Membership in the U.S. 1990"

2 1B Dependency Ratio

The ratio of population < 18 yrs and
> 64 yrs to population 18-64 yrs.

33 Elderly Population

The elderly population is defined as the population
that is 70 years of age or older.

34A Full Time Workers

Defined as all male and female workers who
work 35+ hrs/week for 40+ wks/year.

348 Seasonal workers

Defined as all male and female workers who
work less than 27 weeks/year.

county	1 Energy % Occupied Housing Heating with Wood 1990
Silver Bow	4.8
Ada	5.5
Bannock	7.5
Minidoka	7.8
Oneida	7.9
Cassia	8.1
Franklin	8.1
Bonneville	8.5
Twin Falls	9.3
Jerome	10.9
Caribou	11.0
Missoula	12.2
Elmore	12.5
Power	12.7
Gooding	12.8
Canyon	13.0
Lewis & Clark	13.0
N e z Perce	15.2
Deer Lodge	15.3
Bingham	15.6
Blaine	16.0
Owyhee	16.1
Madison	18.1
Payette	18.6
Bear Lake	24.0
Latah	24.6
Lincoln, ID	25.0
Washington	26.5
Powell	26.9
Jefferson, ID	27.6
Flathead	29.1
Kootenai	29.7
Butte	30.8
Shoshone	30.9
Gem	31.4
Clark	32.1
Jefferson, MT	32.8
Lake	34.3
Fremont	39.5
Lewis	39.6
Granite	40.9
Ravalli	42.6
Camas	48.2
Custer	48.0
Mineral	50.1
Teton	50.8
Lincoln, MT	55.4
Benewah	55.7
Sanders	55.8
Boundary	57.2
Bonner	57.4
Clearwater	58.6
Adams	59.2
Idaho	59.7
Lemhi	60.2
Valley	60.6
Boise	62.3

Table 3. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County Data Ranked For Each Indicator.

County	2A Land % land in federal ownership 1992	County	2B Land Population per acre nonfederal land 1990/1992
Lewis	2.5	Clark	0.002
Nez Perce	4.1	Camas	0.003
Canyon	6.0	Granite	0.006
Benewah	10.9	Owyhee	0.007
Latah	16.7	Powell	0.009
Lake	18.2	Oneida	0.010
Madison	20.3	Caribou	0.010
Payette	25.6	Sanders	0.010
Jerome	26.2	Adams	0.011
Bingham	29.4	Lewis	0.012
Kootenai	32.3	Power	0.012
Franklin	32.8	Boise	0.012
Bannock	33.0	Clearwater	0.015
Teton	33.2	Washington	0.015
Power	33.5	Butte	0.015
Minidoka	36.2	Idaho	0.015
Washington	37.2	Jefferson, MT	0.016
Gem	38.0	Lincoln, ID	0.017
Deer Lodge	38.6	Bear Lake	0.018
Caribou	39.5	Benewah	0.018
Missoula	43.4	Teton	0.018
Bonner	44.9	Custer	0.019
Ada	45.5	Valley	0.022
Bear Lake	45.6	Fremont	0.023
Lewis & Clark	48.0	Mineral	0.025
Jefferson, ID	48.8	Lemhi	0.026
Powell	48.8	Boundary	0.026
Silver Bow	51.5	Cassia	0.027
Twin Falls	51.6	Lake	0.028
Sanders	52.3	Lincoln, MT	0 . 0 3 2
Gooding	52.5	Franklin	0.032
Jefferson, MT	52.8	Shoshone	0.033
Oneida	53.3	Deer Lodge	0.035
Bonneville	53.5	Blaine	0.036
Cassia	56.4	Elmore	0.040
Clearwater	59 . 1	Bingham	0.040
Fremont	60.0	Lewis & Clark	0.041
Boundary	60.9	Bonner	0.044
Granite	63.9	Jefferson, ID	0.046
Camas	64.8	Gooding	0.053
Adams	65.2	Jerome	0.053
Clark	66.1	Latah	0.053
Elmore	72.8	Gem	0.053
Ravalli	73.3	Ravalli	0.061
Flathead	74.1	Minidoka	0.063
Shoshone	74.7	Nez Perce	0.065
Lincoln, ID	74.7	Flathead	0.070
Lincoln, MT	76.2	Missoula	0.084
Owyhee	76.3	Payette	0.085
Boise	76.8	Twin Falls	0.089
Blaine	77 . 5	Madison	0.099
Mineral	83.1	Kootenai	0.130
Idaho	83.2	Bonneville	0.132
Butte	86.2	Bannock	0.138
Valley	88.3	Silver Bow	0.152
Lemhi	90.8	Canyon	0.257
Custer	93.1	Ada	0.560

Table 3. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County Data Ranked For Each Indicator.

County	5B Nutrients \$ Value Crops to Livestock 1992	County	6 Information Library Circ/capita 1993
Silver Bow	0.02	Fremont	1.2
Granite	0.06	Granite	1.5
Lemhi	0.06	Clark	2.4
Powell	0.08	Deer Lodge	2.5
Custer	0.13	Powell	3.3
Lincoln, MT	0.16	Owyhee	3.4
Bear Lake	0.17	Silver Bow	3.7
Ravalli	0.17	Ravalli	3.7
Valley	0.19	Lincoln, MT	4.1
Franklin	0.20	Lewis	4.7
Missoula	0.26	Nez Perce	4.7
Bonner	0.27	Lake	4.8
Gooding	0.28	Sanders	4.9
Lewis & Clark	0.29	Benewah	5.1
Jefferson, MT	0.31	Butte	5.2
Ada	0.35	Payette	5.4
Mineral	0.43	Elmore	5.7
Sanders	8.45	Jefferson, MT	5 . 8
Deer Lodge	0.49	Franklin	5.9
Lake	0.49	Boise	6.0
Gem	0.57	Cassia	6.1
Owyhee	0.61	Custer	6.1
Camas	0.67	Ada	6.2
Jerome	0.76	Lincoln, ID	6.4
Cassia	0.76	Bonner	6.5
Boise	0.82	Mineral	6.7
Oneida	0.84	Teton	6.7
Lincoln, ID	0.86	Canyon	6.8
Idaho	0.88	Missoula	6.9
Washington	0.93	Washington	7.1
Twin Falls	1.04	Blaine	7.3
Blaine	1.08	Madison	7.5
Flathead	1.13	Kootenai	7.6
Canyon	1.17	Camas	8.0
Payette	1.30	Lemhi	8.2
Jefferson, ID	1.32	Clearwater	8.5
Caribou	1.46	Flathead	8.7
Bannock	1.56	Jerome	8.7
Butte	1.62	Latah	8.8
Clearwater	1.79	Twin Falls	8.9
Teton	2.11	Shoshone	9.0
Boundary	2.23	Lewis & Clark	9.1
Power	2.30	Bannock	9.2
Bonneville	2.82	Bonneville	9.3
Bingham	2.88	Idaho	9.4
Minidoka	3.46	Bear Lake	9.6
Fremont	3.51	Boundary	9.7
Nez Perce	3.74	Minidoka	10.1
Clark	4.53	Bingham	10.3
Kootenai	4.71	Gooding	12.9
Madison	7.18	Jefferson, ID	13.1
Latah	8.11	Oneida	14.1
Lewis	8.32	Gem	14.1
Benewah	9.05	Caribou	14.2
Adams	NA	Power	15.0
Shoshone	NA	Adams	18.2
Elmore	NA	Valley	24.1
Idaho	1.01	Idaho	7.7
Montana	0.67	Montana	NA

Table 3. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County Data Ranked For Each Indicator.

County	7A Population Total Persons 1990	County	7B Population % Pop. Rural 1990	County	8 Labor % Unemplmnt 1989
Camas	727	Silver Bow	7.4	Franklin	2.9
Clark	762	Ad8	12.1	Jefferson, MT	3.2
Granite	2548	Bannock	16.4	Latah	3.3
Butte	2918	Nez Perce	16.8	Ada	3.4
Adams	3254	Bonneville	21.8	Oneida	3.5
Lincoln, ID	3308	Missoula	24.1	'Custer	3.5
Mineral	3315	Lewis & Clark	25.7	Blaine	4.0
Teton	3439	Deer Lodge	26.9	Nez Perce	4.2
Oneida	3492	Elmore	34.7	Owyhee	4.3
Boise	3509	Latah	39.5	Madison	4.3
Lewis	3516	Madison	39.6	Bonneville	4.4
Custer	4133	Twin Falls	41.9	Gooding	4.5
Bear Lake	6084	Power	46.4	Twin Falls	4.5
Valley	6109	Washington	46.5	Lincoln, ID	4.6
Powell	6620	Canyon	48.1	Lewis & Clark	4.6
Lemhi	6899	Kootenai	48.9	Butte	4.8
Caribou	6963	Powell	49.5	Caribou	4 . 8
Power	7086	Blaine	54.2	Elmore	5.0
Benewah	7937	Caribou	55.3	Lewis	5.0
Jefferson, MT	7939	Minidoka	56.4	Camas	5.0
Boundary	8332	Jerome	56.9	. Teton	5.1
Owyhee	8392	Cassia	56.9	Powell	5 . 1
Clearwater	8505	Lemhi	57.4	Bannock	5.2
Washington	8550	Bear Lake	59.1	Missoula	5.2
Senders	8669	Franklin	59.8	Boundary	5.5
Franklin	9232	Flathead	60.3	Gem	5.8
Deer Lodge	10278	Bingham	61.1	Canyon	6.0
Fremont	10937	Gem	61.2	Granite	6.1
Gooding	11633	Payette	66.0	Payette	6.2
Gem	11844	Clearwater	66.3	Jefferson, ID	6.2
Blaine	13552	Fremont	72.4	Kootenai	6.3
Idaho	13783	Gooding	75.8	Clark	6.3
Shoshone	13931	Idaho	76.6	Lemhi	6.3
Jerome	15138	Bonner	80.4	Idaho	6.5
Payette	16434	Shoshone	81.4	Bear Lake	6.6
Jefferson, ID	16543	Jefferson, ID	83.8	Minidoka	6.7
Lincoln, MT	17481	Lake	84.5	Valley	6.9
Minidoka	19361	Lincoln, MT	84.9	Bingham	6.9
Cassia	19532	Ravalli	89.1	Cassia	7.0
Lake	21041	Adams	100.0	Washington	7.1
Elmore	21205	Benewah	100.0	Jerome	7.2
Madison	23674	Boise	100.0	Bonner	7.4
Ravalli	25010	Boundary	100.0	Boise	7.5
Bonner	26622	Bune	100.0	Silver Bow	7.6
Latah	30617	Camas	100.0	Lake	7.6
Nez Perce	33754	Clark	100.0	Fremont	7.6
Silver Bow	33941	Custer	'100.0	Flathead	7.8
Bingham	37583	Granite	100.0	Deer Lodge	8.0
Lewis & Clark	47495	Jefferson, MT	100.0	Shoshone	8 . 1
Twin Falls	53580	Lewis	100.0	Benewah	8.2
Flathead	59218	Lincoln, ID	100.0	Power	9.0
Bannock	66026	Mineral	100.0	Mineral	9.1
Kootenai	69795	Oneida	100.0	Ravalli	9.5
Bonneville	72207	Owyhee	100.0	Clearwater	9.5
Missoula	78687	Sanders	100.0	Lincoln, MT	10.3
Canyon	90076	Teton	100.0	Adams	11.9
Ada	205775	Valley	100.0	Senders	12.1
Idaho	1006749	Idaho			
Montana	799.065	Montana			

Table 3. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County Data Ranked For Each Indicator.

County	9A Capital Bank deposits (\$) 1989	County	9B Capital Median Household Income (\$) 1990
Boise	0	Washington	17917
Camas	2311	Granite	18278
Clark	2780	Owyhee	18595
Mineral	14963	Sanders	18616
Adams	15939	Lemhi	19697
Teton	16165	Lake	19755
Butte	16966	Gooding	19823
Granite	17476	Deer Lodge	20281
Lincoln, ID	18242	Payette	20367
Jefferson, MT	19039	Lincoln, MT	20898
Custer	24385	Lewis	20926
Oneida	28736	Mineral	29936
Powell	30100	Shoshone	20980
Lewis	37846	Ravalli	21113
Owyhee	38981	Jerome	21209
Power	41545	Silver Bow	21216
Valley	42655	Bonner	21465
Sanders	44683	Gem	21495
Franklin	47270	Benewah	21508
Benewah	49242	Powell	21621
Caribou	52321	Lincoln, ID	21640
Clearwater	52461	Bear Lake	21646
Fremont	53187	Boundary	21662
Bear Lake	54092	Idaho	22093
Lemhi	54441	Adams	22455
Elmore	56149	Oneida	22582
Boundary	56582	Latah	22635
Lincoln, MT	60682	Teton	22799
Jefferson, ID	66467	Canyon	22979
Deer Lodge	78332	Madison	23000
Gooding	79158	Minidoka	23327
Washington	80019	Cassia	23381
Gem	81473	Missoula	23388
Blaine	86346	Fremont	23498
Jerome	88657	Twin Falls	23520
Payette	89359	Elmore	23756
Minidoka	89779	Clearwater	23925
Idaho	108546	Flathead	24145
Lake	115842	Valley	24232
Shoshone	118643	Custer	24393
Madison	126466	Jefferson, ID	24421
Ravalli	149683	Camas	24446
Bonner	149721	Clark	24583
Bingham	162091	Power	24771
Cassia	190503	Bingham	25158
Latah	202984	Nez Perce	25219
Silver Bow	238879	Franklin	25446
Nez Perce	265260	Kootenai	25593
Lewis & Clark	283762	Boise	26048
Bannock	314354	Bannock	26275
Kootenai	340313	Butte	26292
Flathead	366629	Lewis & Clark	26409
Twin Falls	465476	Caribou	29979
Canyon	406214	Ada	30246
Missoula	435533	Bonneville	30462
Bonneville	451635	Blaine	31199
Ada	1462563	Jefferson, MT	31400

Table 3. ICRBP Idaho and Montana County Data Ranked For Each Indicator.

County	11 Beliefs % Votes Republican 1992	County	13A Health Infant deaths/1000 live births 1988	13B Health #Physicians/100,000 1990	
Deer Lodge	15.8	Silver Bow	2.0	Washington	23
Silver Bow	19.2	Madison	2.1	Jefferson, ID	24
Shoshone	22.0	Latah	2.4	Power	28
Mineral	24.6	Minidoka	3.0	Teton	29
Blaine	28.0	Bonner	3.1	Lincoln, ID	30
Bonner	28.5	Lincoln, MT	4.0	Adams	31
Missoula	29.8	Jerome	4.3	Bingham	37
Sanders	30.2	Missoula	5.3	Minidoka	41
Clearwater	30.9	Fremont	5.6	Franklin	43
Nez Perce	32.0	Elmore	6.2	Payette	43
Latah	32.8	Deer Lodge	6.4	Fremont	46
Benewah	33.0	Shoshone	6.4	Clearwater	47
Lincoln, MT	33.4	Benewah	6.8	Custer	48
Lewis	33.4	Nez Perce	7.2	Benewah	50
Lake	34.1	Lewis & Clark	7.3	Gem	51
Lewis & Clark	35.7	Washington	7.6	Jerome	53
Ravalli	35.9	Bannock	7.8	Lewis	57
Powell	36.0	Gooding	8.3	Oneida	57
Kootenai	36.0	Ada	8.5	Idaho	58
Jefferson, MT	36.5	Payette	8.6	Lemhi	58
Flathead	37.2	Boundary	8.7	Sanders	58
Valley	37.3	Bear Lake	8.9	Gooding	60
Bannock	37.3	Bingham	9.0	Shoshone	65
Oneida	38.2	Twin Falls	9.0	Madison	68
Custer	38.3	Caribou	9.5	Caribou	72
Lincoln, ID	38.6	Jefferson, MT	9.9	Granite	78
Adams	38.9	Bonneville	10.3	Lincoln, MT	80
Gooding	39.1	Jefferson, ID	11.0	Ravalli	80
Boundary	39.2	Valley	11.4	Bear Lake	82
Boise	39.3	Flathead	11.5	Elmore	85
Teton	39.7	Kootenai	11.5	Cassia	87
Butte	40.1	Canyon	12.3	Mineral	90
Idaho	40.2	Cassia	14.8	Lake	95
Lemhi	40.3	Oneida	14.9	Boundary	96
Camas	41.6	Owyhee	15.4	Deer Lodge	97
Caribou	41.7	Franklin	17.3	Jefferson, MT	101
Granite	42.0	Ravalli	17.4	Bonner	109
Washington	42.1	Lake	18.5	Canyon	114
Gem	42.9	Lemhi	20.4	Latah	121
Payette	42.9	Lincoln, ID	22.2	Powell	121
Twin Falls	44.0	Granite	22.7	Bannock	144
Jerome	44.2	Power	24.8	Kootenai	145
Ada	44.5	Gem	26.0	Bonneville	174
Minidoka	44.5	Mineral	26.3	Ada	178
Elmore	44.8	Powell	29.4	Valley	180
Power	45.4	Adams	NA	Twin Falls	183
Bingham	45.9	Blaine	NA	Flathead	193
Clark	46.1	Boise	NA	Silver Bow	197
Bonneville	46.8	Butte	NA	Lewis & Clark	204
Fremont	47.1	Camas	NA	Nez Perce	222
Owyhee	47.8	Clark	NA	Missoula	244
Jefferson, ID	48.8	Clearwater	NA	Blaine	317
Bear Lake	49.7	Custer	NA	Boise	NA
Canyon	50.8	Idaho	NA	Butte	NA
Franklin	52.2	Lewis	NA	Camas	NA
Cassia	53.2	Sanders	NA	Clark	NA
Madison	59.1	Teton	NA	Owyhee	NA
Idaho	42.0	Idaho	8.8	Idaho	125
Montana	35.1	Montana	8.7	Montana	158

Table 3. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County **Data** Ranked For Each Indicator.

County	1 4 J u s t i c e # Police officers-arrest powers 1992/1 990	County	15 Faith % Adherents 1990
Canyon	0.39	Mineral	15.4
Minidoka	0.41	Camas	16.9
Twin Falls	0.45	Adams	18.6
Latah	0.49	Bonner	20.3
Nez Perce	0.50	Powell	22.3
Lewis & Clark	0.53	Jefferson, MT	22.7
Jerome	0.53	Granite	25.3
Lake	0.57	Sanders	27.5
Missoula	0.58	Blaine	28.4
Gooding	0.60	Valley	29.3
Jefferson, ID	0.60	Missoula	30.5
Flathead	0.62	Ravalli	30.7
Ravalli	0.64	Kootenai	32.0
Franklin	0.65	Latah	32.4
Bear Lake	0.66	Idaho	33.8
Payette	0.67	Clearwater	34.2
Ada	0.68	Lake	34.6
Powell	0.76	Boundary	34.7
Madison	0.80	Lincoln, MT	35.5
Sanders	0.81	Gem	36.1
Gem	0.84	Nez Perce	36.1
Kootenai	0.86	Flathead	37.4
Bannock	0.91	Shoshone	38.6
Power	0.99	Elmore	39.0
Caribou	1.01	Owyhee	39.5
Jefferson, MT	1.01	Lemhi	40.4
Bingham	1.04	Lewis & Clark	42.0
Owyhee	1.07	Custer	42.6
Bonneville	1.08	Ada	42.8
Silver Bow	1.12	Payene	43.1
Lincoln, MT	1.14	Boise	43.3
Idaho	1.16	Canyon	43.7
Teton	1.16	Benewah	48.0
Elmore	1.27	Gooding	48.2
Butte	1.37	Silver Bow	48.3
Boise	1.42	Lewis	49.1
Oneida	1.43	Twin Falls	50.4
Custer	1.45	Butte	50.7
Benewah	1.51	Washington	54.1
Cassia	1.54	Jerome	54.7
Valley	1.64	Lincoln, ID	54.9
Fremont	1.74	Power	59.5
Deer Lodge	1.75	Deer Lodge	62.3
Mineral	1.81	Bannock	63.5
Bonner	1.84	Minidoka	64.3
Granite	1.96	Fremont	70.8
Washington	1.99	Clark	71.3
Lewis	1.99	Teton	72.5
Boundary	2.04	Bingham	73.4
Shoshone	2.08	Jefferson, ID	73.5
Clearwater	2.23	Cassia	76.1
Blaine	2.29	Bonneville	77.8
Clark	2.62	Oneida	84.5
Adams	3.69	Caribou	84.8
Camas	6.88	Bear Lake	89.6
Lemhi	NA	Franklin	90.6
Lincoln, ID	NA	Madison	91.7
Idaho		Idaho	50.4
Montana		Montana	42.7

Table 3. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County Data Ranked For Each Indicator.

County	16 Commerce \$ Earnings - all industries 1988	County	17 Education % H. S. grads or higher 1990
Camas	8374	Owyhee	62.0
Clark	13217	Payene	67.4
Oneida	17188	Minidoka	68.5
Granite	17269	Shoshone	70.1
Teton	18871	Gem	70.1
Boise	19015	Canyon	71.0
Mineral	20777	Power	72.1
Adams	25464	Jerome	72.4
Bear Lake	28616	Gooding	72.5
Lincoln, ID	29187	Cassia	72.7
Franklin	38554	Washington	72.7
Lewis	39096	Lincoln, MT	73.3
Custer	44316	Clearwater	73.4
Powell	45577	Lemhi	73.9
Sanders	45636	Mineral	74.0
Lemhi	47198	Benewah	74.4
Washington	49601	Deer Lodge	74.5
Boundary	51618	Boundary	74.6
Jefferson, MT	52343	Clark	74.7
Deer Lodge	55717	Idaho	75.1
Valley	56192	Sanders	75.2
Owyhee	56434	Adams	75.3
Fremont	61576	Twin Falls	75.4
Gem	68005	Fremont	75.6
Gooding	75162	Granite	75.9
Clearwater	77755	Powell	76.5
Jefferson, ID	77817	Bingham	76.8
Benewah	80056	Lake	77.3
Caribou	90179	Jefferson, ID	77.6
Jerome	92830	Bonner	78.2
Payene	101938	Silver Bow	78.3
Idaho	102251	Oneida	78.7
Power	110758	Lewis	78.8
Shoshone	114432	Ravalli	79.1
Lake	119742	Bear Lake	79.8
Ravalli	121077	Lincoln, ID	79.8
Lincoln, MT	131775	Nez Perce	79.9
Minidoka	146591	Boise	80.0
Madison	151653	Teton	80.2
Blaine	153224	Bune	80.4
Cassia	163006	Kootenai	81.1
Bonner	179703	Jefferson, MT	81.3
Elmore	186063	Custer	81.7
Latah	229385	Camas	81.8
Butte	256660	Flathead	82.1
Bingham	266268	Franklin	82.2
Silver Bow	296092	Bannock	82.9
Nez Perce	412614	Elmore	83.1
Twin Falls	465495	Valley	83.8
Kootenai	489005	Bonneville	84.0
Lewis & Clark	509103	Caribou	84.3
Flathead	520340	Missoula	85.4
Bannock	525182	Latah	86.6
Bonneville	644592	Ada	87.2
Canyon	672432	Lewis & Clark	87.4
Missoula	754552	Madison	87.6
Ada	2463006	Blaine	91.7
		Idaho	79.7
		Montana	81.0

Table 3. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County Data Ranked For Each Indicator.

County	19A Government % Pop > 18 Voting 1992	County	19B Government \$ gov't. expenditures/capita 1986-7/1990
Elmore	47.5	Payene	803.2 1
Madison	48.4	Jerome	812.52
Owyhee	54.5	Latah	849.20
Powell	57.4	Ravalli	855.66
Clearwater	58.6	Canyon	890.36
Payene	58.9	Madison	899.72
Minidoka	59.2	Bonner	935.32
Canyon	60.9	Ada	943.26
Cassia	61.7	Fremont	978.33
Twin Falls	62.4	Bonneville	999.90
Shoshone	63.4	Elmore	1023.34
Power	65.2	Boise	1025.93
Jerome	65.3	Cassia	1049.56
Benewah	66.3	Lake	1050.33
Nez Perce	66.8	Gem	1063.83
Boundary	66.9	Gooding	1065.93
Deer Lodge	67.0	Boundary	1068.17
Idaho	67.3	Lemhi	1101.61
Gem	67.5	Powell	1102.72
Lincoln, MT	68.1	Franklin	1115.68
Gooding	68.9	Blaine	1121.61
Mineral	69.1	Lincoln, ID	1148.73
Bingham	69.2	Bingham	1152.12
Latah	69.2	Missoula	1152.67
Granite	70.1	Owyhee	1155.86
Washington	70.2	Idaho	1168.11
Lewis	70.9	Jefferson, MT	1171.43
Silver Bow	71.3	Washington	1192.98
Kootenai	71.5	Nez Perce	1 2 0 5 . 7 8
Lake	71.9	Bannock	1213.16
Bonner	72.2	Jefferson, ID	1227.11
Bannock	72.3	Deer Lodge	1245.38
Jefferson, ID	72.3	Teton	1250.36
Fremont	72.6	Minidoka	1265.43
Sanders	72.9	Lewis & Clark	1278.03
Franklin	73.1	Sanders	1303.50
Lincoln, ID	73.5	Benewah	1310.32
Flathead	74.0	Custer	1330.75
Missoula	74.3	Flathead	1354.32
Ada	74.5	Lincoln, MT	1355.76
Caribou	74.6	Oneida	1374.57
Bonneville	75.3	Clearwater	1375.66
Jefferson, MT	75.3	Twin Falls	1379.25
Bear Lake	75.3	Adams	1382.91
Lemhi	75.5	Silver Bow	1428.95
Custer	75.6	Kootenai	1449.96
Lewis & Clark	76.7	Butte	1507.88
Butte	78.9	Lewis	1592.72
Clark	79.7	Mineral	1598.79
Blaine	80.2	Shoshone	1758.67
Ravalli	82.8	Bear Lake	1758.71
Adams	83.0	P o w e r	1764.04
Teton	84.0	Cameo	1925.72
Oneida	84.6	Caribou	1981.90
Boise	93.1	Clark	2099.74
Valley	94.6	Valley	2291.70
Camas	95.5	Granite	2825.75

Table 3. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County Data Ranked For Each Indicator.

County	20 A Sustenance % employed - forestry, fish, ag. min 1990	County	20B Sustenance Irrigated Land (acres) 1992
Ada	2.5	Shoshone	217
Bannock	3.1	Clearwater	316
Bonneville	3.8	Lewis	337
Lewis & Clark	3.9	Benewah	1293
Flathead	4.2	Mineral	1342
Missoula	4.2	Boundary	1399
Kootenai	4.6	Latah	2060
Nez Perce	5.0	Ner Perce	2277
Bonner	5.3	Idaho	2418
Deer Lodge	5.9	Bonner	2617
Latah	6.9	Boise	2954
Silver Bow	7.5	Lincoln, MT	4233
Valley	7.6	Camas	7486
Blaine	7.7	Silver Bow	8101
Jefferson, MT	8.5	Kootenai	18723
Benewah	8.6	Sanders	18856
Clearwater	8.7	Deer Lodge	20233
Lincoln, MT	8.9	Valley	21143
Ravalli	9.3	Missoula	22161
Canyon	9.7	Adams	22417
Elmore	9.8	Oneida	28906
Lake	9.9	Jefferson, MT	31333
Mineral	10.0	Flathead	3 4 4 2 5
Payette	10.1	Gem	38677
Madison	11.5	Bannock	39574
Boundary	11.5	Lewis & Clark	39798
Bingham	11.7	Granite	39996
Boise	11.8	Washington	40227
Twin Falls	12.4	Bear Lake	42617
Powell	13.1	Clark	49428
Sanders	13.4	Franklin	50901
Jefferson, ID	14.8	Teton	51358
Gem	15.5	Powell	55924
Lewis	15.5	Butte	56134
Idaho	16.1	Payene	56592
Minidoka	17.0	Custer	58436
Lemhi	17.3	Lincoln, ID	59694
Franklin	18.2	Blaine	64283
Bune	18.8	Ravalli	65717
Washington	19.2	Caribou	70201
Adams	19.5	Lemhi	70300
Lincoln, ID	19.6	Ada	73794
Caribou	19.7	Elmore	75108
Granite	19.9	Lake	92087
Fremont	20.5	Owyhee	100449
Bear Lake	20.5	Power	102892
Oneida	21.2	Gooding	115398
Cassia	22.0	Madison	127851
Jerome	22.0	Fremont	130845
Camas	22.9	Jerome	150444
Custer	22.9	Bonneville	153314
Teton	24.1	Minidoka	177516
Power	24.5	Jefferson, ID	183956
Shoshone	28.2	Canyon	215279
Gooding	28.7	Twin Falls	231351
Clark	34.1	Cassia	252012
Owyhee	39.6	Bingham	307812

Table 3. ICRBP Idaho and Montana County Data Ranked For Each Indicator.

County	21A Age Median Age 1990	County	21B Age Dependency Ratio 1990
Madison	20.0	Latah	0.33
Jefferson, ID	26.4	Blaine	0.33
Latah	27.4	Missoula	0.36
Franklin	27.5	Powell	0.37
Bingham	27.6	Madison	0.38
Elmore	27.7	Ada	0.39
Fremont	28.1	Elmore	0.39
Bonneville	28.7	Lewis & Clark	0.40
Cassia	29.2	Jefferson, MT	0.40
Bannock	29.5	Boise	0.40
Power	29.8	Clearwater	0.40
Teton	30.2	Kootenai	0.41
Caribou	30.3	Nez Perce	0.41
Minidoka	30.4	Valley	0.41
Owyhee	30.4	Flathead	0.41
Ada	30.9	Lincoln, MT	0.42
Bear Lake	30.9	Silver Bow	0.42
Oneida	31.4	Mineral	0.42
Canyon	31.6	Clark	0.43
Missoula	31.7	Shoshone	0.43
Jerome	32.6	Bannock	0.43
Boundary	32.8	Custer	0.43
Clark	32.9	Bonner	0.43
Blaine	33.3	Benewah	0.43
Butte	33.3	Adams	0.43
Twin Falls	33.3	Idaho	0.43
Lincoln, ID	33.9	Deer Lodge	0.43
Lewis & Clark	34.1	Camas	0.44
Payette	34.1	Bonneville	0.44
Lake	34.4	Ravalli	0.44
Benewah	34.6	Lemhi	0.44
Lincoln, MT	34.6	Lincoln, ID	0.44
Custer	34.7	Granite	0.44
Gooding	34.7	Boundary	0.45
Kootenai	35.0	Canyon	0.45
Flathead	35.1	Teton	0.45
Mineral	35.1	Sanders	0.45
Jefferson, MT	35.3	Twin Falls	0.45
Boise	35.6	Owyhee	0.46
Granite	35.6	Power	0.46
Nez Perce	35.6	Lake	0.46
Silver Bow	35.9	Lewis	0.46
Gem	36.0	Jerome	0.46
Powell	36.1	Gem	0.46
Adams	36.2	Payette	0.47
Bonner	36.3	Butte	0.48
Idaho	36.5	Minidoka	0.48
Lewis	36.6	Gooding	0.48
Camas	36.7	Washington	0.48
Sanders	36.9	Bingham	0.49
Valley	37.0	Fremont	0.49
Shoshone	37.3	Cassia	0.49
Washington	37.3	Caribou	0.49
Clearwater	37.5	Jefferson, ID	0.50
Ravalli	37.6	Bear Lake	0.53
Deer Lodge	38.0	Franklin	0.54
Lemhi	38.1	Oneida	0.55

Table 3. ICRBP Idaho and Montana County Data Ranked For Each Indicator.

County	22A Gender % women in labor force 1990	County	22B Gender Ratio female/male 1990
Caribou	15.5	Powell	0.71
Bear Lake	17.0	Clark	0.79
Sanders	17.2	Boise	0.84
Madison	17.7	Camas	0.88
Boundary	18.0	Teton	0.90
Lewis	18.2	Elmore	0.90
Adams	18.5	Clearwater	0.92
Shoshone	19.7	Owyhee	0.92
Benewah	19.8	Granite	0.92
Oneida	19.8	Blaine	0.93
Lincoln, MT	20.4	Custer	0.94
Franklin	21.2	Adams	0.94
Washington	21.2	Lincoln, ID	0.95
Granite	22.2	Latah	0.95
Gem	22.3	Valley	0.96
Clearwater	22.4	Benewah	0.97
Boise	22.5	Idaho	0.97
Gooding	22.6	Lewis	0.97
Idaho	22.7	Jefferson, MT	0.97
Deer Lodge	22.8	Boundary	0.98
Bonner	23.3	Fremont	0.98
Ravalli	23.6	Bingham	0.98
Butte	23.7	Sanders	0.98
Lemhi	23.8	Jefferson, ID	0.98
Cassia	24.2	Butte	0.98
Jefferson, ID	24.4	Bonneville	0.99
Lake	24.9	Franklin	0.99
Valley	24.9	Gooding	0.99
Jerome	25.1	Minidoka	1.00
Power	25.1	Bonner	1.00
Camas	25.2	Gem	1.00
Silver Bow	25.7	Mineral	1.00
Teton	26.0	Payette	1.00
Flathead	26.2	Cassia	1.01
Mineral	26.5	Shoshone	1.01
Owyhee	26.7	Bannock	1.01
Clark	26.8	Caribou	1.01
Latah	26.9	Oneida	1.01
Payette	27.3	Flathead	1.01
Bingham	27.4	Jerome	1.01
Minidoka	27.5	Lake	1.01
Lincoln, ID	27.5	Power	1.02
Powell	27.7	Lemhi	1.02
Kootenai	27.9	Lincoln, MT	1.02
Nez Perce	28.4	Deer Lodge	1.02
Bannock	28.4	Ravalli	1.03
Twin Falls	28.8	Bear Lake	1.03
Fremont	29.1	Canyon	1.03
Elmore	29.3	Ada	1.03
Custer	29.3	Missoula	1.03
Canyon	30.0	Nez Perce	1.04
Bonneville	30.0	Silver Bow	1.04
Missoula	30.8	Twin Falls	1.04
Jefferson, MT	35.2	Kootenai	1.04
Ada	38.1	Lewis & Clark	1.05
Lewis & Clark	38.5	Washington	1.06
Blaine	41.6	Madison	1.13

Table 3. ICRBP Idaho and Montana County Data Ranked For Each Indicator.

County	23 Class % Prof. workers 1990	County	24 Caste % Ethnic groups in pop. 1990	County	25 Clan % single parent households 1990
Clark	8.9	Camas	1.4	Oneida	6.1
Adams	10.6	Granite	1.4	Caribou	6.4
Custer	10.7	Oneida	2.7	Bear Lake	7.1
Camas	11.0	Ravalli	2.8	Franklin	7.9
Lewis	11.6	Boise	3.0	Lincoln, ID	8.2
Valley	13.0	Adams	3.1	Madison	8.8
Minidoka	13.0	Kootenai	3.5	Camas	9.4
Caribou	13.1	Jefferson, MT	3.5	Teton	10.9
Payette	13.6	Flathead	3.5	Jefferson, ID	11.2
Granite	13.8	Caribou	3.6	Clark	12.0
Owyhee	14.4	Bonner	3.6	Fremont	12.8
Idaho	14.4	Custer	3.7	Jefferson, MT	13.2
Boundary	14.6	Lincoln, MT	3.7	Boise	13.6
Washington	15.1	Idaho	3.7	Cassia	13.6
Teton	15.1	Valley	3.8	Idaho	14.0
Lemhi	15.2	Lemhi	3.9	Lewis	14.0
Lincoln, MT	16.0	Bear Lake	4.0	Gooding	14.2
Gem	16.0	Franklin	4.2	Minidoka	14.7
Clearwater	16.1	Lewis & Clark	4.4	Bonneville	15.3
Gooding	17.0	Deer Lodge	4.4	Latah	15.4
Cassia	17.1	Mineral	4.5	Butte	15.4
Lincoln, ID	17.1	Silver Bow	4.8	Custer	15.7
Bear Lake	17.2	Blaine	4.9	Washington	15.9
Benewah	17.3	Shoshone	5.0	Gem	16.5
Bonner	17.4	Clearwater	5.1	Bingham	16.6
Franklin	17.5	Latah	5.1	Deer Lodge	16.6
Twin Falls	17.5	Missoula	5.1	Lemhi	16.9
Blaine	17.7	Butte	5.6	Jerome	17.0
Boise	17.7	Ada	5.7	Elmore	17.0
Oneida	17.8	Lincoln, ID	6.6	Adams	17.1
Power	17.9	Madison	6.9	Sanders	17.1
Lake	17.9	Powell	7.3	Ravalli	17.1
Elmore	17.9	Lewis	7.3	Owyhee	17.3
Flathead	18.0	Nez Perce	7.5	Granite	17.5
Butte	18.0	Sanders	8.2	Boundary	17.8
Fremont	18.2	Bonneville	8.5	Power	17.8
Canyon	18.4	Boundary	8.7	Benewah	18.2
Mineral	18.4	Gem	9.6	Bannock	18.5
Jefferson, MT	18.9	Teton	9.7	Kootenai	18.7
Ada	19.3	Benewah	9.9	Mineral	18.8
Kootenai	19.4	Bannock	10.1	Twin Falls	19.0
Jerome	19.8	Twin Falls	10.2	Flathead	19.4
Powell	20.0	Jerome	11.5	Lincoln, MT	19.6
Ravalli	20.2	Jefferson, ID	11.6	Powell	19.6
Nez Perce	21.0	Fremont	11.7	Ada	19.8
Bingham	21.1	Gooding	14.1	Payette	19.8
Jefferson, ID	21.7	Payette	15.4	Canyon	19.9
Sanders	22.8	Elmore	17.9	Bonner	19.9
Shoshone	22.9	Clark	20.5	Silver Bow	20.1
Lewis & Clark	23.5	Washington	20.6	Clearwater	20.2
Bannock	24.5	Bingham	22.8	Valley	20.5
Missoula	27.4	Cassia	23.3	Nez Perce	21.7
Bonneville	27.6	Lake	23.3	Blaine	21.8
Deer Lodge	28.8	Canyon	23.9	Shoshone	23.7
Silver Bow	29.0	Power	25.9	Lewis & Clark	23.9
Madison	34.3	Minidoka	33.0	Missoula	25.4
Latah	43.2	Owyhee	35.9	Lake	26.4

Table 3. ICRBP Idaho and Montana County Data Ranked For Each Indicator.

County	26 Formal Norms Serious crimes/100,000 1991	County	27 Informal Norms #Divorces/1000 Pop 1987/1990
Butte	498	Butte	1.7
Adams	864	Silver Bow	1.7
Missoula	887	Owyhee	1.9
Teton	902	Madison	2.0
Ravalli	1028	Oneida	2.6
Sanders	1266	Clark	2.6
Lemhi	1285	Fremont	2.8
Powell	1330	Teton	2.9
Idaho	1469	Power	3.0
Camas	1600	Franklin	3.0
Deer Lodge	1607	Sanders	3.1
Bear Lake	1609	Custer	3.1
Gooding	1674	Caribou	3.4
Boundary	1721	Boundary	3.6
Caribou	1865	Bear Lake	3.6
Fremont	1958	Bingham	3.6
Custer	1970	Bannock	3.7
Lewis	2012	Idaho	3.8
Gem	2054	Mineral	3.9
Franklin	2100	Granite	3.9
Oneida	2109	Deer Lodge	4.2
Granite	2290	Washington	4.3
Clark	2290	Adams	4.6
Jefferson, ID	2378	Jefferson, ID	4.7
Clearwater	2393	Jefferson, MT	4.7
Washington	2414	Powell	4.7
Bingham	2447	Jerome	4.8
Elmore	2500	Lincoln, ID	4.8
Boise	2513	Lake	4.9
Owyhee	2656	Shoshone	5.0
Lewis & Clark	2742	Bonner	5.2
Latah	2826	Ravalli	5.3
Benewah	2945	Cassia	5.4
Flathead	2964	Latah	5.4
Jerome	3047	Lewis	5.4
Lake	3088	Benewah	5.4
Lincoln, MT	3474	Gem	5.7
Madison	3512	Minidoka	5.7
Payette	3573	Gooding	5.8
Minidoka	3719	Flathead	6.1
Silver Bow	3733	Lewis & Clark	6.2
Nez Perce	3809	Canyon	6.2
Ada	4567	Twin Falls	6.3
Power	4636	Nez Perce	6.4
Shoshone	4654	Clearwater	6.5
Blaine	4748	Missoula	6.5
Bonner	4794	Lincoln, MT	6.6
Bannock	4795	Kootenai	6.9
Bonneville	4808	Bonneville	7.1
Twin Falls	4986	Ada	7.2
Kootenai	5209	Lemhi	7.2
Mineral	5370	Blaine	7.5
Valley	5552	Payette	7.7
Cassia	5919	Elmore	8.6
Canyon	6163	Boise	8.8
Lincoln, ID	NA	Camas	9.6
Jefferson, MT	NA	Valley	9.8
Idaho	4167		
Montana	2671		

Table 3. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County Data Ranked For Each Indicator.

County	28 Wealth % below poverty level 1990	County	29 Power # elected officials/1 000 pop 1994/1 990
Caribou	7.1	Ada	0.04
Jefferson, MT	7.4	Canyon	0.10
Blaine	7.7	Bonneville	0.12
Ada	8.8	Kootenai	0.13
Clark	9.3	Bannock	0.14
Bonneville	9.9	Missoula	0.15
Franklin	10.6	Twin Fells	0.17
Adams	10.9	Flathead	0.20
Lewis & Clark	11.8	Lewis & Clark	0.21
Camas	11.8	Bingham	0.24
Ner Perce	12.0	Nez Perce	0.27
Kootenai	12.1	Latah	0.29
Clearwater	12.2	Bonner	0.34
Valley	12.7	Madison	0.38
Elmore	12.7	Elmore	0.42
Boise	13.2	Cassia	0.46
Power	13.2	Minidoka	0.46
Minidoka	13.3	Revalli	0.48
Butte	13.5	Lake	0.52
Lincoln, ID	13.6	Jefferson, ID	0.54
Twin Fells	13.6	Payene	0.55
Idaho	13.8	Jerome	0.59
Bennock	13.8	Shoshone	0.65
Boundary	14.0	Idaho	0.65
Oneida	14.1	Blaine	0.66
Lincoln, MT	14.1	Lincoln, MT	0.69
Bear Lake	14.3	Silver Bow	0.74
Jefferson, ID	14.3	Gem	0.76
Cassia	14.5	Gooding	0.77
Flathead	14.5	Fremont	0.82
Silver Bow	14.7	Deer Lodge	0.88
Custer	14.8	Franklin	0.97
Fremont	14.9	Washington	1.05
Canyon	15.1	Clearwater	1.06
Bonner	15.6	Owyhee	1.07
Lewis	15.6	Boundary	1.08
Bingham	15.6	Benewah	1.13
Jerome	15.9	Power	1.27
Shoshone	16.2	Caribou	1.29
Revalli	16.3	Lemhi	1.30
Benewah	16.3	Sanders	1.38
Gem	16.9	Valley	1.47
Powell	16.9	Bear Lake	1.48
Missoula	17.0	Jefferson, MT	1.51
Gooding	17.0	Powell	1.96
Mineral	17.6	Custer	2.18
Peyene	17.8	Lewis	2.56
Teton	18.1	Boise	2.56
Latah	18.5	Oneida	2.58
Deer Lodge	18.6	Teton	2.62
Washington	19.6	Mineral	2.71
Sanders	19.6	Lincoln, ID	2.72
Lemhi	20.2	Adams	2.77
Lake	21.4	Butte	3.08
Grenite	21.8	Granite	5.49
Owyhee	24.7	Clark	11.81
Madison	28.6	Camas	12.38

Table 3. ICRBP Idaho and Montana County Data Ranked For Each Indicator.

County	31 Knowledge %pop > 25 college grad 1990	County	32 Territory % owner occupied housing 1990
Gem	8.6	Elmore	54.4
Owyhee	8.7	Latah	56.4
Benewah	8.8	Madison	59.9
Shoshone	9.0	Missoula	60.1
Minidoka	9.0	Clark	62.8
Payette	9.8	Blaine	64.2
Washington	10.3	Nez Perce	66.2
Adams	10.8	Twin Falls	67.8
Jerome	11.0	Owyhee	68.4
Fremont	11.1	Lewis & Clark	68.5
Power	11.1	Bannock	68.7
Clearwater	11.4	Canyon	68.7
Bear Lake	11.4	Ada	69.1
Deer Lodge	11.5	Valley	69.3
Jefferson, ID	11.8	Gooding	69.9
Lemhi	11.8	Lake	70.2
Caribou	11.8	Jerome	70.4
Lincoln, ID	11.9	Flathead	70.6
Canyon	12.0	Silver Bow	70.8
Lincoln, MT	12.5	Payette	70.9
Idaho	12.7	Shoshone	70.9
Oneida	12.9	Custer	71.0
Bingham	13.1	Lewis	71.2
Mineral	13.1	Kootenai	71.3
Lewis	13.2	Cassia	71.4
Twin Falls	13.3	Bonneville	71.5
Boundary	13.3	Powell	71.8
Gooding	13.3	Lincoln, ID	72.0
Butte	13.5	Washington	72.0
Cassia	14.0	Mineral	72.9
Clark	14.1	Deer Lodge	72.9
Franklin	14.3	Lincoln, MT	73.3
Boise	14.4	Lemhi	73.6
Sanders	14.8	Power	73.8
Camas	15.0	Teton	74.0
Bonner	15.2	Clearwater	74.3
Custer	15.6	Minidoka	74.5
Nez Perce	15.6	Butte	74.6
Lake	15.7	Ravalli	75.1
Elmore	15.8	Sanders	75.1
Kootenai	16.0	Adams	75.3
Powell	16.6	Granite	75.4
Granite	16.9	Idaho	75.5
Flathead	17.2	Camas	75.6
Teton	17.4	Bonner	75.8
Silver Bow	17.9	Benewah	76.4
Ravalli	18.2	Bingham	76.7
Madison	19.2	Gem	77.7
Valley	19.4	Boundary	78.3
Bannock	19.8	Boise	79.2
Jefferson, MT	20.8	Caribou	80.2
Bonneville	23.2	Franklin	80.2
Ada	24.9	Fremont	80.2
Missoula	27.7	Jefferson, ID	80.5
Lewis & Clark	27.8	Jefferson, MT	80.7
Blaine	33.0	Oneida	81.8
Latah	35.8	Bear Lake	83.2
Idaho	17.7		
Montana	19.8		

Table 3. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County Data Ranked For Each Indicator.

County 33 Physiological Cycles % Elderly Population 1990		County 34A Individual Cycles % Full time workers 1990		County 34B Individual Cycles % Seasonal workers 1990	
Madison	4.0	Madison	34.4	Blaine	13.2
Blaine	4.1	Latah	43.3	Ada	16.2
Elmore	4.9	Adams	45.1	Elmore	17.5
Boise	5.5	Camas	46.8	Lewis & Clark	18.2
Bonneville	5.7	Washington	50.0	Bonneville	18.6
Jefferson, ID	6.7	Sanders	50.4	Nez Perce	18.6
Bannock	6.7	Boundary	56.7	Twin Falls	18.7
Jefferson, MT	6.9	Lewis	51.6	Jefferson, MT	19.0
Bingham	6.9	Granite	51.8	Custer	19.2
Clark	7.0	Franklin	51.9	Kootenai	19.8
Ada	7.1	Valley	52.1	Minidoka	20.1
Latah	7.1	Teton	52.4	Lemhi	20.5
Power	7.1	Lake	52.4	Bannock	20.6
Missoula	7.2	Clear-water	52.4	Canyon	20.7
Custer	7.4	Lincoln, MT	53.0	Lincoln, ID	20.8
Teton	7.6	Benewah	53.5	Flathead	21.0
Lewis & Clark	7.7	R a v a l l i	53.8	Bonner	21.5
Valley	7.7	Mineral	54.0	Caribou	21.5
Caribou	7.8	Missoula	54.0	Gooding	21.6
Cassia	8.0	Idaho	54.1	Oneida	21.8
Mineral	8.0	Gooding	54.5	Gem	22.1
Fremont	8.0	Shoshone	55.2	Silver Bow	22.2
Minidoka	8.1	Deer Lodge	55.3	Boise	22.5
Boundary	8.4	Silver Bow	55.4	Missoula	22.7
Lincoln, MT	8.5	Boise	55.4	Payene	22.9
Butte	8.7	Bingham	55.6	Shoshone	23.0
Owyhee	8.8	Bear Lake	55.6	Valley	23.3
Flathead	8.9	Jerome	55.6	Cassia	23.4
Kootenai	9.0	Fremont	55.7	Jerome	23.7
Benewah	9.3	Jefferson, ID	56.1	Lincoln, MT	23.7
Camas	9.4	Bannock	56.1	Franklin	23.7
Bonner	9.4	Owyhee	56.1	Mineral	24.0
Adams	9.6	Powell	56.1	Boundary	24.0
Lincoln, ID	9.7	Bonner	56.2	Powell	24.0
Canyon	9.7	Cassia	56.2	Benewah	24.1
Jerome	9.9	Power	56.5	Power	24.2
Powell	10.0	Lemhi	56.5	Jefferson, ID	24.2
Franklin	10.0	Flathead	56.8	Teton	24.3
Clearwater	10.4	Oneida	56.9	Clark	24.5
Sanders	10.5	Caribou	57.6	Fremont	24.6
Idaho	10.6	Kootenai	57.7	Idaho	24.7
Twin Falls	10.7	Payette	58.0	Ravalli	24.9
Lake	11.1	Gem	58.4	Clearwater	25.1
Ravalli	11.2	Lincoln, ID	58.4	Owyhee	25.2
Bear Lake	11.4	Canyon	58.8	Bune	25.4
Nez Perce	11.5	Minidoka	59.1	Bingham	25.5
Granite	11.5	Nez Perce	59.4	Deer Lodge	26.0
Oneida	11.5	Bune	59.7	Granite	26.5
Shoshone	11.5	Clark	60.0	Lake	26.9
Payette	11.6	Twin Falls	66.3	Adams	26.9
Lewis	11.7	Custer	61.7	Bear Lake	27.1
Lemhi	11.7	Bonneville	62.1	Lewis	27.4
Silver Bow	12.1	Lewis & Clark	63.0	Washington	29.4
Gem	12.2	Jefferson, MT	64.3	Sanders	30.7
Gooding	12.4	Ada	64.4	Latah	32.2
Washington	13.6	Elmore	65.6	Camas	33.5
Deer Lodge	14.0	Blaine	66.2	Madison	37.5

APPLICATION

The social indicators presented in this report have application to ecosystem management, both broadly and within the ICRBP. The definition of ecosystem management that we have applied is from Moote et al. (1994), and has four essential principles: 1) socially defined goals and management objectives, 2) integrated, holistic science, 3) broad spatial and temporal scales, and 4) adaptable institutions. In addition, collaborative decision making is described by Moote et al. as a necessary ecosystem management strategy; while not a *de facto* component of ecosystem management, it is certainly appropriate to the ICRBP.

Social indicators have applied uses relevant to each of these principles. If ecosystem management is to have *socially defined goals and management objectives*, managers must have a clear understanding of the basic social conditions that characterize the region they hope to manage as a coherent watershed, ecosystem or landscape. Social indicators, such as those presented here, can provide managers and citizens these basic facts and can be used to monitor trends in social conditions. The data are presented by county in rank order (Table 3): the relative conditions of each county can be compared. For example, indicators of education and knowledge can provide critical insights for developing job training and local hiring programs as part of ecosystem management strategies, both for the entire region and for specific counties within the region.

If ecosystem management is to be based on *integrated, holistic science*, then an interdisciplinary view of ecosystems and an integration of the social and biological sciences are necessary. The social indicators in this report are based upon a human ecosystem model developed in our earlier report (Machlis et al., 1994). This model is holistic (including both

biophysical and socioeconomic variables), and the measures in this report form an integrated set of indicators for assessing human ecosystems. *Thus* the indicators can be useful in monitoring, evaluation, theory-testing, forecasting, and other applications of science to ecosystem management. For example, it is possible to develop modest research efforts to better understand the linkages between federal ecosystem management actions (such as reduced timber sales) and social conditions (such as employment, health, income and so forth). Such research can be directly applied in the development of social impact assessments and sound ecosystem management plans..

If ecosystem management is to operate at ***broad spatial and temporal*** scales, then indicators of regional conditions should be similarly structured. In our earlier paper, we advocated a **hierarchical** approach to scale, and selected county-level analysis for the **social** indicators approach. The county-level analysis also allows for broad scale applications, as aggregates of counties can be assembled to create approximate ecoregions. In addition, the mapping of county-level data **can** display the spatial variation across a management region. As an applied example, this mapping will be done in the next phase of our work; we will create ***An Atlas of Social Conditions for the Upper Columbia Basin.***

In addition, the selected indicators are generally available over time; trend monitoring over several temporal scales (particularly long-term scales such as decades) 'is another potential application of the data. For example, many of the indicators in this report could be "backcasted" for several decades to give managers an improved understanding of long-term trends in the region.

If ecosystem management is to be reflected in the development of ***adaptable institutions***, it is prerequisite upon managers to have a clear understanding of the social context within which such adaptation must take place. The human ecosystem model and the social indicators both emphasize the importance of social institutions, and this report goes beyond the traditional and narrow collection of simple demographic and detailed economic information. A broad understanding of local institutions can be a powerful tool for managers. For example, the results can be used in planning public involvement strategies and program evaluation.

And, finally, if ecosystem management is to operate within a system of ***collaborative decision-making***, it is incumbent upon managers to better understand with whom they are collaborating. Again, the basic facts provided, by an integrated set of indicators, displayed at the county-level, provide an important component of that understanding. For example, new federal managers transferred into the region can use the indicators (and their measures) to begin their self-education about the people and social systems that are part of the region.

For some time natural resource managers have monitored forest ecosystems using several biophysical indicators. For example, one indicator used to monitor water quality is the amount of sediment in a stream. These data allow policy-makers and others to make normative judgements as to what levels of sediment are good and what levels are not. Research and analytic interpretation of the data lead to an understanding of the relationships between various management activities (such as road building) and changes in the amount of sediment in the stream. Decision-makers use these data to prescribe actions that will lead to the level of sediment that is desired by **society**.

Similarly, the data presented in this report, and the atlas to be presented in the next report in this series, provide natural resource managers and citizens with baseline information for monitoring socioeconomic conditions in Idaho and western Montana at the time **immediately prior** to the adoption of ecosystem management in the **ICRBP**. Continued monitoring of these social indicators at regular intervals is one necessary way to improve our understanding of the human ecosystem and the human impacts of various natural resource management policies and decisions.

REFERENCES CITED

- Alonso, W., and P. Starr. 1987. *The politics of numbers*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- McGown, M. G. 1994. The influence of organizational variables on environmental management by county governments. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation. University of Idaho, Moscow.
- Machlis, G. E., J. E. Force and S. E. Dalton. 1994. Monitoring social indicators for ecosystem management. Technical paper submitted to the Interior Columbia River Basin Project, **Walla Walla**, Washington. 15 November 1994. 64 pages.
- Moote, M. A., S. Burke, H. J. Cortner, and M. G. Wallace. 1994. Principles of ecosystem management. Tucson: Water Resources Research Center, College of Agriculture, The University of Arizona.
- Rossi, R. J. and Gilmartin, K. J. 1980. *The Handbook of Social Indicators: Sources, Characteristics, and Analysis*. New York: Garland STMP Press.
- U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare. 1969. *Towards a social report*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- Waugh, W. L., Jr., and R. J. Hy. 1988. The administrative, fiscal, and policymaking capacities of county governments. *State and Local Law Review* 20(1):28-31.

APPENDIX

Table 4. Data sources for social indicators used in technical assessment for Idaho and Montana counties in the ICRBP.

Variable	Data Source	Organization	Phone Number
1. Energy - homes heated with wood	1990 Decennial Census (available on CD-ROM)	U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Suitland, MD 20233	(301) 763-1034
2.1 Land - Square miles per county	<i>County and City Data Book, 1994</i>	U.S. Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration, Bureau of the Census, Suitland, MD 20233; Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.	(301) 763-1034
2.2 Land - acres of federal land per county	IDAHO: <i>County Profiles of Idaho</i> MONTANA: Natural Resources Conservation Service, Bozeman office	IDAHO: Idaho Department of Commerce, 700 W. State St., Boise, ID 83720 MONTANA: State Resource Inventory Specialist, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Federal Building, Room 443, 10 East Babcock St., Bozeman, MT 59715	ID (208) 334-2470 MT (406) 587-6840
2.3 Land - acres nonfederal cropland	1992 Census of Agriculture, Geographic Area Series for ID and MT	U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Suitland, MD 20233	(301) 763-1034
2.4 Land - acres non-federal irrigated land	1992 Census of Agriculture, Geographic Area Series for ID and MT	U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Suitland, MD 20233	
2.5 Land - acres nonfederal woodland	1992 Census of Agriculture, Geographic Area Series for ID and MT	U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Suitland, MD 20233	
4. Materials - dominant industry	1987 Census of Manufactures, Geographic Area Series for ID and MT	U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Suitland, MD 20233	(301) 763-1034
5. Nutrients - dominant product	1992 Census of Agriculture, Geographic Area Series for ID and MT	U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Suitland, MD 20233	

Variable	Data Source	Organization	Phone Number
6. Information - library circulation rate	IDAHO: Idaho State Library Public Library Statistics, FY 93 MONTANA: Montana Public Library Annual Report of Statistics July 1992 through June 1993	Idaho State Library, Boise, ID Montana State Library, 1515 East 6th Ave., P.O. Box 201800, Helena , MT 59620-1800	MT: (406) 444-5 3 4 9
7. Population • resident population Rural population	1990 Decennial Census (available on CD-ROM)	U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Suitland , MD 20233	
8. Labor - unemployment rate	USA Counties, CD-ROM, 1989	U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Suitland , MD 20233	
9.1 Capital • bank deposits	USA Counties , CD-ROM, 1989	U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Suitland , MD 20233	
9.2 Capital • median household income	1990 Decennial Census (available on CD-ROM)	U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Suitland , MD 20233	
11. Beliefs • % votes cast Republican	County and City Data Book, 1994	U.S. Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration , Bureau of the Census, Suitland, MD 20233: Government Printing Office, Washington , D.C.	
12. Myth • major religion family	Churches and Church Membership, 1990	Glenmary Research Center, 750 Piedmont Ave., NE, Atlanta, GA 30308	(404) 876-65 18

Variable	Data Source	Organization	Phone Number
13 Health - infant mortality Number of Physicians	Both in <i>County and City Data Book</i> , 1994	U.S. Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration, Bureau of the Census, Suitland , MD 20233: Government Printing Office, Washington , D.C.	
14. Justice - police officers	<i>Uniform Crime Reports: Crime in the United States (1992)</i>	U.S. Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Washington, D.C. 20535	
15. Faith - religious adherents	<i>Churches and Church Membership</i> , 1 9 9 0	Glenmary Research Center, 750 Piedmont Ave., NE, Atlanta , GA 30308	
16. Commerce - earnings in all industries	1990 Decennial Census (available on CD-ROM) (1988 data)	U.S. Department of Commerce , Bureau of the Census, Suitland , MD 20233	
17. Education - high school graduates	<i>County and City Data Book</i> , 1994	U.S. Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration , Bureau of the Census , Suitland , MD 20233: Government Printing Office , Washington, D.C.	
19.1 Government - Total votes cast for president Local government finances	<i>County and City Data Book</i> , 1994	U.S. Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration , Bureau of the Census , Suitland , MD 20233: Government Printing Office , Washington, D.C.	
19.2 Government - Population > 18	1990 Decennial Census (available on CD-ROM)	U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Suitland , MD 20233	
20.1 Sustenance - % persons employed in forestry, agriculture , fishing, mining	1990 Decennial Census (available on CD-ROM)	U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census , Suitland , MD 20233	

Variable	Organization	Data Source	Phone Number
20.2 Sustenance - Irrigated cropland	1992 Census of Agriculture, Geographic Area Series for ID and MT	U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Suitland, MD 20233	
21. Age - Median age Dependency Ratio	1990 Decennial Census (available on CD-ROM)	U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Suitland, MD 20233	
22. Gender - Women in the labor force Sex Ratio	1990 Decennial Census (available on CD-ROM)	U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Suitland, MD 20233	
23. Class - Professional and skilled employment	1990 Decennial Census (available on CD-ROM) (1990 data)	U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Suitland, MD 20233	
24. Caste - Ethnic/racial composition	1990 Decennial Census (available on CD-ROM)	U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Suitland, MD 20233	
25. Clan - Household composition	1990 Decennial Census (available on CD-ROM)	U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Suitland, MD 20233	
26. Formal norms - serious crimes known to police	<i>County and City Data Book, 1994</i>	U.S. Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration, Bureau of the Census, Suitland, MD 20233; Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.	
27. Informal norms - divorce rate	<i>Vital Statistics of the United States, 1987, Vol. 3: Marriage and Divorce</i>	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services	
28. Wealth - poverty rate	1990 Decennial Census (available on CD-ROM)	U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Suitland, MD 20233	

Variable	Dnta Source	Organization	Phone Number
29. Power - elected positions	Idaho and Montana Stale Association of Counties (primary data provided over the telephone)	Boise, ID and Helena, M'I	ID (208) 345 -9126 MT (406) 442-5209
31. Knowledge - college graduates	<i>County and City Data Book, 1994</i>	U.S. Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration , Bureau of the Census, Suitland, MD 20233: Government Printing Office , Washington, D.C.	
32. Territory - owner occupied housing	1990 Decennial Census (available on CD-ROM)	U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Suitland, MD 20233	
33. Physiological - elderly population	1990 Decennial Census (available on CD-ROM)	U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Suitland , MD 20233	
34. Individual - full time workers Seasonal workers	1990 Decennial Census (available on CD-ROM)	U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Suitland, MD 20233	

RECORD REFERENCE NUMBER _____

Variable _____

Measure _____

Author _____

Title _____

Data of Publication _____

Publisher/Location _____

Pages Referenced _____

Library _____

Call Number _____

Notes _____

DATA COLLECTION RECORD

Variable Name _____

Measure Name _____

Variable # _____

Year Data Was Collected _____

Record Number Reference _____

Idaho Total _____ Montana Total _____

USA Total _____

fips number	County	Data
16001	Ada	
16003	Adams	
16005	Bannock	
16007	Bear Lake	
16009	Benewah	
16011	Bingham	
16013	Blaine	
16015	Boise	
16017	Bonner	
16019	Bonneville	
16021	Boundary	
16023	Butte	
16025	Camas	
16027	Canyon	
16029	Caribou	
16031	Cassia	

16033	Clark	
16035	Clearwater	
16037	Custer	
16039	Elmore	
16041	Franklin	
16043	Fremont	
16045	Gem	
16047	Gooding	
16049	Idaho	
16051	Jefferson	
16053	Jerome	
16055	Kootenai	
16057	Latah	
16059	Lemhi	
16061	Lewis	
16063	Lincoln	
16065	Madison	
16067	M i n i d o k a	
16069	Nez Perce	
16071	Oneida	
16073	Owyhee	
16075	Payette	
16077	Power	
16079	Shoshone	
16081	Teton	
16083	Twin Fails	
16085	Valley	
16087	Washington	
30023	Deer Lodge	

30029	Flathead	
30039	Granite	
30043	Jefferson	
30047	Lake	
30049	Lewis and Clark	
30053	Lincoln	
30061	Mineral	
30063	M i s s o u l a	
30077	Powell	
30081	Ravalli	
30089	Sanders	
30093	Silver Bow	

Table 5. **ICRBP** Idaho, and Montana County Raw Data

FIPS code	County	2A Sq. miles/county	2B County Acres	2C Federal Land (ac)	% Federal Land	County
16001	Ada	1052	673280	306082	45.5	Ada
16003	Adams	1362	871680	568573	65.2	Adams
16005	Bannock	1112	711680	234726	33.0	Bannock
16007	Bear Lake	990	633600	288965	45.6	Bear Lake
16009	Benewah	784	501760	54459	10.9	Benewah
16011	Bingham	2096	1341440	394306	29.4	Bingham
16013	Blaine	2635	1686400	1306921	77.5	Blaine
16015	Boise	1901	1216640	934274	76.8	Boise
16017	Bonner	1727	1105280	496430	44.9	Bonner
16019	Bonneville	1840	1177600	629635	53.5	Bonneville
16021	Boundary	1268	811520	494525	60.9	Boundary
16023	Butte	2236	1431040	1233773	86.2	Butte
16025	Camas	1071	685440	444179	64.8	Camas
16027	Canyon	583	373120	22325	6.0	Canyon
16029	Caribou	1763	1128320	445877	39.5	Caribou
16031	Cassia	2560	1638400	923593	56.4	Cassia
16033	Clark	1763	1128320	746245	66.1	Clark
16035	Clearwater	2236	1431040	846311	59.1	Clearwater
16037	Custer	4927	3153280	2936115	93.1	Custer
16039	Elmore	3071	1965440	1429979	72.8	Elmore
16041	Franklin	663	424320	138994	32.8	Franklin
16043	F r e m o n t	1852	1185280	710580	60.0	Fmmont
16045	Gem	558	357120	135684	38.0	Gem
16047	Gooding	728	465920	244405	52.5	Gooding
16049	Idaho	8497	5438080	4523518	83.2	Idaho
16051	Jefferson, ID	1093	699520	341137	48.8	Jefferson
16053	Jerome	601	384640	100585	26.2	J e r o m e
16055	Kootenai	1240	793600	255936	32.3	Kootenai
16057	Latah	1077	689280	115263	16.7	Latah
16059	Lemhi	4564	2920960	2652624	90.8.	Lemhi
16061	Lewis	478	305920	7536	2.5	Lewis
16063	Lincoln, ID	1205	771200	576303	74.7	Lincoln
1 6 0 6 5	Madison	468	299520	60877	20.3	Madison
16067	Minidoka	758	485120	175623	36.2	Minidoka
16069	Nez Perce	845	540800	22002	4.1	Nez Perce
16071	Oneida	1200	768000	409113	53.3	Oneida
16073	Owyhee	7643	4891520	3734238	76.3	Owyhee
16075	Payette	405	259200	66306	25.6	Payette
16077	Power	1403	897920	300626	33.5	Power
16079	Shoshone	2641	1690240	1261827	74.7	Shoshone
16081	Teton	448	286720	95131	33.2	Teton
16083	Twin Falls	1944	1244160	641962	51.6	Twin Falls
16085	Valley	3670	2348800	2073539	88.3	Valley
16087	Washington	1454	930560	345949	37.2	Washington
30023	Deer Lodge	740	473600	182925	38.6	Deer Lodge
30029	Flathead	5112	3271680	2424560	74.1	Flathead
30039	Granite	1729	1106560	707394	63.9	Granite
30043	Jefferson, MT	1656	1059840	559901	52.8	Jefferson
30047	Lake	1445	924800	168062	18.2	Lake
30049	Lewis & Clark	3461	2215040	1062505	48.0	Lewis & Clark
30053	Lincoln, MT	3616	2314240	1763197	76.2	Lincoln
30061	Mineral	1216	778240	646941	83.1	Mineral
30063	Missoula	2582	1652480	717690	43.4	Missoula
30077	Powell	2329	1490560	727294	48.8	Powell
3008 1	Ravalli	2384	1525760	1118999	73.3	Ravalli
30089	Sanders	2749	1759360	920915	52.3	Sanders
30093	Silver Bow	719	460160	236809	51.5	Silver Bow
16000	Idaho		52,743,680			Idaho
30000	Montana		92,893,440			Montana

Table 5. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County Raw Data

2D Nonfederal land (acres)	2E Irrig. Land (acres)	2G Cropland (acres)	2J Woodland (acres)	County	5B \$ Value Crops	5B \$ Value Livestock
367198	73794	99890	4167	Ada	25215	71957
303107	22417	43770	25083	Adams	NA	NA
476954	39574	182706	6125	Bannock	15795	10117
344635	42617	126557	2275	Bear Lake	2040	12270
447301	1293	74508	27129	Benewah	11327	1252
947134	307812	NA	NA	Bingham	159956	55490
37947s	64283	75250	1246	Blaine	13811	12775
282366	2954	7478	10814	Boise	1605	1953
608850	2617	42641	56216	Bonner	1265	4760
547965	153314	303987	12893	Bonneville	75091	26609
316995	1399	4672 1	16118	Boundary	8219	3682
197267	56134	NA	NA	Butte	11979	7401
241261	7486	NA	NA	Camas	1710	2571
350795	215279	245963	4744	Canyon	141204	120974
682443	70201	242310	3254	Caribou	21803	14978
714807	252012	NA	NA	Cassia	123056	161277
382075	48428	NA	NA	Clark	30075	6643
584729	316	36552	37077	Clearwater	2951	1653
217165	58436	67964	573	Custer	1577	12508
535461	75108	111390	4079	Elmore	NA	NA
285326	50901	141099	2878	Franklin	7461	37541
474700	130845	197105	4095	Fremont	67017	19109
221436	38677	51439	1593	Gem	10685	18825
221515	i 15398	139225	2375	Gooding	43648	158270
914562	2418	225536	102559	Idaho	13974	15932
358383	183956	210541	1015	Jefferson	51832	39345
284055	150444	165898	346	Jerome	75280	99044
537664	18723	78383	39440	Kootenai	14052	2985
574017	2060	246148	49096	Latah	35309	4353
268336	70300	84859	2709	Lemhi	1064	17592
298384	337	150451	21346	Lewis	17430	2095
194897	59694	NA	NA	Lincoln	17663	20494
238643	127851	177049	2587	Madison	64249	8950
309497	177516	NA	NA	Minidoka	100274	28979
518798	2277	214633	55533	Nez Perce	26765	7152
358887	28906	177482	402	Oneida	6 0 1 1	7177
1157282	100449	NA	NA	Owyhee	36706	59852
192894	56592	NA	NA	Payette	2 4 4 3 2	18791
597294	102892	312574	2734	Power	68570	29873
428413	217	1036	2177	Shoshone	NA	NA
191589	51358	108283	4847	Teton	13698	6 4 9 5
602198	231351	292686	356	Twin Falls	86768	83731
275261	21143	27443	9547	Valley	1018	5493
584611	40227	112734	6742	Washington	16579	17880
290675	20233	25015	4553	Deer Lodge	1535	3146
847 120	34425	109009	128304	Flathead	14049	12452
399166	39996	51647	44502	Granite	570	9516
499939	31333	73204	26613	Jefferson	2439	7763
756738	92087	148164	115102	Lake	12027	24647
1152535	39798	95418	95901	Lewis & Clark	4252	14848
551043	4233	13779	18286	Lincoln	314	1939
131299	1342	6230	10052	Mineral	291	682
934790	22161	47448	115876	Missoula	1587	6156
763266	55924	84565	97314	Powell	1393	16761
406761	65717	84869	40550	Ravalli	3400	19492
838445	18856	54699	91335	Sanders	3746	8328
223351	8101	11545	2552	Silver Bow	57	2420
					0	0
	3.260006	6,301,862	1,033,770		1,492,103	1,472,113
	1,978,167	17,494,553	1,965,656		691,860	1,038,377

Table 5. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County Raw Data

198 Direct Gov't Expenditures (xl mil)	Per capita govt. expenditures	P0010001 Total Persons	County	P0060001 Urban Inside Urban Area	P0060002 Urban: Urban Pop. Outside Urban Area	
194.1	943.26	205775	Ada	168056	12923	180979
4.5	1382.91	3254	Adams	0	0	0
80.1	1213.16	66026	Bannock	53912	1282	55194
10.7	1758.71	6084	Bear Lake	0	2486	2486
10.4	1310.32	7937	Benewah	0	0	0
43.3	1152.12	37583	Bingham	0	14635	14635
15.2	1121.61	13552	Blaine	0	6210	6210
3.6	1025.93	3509	Boise	0	0	0
24.9	935.32	26622	Bonner	0	5230	5230
72.2	999.90	72207	Bonneville	56440	0	56440
8.9	1068.17	8332	Boundary	0	0	0
4.4	1507.88	2918	Butte	0	0	0
1.4	1925.72	727	Camas	0	0	0
80.2	890.36	90076	Canyon	0	46765	46765
13.8	1981.90	6963	Caribou	0	3111	3111
20.5	1049.56	19532	Cassia	0	8420	8420
1.6	2099.74	762	Clark	0	0	0
11.7	1375.66	8505	Clearwater	0	2868	2868
5.5	1330.75	4133	Custer	0	0	0
21.7	1023.34	21205	Elmore	0	13849	13849
10.3	1115.68	9232	Franklin	0	3708	3708
10.7	978.33	10937	Fremont	0	3014	3014
12.6	1063.83	11844	Gem	0	4601	4601
12.4	1065.93	11633	Gooding	0	2820	2820
16.1	1168.11	13783	Idaho	0	3226	3226
20.3	1227.11	16543	Jefferson. ID	0	2681	2681
12.3	812.52	15138	Jerome	0	6529	6529
101.2	1449.96	69795	Kootenai	0	35659	35659
26.0	849.20	30617	Latah	0	18519	18519
7.6	1101.61	6899	Lemhi	0	2941	2941
5.6	1592.72	3516	Lewis	0	0	0
3.8	1148.73	3308	Lincoln, ID	0	0	0
21.3	899.72	23674	Madison	0	14302	14302
24.5	1265.43	19361	Minidoka	0	8451	8451
40.7	1205.78	33754	Nez Perce	0	28082	28082
4.8	1374.57	3492	Oneida	0	0	0
9.7	1155.86	8392	Owyhee	0	0	0
13.2	803.21	16434	Payette	0	5592	5592
12.5	1764.04	7086	Power	31	3764	3795
24.5	1758.67	13931	Shoshone	0	2591	2591
4.3	1250.36	3439	Teton	0	0	0
7 3 . 9	1379.25	53580	Twin Falls	0	31107	31107
14.0	2291.70	6109	Valley	0	0	0
10.2	1192.98	8550	Washington	0	4571	4571
12.8	1245.38	10278	Deer Lodge	0	7517	7517
80.2	1354.32	59216	Flathead	0	23492	23492
7.2	2825.75	2548	Granite	0	0	0
9.3	1171.43	7939	Jefferson. MT	0	0	0
22.1	1050.33	21041	Lake	0	3254	3254
60.7	1278.03	47495	Lewis & Clark	0	35274	35274
23.7	1355.76	17481	Lincoln, MT	0	2644	2644
5.3	1598.79	3315	Mineral	0	0	0
so.7	1152.67	78687	Missoula	57006	2746	59752
7.3	1102.72	6620	Powell	0	3344	3344
21.4	855.66	25010	Ravalli	0	2737	2737
11.3	1303.50	8669	Sanders	0	0	0
48.5	1428.95	33941	Silver Bow	0	31415	31415
1121.3	1113.78	1006749	Idaho			
1197.3	1498.38	799065	Montana			

Table 5. ICRBP Idaho and Montana County Raw Data

P0060003	P0060004	Rural Pop.	% Pop. rural	County	P0070001 Male	P0070002 Female	P0080001 White
Rural: Farm	Rural: Nonfarm						
2037	22759	24796	12.1	Ada	101427	104348	199254
411	2843	3254	100.0	Adams	1677	1577	3188
877	9955	10832	16.4	Bannock	32848	33178	61916
332	3266	3598	59.1	Bear Lake	3003	3081	5990
374	7563	7937	100.0	Benewah	4039	3898	7276
2665	20283	22948	61.1	Bingham	18980	18603	32398
428	6914	7342	54.2	Blaine	7021	6531	13233
32	3477	3509	100.0	Boise	1910	1599	3470
686	20706	21392	80.4	Bonner	13322	13300	26095
1959	13808	15767	21.8	Bonneville	36350	35857	69073
481	7851	8332	100.0	Boundary	4216	4116	7916
473	2445	2918	100.0	Butte	1471	1447	2851
66	661	727	100.0	Camas	387	340	721
4878	38433	43311	48.1	Canyon	44448	45628	80483
486	3366	3852	55.3	Caribou	3464	3499	6834
1877	9235	11112	56.9	Cassia	9736	9796	17563
113	649	762	100.0	Clark	426	336	681
359	5278	5637	66.3	Clearwater	4439	4066	8237
327	3806	4133	100.0	Custer	2131	2002	4049
328	7028	7356	34.7	Elmore	11134	10071	18918
1079	4445	5524	59.8	Franklin	4640	4592	9084
855	7068	7923	72.4	Fremont	5529	5408	10343
1022	6221	7243	61.2	Gem	5914	5930	11359
1413	7400	8813	75.8	Gooding	5845	5788	10938
1452	9105	10557	76.6	Idaho	7004	6779	13411
1815	12047	13862	83.8	Jefferson, ID	8346	8197	15594
1639	6970	8609	56.9	Jerome	7519	7619	14342
1089	33047	34136	48.9	Kootenai	34222	35573	68371
1210	10888	12098	39.5	Latah	15661	14956	29470
559	3399	3958	57.4	Lemhi	3423	3476	6773
262	3254	3516	100.0	Lewis	1786	1730	3312
707	2601	3308	100.0	Lincoln, ID	1696	1612	3267
922	8450	9372	39.6	Madison	11133	12541	22722
2176	8734	10910	56.4	Minidoka	9703	9658	16582
538	5134	5672	16.8	Nez Perce	16578	17176	31678
598	2894	3492	100.0	Oneida	1735	1757	3438
1212	7180	8392	100.0	Owyhee	4371	4021	6897
1188	9654	10842	66.0	Payette	8204	8230	15121
221	3070	3291	46.4	Power	3516	3570	6130
29	11311	11340	81.4	Shoshone	6939	6992	13573
388	3051	3439	100.0	Teton	1813	1626	3366
4379	18094	22473	41.9	Twin Falls	26276	27304	51205
145	5964	6109	100.0	Valley	3113	2996	6008
782	3197	3979	46.5	Washington	4153	4397	7672
148	2613	2761	26.9	Deer Lodge	5080	5198	9929
1718	34008	35726	60.3	Flathead	29421	29797	57812
337	2211	2548	100.0	Granite	1325	1223	2522
236	7703	7939	100.0	Jefferson, MT	4029	3910	7744
2210	15577	17787	84.5	Lake	10445	10596	16468
746	11475	12221	25.7	Lewis & Clark	23181	24314	45912
319	14518	14837	84.9	Lincoln, MT	8671	8810	17021
84	3231	3315	100.0	Mineral	1655	1660	3222
744	18191	18935	24.1	Missoula	38785	39902	75707
384	2892	3276	49.5	Powell	3878	2742	6238
1436	20837	22273	89.1	Ravalli	12348	12662	24563
707	7962	8669	100.0	Sanders	4376	4293	8098
195	2331	2526	7.4	Silver Bow	16660	17281	33067
				Idaho			
				Montana			

Table 5. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County Raw Data

24 Caste Ethnic groups/total pop.	P0080002 Black	County	P0080003 Amer. Indian Eskimo. Aleut	P0080004 Asian/Pac. Is.	P0080005 Other race
5.7	1161	Ada	1597	2386	1377
3.1	0	Adams	59	0	7
10.1	358	Bannock	1588	842	1322
4.0	0	Bear Lake	21	2	71
9 . 9	0	Benewah	618	25	18
22.8	73	B i n g h a m	2637	271	2204
4.9	8	Blaine	58	140	113
3.0	0	Boise	30	5	4
3.6	29	Bonner	329	50	119
8.5	348	Bonneville	436	927	1423
8.7	12	Boundary	198	31	177
5.6	0	Butte	23	2	42
1.4	0	Camas	2	2	2
23.9	141	Canyon	694	957	7801
3.6	0	Caribou	14	46	69
23.3	4	Cassia	211	83	1671
20.5	0	Clark	6	0	7s
5.1	1	Clear-water	212	15	40
3.7	6	Custer	48	19	11
17.9	813	Elmore	168	445	861
4.2	0	Franklin	43	11	94
11.7	0	Fmmont	114	11	469
9.6	0	Gem	185	28	272
14.1	0	Gooding	50	20	625
3.7	0	Idaho	318	17	37
11.6	4	Jefferson. ID	206	55	684
11.5	3	Jerome	115	21	657
3.5	139	Kootenai	830	288	167
5.1	192	Latah	233	580	142
3.9	0	Lemhi	100	0	26
7.3	6	Lewis	177	21	0
6.6	7	Lincoln, ID	11	5	18
6.9	50	Madison	99	380	423
33.0	38	Minidoka	192	112	2437
7.5	61	Nez Perce	1653	203	159
2.7	6	Oneida	18	4	26
35.9	44	Owyhee	295	81	1075
15.4	14	Payette	258	183	858
25.9	4	Power	203	70	679
5.0	23	Shoshone	221	40	74
9.7	6	Teton	19	0	48
10.2	86	Twin Falls	336	522	1431
3.8	16	Valley	33	19	33
20.6	0	Weshington	21	177	680
4.4	21	Deer Lodge	251	32	45
3.5	56	Flathead	904	284	162
1.4	0	Granite	18	8	0
3.5	2	Jefferson. MT	155	14	24
23.3	6	Lake	4497	21	49
4.4	49	Lewis & Clark	1051	831	152
3.7	3	Lincoln, MT	352	64	41
4.5	4	Mineral	68	21	0
5.1	175	Missoula	1799	794	2 1 2
7.3	0	Powell	286	1 4	82
2.8	18	Ravalli	316	65	48
8.2	6	Sanders	513	27	25
4.8	11	Silver Bow	386	191	286
		Idaho			
		Montana			

Table 5. ICRBP Idaho and Montana County Raw Data

P0100001 Hispanic Orig.	County	P0130001 Age: <1	P01 30002 Age: 1-2	P0130003 Age: 3-t	P01 30004 Age: 5
5216	Ada	2822	6322	6578	3476
35	Adams	36	96	108	31
2588	Bannock	989	2339	2251	1131
150	Bear Lake	87	266	189	123
124	Benewah	102	265	228	93
3394	Bingham	639	1434	1480	914
347	Blaine	134	442	4 4 5	226
68	Boise	36	132	79	36
439	Bonner	324	766	777	454
2988	Bonneville	1166	2905	2804	1271
310	Boundary	128	256	257	151
97	Butte	40	82	95	46
4	Camas	14	22	21	13
11955	Canyon	1258	3238	2954	1461
122	Caribou	116	209	241	1 0 5
2578	Cassia	317	716	789	414
75	Clark	10	21	22	6
162	Clearwater	93	164	191	112
68	Custer	51	125	155	89
1506	Elmore	361	887	924	537
244	Franklin	138	339	386	150
681	Fremont	178	405	373	250
657	Gem	188	355	302	198
940	Gooding	179	327	343	163
144	Idaho	138	351	413	223
968	Jefferson. ID	261	655	728	338
944	Jerome	178	555	500	296
989	Kootenai	935	1951	2064	1018
404	Latah	341	754	873	398
144	Lemhi	85	184	210	88
54	Lewis	43	98	119	57
177	Lincoln, ID	30	100	96	42
685	Madison	366	802	763	416
3619	Minidoka	196	705	690	354
441	Nez Perce	353	825	1004	513
40	Oneida	47	163	120	89
1516	Owyhee	90	307	295	135
1223	Payette	272	463	539	248
881	Power	108	262	206	138
335	Shoshone	191	320	290	205
262	Teton	67	114	148	70
3094	Twin Falls	665	1711	1785	983
130	Valley	98	185	156	103
883	Washington	104	233	254	57
1 0 8	Deer Lodge	57	249	270	152
683	Flathead	702	1680	1810	939
10	Gmnite	40	74	55	57
82	Jefferson. MT	71	269	227	86
334	Lake	291	679	705	300
525	Lewis & Clark	623	1467	1392	824
192	Lincoln, MT	167	455	573	305
57	Mineral	18	102	75	50
1014	Missoula	920	2358	2389	1414
102	Powell	86	142	1 2 4	81
242	Ravalli	310	699	633	369
142	Sanders	77	207	298	172
758	Silver Bow	395	1060	839	572
	Idaho				
	Montana				

Table 5. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County Raw Data

P0130005 Age:6	P0130006 Age: 7-9	P0130007 Age: 10-11	P0130008 Age: 12-13	P0130009 Age: 14	P0130010 Age: 15
3186	10527	6858	6399	3079	3047
60	149	123	102	67	47
1252	3841	2809	2495	1235	1024
126	489	269'	- 2 6 5	159	113
153	393	275	239	192	136
844	2786	1852	1665	675	753
167	677	444	409	192	159
70	210	94	131	46	62
448	1133	980	1005	394	416
1494	4708	3007	2873	1333	1085
131	498	298	304	158	196
32	222	117	143	63	73
15	29	27	18	12	9
1635	4757	3509	3263	1450	1581
122	534	341	391	192	1 5 0
318	1422	938	795	370	427
38	45	30	22	12	13
126	358	273	277	153	135
79	153	151	153	93	56
407	1206	641	617	249	338
217	634	487	499	227	215
177	791	482	507	236	251
152	649	395	354	179	207
212	622	497	387	207	219
219	671	503	468	190	238
387	1150	893	847	395	400
280	826	645	573	265	286
1078	3236	2299	2322	1017	1147
347	1424	763	723	331	370
141	380	221	215	76	75
46	172	125	1 2 4	57	52
57	165	166	133	37	72'
420	1348	1021	860	448	357
316	1277	918	880	410	389
516	1476	1015	958	394	446
106	255	147	146	54	55
128	429	305	378	172	152
281	907	630	590	234	296
155	461	252	341	108	205
216	607	429	430	227	247
67	220	130	110	66	73
805	3112	1795	1834	894	838
67	316	237	184	99	94
200	502	264	298	160	115
176	327	217	249	176	117
1084	2912	2236	2095	750	915
37	117	58	81	31	43
221	379	2 7 8	297	123	143
332	1252	778	693	297	362
785	2275	1671	1519	617	692
309	861	661	6 6 8	335	257
84	163	137	130	79	4 8 '
1160	3628	2310	2058	953	1122
73	255	199	232	54	74
340	1303	883	789	360	3 8 2
155	410	326	316	97	142
428	1353	985	907	484	464

Table 5. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County Raw Data

P0130011 Age: 16	P0130012 Age: 17	P0130013 Age: 18	P0130014 Age: 19	P0130015 Age: 20	P0130016 Age: 21	P0130017 Age: 22-24
2898	2765	2882	3057	2984	2809	8498
42	60	38	28	18	20	88
1038	1069	1059	1134	1114	1032	2823
97	112	63	54	50	41	127
153	124	99	102	64	84	253
716	707	535	478	357	373	1133
144	116	159	141	113	133	489
58	63	33	27	33	16	88
386	402	281	254	172	172	569
1274	1314	964	1075	890	925	2727
142	175	130	126	60	77	261
65	36	36	27	17	13	60
17	21	10	1	4	7	14
1521	1297	1340	1384	1513	1319	3288
117	109	125	48	30	40	171
348	331	352	187	141	241	661
4	8	9	4	9	5	29
140	111	105	60	65	81	2 1 6
64	103	28	37	23	23	98
267	280	225	273	322	392	1317
194	205	102	110	89	63	298
268	192	216	155	127	93	329
166	224	112	158	176	107	226
212	185	104	127	92	108	274
229	140	197	133	117	96	315
336	313	220	212	207	176	458
241	209	198	164	172	150	382
926	973	808	1099	962	668	2209
400	298	663	1371	1265	1179	2501
104	61	159	41	70	.29	149
69	45	32	22	26	17	87
39	60	43	33	60	23	112
390	432	1889	2444	1196	783	1805
359	341	258	206	188	131	585
396	430	563	471	425	469	1268
52	52	43	36	16	23	79
192	174	164	124	128	73	288
299	230	217	221	158	177	462
142	140	136	49	82	54	231
228	207	191	139	137	153	401
48	48	49	39	41	37	135
756	742	828	876	575	534	1703
85	117	61	38	I-I	35	130
135	133	124	72	42	61	219
209	214	144	149	144	100	321
961	702	808	644	394	494	1428
31	38	23	53	7	21	53
105	130	119	60	54	71	167
336	329	3 2 1	216	196	205	555
728	774	656	525	619	497	1480
299	284	253	119	209	143	365
30	53	47	20	29	3	70
1092	1012	1083	1629	1570	1630	4112
102	79	107	46	89	87	314
399	403	322	212	225	226	523
153	132	105	89	89	46	163
469	521	516	435	476	427	1187

Table 5. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County Raw Data

PO130018 Age: 25-39 PO130019 Age: 30-34 PO130020 Age: 35-39 PO130021 Age: 40-44 PO130022 Age: 45-49 PO130023 Age: 50-54

17338	19207	18818	16704	11408	6637
198	254	291	215	209	165
4694	5741	5400	4389	3269	2679
300	480	319	274	331	317
447	603	723	551	503	386
2363	3107	2607	2206	1923	1519
1099	1609	1609	1324	907	663
216	285	263	248	205	329
1544	1931	2496	2239	1692	1237
5574	6176	5771	4691	3672	2848
512	564	749	817	384	365
153	212	221	179	167	160
41	51	51	59	58	42
6201	6844	6371	5848	5083	3815
374	586	503	464	357	265
1257	1401	1335	1043	890	886
63	57	47	51	50	61
574	666	622	666	537	580
247	359	378	293	310	206
2351	2188	1598	1274	897	814
465	668	588	549	3 7 3	295
662	750	695	570	6 1 7	443
793	805	816	738	670	645
705	876	775	773	634	502
826	1081	1129	1023	741	737
1077	1151	1147	970	887	602
976	1253	1151	1062	718	630
4519	5701	5860	5388	4397	3696
2666	2479	2268	1828	1462	1129
298	538	526	590	432	319
212	279	258	210	206	188
148	237	238	213	281	165
1296	1022	1116	949	716	586
1400	1423	1326	1059	1156	896
2458	2643	2809	2271	1943	1530
216	239	177	228	133	142
567	618	507	532	425	408
984	1176	1112	1062	1036	759
475	467	658	485	372	282
844	1012	1129	992	840	753
221	312	289	206	152	171
3759	4063	3955	3287	2863	2 5 7 9
306	482	620	594	410	308
524	548	583	520	481	376
480	821	873	579	562	545
3735	5014	6076	4681	3552	2813
127	199	149	212	172	162
438	717	926	692	552	386
1002	1774	1710	1439	1128	882
3141	4368	4777	3789	2698	2395
1058	1323	1590	1332	1282	934
201	290	260	261	211	142
6580	6959	7239	6305	4313	3338
422	549	720	629	309	329
1256	1706	2093	1863	1660	1357
432	671	749	726	476	363
2363	2573	2708	2280	1945	1547

Table 5. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County Raw Data

P0130024 Age: 55-59 P0130025 Age: 60-61 P0130026 Age: 62-64 P0130027 Age: 65-69 P0130028 Age: 70-74 P0130029 Age: 75-79

7258	2880	3919	6867	5706	4040
163	63	110	162	117	90
2333	905	1283	2264	1732	1274
264	96	158	219	307	177
362	134	226	311	272	190
1435	503	815	1173	1041	766
479	164	212	335	257	133
177	58	130	192	71	85
1321	564	746	1427	1033	727
2623	1131	1447	2358	1558	1171
339	185	250	324	236	231
126	50	108	121	99	82
33	15	24	31	24	24
3321	1486	2047	3521	3300	2498
273	107	181	268	184	177
725	3 2 0	536	814	605	412
31	16	6	40	19	25
473	183	263	394	375	226
172	80	109	194	108	99
649	274	332	547	479	237
314	133	210	360	323	243
426	213	294	359	364	220
580	178	358	669	560	428
548	239	318	568	511	437
702	243	507	689	513	422
626	198	291	510	445	305
699	250	353	627	630	447
3202	1225	1741	3085	2625	1743
953	384	500	773	752	608
300	100	305	398	352	234
169	73	116	204	159	118
117	69	104	146	1 2 0	115
470	145	270	408	375	281
741	255	513	829	706	439
1605	579	981	1548	1486	1183
108	57	91	217	116	137
349	142	240	332	271	184
662	313	426	780	713	683
254	139	162	218	183	156
675	276	463	721	599	510
132	47	69	122	120	46
2206	822	1443	2458	2035	1686
361	104	147	308	228	157
427	209	227	518	388	384
568	162	381	596	608	443
2513	1107	1526	2412	2071	1335
112	47	79	178	100	105
321	122	154	287	206	157
896	399	665	967	898	674
1888	709	1082	1833	1448	997
784	293	498	645	678	361
184	82	119	181	127	52
2626	1027	1762	2434	2061	1645
267	141	180	269	225	187
1132	510	885	1357	1040	886
424	167	265	485	355	309
1615	611	1002	1673	1521	1410

Table 5. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County Raw Data

P0130030 Age: 80-84	P0130031 Age: 85+	Total Pop. > 64	County	Elderly Population % of total pop>69	Median Age
2974	1832	21419	Ada	7.1	30.9
72	34	475	Adams	9.6	36.2
896	532	6698	Bannock	6.7	29.5
130	82	915	Bear Lake	11.4	30.9
173	101	1047	Benewah	9.3	34.6
438	346	3764	Bingham	6.9	27.6
82	89	896	Blaine	4.1	33.3
24	12	384	Boise	5.5	35.6
457	275	3919	Bonner	9.4	36.3
797	575	645s	Bonneville	5.7	28.7
131	99	1021	Boundary	8.4	32.8
36	37	375	Butte	8.7	33.3
13	7	99	Camas	9.4	36.7
1634	1339	12292	Canyon	9.7	31.6
125	60	814	Caribou	7.8	30.3
289	252	2372	Cassia	8.0	29.2
8	1	93	Clark	7.0	32.9
168	118	1281	Clearwater	10.4	37.5
50	47	498	Custer	7.4	34.7
153	170	1586	Elmore	4.9	27.7
246	112	1284	Franklin	10.0	27.5
171	123	1237	Fremont	8.0	28.1
297	161	2115	Gem	12.2	36.0
279	212	2007	Gooding	12.4	34.7
290	239	2153	Idaho	10.6	36.5
248	112	1620	Jefferson. ID	6.7	26.4
261	161	2126	Jerome	9.9	32.6
1107	794	9354	Kootenai	9.0	35.0
408	406	2947	Latah	7.1	27.4
91	130	1205	Lemhi	11.7	38.1
82	51	614	Lewis	11.7	36.6
48	39	468	Lincoln, ID	9.7	33.9
179	123	1366	Madison	4.0	20.0
271	144	2389	Minidoka	8.1	30.4
612	584	5413	Nez Perce	11.5	35.6
81	67	618	Oneida	11.5	31.4
137	148	1072	Owyhee	8.6	30.4
322	182	2680	P a y e n e	11.6'	34.1
134	31	722	Power	7.1	29.8
302	197	2329	Shoshone	11.5	37.3
68	26	382	Teton	7.6	30.2
1244	744	8167	Twin Falls	10.7	33.3
54	34	781	Valley	7.7	37.0
271	141	1682	Washington	13.6	37.3
181	208	2036	Deer Lodge	14.0	38.0
1080	769	7667	Flathead	8.9	35.1
66	21	470	Granite	11.5	35.6
104	79	833	Jefferson. MT	6.9	35.3
367	393	3299	Lake	11.1	34.4
715	511	5604	Lewis & Clark	7.7	34.1
285	155	2124	Lincoln, MT	8.5	34.6
57	30	447	Mineral	8.0	35.1
1157	801	8098	Missoula	7.2	31.7
161	88	930	Powell	10.0	36.1
453	414	4150	Ravalli	11.2	37.6
142	108	1399	Sanders	10.5	36.9
712	463	5779	Silver Bow	121	35.9
			Idaho		
			Montana		

Table 5. ICRBP Idaho and Montana County Raw Data

county	PO700006 Total Female Labor Force (Civilian) Employed	PO770001 Age 16+ employed agric.. forestry, fishing	PO770002 Age 16+ employed mining	LB02089D Total civilian labor force
Ada	48046	2754	132	113309
Adams	563	301	28	1683
Bannock	12964	766	209	31365
Bear Lake	756	322	187	2483
Benewah	1248	274	24	3458
Bingham	6129	1834	105	16512
Blaine	3346	580	12	7733
Boise	594	160	5	1404
Bonner	4528	610	25	12039
Bonneville	13882	1317	38	36085
Boundary	1272	468	4	4092
Butte	447	271	50	1707
Camas	137	89	11	437
Canyon	17445	4153	102	43733
Caribou	1059	418	229	3290
Cassia	3006	1734	24	8002
Clark	128	193	3	575
Clearwater	1351	355	13	4215
Custer	742	369	321	3007
Elmore	3486	780	21	8162
Franklin	1314	667	5	3695
Fremont	1898	976	9	4811
Gem	1950	757	24	5048
Gooding	2 1 2 9	1523	8	5334
Idaho	2189	976	69	6499
Jefferson. ID	2691	1016	15	6957
Jerome	2806	1356	18	6239
Kootenai	13827	1166	379	33502
Latah	6464	982	10	14407
Lemhi	1167	493	56	3177
Lewis	518	301	2	1958
Lincoln, ID	653	357	4	1845
Madison	3947	972	0	8438
Minidoka	3300	1721	16	10236
Nez Perce	6833	813	26	16638
Oneida	545	249	34	1336
Owyhee	1363	1449	43	3771
Payette	2845	801	32	8269
Power	1194	657	11	2722
Shoshone	2241	110	1282	4935
Teton	652	395	0	1636
Twin Falls	10516	3255	35	26454
Valley	1166	264	16	3704
Washington	1349	786	1	4098
Deer Lodge	1609	110	141	4255
Flathead	11693	1159	104	30235
Granite	429	236	24	1308
Jefferson. MT	1680	213	262	5604
Lake	3815	1135	10	11531
Lewis & Clark	11283	791	235	26059
Lincoln, MT	2794	525	221	8426
Mineral	606	110	36	1453
Missoula	17410	1638	87	40733
Powell	977	314	77	2978
Ravalli	4333	1066	18	11632
Sanders	1271	381	52	3231
Silver Bow	6359	300	704	13350
Idaho				
Montana				

Table 5. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County Raw Data

County	Civilian Labor Force Unemployment Rate (BLS 1989)	P0770014 Employed persons by industry, health profess.	P0770015 Employed persons by industrv, educational
Ada	3.4	7518	7313
Adams	11.9	57	79
Bannock	5.2	2183	3694
Bear Lake	6.6	124	244
Benewah	8.2	203	274
Bingham	6.9	848	1392
Blaine	4.0	334	420
Boise	7.5	55	110
Bonner	7.4	632	888
Bonneville	4.4	2135	2478
Boundary	5.5	236	257
Butte	4.8	43	124
Camas	5.0	10	26
Canyon	6.0	3034	3246
Caribou	4.8	101	230
Cassia	7.0	458	652
Clark	6.3		37
Clearwater	9.5	236	299
Custer	3.5	5 5	168
Elmore	5 . 0	452	626
Franklin	2 . 9	206	358
Fremont	7.6	213	428
Gem	5.8	250	336
Gooding	4.5	238	469
Idaho	6.5	265	459
Jefferson. ID	6.2	236	705
Jerome	7.2	398	533
Kootenai	6.3	2620	2064
Latah	3.3	766	4576
Lemhi	6.3	164	216
Lewis	5.0	32	131
Lincoln, ID	4.6	8 4	185
Madison	4.3	370	2116
Minidoka	6.7	459	581
Nez Perce	4 . 2	1363	1240
Oneida	3.5	88	112
Owyhee	4.3	154	249
Payette	6.2	377	514
Power	9.0	158	246
Shoshone	8.1	381	441
Teton	5.1	65	134
Twin Falls	4.5	1616	1 7 0 5
Valley	6.9	169	187
Washington	7 . 1	2 1 1	226
Deer Lodge	8.0	809	199
Flathead	7.8	2 0 1 8	1935
Granite	6.1	53	75
Jefferson. MT	3.2	460	283
Lake	7.6	631	881
Lewis & Clark	4.6	2 0 0 8	2027
Lincoln, MT	10.3	458	561
Mineral	9.1	99	121
Missoula	5.2	3422	4959
Powell	5.1	202	274
Ravalli	9.5	796	722
Sanders	12.1	2 0 9	341
Silver Bow	7.6	1515	1 2 5 2
Idaho			
Montana			

Table 5. ICRBP Idaho and Montana County Raw Data

P0770016 Employed persons by industry, other prof.	County	P0190001 Married Couple Family with Kids <18	P0190003 Male householder no wife. with own Kids <18
7016	Ada	22841	1150
43	Adams	355	27
1798	Bannock	7672	405
60	Bear Lake	759	10
122	Benewah	921	71
1236	Bingham	4569	270
615	Blaine	1504	120
84	Boise	414	35
574	Bonner	2899	196
5362	Bonneville	9058	323
106	Boundary	903	51
141	Butte	307	19
12	Camas	87	3
1751	Canyon	9715	551
100	Caribou	971	14
256	Cassia	2439	84
13	Clark	95	9
142	Clearwater	824	76
100	Custer	514	33
387	Elmore	2767	176
82	Franklin	1161	31
236	Fremont	1310	65
222	Gem	1312	55
198	Gooding	1252	36
213	Idaho	1555	84
566	Jefferson. ID	2132	64
306	Jerome	1803	80
1801	Kootenai	7631	403
876	Latah	3041	160
104	Lemhi	748	27
64	Lewis	392	14
47	Lincoln, ID	369	12
406	Madison	2374	14
292	Minidoka	2447	119
883	Nez Perce	3390	198
38	Oneida	474	12
140	Owyhee	883	32
234	Payette	1838	85
84	Power	871	55
309	Shoshone	1415	98
48	Teton	418	20
1309	Twin Falls	5909	378
125	Valley	707	62
181	Washington	918	35
217	Deer Lodge	1008	48
1485	Flathead	6810	399
52	Granite	274	18
318	Jefferson. MT	980	45
557	Lake	2096	201
2095	Lewis & Clark	5278	318
329	Lincoln, MT	2031	181
47	Mineral	354	13
2785	Missoula	7849	494
121	Powell	598	70
833	Ravalli	2573	146
188	Sanders	943	59
1110	Silver Bow	3339	139
	Idaho		
	Montana		

Table 5. ICRBP Idaho and Montana County Raw Data

P0190005 Female householder no husband, with own Kids < 18	% single parent households	County	H0040001 Housing units	H0300006: Occupied houses heated w/wood	%heat w/wood
4485	19.8	Ada	77471	4234	5.5
46	17.1	Adams	1251	740	59.2
1340	18.5	Bannock	23412	1746	7.5
48	7.1	Bear Lake	2005	481	24.0
134	18.2	Benewah	2991	1665	55.7
640	16.6	Bingham	11513	1800	15.6
300	21.8	Blaine	5506	883	16.0
30	13.6	Boise	1357	845	62.3
526	19.9	Bonner	10269	5896	57.4
1312	15.3	Bonneville	24289	2073	8.5
144	17.8	Boundary	2857	1633	57.2
37	15.4	Butte	997	307	30.8
6	9.4	Camas	275	127	46.2
1857	19.9	Canyon	31288	4077	13.0
52	6.4	Caribou	2262	249	11.0
301	13.6	Cassia	6373	515	8.1
4	12.0	Clark	277	89	32.1
132	20.2	Clearwater	3213	1883	58.6
63	15.7	Custer	1561	749	48.0
392	17.0	Elmore	7136	892	12.5
69	7.9	Franklin	2824	230	8.1
128	12.8	Fremont	3453	1365	39.5
205	16.5	Gem	4424	1391	31.4
172	14.2	Gooding	4320	551	12.8
169	14.0	Idaho	5187	3096	59.7
204	11.2	Jefferson, ID	4871	1343	27.6
288	17.0	Jerome	5325	582	10.9
1354	18.7	Kootenai	26942	8005	29.7
394	15.4	Latah	11229	2761	24.6
125	16.9	Lemhi	2769	1666	60.2
50	14.0	Lewis	1393	551	39.6
21	8.2	Lincoln, ID	1191	298	25.0
214	8.8	Madison	5801	1052	18.1
304	14.7	Minidoka	6472	504	7.8
740	21.7	Nez Perce	13618	2070	15.2
19	6.1	Oneida	1159	91	7.9
153	17.3	Owyhee	2820	454	16.1
370	19.8	Payette	6040	1121	18.6
134	17.8	Power	2370	300	12.7
341	23.7	Shoshone	5691	1759	30.9
31	10.9	Teton	1123	570	50.8
1004	19.0	Twin Falls	19737	1828	9.3
120	20.5	Valley	2404	1456	60.6
139	15.9	Washington	3257	863	26.5
153	16.6	Deer Lodge	4060	623	15.3
1243	19.4	Flathead	22834	6639	29.1
40	17.5	Granite	1051	430	40.9
104	13.2	Jefferson, MT	2867	940	32.8
550	26.4	Lake	7814	2681	34.3
1336	23.9	Lewis & Clark	18649	2433	13.0
313	19.6	Lincoln, MT	6668	3697	55.4
69	18.8	Mineral	1282	642	50.1
2174	25.4	Missoula	30782	3764	12.2
76	19.6	Powell	2234	602	26.9
385	17.1	Ravalli	9698	4132	42.6
135	17.1	Sanders	3397	1894	55.8
701	20.1	Silver Bow	13899	664	4.8
		Idaho			
		Montana			

Table 5. ICRBP Idaho and Montana County Raw Data

H0080001 Owner occupied housing units	BN01089D Bank Deposits total • June 1969	County	P080A001 Median Household Income (1969)	P110088D Earnings in all industries (1969)	P1170001 above pov.
53538	1462563	Ada	30246	2463666	13671
942	15939	Adams	22455	25464	222
16662	314354	Bannock	26275	525182	4476
1666	54992	Bear Lake	21646	26616	389
2284	49242	Benewah	21508	80056	410
8830	162991	Bingham	25158	286268	2585
3534	88346	Blaine	31199	153224	a79
1075	0	Boise	26048	19015	218
7780	149721	Bonner	21465	179793	1466
17371	451635	Bonneville	30462	644592	5771
2237	56562	Boundary	21662	51618	529
744	16966	Butte	26292	258660	165
20a	2311	Camas	24440	6374	37
21493	466214	Canyon	22979	672432	5627
1813	52321	Caribou	29979	90179	499
4550	190503	Cassia	23381	163006	1373
174	2780	Clark	24583	13217	37
2388	52461	Clearwater	23925	77755	358
1106	24385	Custer	24393	44316	285
3883	56149	Elmore	23756	186063	1619
2264	47270	Franklin	25446	38554	688
2769	53187	Fremont	23498	61576	713
3438	al473	G e m	21495	68005	570
3021	79156	Gooding	19823	75162	594
3916	166546	Idaho	22093	102251	685
3920	65467	Jefferson. ID	24421	77817	1266
3751	88657	Jerome	21209	92830	937
19208	340313	Kootenai	25593	489005	3941
6330	202984	Latah	22635	229385	1569
2038	54441	Lemhi	19697	47198	314
992	37846	Lewis	20926	39096	205
as7	18242	Lincoln, ID	21640	29187	169
3476	126466	Madison	23000	151053	1536
4820	a9779	Minidoka	23327	146691	1219
9020	265260	Nez Perce	25219	412614	1754
948	28736	Oneida	22582	17166	248
1928	38981	Owyhee	18595	56434	478
4282	89359	Payene	20367	101936	a44
1750	41545	Power'	24771	110756	444
4035	118043	Shoshone	20980	114432	550
831	16165	Teton	22799	16671	227
13360	465476	Twin Falls	23520	465495	3191
1667	42655	Valley	24232	56192	350
2344	80019	Washington	17917	49691	399
2961	76332	Deer Lodge	20281	55717	429
16131	368029	Flathead	24145	520340	3226
792	17476	Granite	18278	17269	121
2313	19039	Jefferson. MT	31400	52343	516
5485	115642	Lake	19755	119742	1057
12769	263762	Lewis & Clark	26409	509103	2718
4888	60682	Lincoln, MT	20898	131775	929
934	14983	Mineral	20938	20777	118
16514	435533	Missoula	23388	754552	4296
1603	30100	Powell	21621	45577	203
7281	149683	Ravalli	21113	121077	1142
2551	44683	Sanders	16616	45636	433
9844	238879	Silver Bow	21216	296092	1732
		Idaho			
		Montana			

Table 5. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County Raw Data

P1170002	P1170003	P1170004	P1170005	P1170006	P1170007	P1170008	P1170009	P1170010	P1170011	P1170012
3030	17916	16319	15999	32870	33107	19066	6810	6312	11517	6972
25	289	265	167	401	440	343	141	173	249	166
879	6635	5968	4884	8850	8854	5583	2118	1975	3607	2135
106	803	645	244	651	546	587	247	232	444	2 7 6
a0	656	696	450	887	1127	766	320	319	501	341
674	4412	3898	2246	4332	4220	3174	1332	1219	1991	1271
219	1153	922	868	2543	2775	1483	446	349	571	222
27	340	265	176	442	446	467	158	172	237	96
331	2050	2182	1117	2990	4017	2642	1150	1147	2097	1053
lo45	8098	7046	5569	lo554	9728	6183	2447	2437	3612	1921
128	775	776	440	927	1229	667	313	369	484	297.
34	323	303	137	338	348	303	118	114	196	107
11	61	65	31	81	lo3	91	29	38	52	42
1106	7750	7421	6010	11068	10776	8102	2965	3142	6201	4175
98	924	921	348	a93	932	602	250	265	416	295
314	2209	1923	1185	2226	2147	1695	691	756	1275	749
4	lo9	57	42	lo3	97	107	28	22	55	30
a9	583	702	395	951	1092	1019	423	402	673	359
73	311	412	162	526	606	432	136	149	267	147
438	1858	1539	1645	3863	2563	1596	610	546	a91	409
133	1174	1246	593	960	1058	630	296	306	656	458
199	1199	1209	751	1179	1136	947	359	428	651	4 0 3
130	929	a92	619	1244	1381	1209	539	480	1075	640
116	lo23	914	510	1313	1335	969	503	497	945	731
180	1130	1103	615	1574	la72	1355	607	670	lo29	701
286	2027	1669	1058	1995	1816	1373	596	456	a33	503
232	1379	1257	a49	1957	la65	1207	615	524	1119	666
778	5499	5444	4571	a920	lo261	7545	2994	2657	5139	2671
322	2068	1695	2139	4112	3656	2411	aaa	a26	1409	1050
58	463	432	328	649	967	656	273	350	651	302
44	256	265	147	412	411	347	148	168	342	196
36	313	292	230	323	418	412	111	145	236	136
359	2390	2246	2345	la74	la73	1236	456	409	753	425
261	2091	1990	1115	2445	2073	1945	672	716	1421	642
423	2474	2248	2427	4437	4669	3241	1490	1433	2761	1862
66	451	295	169	387	362	252	lo4	137	294	193
a0	515	707	495	889	a43	730	322	344	510	343
173	1388	1312	976	1663	1937	1631	620	651	1273	848
97	740	774	442	&lo	lo65	573	232	282	368	250
153	939	lo72	771	1520	1883	1445	579	639	1174	774
50	333	275	220	425	435	291	124	93	228	110
742	4700	429a	3542	6591	6656	5011	2011	2004	4079	2618
94	506	491	la6	719	lo35	675	325	215	486	la2
47	709	676	358	a40	987	718	381	352	711	607
124	555	681	500	997	1105	955	486	440	974	571
749	5034	4524	2944	7480	9458	5686	2223	2348	3873	2287
36	137	143	115	269	287	289	69	113	244	147
al	801	728	402	lo39	1442	a53	292	258	435	243
2 0 5	1707	1471	aaa	2150	2526	1762	790	915	1646	1059
668	3922	3614	2582	6624	7775	4753	1729	1625	2990	1705
250	1479	1569	848	2008	2597	2015	730	689	1163	588
31	274	259	129	373	468	321	175	la6	256	95
lo61	5601	5216	5378	10968	11979	6967	233a	2404	4000	2621
42	379	439	268	495	782	516	260	299	475	317
275	1923	1830	1080	2431	3419	2617	981	1262	2242	1296
146	626	654	388	884	1282	728	359	353	695	336
405	2209	2381	2136	4134	4440	3138	1355	1459	2953	1991

Table 5. ICRBP Idaho and Montana County Raw Data

P1170013	P1170014	P1170015	P1170016	P1170017	P1170018	P1170019	P1170020	P1170021	P1170022	P1170023
below pov.										
1893	391	2332	1663	3167	3048	2031	822	424	403	745
16	6	38	51	23	51	66	31	22	0	30
1052	236	1201	849	1697	1475	892	346	206	201	368
151	17	79	101	91	124	47	55	17	22	71
185	13	140	138	146	163	147	123	42	41	63
940	240	1047	583	567	1054	536	233	96	94	191
125	4	113	88	162	165	152	87	33	27	21
26	9	34	95	21	59	65	67	19	16	26
375	119	452	404	322	474	718	287	166	158	344
1061	221	1016	778	958	1191	703	325	159	123	246
90	15	144	96	161	141	137	82	26	66	70
32	12	46	75	16	27	52	24	8	44	24
20	2	10	12	5	11	7	9	4	1	3
1689	344	1901	1558	1884	1832	1385	742	345	354	530
67	7	73	30	64	67	35	20	23	23	36
430	88	443	330	391	422	226	75	34	97	139
16	2	4	2	14	17	1	4	3	0	4
88	23	148	89	61	131	116	60	43	38	90
37	16	65	57	47	80	65	84	36	40	35
501	62	381	203	345	434	257	113	39	46	112
150	17	164	94	69	173	79	38	18	37	27
243	51	249	142	152	228	127	107	67	79	72
264	68	245	232	154	354	160	99	41	49	149
250	46	285	231	162	255	205	151	43	60	104
191	43	238	147	157	237	228	109	81	80	147
324	52	393	397	208	233	298	116	30	33	122
276	64	359	297	217	265	329	141	84	79	138
902	212	1037	859	1098	1283	987	543	208	291	483
385	71	409	207	2143	888	414	180	65	50	112
159	28	265	99	120	187	149	95	27	55	99
50	11	79	67	37	79	57	47	21	21	21
57	6	68	47	41	62	33	34	6	28	25
380	57	397	227	4545	398	185	66	14	6	23
353	86	397	352	241	372	305	97	54	52	107
417	90	498	314	641	657	387	220	115	114	225
82	23	54	61	28	68	43	23	4	5	39
192	55	340	351	282	288	196	101	27	38	93
409	45	386	323	259	490	231	152	42	74	204
132	41	126	155	110	102	78	81	22	19	25
236	48	301	260	243	328	238	148	96	85	126
102	20	81	62	81	108	60	32	8	23	14
913	226	951	733	806	1182	547	410	189	256	371
68	9	106	84	89	69	161	43	36	36	43
192	10	229	165	141	219	116	139	46	84	165
139	28	147	276	305	231	192	92	53	64	140
899	169	1107	862	809	1203	1227	679	284	242	512
47	21	67	77	42	56	74	45	43	13	28
48	5	69	60	50	37	108	60	24	18	40
575	94	583	488	542	595	604	235	99	143	202
625	145	672	629	743	854	722	340	142	140	217
255	44	337	259	241	365	325	201	54	102	149
75	19	80	72	34	112	53	32	9	15	45
1282	326	1381	947	2930	2402	1527	655	280	343	300
149	39	129	97	83	156	113	55	7	22	19
483	90	558	482	391	519	537	220	144	133	137
130	26	255	184	104	219	193	125	65	79	137
531	160	505	424	716	775	507	343	260	137	219

Table 5. ICRBP Idaho and Montana County Raw Data

P1170024	all people for whom poverty status determined	below poverty	County	votes cast for President, 1992	Pop .> 18	No. Divorces
860	201368	17779	Ada	110166	147818	1478
19	3234	353	Adams	1937	2333	15
421	64908	8944	Bannock	32211	44553	241
86	6031	861	Bear Lake	2854	3789	22
78	7832	1279	Benewah	3703	5584	43
223	37158	5804	Bingham	15991	23118	136
57	13455	1034	Blaine	8022	9997	102
25	3506	462	Boise	2320	2492	31
284	26345	4103	Bonner	13821	19137	139
275	71467	7056	Bonneville	35376	46973	510
97	8059	1125	Boundary	3774	5640	30
32	2898	392	Butte	1503	1904	5
2	727	86	Camas	486	509	7
668	87575	13232	Canyon	37849	62152	560
47	6935	492	Caribou	3236	4336	24
120	19338	2795	Cassia	7622	12347	105
4	762	71	Clark	423	531	2
93	8026	980	Clearwater	3732	6372	55
49	4117	611	Custer	2164	2861	13
74	20146	2567	Elmore	6890	14492	183
111	9175	977	Franklin	4050	5541	28
89	10782	1606	Fremont	4954	6827	31
158	11681	1973	Gem	5723	8477	67
146	11388	1938	Gooding	5564	8080	68
180	13359	1838	Idaho	6734	10000	53
147	16473	2353	Jefferson, ID	7116	9840	77
143	14999	2392	Jerome	6720	10284	73
409	68932	8312	Kootenai	36328	50829	484
158	27427	5082	Latah	16330	23595	165
99	6825	1382	Lemhi	3821	5061	50
53	3486	543	Lewis	1778	2509	19
37	3265	444	Lincoln, ID	1699	2311	16
88	22290	6386	Madison	7763	16053	47
136	19142	2552	Minidoka	7417	12526	111
319	33216	3997	Nez Perce	16974	25428	215
54	3442	484	Oneida	1866	2206	9
89	8308	2052	Owyhee	3072	5635	16
274	16205	2889	Payette	6745	11445	127
38	7036	929	Power	2977	4568	21
119	13727	2228	Shoshone	6548	10334	70
30	3432	621	Teton	1918	2282	10
582	52609	7166	Twin Falls	23503	37660	337
24	6032	768	Valley	4153	4388	60
144	8435	1650	Washington	4281	6095	37
121	9605	1788	Deer Lodge	5269	7865	43
436	58261	8429	Flathead	31417	42452	363
35	2518	548	Granite	1323	1886	10
49	7658	568	Jefferson, MT	4225	5610	37
245	20583	4405	Lake	10553	14687	104
228	46162	5457	Lewis & Clark	26181	34128	293
118	17315	2450	Lincoln, MT	8378	12307	115
26	3257	572	Mineral	1635	2366	13
491	75695	12864	Missoula	43307	58271	512
44	5388	913	Powell	2938	5119	31
328	24720	4022	Ravalli	15020	18140	133
163	8566	1680	Sanders	4506	6184	27
312	33222	4889	Silver Bow	18146	25464	59
Idaho				482,142		5892
Montana				410,611		4116

Table 5. ICRBP Idaho and Montana County Raw Data

No. of elected officials/county	Police officers with arrest powers	Police officers per 1000 pop.	County	PO760001 males 35 + hrs/50 + wks	P0760002	P0760003	P O 7 6 6 6 0 4	
9	140	0.68	Ada	39978	2334	4291	3043	
9	12	3.69	Adams	416	50	88	145	
9	60	0.91	Bannock	10685	661	1135	9 9 2	
9	4	0.66	Bear Lake	1054	29	a2	48	
9	12	1.51	Benewah	1212	92	228	201	
9	39	1.04	Bingham	5761	308	741	684	
9	31	2.29	Blaine	2939	204	539	322	
9	5	1.42	Boise	571	28	110	109	
9	49	1.a4	Bonner	3747	283	752	578	
9	78	1.0a	Bonneville	14191	618	1170	1083	
9	17	2.04	Boundary	1124	97	254	184	
9	4	1.37	Butte	522	24	54	54	
9	5	6.88	Camas	119	12	16	20	
9	35	0.39	Canyon	13943	1089	1859	1729	
9	7	1.01	Caribou	1143	128	180	57	
9	30	1.54	Cassia	3313	149	392	4 3 3	
9	2	2.62	Clark	154	11	35	30	
9	19	2.23	Clearwater	1194	70	321	334	
9	6	1.45	Custer	848	21	69	61	
9	27	1.27	Elmore	4956	187	375	422	
9	6	0.65	Franklin	1491	36	83	146	
9	19	1.74	Fremont	1652	58	246	253	
9	10	0.84	Gem	2112	41	220	180	
9	7	0.60	Gooding	2020	67	183	265	
9	16	1.16	Idaho	2121	111	449	454	
9	10	0.60	Jefferson. ID	2644	134	344	313	
9	a	0.53	Jerome	2544	181	270	315	
9	60	0.86	Kootenai	11018	988	1706	1490	
9	15	0.49	Latah	4137	389	735	712	
9	NA	NA	Lemhi	1029	72	156	163	
9	7	1.99	Lewis	525	38	90	82	
9	NA	NA	Lincoln, ID	612	23	89	a3	
9	19	0.80	Madison	2438	226	499	505	
9	8	0.41	Minidoka	3307	210	509	428	
9	17	0.50	Ner Perce	5694	378	792	748	
9	5	1.43	Oneida	602	21	50	34	
9	9	1.07	Owyhee	1364	53	205	200	
9	11	0.67	Payette	2647	146	393	307	
9	7	0.99	Power	1067	139	218	161	
9	29	2.08	Shoshone	2138	131	301	340	
9	4	1.16	Teton	557	37	102	135	
9	24	0.45	Twin Falls	9550	546	1075	958	
9	10	1.64	Valley	874	69	196	157	
9	17	1.99	Washington	1156	a4	135	222	
9	18	1.75	Deer Lodge	1380	60	199	165	
12	37	0.62	Flathead	9309	777	1350	1134	
14	5	1.96	Granite	340	57	61	35	
12	8	1.01	Jefferson. MT	1563	45	130	a4	
11	12	0.57	Lake	2876	125	390	441	
10	25	0.53	Lewis & Clark	8404	360	a85	677	
12	20	1.14	Lincoln, MT	2495	162	483	493	
9	6	1.81	Mineral	486	19	91	86	
12	46	0.58	Missoula	12703	890	1579	1 4 2 6	
13	5	0.76	Powell	1078	332	63	310	
12	16	0.64	Ravalli	3418	275	549	478	
12	7	0.81	Sanders	1119	80	243	150	
25	38	1.12	Silver Bow	5001	228	536	561	
			Idaho					
			Montana					

Table 5. ICRBP Idaho and Montana County Raw Data

P0760005	P0760006	P0760007	P0760008	P0760009	P0760010	P0760011	P0760012	P0760013	P0760014
males 15-34+hrs			males <14 hrs.						
2714	2179	2369	368	834	886	1437	1314	559	26
110	53	30	2	11	16	15	15	11	0
941	864	932	127	432	262	498	501	152	13
95	121	25	0	5	18	40	46	31	0
153	144	40	2	41	31	43	46	9	0
721	713	290	7	113	133	292	259	91	6
198	152	194	18	59	27	77	65	18	0
51	67	33	0	6	5	48	10	0	0
471	391	238	2	65	141	153	140	74	2
857	819	603	83	270	330	333	350	103	14
217	127	100	6	34	30	41	50	7	0
50	46	11	3	6	5	10	17	7	0
33	25	7	3	0	5	5	5	0	0
1550	1443	761	113	363	349	449	595	285	29
98	119	62	0	11	41	22	35	13	0
310	259	114	14	31	42	74	105	43	30
30	9	4	0	5	0	2	6	0	0
213	189	49	7	11	17	34	68	23	0
43	58	51	0	5	15	30	26	12	0
263	241	162	6	38	30	65	71	43	2
144	230	118	1	18	37	52	52	31	0
210	227	59	2	29	48	60	67	46	0
193	189	137	8	21	27	28	73	34	0
229	180	111	2	35	20	79	62	52	0
316	364	93	18	49	45	50	79	48	0
311	241	117	6	25	52	83	157	28	0
322	271	144	5	27	49	49	59	43	0
1045	998	550	62	298	324	414	536	132	9
1068	1429	488	94	352	223	390	333	53	51
150	91	101	2	12	6	19	43	25	0
100	93	22	0	8	0	11	26	6	0
47	56	17	0	6	12	12	14	10	0
708	723	254	81	112	164	269	310	61	15
251	329	200	12	52	56	78	88	46	0
482	520	281	44	145	108	168	154	134	16
42	53	25	1	7	14	8	28	15	0
262	170	65	8	33	25	34	41	29	0
288	241	120	12	13	58	142	207	42	0
158	133	74	5	10	4	14	35	20	0
213	284	85	13	19	16	57	61	25	4
72	93	28	0	7	12	13	18	2	0
816	712	631	28	139	174	328	300	101	22
190	153	65	5	6	25	13	38	14	0
173	223	81	0	7	16	24	66	32	9
215	230	104	6	24	29	55	106	16	0
1028	908	548	54	136	237	450	313	136	19
65	79	23	0	8	6	9	23	4	2
142	102	61	0	6	6	38	65	41	0
399	497	148	37	78	56	125	226	56	8
702	626	402	55	184	188	346	297	120	42
289	322	93	21	59	63	96	101	36	7
65	65	15	0	0	15	5	27	8	0
1618	1548	1169	165	543	517	629	618	203	34
251	195	81	13	7	13	20	26	20	0
495	442	208	22	79	71	154	218	105	5
194	189	49	4	8	23	50	78	25	7
560	615	424	20	179	268	125	305	87	0

Table 5. ICRBP Idaho and Montana County Raw Data

P0760015	P0760016	P0760017	P0760018	P0760019	P0760020	P0760021	P0760022	P0760023	P0760024
males, no work					females 35 + hrs/50 + wks				
139	184	399	466	11053	25108	1791	3129	2898	2384
3	3	3	2	273	169	25	26	56	65
53	97	176	228	4115	5655	309	802	972	757
6	0	12	28	335	262	12	69	49	77
0	14	23	24	675	481	27	63	130	98
51	44	71	114	1868	2452	253	655	531	505
9	12	5	13	469	1543	200	311	281	177
2	0	0	13	325	212	19	47	60	54
44	7	48	62	2662	1910	112	319	434	402
69	83	111	182	3399	6042	394	1038	962	750
9	14	9	23	688	379	24	128	87	131
1	2	5	4	193	192	13	30	26	52
0	2	2	0	27	51	0	16	15	19
89	76	120	181	6334	7972	707	1411	1423	1291
0	0	3	0	329	284	14	62	70	44
44	26	34	25	934	1169	137	307	334	363
0	2	2	5	17	48	6	8	7	18
9	0	18	49	865	602	24	81	139	119
11	2	10	6	303	331	18	78	79	46
20	22	6	51	1003	1605	152	315	318	252
29	0	7	34	473	540	26	60	135	110
9	13	9	29	609	824	73	166	145	163
0	7	13	27	1051	872	27	104	159	153
13	26	5	38	828	846	23	93	219	125
0	15	9	34	1024	950	54	149	176	186
2	6	5	29	824	952	70	238	209	205
0	5	19	40	923	1106	77	187	342	303
40	54	87	251	5542	6079	529	965	1029	994
50	47	227	134	1587	2398	184	588	548	550
7	8	4	33	633	556	33	46	32	104
0	5	6	4	300	185	8	45	63	55
2	4	13	6	202	273	15	43	43	34
36	55	103	85	958	1108	151	382	629	850
19	10	17	32	921	1310	180	344	318	321
24	18	68	97	2809	3229	277	346	451	446
2	2	2	13	207	210	5	20	51	33
8	2	10	27	632	596	42	119	128	106
10	43	16	41	1093	1310	127	245	337	261
0	2	13	27	368	404	84	115	101	84
12	8	12	30	1534	868	49	162	220	199
0	0	5	2	159	199	31	64	53	36
26	62	85	107	3288	4745	441	643	777	657
29	13	0	9	469	400	54	110	134	106
0	13	7	27	758	584	64	58	114	140
0	13	0	35	1424	861	34	70	61	87
16	10	121	218	4745	4809	336	767	806	714
4	10	0	6	259	152	22	40	40	26
0	6	2	31	615	885	29	103	134	104
28	35	43	87	1847	1652	63	236	229	303
50	47	133	158	3357	6149	243	776	640	639
21	16	26	45	1539	1090	64	178	188	226
6	0	15	25	316	276	12	31	82	42
145	110	212	281	4999	7755	533	1247	1325	1284
0	0	44	44	688	531	20	35	69	49
10	11	65	95	2543	1872	75	345	291	330
17	8	7	33	953	485	5	67	91	127
19	43	56	124	3603	2996	186	335	371	438

Table 5. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County Raw Data

PO760025	PO760026	PO760027	PO760028	PO760029	PO760030	PO760031	PO760032	PO760033	PO760034
females, 15-34+ hrs				females < 14 hrs.					
1860	5650	736	1726	1887	2585	2170	774	104	385
72	105	5	20	24	38	47	25	3	0
789	1746	297	460	728	832	a49	301	54	178
87	122	2	28	67	69	75	46	' 0	11
119	232	7	54	59	94	110	42	5	11
779	561	48	266	325	404	494	147	30	49
138	379	28	175	150	142	101	47	5	38
a8	75	11	5	33	43	16	25	10	0
284	680	37	155	209	361	249	80	20	37
809	1772	150	710	531	1062	890	205	33	108
109	242	30	39	125	93	98	60	7	14
76	51	4	10	10	14	58	a	3	5
31	17	0	2	13	6	1a	6	0	0
1172	1842	306	644	712	1009	1001	347	30	146
128	216	15	54	54	60	102	37	1	a
406	366	32	73	150	213	274	114	19	49
11	6	0	0	4	7	a	6	0	0
127	181	9	58	68	91	104	30	9	9
55	79	12	18	25	47	52	36	0	a
317	337	118	130	131	322	268	78	6	55
160	232	27	58	74	117	69	59	16	33
204	175	8	70	91	127	152	68	6	2 2
217	307	40	52	98	166	125	40	0	0
1a9	290	34	76	114	117	111	99	5	17
241	239	15	91	93	119	214	114	3	29
310	310	24	85	211	168	215	42	10	38
355	288	22	104	191	131	1a6	56	6	17
940	1963	239	593	a27	808	717	289	41	119
662	a46	166	419	364	459	510	97	39	85
53	176	6	67	54	a9	56	79	4	1
75	82	2	27	17	23	51	21	3	8
a5	104	4	1a	28	32	50	24	0	5
712	574	91	282	580	611	478	159	29	150
377	375	30	167	205	181	203	a6	a	14
421	939	108	246	398	368	376	181	17	51
23	95	4	27	30	54	48	24	0	2
175	141	19	35	60	62	135	35	0	3
223	301	24	95	111	131	225	78	7	28
148	158	19	21	74	78	123	19	0	8
179	343	32	65	153	146	193	60	a	17
77	100	6	20	36	60	55	26	0	13
a14	1379	139	378	600	662	480	285	30	94
106	150	6	37	a5	58	41	25	14	5
247	143	1a	25	77	114	66	47	22	7
191	229	12	45	76	117	137	43	0	30
694	1727	217	497	590	834	63s	360	25	159
51	67	7	a	32	31	31	18	4	4
77	233	16	20	31	98	111	58	0	4
358	489	43	137	131	285	246	105	9	47
538	1182	145	372	385	498	520	190	45	136
259	421	26	133	224	210	214	108	3	12
49	56	4	43	19	43	52	14	2	12
1120	2420	303	a45	a57	1182	1037	281	44	140
40	127	a	20	27	54	98	6	0	13
357	637	47	155	210	311	364	123	14	43
215	150	15	48	77	113	118	39	0	9
373	a30	142	282	274	437	362	94	21	79

Table 5. **ICRBP** Idaho and Montana County Raw Data

PO760035	PO760036	PO766637	PO769038	%women	Total labor	County
females, no work working full tim					force	
452	797	950	23522	38.1	118906	Ada
20	28	14	447	18.5	1715	Adams
184	258	386	a239	28.4	34306	Bannock
10	29	56	952	17.0	2711	Bear Lake
3	27	64	1257	19.8	3929	Benewah
80	1o3	217	4375	27.4	18298	Bingham
15	36	43	1128	41.6	a660	Blaine
19	5	5	508	22.5	1780	Boise
34	39	121	4582	23.3	12681	Bonner
156	374	485	8422	30.0	37740	Bonneville
16	18	33	1310	18.0	3959	Boundary
2	4	19	414	23.7	1398	Butte
0	2	7	63	25.2	457	Camas
162	209	476	12753	30.0	45883	Canyon
20	10	55	1087	15.5	3146	Caribou
66	72	144	2366	24.2	9726	Cassia
4	4	5	89	26.8	437	Clark
29	45	42	1385	22.4	4373	Clearwater
11	6	45	511	29.3	2214	Custer
40	16	148	2468	29.3	11568	Elmore
35	19	29	1159	21.2	4308	Franklin
27	42	43	1253	29.1	5425	Fremont
1a	26	67	2035	22.3	5781	Gem
36	44	103	1721	22.6	5928	Gooding
19	35	106	2257	22.7	7088	Idaho
58	71	99	1853	24.4	7812	Jefferson. ID
11	67	55	1964	25.1	7847	Jerome
225	201	318	10308	27.9	36878	Kootenai
144	214	306	3215	26.9	19491	Latah
25	10	36	1245	23.8	3348	Lemhi
17	4	26	595	182	1728	L e w i s
15	9	18	402	27.5	1806	Lincoln, ID
156	235	157	1940	17.7	13977	Madison
37	30	89	2386	27.5	9919	Minidoka
66	61	199	5394	28.4	18051	Nez Perce
7	13	30	508	19.8	1595	Oneida
2	32	14	1129	26.7	4240	Owyhee
11	75	71	2495	27.3	a386	Payette
19	4	51	a92	25.1	3590	Power
21	67	78	2626	19.7	6609	Shoshone
4	5	23	324	26.0	1891	Teton
98	135	163	7690	28.8	28180	Twin Fells
32	i s	32	a54	24.9	3267	Valley
24	56	a2	1442	21.2	4163	Washington
28	4	50	2152	22.8	4712	Deer Lodge
112	203	298	8823	26.2	30547	Flathead
10	4	19	398	22.2	1298	Granite
1a	22	29	916	35.2	4314	Jefferson. MT
29	68	1o9	3311	24.9	10194	Lake
149	125	283	5562	36.5	26691	Lewis & Clark
47	103	107	2910	20.4	8441	Lincoln, MT
12	13	5	438	26.5	1695	Mineral
128	334	505	9646	30.8	45730	Missoula
16	14	45	943	27.7	3669	Powell
70	77	118	4260	23.6	12139	Ravalli
27	24	70	1552	17.2	3964	Sanders
65	129	199	6087	25.7	16764	Silver Bow
						Idaho
						Montana