This file was created by scanning the printed publication. Errors identified by the software have been corrected; however, some errors may remain.



United States Department of Agriculture

Forest Service

Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station

Fort Collins, Colorado 80526

Research Paper RM-276



Forest Vegetation of the Black Hills National Forest of South Dakota and Wyoming: A Habitat Type Classification

George R. Hoffman and Robert R. Alexander



Abstract

A vegetation classification based on concepts and methods developed by Daubenmire was used to identify 12 forest habitat types and one shrub habitat type in the Black Hills. Included were two habitat types in the Quercus macrocarpa series, seven in the Pinus ponderosa series, one in the Populus tremuloides series, two in the Picea glauca series, and one in the Cercocarpus montanus series. A key to identify the habitat types and the management implications associated with each are provided.

Cover Photo.—View of Harney Peak, and former lookout, as seen from Limestone Hills west of Custer. Nearly all the forest area seen is dominated by *Pinus ponderosa*.

Forest Vegetation of the Black Hills National Forest of South Dakota and Wyoming: A Habitat Type Classification

George R. Hoffman, Professor of Biology University of South Dakota

and

Robert R. Alexander, Chief Silviculturist Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station¹

Contents

- -

	Dago
	1
STUDY AREA	1
PHYSIOGRAPHY AND GEOLOGY	1
CI IMATE	2
ΜΕΤΗΟΠΟΙΟΟΥ	2
	5
FOREST HARITAT TYDES	7
	7
QUERCUS MACROCARFA SERIES	7
Quercus macrocarpa/Ostrya virginiana	/
	0
	0
Pinus ponderosa/Symphoricarpos albus	9
Pinus ponderosa-juniperus scopulorum	10
	11
Pinus ponderosa/Physocarpus monogynus	11
Pinus ponderosa/Quercus macrocarpa	12
Pinus ponderosa/Arctostaphylos uva-ursi	13
Pinus ponderosa/Juniperus communis	13
POPULUS TREMULOIDES SERIES	14
Populus tremuloides/Corylus cornuta	15
PICEA GLAUCA SERIES	17
Picea glauca/Linnaea borealis	18
Picea glauca/Vaccinium scoparium	19
SHRUB-STEPPE HABITAT TYPES	20
CERCOCARPUS MONTANUS SERIES	20
Cercocarpus montanus/Bouteloua curtipendula	20
OTHER VEGETATION	21
Pinus ponderosa/Prunus virginiana	21
Pinus contorta/Vaccinium scoparium	21
Pinus flexilis Community	22
Salix spp. Community	22
Riparian Forest Communities	22
KEY TO HABITAT TYPES	23
DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY	24
VALIDITY OF HABITAT TYPE CLASSIFICATION	24
DISTRIBUTION OF TREE SPECIES IN THE BLACK HILLS	24
SPECIES RICHNESS	24
SOME PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS	25
LONG-TERM VEGETATIONAL CHANGES	26
LITERATURE CITED	26
APPENDIX	30

-

Forest Vegetation of the Black Hills National Forest of South Dakota and Wyoming: A Habitat Type Classification

George R. Hoffman and Robert R. Alexander

INTRODUCTION

Many earlier studies of forest vegetation of the Black Hills have been conducted, but this study provides a comprehensive categorization and description of the forest vegetation based on quantitative data and Daubenmire methodology. Most of these earlier studies of Black Hills vegetation had floristic orientation; some involved descriptions of vegetation and some related to the management of *Pinus ponderosa*.

Plant collections have been made in the Black Hills since the 1800s, beginning with the early military and geological expeditions of Reynolds (1866), Custer (1875), Ludlow (1875), and Newton and Jenney (1880). Rydberg (1896), who made the first concentrated study of Black Hills flora, identified 688 species. Others continued to collect plants to complete the floristic list of the region. Buttrick (1914) discussed the origins of Black Hills flora. Hayward (1928) and McIntosh (1930, 1949) described the vegetation and some of the successional sequences as they interpreted them. These reports are significant only as historical documents providing a record of plants collected and the general nature of vegetation present. More recently, Thilenius (1972) and Severson and Thilenius (1976) described, in somewhat more detail, vegetation types in the Black Hills. Thilenius (1972) sampled along transects established originally to estimate numbers of deer in the Black Hills. Sampling on those areas selected for deer pellet studies limited the choices in selecting sampling sites and, therefore, the possibility of producing vegetation data that expressed biotic potential of the area. Because most of the sample sites were not in mature stands, Thilenius's (1972) "habitat units" coincide only coincidentally with habitat types. Severson and Thilenius (1976) classified aspen stands in the Black Hills and Bear Lodge Mountains. Their random selection of sample sites and virtual lack of successional interpretations leaves only correlational information among plants and abiotic factors.

This cooperative study² was started in 1982 to (1) identify and describe the forest habitat types on the Black Hills National Forest on the basis of both reconnaissance and intensively sampled plots well distributed throughout the whole forest, (2) relate habitat types to soils and climate, and (3) relate Black Hills National Forest habitat types to other Rocky Mountain forests with similar classifications. The habitat type classification,³ completed in 1986, is based on concepts and methods

²Funded by a cooperative agreement (28–C2–203) with the Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station (RWU–4252) located at Rapid City, S. Dak.

³Hoffman, George R. An ecological study of the vegetation of the Black Hills National Forest of South Dakota and Wyoming: a habitat type classification. 191 p. Unpublished report on file at Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colo. developed by Daubenmire (1952) and Daubenmire and Daubenmire (1968), and refined by others (Hoffman and Alexander 1976, 1980, 1983; Pfister and Arno 1980; Pfister et al. 1977; Steele et al. 1981, 1983).

The results reported here are intended for two primary audiences: forest managers and land-use planners who want a working tool to use on the Black Hills National Forest, and ecologists who want a research tool to use in related studies. Not all readers will find each category of information of equal value.

STUDY AREA

PHYSIOGRAPHY AND GEOLOGY

The Black Hills and associated Bear Lodge Mountains of South Dakota and Wyoming (fig. 1) are located on the Missouri Plateau of the Great Plains Province (Fenneman 1931). These mountains are a maturely dissected domal uplift with a central crystalline core surrounded by steeply dipping sedimentary deposits. The Black Hills extend about 124 miles (200 km) north to south and 62 miles (100 km) east to west. Harney Peak in the Central Core is the highest peak at 7,241 feet (2,207 m). Seven other peaks are 6,000 feet (1,829 m) or higher. The plains that surround the Black Hills are 3,002 feet (915 m) to 3,494 feet (1,065 m) in elevation. The Black Hills exhibit four distinct geomorphic sections: (1) the Central Core of granitic and metamorphic rocks; (2) the Limestone Plateau that surrounds the Central Core; (3) the Red Valley that nearly encircles the Black Hills, but is best developed on the east side; and (4) the Hogback Ridge just outside the Red Valley (Darton and Paige 1925).

The Central Core consists of Precambrian granites, schists, and metasediments and is located somewhat east of central of the domal structure. Following uplifts, this core was exposed by erosion of the overlying sedimentary deposits over vast periods of time. Although domal uplift of the Black Hills apparently began over 600 million years ago and occurred intermittently for more than 500 million years, the major uplift occurred sometime between late Cretaceous and early Tertiary. A final uplift during late Tertiary and early Quaternary left the Black Hills with their present configuration (Thornbury 1965) (fig. 2). The Central Core area is characterized by broad valleys, mountain peaks, and canyons. In addition to Harney Peak, other high peaks in this area are Bear Mountain [7,165 feet (2,184 m)], Terry Peak [7,070 feet (2,155 m)], and Custer Peak [6,795 feet (2,071 m)]. The soils of this area generally are acidic, coarse textured, and shallow, especially on slopes and at higher elevations.

The Limestone Plateau surrounding the Central Core consists of limestones, dolomites, and sandstones of early

to late Paleozoic. It is most prominent and broader in the northwestern part of the Black Hills, where in places it is more than 18 miles (30 km) wide. Over much of its area, it is nearly level but at somewhat higher elevation than much of the Central Core area. On the east side of the Black Hills, the plateau is much reduced in size and becomes more of a homoclinal ridge. Soils of the Limestone Plateau are relatively fertile and fine textured.

The Red Valley is outside of the Limestone Plateau and encircles the Black Hills. It is derived from red shales of the Permian and Triassic Spearfish formation. It is essentially a nonforested valley, about 2 miles (3 km) in width between the more gentle backslope of the Limestone Plateau and the sharply dipping escarpment of the Dakota Hogback. The approximately 655-foot (200-m) thick layer of Spearfish sands and shales between the heavy limestone below and the Dakota sandstones above are fairly susceptible to weathering, and form a valley of substrates more suitable for steppe vegetation. Soils are fine textured and generally deep.

The Dakota Hogback forms the outer rim of the Black Hills. Its inner face rises abruptly above the Red Valley, and its backslope tapers gradually to the plains outside of the Black Hills. It is formed from sandstone of Cretaceous age. Soils on the inner face are coarse textured; those on the outer slope (backslope) are fine tex-

BLACK HILLS NATIONAL FOREST



Figure 1.—Map of the Black Hills National Forest showing locations of intensively sampled stands.



Figure 2.—General surface geology of the Black Hills and Bear Lodge Mountains.

tured due to the influence of Pierre shale. In general, the soils of the Black Hills are classified as Eutroboralfs (gray wooded) (Radeke and Westin 1963).

The Bear Lodge Mountains northwest of the Black Hills also are a domal structure, but much smaller in size. The highest elevation is Warren Peak at 6,657 feet (2,029 m). The sedimentary rocks are the same as in the Black Hills.

CLIMATE

In the northern Great Plains, the climate surrounding the Black Hills is that of continental grassland, with low winter temperatures and high summer temperatures. Annual precipitation of the surrounding plains ranges from 13 inches (34 cm) to 18 inches (46 cm) (fig. 3) (table 1). Ardmore, Hot Springs, New Castle, Sundance, Belle Fourche, and Rapid City surround the Black Hills and are somewhat representative of the continental grassland climate. These stations receive 70% to 80% of their precipitation during the six warm months of the year, and record their highest and lowest mean temperatures in July and January, respectively. Most weather stations within the Black Hills receive more than 20 inches (50 cm) of precipitation annually. Two exceptions in table 1 are Deerfield and Custer, with 18 inches (46 cm) and 17 inches (44 cm), respectively. Among the weather stations within the Black Hills, precipitation during the six warm months ranges from 60% to 73% of the total, indicating that a larger proportion of the annual precipitation falls during the six cool months than on the surrounding plains.

The northern Black Hills usually receives more precipitation than the southern Black Hills and normal-

ly are cooler. Mean temperatures of the Black Hills generally are higher in the winter and lower in the summer than on the surrounding Great Plains. Also, yearly temperature extremes generally are less in the Black Hills than on the surrounding plains. For example, January means at Lead and Deadwood are 23° F (-5° C) and 24° F (-4.5° C), respectively, while those at Ardmore and Sundance are 19° F (-7° C) and 18° F (-8° C). July means at Lead and Deadwood are 68° F (20° C) and 67° F (19.5° C), respectively. The same monthly means at Ardmore and Sundance are 74° F (23.5° C) and 73° F (23° C). How climate influences vegetation patterns is discussed below.

The Black Hills are small in areal extent and in elevational range, compared to the massive blocks of mountains that make up the main chain of the Rocky Mountains. Forests in the Black Hills extend from approximately 3,658 feet (1,200 m) to about 6,400 feet (2,100 m), a span of 2,745 feet (900 m). Forests in the Colorado Rocky Mountains range from approximately 6,000 feet (1,830 m) to 10,365 feet (3,400 m), an elevational range great enough to delineate a well-defined climatic gradient varying from warm and dry at low elevations to cool and moist at upper elevations. Forests along this gradient occupy zones perpendicular to the gradient and exhibit characteristics that change from xeric to mesic as elevation increases (table 2). Warm, dry environments at low elevations, which support Pinus ponderosa forests, are



Figure 3.—Isohyets of annual precipitation (cm) in the Black Hills.

especially conspicuous in the southwestern corner of the Black Hills. Along the northeastern perimeter of the Black Hills, at slightly higher elevations, the environments are more moist and exhibit considerably richer undergrowths, but still support Pinus ponderosa forests. Pinus ponderosa forests, which extend upward to elevations of 6,516 to 6,890 feet (2,000 m to 2,100 m), overlap Populus tremuloides and Picea glauca forest zones. Thus, zonation of vegetation in the Black Hills is less conspicuous, partly because of a weaker climatic gradient from low to high elevation that is associated with a small mountain mass. Complex topography, variable wind patterns, transfers of moisture, various depths of snowpack and times of melting, and other results of exposure and elevation all tend to obscure simple and direct relationships between elevation and temperature or precipitation in the Black Hills. The distribution of climax, or near climax, vegetation can be a more reliable indicator of climatic conditions in the Black Hills than weather stations scattered across the region.

METHODOLOGY

Preliminary work began in 1982 with a reconnaissance survey of about 200 sites throughout the Black Hills National Forest. Reconnaissance involved traveling over all the roads and trails and giving rather uniform attention to the entire area traveled. The object was to locate the oldest and least disturbed stands of forest in the region. When stands were located, they were examined for both overstory and undergrowth characteristics, and the topographic position, slope, aspect, and elevation were noted. The surface soil texture and the parent material also were estimated. Within the area chosen for reconnaissance, all the vascular plant species present were listed and coverage of the more important ones were estimated. Plant specimens also were collected for herbarium vouchers. Based on field notes and data collected, a list of possible habitat types then was developed. Pinus ponderosa is climax over much of the Black Hills, and it dominates most of the stands examined. Because it also is seral to Picea glauca in the Black Hills, it was first determined if P. ponderosa was the climax dominant of the stand examined. Whatever tree species is climax in a given stand is one of the first things to be determined based on size-class distribution of trees in the population and on the vegetation of the immediately surrounding area. Where "mixed" stands of P. ponderosa, P. glauca, Populus tremuloides, and Betula papyrifera occur in the Black Hills, the status of P. glauca was assessed first, because it may be the climax species. It seldom moves downslope onto drier sites following disturbance, but the other species more commonly move upslope onto more moist sites following disturbance. In the absence of P. glauca, mixed stands must be evaluated in terms of dominant species that appear to be self-reproducing and present in all or most size classes. It is important to be familiar with the range of possible tree mixtures and their successional relationships at various locations and elevations in the Black Hills. Because competition eliminates all or most of the seral trees, or leaves only relict populaTable 1.—Mean monthly temperatures (°C) and precipitation (mm) from selected weather stations in and near the

												Мо	nth
	Elevation	Jai	ı.	Fel	b	Ma	r	Ар	r	Ma	iy	Ju	ne
Location	(m)	Temp.	Ppt.	Temp.	Ppt.	Temp.	Ppt.	Temp.	Ppt.	Temp.	Ppt.	Temp.	Ppt.
Sundance	1,454		18.8				29.0		43.2		64.8		85.9
Belle Fourche	920	-5.5	6.6	-4.2	5.8	-0.3	16.3	+7.7	47.2	+ 13	53.3	+ 18	80.0
Spearfish	1,112		15.2		13.0		24.9		57.1	_	91.7	_	42.2
Fort Meade	1,006	-4.2	14.2		18.0		39.1		50.3	_	117.0	_	90.9
Rapid City	957	-4.2	10.7	-2.9	10.9	+0.1	27.4	+7.6	50.8	+ 13	70.4	+ 18	87.6
Hermosa	1,006	-5.6	8.6		7.9		17.8		41.0	_	98.6	_	82.0
Hot Springs	1,111	-3.8	10.4	-2.3	13.2	+1.3	28.5	+8.2	48.5	+ 14	77.2	+ 19	76.5
New Castle	1.316	-4.9	11.4	-3.1	9.1	+0.1	20.6	+7.1	36.6	+ 13	58.9	+ 18	65.3
Deadwood	1,382	+1.6	29.2	+ 1.6	26.4	+7.3	58.2	+ 12.0	96.0	+ 17	116.0	+24	93.7
Lead	1,621	-4.3	28.2	-3.5	22.1	1.3	43.2	+5.2	75.2	+11	99.1	+ 16	104.0
Dumont	1,890		35.2		22.1		44.2		64.3		84.1		90.4
Greenmont	1,932		35.6		33.0		43.2		87.6		87.1	_	78.7
Hardy Ranger Station	2,012		42.9		30.7		39.4		50.5	_	60.5	_	69.1
Harveys Ranch	1,915		40.9		34.3		46.2		62.5	_	64.1	_	103.0
Buskala Ranch	1,859		32.2		22.1		44.7		79.0	_	102.0	_	108.0
Rochford	1,609		21.8		18.3		28.5		55.1	_	74.7	_	80.3
Silver City	1,524		18.0		23.4		50.0		58.2	_	88.9	_	104.0
Deerfield	1,829		15.0		10.4		19.8		44.7	_	67.6	_	84.8
Custer	1.621		10.4		9.7		25.4		47.8	_	78.5	—	78.5
Elk Mountain	1,432		15.8		11.7		15.8		33.3	_	49.8	—	69.1

Table 2.—Selected topographic and edaphic characteristics of the habitat types in the Black Hills and Bear Lodge Mountains.

Habitat type	Stands sampled	Elevation	Soil texture ¹ (range)	РН	Organic matter ¹
	number	m		perc	ent
Picea glauca/Linnaea borealis	5	1768-1958	loam	5.4-7.3	2.7-10.5
Picea glauca/Vaccinium scoparium	5	1737-2040	loam-silty clay loam	4.9-6.9	2.3-7.7
Populus tremuloides/Corylus cornuta Pteridium aquilinum phase Aralia nudicaulis phase	9	1219-1902	sandy loam- silt loam	5.5-6.2	2.2-8.7
Pinus ponderosa/Quercus macrocarpa	4	1256-1615	sandy loam- clay loam	5.3-6.9	4.6-9.5
Pinus ponderosa/Physocarpus monogynus	3	1567-1737	loam	6.5-7.1	4.5-11.3
Pinus ponderosalJuniperus communis	7	1384-1989	sandy loam- clay loam	5.1-6.5	4.6-9.7
Pinus ponderosa/Symphoricarpos albus Oryzopsis asperifolia phase Balsamorhiza sagittata phase	12	1288-1820	sandy loam- silt loam	5.1-7.0	3.5-16.6
Pinus ponderosa/Arctostaphylos uva-ursi	10	1548-2042	sandy loam- clay loam	4.7-6.7	2.0-10.3
Pinus ponderosa/Carex heliophila	3	1372-1576	loamy sand- loam	4.8-5.8	1.5-4.7
Pinus ponderosa-Juniperus scopulorum	1	1189	sandy loam	7.3	6.9
Quercus macrocarpa l Ostrya virginiana	5	1067-1250	sandy loam- clay loam	5.6-7.4	3.6-8.5
Quercus macrocarpa/Symphoricarpos occidentalis	1	1280	loam	6.0	7.2
Cercocarpus montanus/Bouteloua curtipendula	3	1265-1493	loam-clay loam	7.6-7.8	1.2-5.5

¹Upper 1 dm of soil.

Black Hills. Data are from the U.S. Department of Agriculture (1933) and U.S. Department of Commerce (1960).

	Ann.	_	Dec.		Nov.		Oct.		Sep.		Aug.		July
Ppt. tot.	Temp aver.	Ppt.	Temp.	Ppt.	Temp.	Ppt.	Temp.	Ppt.	Temp.	Ppt.	Temp.	Ppt.	Temp.
425		17.5		19.8		25.2		35.1		27.7		42.2	
340	+ 8.1	5.6	-3.3	10.7	+ 1.7	19.6	+9.5	30.0	+ 16	30.7	+21	33.8	+23
445	_	12.7	_	12.7	_	18.8	_	24.1	_	39.9	_	35.8	_
549	_	17.5	—	11.4	_	31.0		33.3	_	50.0	_	76.0	+22
414	+8.9	7.9	-1.7	9.9	+2.9	24.1	+ 11.0	24.9	+17	42.4	+22	47.0	+24
462	_	11.7	_	9.9	_	27.2	-	36.6	_	53.1	_	67.3	+22
423	+9.3	9.1	-1.7	9.4	+2.7	19.6	+11	33.8	+17	43.4	+23	53.9	+24
351	+8.3	10.4	-1.7	11.9	+1.6	21.1	+9.7	22.9	+ 16	43.2	+22	39.6	+24
762	+14.0	36.6	+2.0	32.5	+7.7	52.3	+ 14.0	59.4	+21	63.2	+26	98.6	+27
594	+7.2	24.1	-2.2	29.0	+1.3	33.5	+ 8.9	39.9	+15	42.7	+20	52.8	+11
559		24.9		31.2	_	28.7	_	35.1	_	44.7	_	53.9	_
654	_	34.5		31.8	_	42.9	_	41.7	_	65.0	_	72.4	_
539	_	31.5	_	26.9	_	41.2	_	42.9	_	46.2	_	57.7	_
651	_	25.9	_	28.7	_	35.8		38.9	_	58.4		91.4	_
622	_	24.9	_	28.2	_	32.0	_	37.6	_	45.7	_	65.8	_
515	_	17.5	_	20.6	—	32.5	_	35.3	_	57.7	_	72.6	—
535	_	16.3	_	22.4	_	15.5	_	33.3	_	44.5	—	60.7	_
459	_	10.2	_	14.5	_	27.9	_	35.0		54.9	_	74.7	_
437	—	9.1	—	9.9	_	26.7	_	26.7	_	64.0		50.4	_
401		13.0	_	16.8	-	26.9		38.9	_	43.2	_	67.1	—

tions, old-growth forest stands are less complicated in terms of tree populations.

After the overstory was evaluated, the undergrowth was examined for disturbance before the stand was deemed acceptable for either reconnaissance or intensive sampling. The presence of numerous alien plant species in the undergrowth is a good indication of significant disturbance. Some weedy species persist indefinitely after a disturbance, though perhaps only in rather depauperate condition. This must be recognized and considered. When the sample plot was considered acceptable for sampling, its exact location was noted subjectively, usually by its proximity to the largest tree in the stand, as long as the plot was not adjacent to a game trail, an ecotone, or other disturbed area.

In the Black Hills, intensive management has occurred for so long that most of the forest land supports young to medium-aged forests. Few areas in the Black Hills are inaccessible, and timber harvesting has occurred over most of the region. Finally, the devastation of widespread fires and periodic outbreaks of mountain pine beetles during the early days have further reduced the number of sites supporting older stands of trees. Because of past disturbance by logging, fire, insects, and grazing, undisturbed old-growth stands were not available in every locality. Stands sampled were representative of forest and shrub communities dominated by the following species: *Cercocarpus montanus, Quercus macrocarpa, Pinus* ponderosa, Populus tremuloides, and Picea glauca.

In each stand, a 49.2- by 82.0-foot (15- by 25-m) plot was laid out with the long dimension parallel to the contour, in the most homogeneous part of the stand. Each main plot then was subdivided into three 16.4- by 82.0-foot (5by 25-m) subplots. Within each 4,036-square-foot (375-m²) main plot, all trees taller than 3.28 feet (1 m) were measured and recorded by 0.328-foot (1-dm) diameter classes. Trees less than 3.28 feet (1 m) tall were counted and recorded in two 3.28- by 82.0-foot (1- by 25-m) transects along the inner sides of the central subplot.

Canopy coverage of all understory shrubs, forbs, and graminoids was estimated in 50 7.9- by 19.7-inch (2- by 5-dm) microplots placed systematically along the inner sides of the central subplot. Canopy coverage of each species was recorded as one of six coverage classes (1-5%, 6-25%, 26-50%, 51-75%, 76-95%, and 96-100%) (Daubenmire 1959). Also listed were those species not occurring in the 50 microplots but present in the 4.036-square-foot (375-m²) main plot.

Finally, 25 cores representing the upper decimeter of the mineral soil were collected from each of the 68 stands. These samples were air dried in the field, then composited for laboratory analysis.

Tree-size class data were combined according to habitat type, and mean values for each size class in each forest habitat type are recorded (table A-1). In the Cercocarpusdominated shrub habitat type where no trees were present, only the canopy coverage of shrubs and forbs was recorded.

For each microplot examined, the midpoints of the coverage classes were used to calculate average percent coverage for each shrub, graminoid, and forb species. Frequency, the percentage of microplots in which a species occurs in each stand, also was determined for each species. Coverage and frequency data for all understory species plus site data are shown in appendix tables A-2 through A-10. Species coverage and selected stand characteristics were transferred to an association table, then stands were arranged and rearranged to group those with similar floristic composition and climax tree species. Habitat type separation was based on a consideration of both overstory and major shrubs, graminoids, and forbs (Daubenmire 1952, Daubenmire and Daubenmire 1968, Mueller-Dombois and Ellenberg 1974).

Soil texture was determined by a modified Bouyoucos method (Moodie and Koehler 1975). Other soil characteristics determined were pH (using a glass electrode on the saturated soil paste), cation-exchange capacity and base saturation (by addition), exchangeable Ca and Mg (EDTA titration method), K and P (bicarbonate extraction method), pH lime requirement (Ohio SMP method), and organic matter (dichromate method).

All plants in this study were identified to species where possible. Nomenclature follows Van Bruggen (1976). There were a few taxonomic curiosities, mainly resulting from a lack of flowering or fruiting specimens. It is possible some hybridization was encountered. Osmorhiza depauperata, O. chilensis, and O. longistylis occur and were identified. An occasional specimen of Osmorhiza was encountered that could not be identified as any one of these species, or any other Osmorhiza species known to occur in this region. There were minor problems with Allium, Viola, and Carex, particularly on certain sites where they appeared to be growing poorly and producing no flowers. The vegetative forms of Fragaria virginiana and F. vesca overlapped, and it was not possible to consistently separate them. Some Ribes species proved difficult to identify in certain locations. Ribes oxyacanthoides and/or R. setosum could not be distinguished in all places, and certain R. lacustre plants appeared similar to R. oxyacanthoides. Where considerable variation made it impossible to determine species, only genera were used.

ECOLOGIC TERMS AND CONCEPTS

Because terminology in ecology is not uniformly used or understood, the terms and concepts used in this paper are defined. Unless stated otherwise, all terms follow usage proposed by Daubenmire and Daubenmire (1968).

"Climax vegetation" is that which has attained a steady state with its environment; without disturbance, species of climax vegetation successfully maintain their population sizes. Tansley (1935) proposed recognizing climatic, edaphic, and physiographic climaxes; he also discussed fire and biotic climaxes. Daubenmire (1952) used this approach, with modifications, in his classification of forests in the northern Rocky Mountains. Daubenmire (1968) further elaborated on the definition, usage, and limitations of the polyclimax concept. "Climatic climax" vegetation develops on normal topography with fairly deep, welldrained, loamy soil. The absence of recurrent disturbance also is critical in defining "climatic climax" vegetation. Where soils or topography exert sufficient influence to produce self-perpetuating vegetation distinct from the climatic climax, the terms "edaphic climax" and "topographic climax," respectively, are used to describe the steady-state vegetation. Where special topographic conditions also favor the development of edaphic conditions distinct from the normal, the term "topo-edaphic climax"

often is used in descriptions of the resulting steady-state vegetation.

Where recurring disturbance, such as grazing or fire, exerts a predominant influence on the composition or structure of steady-state vegetation, the term "disclimax" is used. Two common disclimaxes are the "zootic climax" and the "fire climax." In the absence of disturbance, it is possible the vegetation will revert to the primary climax.

Habitat type is the basic unit in classifying lands or sites based on potential (climax) natural vegetation. A habitat type represents, collectively, all parts of the landscape that support or have the potential of supporting the same climax vegetation; each habitat type is named for its climax plant association. The next higher category of classification is the series, which groups all habitat types having the same overstory climax dominant (Hoffman and Alexander 1976). For example, all habitat types with Pinus ponderosa as the potential climax dominant are grouped into the P. ponderosa series. There is an ecologic basis for grouping habitat types into series. The P. ponderosa series, for example, occupies areas that generally are warmer and drier than the Populus tremuloides series. At higher elevations or in more moist, cool canyons of the Black Hills, Picea glauca becomes the dominant species. In the absence of adequate on-site climatic data, it is assumed that the distributions of these self-perpetuating populations of dominant trees are more reflective of macroclimate than the undergrowth vegetation, which is related more to microclimate and soils. In general, undergrowth species are distributed fairly independently of overstory species. On the structure of plant communities, the "union" is the smallest unit. It can consist of only one species that has a distinct ecology, or it can consist of several to many species that have similar ecological requirements, distributions, or even life forms.

Many stands in a series have the same general appearance regardless of whether they are in the Black Hills National Forest or in nearby forests of Colorado and Wyoming (Alexander et al. 1986; Hess and Alexander 1986; Hoffman and Alexander 1976, 1980, 1983). Habitat types within a series are distinguished on the basis of undergrowth vegetation. For example, in western Colorado, several habitat types occur in the Populus tremuloides series. All are distinguished on the basis of the undergrowth vegetation. The presence of the floristically rich Thalictrum fendleri union indicates the P. tremuloides/T. fendleri habitat type. On some sites, the addition of Heracleum sphondylium in the undergrowth indicates another habitat type. Thus, P. tremuloides/T. fendleri and P. tremuloides/H. sphondylium are two distinct habitat types, although the T. fendleri union is well represented in both.

Because of past disturbances, very little of the Black Hills National Forest currently supports climax vegetation. It is possible that much of the area occupied by a habitat type will never attain climax status. Nevertheless, it is possible and important to interpret land units in terms of their potential status. The practical value of habitat type classifications is only beginning to be realized in areas of tree productivity, disease and insect susceptibility, potential for producing forage and/or cover, soil moisture, and tree regeneration (Arno and Pfister 1977; Daubenmire 1961, 1973; Layser 1974; Pfister 1972). The habitat type concept offers a useful approach to classifying and managing forest resources.

FOREST HABITAT TYPES

Forest vegetation in the Black Hills National Forest ranges from the xerophytic Quercus macrocarpadominated vegetation at the warmer, drier, low elevations to the mesophytic Picea glauca-dominated vegetation at the cooler, moister, higher elevations.

QUERCUS MACROCARPA SERIES

The Quercus macrocarpa series occurs at low elevations of the northern Black Hills, where it forms woodland communities in which Q. macrocarpa dominates stands of closely spaced small trees. The stands have physiognomic similarities to those of Quercus gambelii of the central Rocky Mountains. Q. macrocarpa also occurs as a shrub under Pinus ponderosa and as a tree in lowland riparian forests with Fraxinus pennsylvanica, Ulmus americana, Celtis occidentalis, Pinus ponderosa, and Acer negundo. Q. macrocarpa apparently does not occur south of French Creek and is near its westernmost limit of distribution in the Black Hills. Previous hybridization between Q. macrocarpa and Q. gambelii in the Black Hills (Maze 1968) has resulted in some taxonomic anomalies. Whether it also resulted in ecologic differentiation within Q. macrocarpa in the Black Hills is unknown, but this species is the only one in this region that occurs as a full-statured tree, a small tree, and a shrub.

The *Q.* macrocarpa series was sampled in six stands and two habitat types that were on east- to north-facing slopes at elevations of 3,500 to 4,200 feet (1,067 to 1,280 m) (table 2). Although most *Q.* macrocarpa-dominated stands in the Black Hills are heavily grazed, the sampled stands were ungrazed or only lightly grazed. Dominant *Q.* macrocarpa in these stands ranged from 66 to 185 years old at breast height. Basal areas on the study plots ranged from 104 to 139 square feet per acre (24 to 32 m²/ha). Tree sizes ranged from seedlings to the 16- to 20-inch (4to 5-dm) d.b.h. class. Tree populations and undergrowth data for *Q.* macrocarpa stands are shown in tables A–1 and A–2.

Quercus macrocarpa/Ostrya virginiana

Description.—The Quercus macrocarpa/Ostrya virginiana habitat type was sampled in five stands. Four of these were sampled along the north fringe of the Black Hills, just outside the forest boundary. The fifth stand was in Dark Canyon, along Rapid Creek. Because of the moist conditions, this stand is less representative of the *Q*. macrocarpa/O. virginiana habitat type than the other four stands.



Figure 4.—Quercus macrocarpa/Ostrya virginiana habitat type. Interior of stand 45. The meter stick in this and subsequent photographs is painted in decimeter segments.

This habitat type is recognized by the presence and reproductive success of Q. macrocarpa, and by the presence and abundance of O. virginiana both in the undergrowth and the understory (fig. 4). Because O. virginiana is a vigorous shrub reaching 6 to 10 feet (2 to 3 m) at maturity, it is included with the tree species in table A-1. An occasional Fraxinus pennsylvanica, Ulmus rubra, Betula papyrifera, and Pinus ponderosa occur in this habitat type, but only P. ponderosa normally persists beyond the seedling stage. The undergrowth coverage in this habitat type varies considerably from stand to stand. In addition to O. virginiana, undergrowth species with high constancy are Berberis repens, Prunus virginiana, Ribes spp., Symphoricarpos occidentalis, Carex foenea, Disporum trachycarpum, Smilacina stellata, and Woodsia scopulina. Because it is more characteristic of mesic lowland forests where Q. macrocarpa also occurs, stand 20 has more than twice the number of undergrowth species than are found in the other stands sampled in this habitat type. The Q. macrocarpa/O. virginiana habitat type has not been reported elsewhere.

Management implications.—The *Q. macrocarpa/O.* virginiana habitat type is not managed for wood production. Treatments, including tree harvesting, are directed toward maintaining or enhancing forage production for livestock, habitat for wildlife, and watershed protection. Fuelwood may be a by-product of treatments. The habitat type is spring-fall range for livestock and winter range for deer. It also is an important food source (acorn mast) for turkey and fox squirrels that also require adjacent mature *P. ponderosa* stands for dens, roosts, and cover.

If the *Q. macrocarpa* habitat type is treated, cutting, burning, etc., can be directed toward improving the vigor and growth of *Q. macrocarpa* and increasing the proportion of *O. virginiana* and other shrubs, such as *P. virginiana*, and associated graminoids in the undergrowth. Although cattle and deer are not directly competitive in their preferred food supply, proper grazing management, in terms of stocking and season of use by livestock, is essential to prevent depletion of deer winter range. Numerous birds that feed on *O. virginiana* buds and small mammals also inhabit areas occupied by these habitat types. Average annual precipitation is below average for the Black Hills and Bear Lodge Mountains, and the potential for increasing water production is low. Developed and dispersed recreation and the potential for increasing recreation use is lower than in *P. ponderosa*-dominated habitat types.

Quercus macrocarpa/Symphoricarpos occidentalis

Description.—This habitat type occurs along the northern fringe of the Black Hills and westward a short distance outside the Black Hills. The best developed stands are in the foothills between Sundance, Wyo., and Whitewood, S. Dak. Most of the stands are heavily grazed and not suitable for sampling. The single stand sampled is west of Whitewood on the east slope of Elkhorn Peak. Because this stand is rather high on the slope and distant from a water supply, it is utilized only minimally by cattle.

The Quercus macrocarpa/Symphoricarpos occidentalis habitat type is recognized by the overstory dominance of Q. macrocarpa and the abundance of S. occidentalis in the undergrowth. The undergrowth is dominated by shrubs that provide 50% coverage. In addition to S. occidentalis, other important shrubs are Amelanchier alnifolia, Berberis repens, Prunus virginiana, Rubus idaeus, and Toxicodendron rydbergii; Herbaceous species are less important. The most significant are Carex foenea, Poa pratensis, and Galium spp. This habitat type has not been reported elsewhere.

Management implications.—Q. macrocarpa/S. occidentalis is an incidental habitat type with management implications similar to those for Q. macrocarpa/Ostrya virginiana habitat type, but it occurs on somewhat drier sites. It has slightly less potential for livestock forage because of lower production of herbaceous vegetation and the unpalatability of S. occidentalis.

PINUS PONDEROSA SERIES

Pinus ponderosa is the most abundant and most widely distributed tree in the Black Hills. It occurs from low to high elevation on all soil types and on all aspects. According to Boldt et al. (1983), stands of climax P. ponderosa occupy 1,482,000 acres (600,000 ha) in the Black Hills. This tree is absent from only those areas that generally are treeless. It is a seral or an occasional species in Picea glauca- and Populus tremuloides-dominated forests, and an occasional tree in more xerophytic Quercus macrocarpa-dominated woodlands or in shrub-steppe or steppe vegetation. P. ponderosa may be invading areas it had occupied earlier before a major disturbance, but more documentation is needed. If this is the case, then the steppe or shrub-steppe is actually a Pinus-dominated habitat type (figs. 5 and 6). There is no question that P. ponderosa in the Black Hills is aggressive; reproduction is prompt and in sufficient quantities to maintain the population (fig. 7). Within the P. ponderosa series, it has little competition from other tree species; after fire or log-



Figure 5.—Scattered Pinus ponderosa in the grasslands of the southern Black Hills. A few trees have established in the fenced exclosure.



Figure 6.—Andropogon scoparius in the foreground. Where Pinus ponderosa forms closed stands, as in the background, A. scoparius is much reduced or shaded out entirely.



Figure 7.—*Pinus ponderosa* is an aggressive species over much of the Black Hills. It is reestablishing here on a road cut in soils derived from igneous rock.

ging, it reestablishes and often produces extremely dense stands. *P. tremuloides*, the only other tree of consequence that offers competition to *P. ponderosa*, forms stands on some sites; but overall, *P. tremuloides* is a minor tree in the Black Hills. The combination of early uncontrolled fires, mountain pine beetle outbreaks, intense forest management activities, and accessibility to grazing animals has influenced the present status of *P. ponderosa*dominated forests in the Black Hills. *P. ponderosa* may be the climax tree over much of the land area of the Black Hills, as Boldt et al. (1983) indicated, but because of intense past use, existing forests usually are in seral states. Also, the blended undergrowth vegetation makes habitat type identification more difficult than in other areas of the Rocky Mountains.

The *P. ponderosa* series was sampled in 40 stands, representing seven habitat types, ranging in elevations from 3,900 to 6,700 feet (1,190 to 2,042 m) (table 2). Ages of dominant *P. ponderosa* ranged from 90 to 290 years at breast height. Basal areas on the study plots ranged from 78 to 218 square feet per acre (18 to 50 m²/ha). Tree sizes ranged from seedlings to the 24- to 28-inch (6- to 7-dm) d.b.h. class. Tree populations and undergrowth data for *P. ponderosa* are shown in tables A–1 and A–3 through A–7.

Pinus ponderosa/Symphoricarpos albus

Description.—Pinus ponderosa/Symphoricarpos albus is the most common P. ponderosa-dominated habitat type in the Black Hills. This habitat type, sampled in 12 stands, is recognized by the overstory dominance and reproductive success of P. ponderosa, and the dominance of S. albus in the undergrowth (fig. 8). Tree populations show a wide range of size classes. Some stands are distinctly two- or three-aged, with gaps apparent in the middle diameter classes. In addition to S. albus, other important shrubs are Amelanchier alnifolia and Rosa woodsii. The herbaceous layer is dominated by Oryzopsis spp., Achillea millefolium, Anemone patens, Antennaria plantaginifolia, Balsamorhiza sagittata, and Campanula rotundifolia (table A-3).



Figure 8.—*Pinus ponderosa/Symphoricarpos albus* habitat type. All diameter classes up to and including 16- to 20-inch (4- to 5-dm) d.b.h. class are present. Total coverage of undergrowth in this stand (59) is 60%.



Figure 9.—Pinus ponderosa/Symphoricarpos albus habitat type; Balsamorhiza sagittata phase. This phase is best developed in the southwestern Black Hills.

Two phases of the *P. ponderosa/S. albus* habitat type were recognized in the Black Hills.

1. **Balsamorhiza sagittata phase.**—This is a minor phase with restricted distribution along the western edge of the Black Hills. The *B. sagittata* phase, sampled in two stands, is recognized by the codominance of *B. sagittata*, with *S. albus* in the undergrowth (fig. 9). The undergrowth in the stands sampled in this phase show considerable variability (table A–3).

2. **Oryzopsis asperifolia phase.**—This phase was sampled in four stands in the Central Core region. The undergrowth is characterized by the conspicuous presence of *O. asperifolia*, and the occurrence of *S. albus* and its associates. Arctostaphylos uva-ursi is prominent in two of the stands sampled (table A–3).

The *P. ponderosa/S. albus* habitat type is the richest in species of all habitat types in the Black Hills. Elsewhere, this habitat type also is rich in species (Daubenmire and Daubenmire 1968, Pfister et al. 1977). The *P. ponderosa/S. albus* habitat type occurs in eastern Washington and northern Idaho (Cooper,⁴ Daubenmire and Daubenmire 1968), east-central and southwestern Montana (Pfister et al. 1977), and in central Idaho (Steele et al. 1981). Many of the undergrowth species common in the Black Hills also occur in this habitat type in the northern Rocky Mountains.

Management implications.—Timber production potential of *P. ponderosa* varies considerably in this habitat type (Hornibrook 1939, Meyer 1938). While all silvicultural systems and cutting methods can be used in this habitat type, a two-cut shelterwood is a preferred even-aged method of harvesting *P. ponderosa* in stands without a manageable stand of advanced reproduction. Generally, prompt and dense subsequent regeneration is characteristic of this habitat type under well-executed shelterwood cuts. In stands with a manageable stand of advanced reproduction, a simulated shelterwood should be used to remove the overstory and release the understory (Alexander 1987).

⁴Cooper, Steven, Kenneth Neiman, and Robert Steele. Forest habitat types of northern Idaho. Manuscript in preparation. Intermountain Research Station, Ogden, Utah. Uneven-aged management with individual tree and group selection cutting can reduce stand susceptibility to mountain pine beetle by removing the most susceptible host trees. Group selection cutting is a possibility in stands with irregular structure, but individual tree selection in stands not attacked by mountain pine beetles generally is appropriate only in recreation and scenic view areas, or in situations where it is desirable to maintain vertical diversity. Growth usually will be reduced with either uneven-aged cutting method. However, reproduction usually is easily obtained with any partial cutting method (Alexander 1987).

In young *P. ponderosa* sapling and pole stands, thinning almost always is needed to reduce basal area and improve soil moisture conditions. Growing stock levels (GSLs) of 120 to 140 are most appropriate for timber production in stands where mountain pine beetle risk is low (Alexander and Edminster 1981).

The P. ponderosa/S. albus habitat type is mild season range for livestock and yearlong or transition range for big game (Thilenius 1972). Forage production potential is moderate. Prescribed burning can be used to increase the amount of palatability of shrubs and graminoids in the undergrowth. However, increasing the palatability of graminoids may increase livestock grazing pressure on the burned areas at the expense of big game. Since increases in forage are inversely proportional to the amount of overstory retained, low stocking levels (GSLs 40 to 60) are more appropriate than the higher GSLs suggested for timber production (Krantz and Linder 1973, Pase 1958). If browse species are absent and the stand is to be managed as big game cover, a three-step shelterwood with a residual stocking maintained at GSL > 80 is recommended. In addition to big game, the P. ponderosa/S. albus habitat type provides food and cover for fox squirrel, turkey, and for numerous nongame animals that require den or nest trees.

Precipitation in this habitat type varies considerably, depending somewhat on elevation and location in the Black Hills. Under average conditions, streamflow is about 25% of the annual precipitation, which can vary from 16 to 28 inches (41 to 71 cm). In the northern Black Hills where precipitation is heaviest, water yields from untreated P. ponderosa forests on the Sturgis watershed averaged about 7 inches (18 cm) from 1964 to 1969 (Orr and VanderHeide 1973). Streamflow can be increased by reducing stand density (Orr 1975). Increases are inversely proportional to the reduction in stand densityclearcutting, group shelterwood, and group selection are more efficient than standard shelterwood or individual tree cutting, or thinning. If stands are clearcut, openings must be kept very small, because effective seeding distance of P. ponderosa limits the diameter of the opening to about 250 feet (76 m) (Boldt and Van Deusen 1974). Erosion and sedimentation potentials are moderate but can be accelerated by timber harvesting unless careful consideration is given to road location, construction, and maintenance. The potential for developed and dispersed recreation, and scenic values of this habitat type are relatively high because of the area involved and the elevations where it occurs.

Pinus ponderosa-Juniperus scopulorum

Description.-The Pinus ponderosa-Juniperus scopulorum habitat type occurs in the dry southern part of the Black Hills, mainly on steep, rocky slopes. Stands vary from open to closed. This incidental habitat type. which occurs mainly outside the forest boundary, was sampled on only one plot but was observed in five other stands during the reconnaissance survey. The P. ponderosa-J. scopulorum habitat type is recognized by the overstory dominance of P. ponderosa, the presence of J. scopulorum as a major shrub, and a rather poorly defined and unevenly dispersed undergrowth (fig. 10). Principal undergrowth species are Bouteloua curtipendula, Oryzopsis micrantha, Anemone patens, Artemisia frigida, and Campanula rotundifolia (table A-4). Despite the wide distribution of both P. ponderosa and J. scopulorum in the Rocky Mountains, the P. ponderosa-J. scopulorum habitat type has not been reported elsewhere (Alexander 1985).

Management implications.—Timber production potential in this dry, low-elevation habitat type is low. Moreover, because of low precipitation and competition from J. scopulorum, regeneration of P. ponderosa is less reliable than on more productive habitat types. Stands are harvested mostly for fuelwood. The P. ponderosa-J. scopulorum habitat type is spring-fall range for livestock and winter range for big game. It has low to moderate potential for forage production for livestock and moderate potential for deer. Use by deer can be heavy in the winter, however. Timber harvesting and thinning activities in the P. ponderosa-J. scopulorum habitat type should be directed at maintaining hiding cover for big game.

Average annual precipitation in this habitat type is low for the Black Hills—17 inches (43 cm) or less—and the potential for significant increases in streamflow is low. This habitat type provides watershed protection. The potential for developed and dispersed recreation is low to moderate.



Figure 10.—Pinus ponderosa-Juniperus scopulorum habitat type. Both dominant species are abundant in this stand. Undergrowth is sparse.

Pinus ponderosa/Carex heliophila

Description.—The Pinus ponderosa/Carex heliophila habitat type, represented by three stands, is scattered mainly in peripheral locations of the Black Hills. It is recognized by the overstory dominance and reproductive success of relatively widely spaced P. ponderosa, and the presence and abundance of C. heliophila (23% to 48% coverage) in the undergrowth (fig. 11). Quercus macrocarpa may occur as an understory tree in some stands. Shrubs and forbs are not very important in this habitat type. Graminoids constitute 67% to 90% of the total undergrowth coverage. In addition to C. heliophila, Danthonia spicata and Poa pratensis are important graminoids (table A–4).

The P. ponderosa/C. heliophila habitat type has been reported by Hansen and Hoffman (in prep.) on the Custer National Forest in northwestern South Dakota and southeastern Montana where C. heliophila and Agropyron spicatum were the most important undergrowth species. This habitat type has not been reported elsewhere in the Rocky Mountains (Alexander 1985), and C. heliophila does not occur in any of the drier P. ponderosa-dominated habitat types reported.

Management implications.—Timber productivity in this relatively dry habitat type is average to below average for Black Hills *P. ponderosa*. Partial cutting methods that minimize soil disturbance and maintain overhead shade are appropriate. Regeneration of *P. ponderosa* usually establishes readily both under an overstory and in clearings with a heavy sod cover. Heavy cutting may either increase or decrease the amount of *C. heliophila* and associated graminoids, depending upon the amount of ground disturbance. This habitat type is spring-fall range for livestock and spring-summer range for big game. The potential for increasing forage production is moderate for both livestock and big game. Heavy grazing by either livestock or big game may deplete the *C. heliophila* sod cover.

The potential for increasing water yield is moderate to low for the *P. ponderosa* series. Average annual precipitation varies from 15 to 18 inches (38 to 46 cm). Under average conditions, about 25% of the annual precipitation becomes runoff. Although no data are available on amount, streamflow can be increased by reducing stand density. The increase is directly related to the amount of precipitation received and inversely proportional to the reduction in stand density. Erosion, sedimentation, and mass movement potentials generally are low.

Pinus ponderosa/Physocarpus monogynus

Description.—The Pinus ponderosa/Physocarpus monogynus habitat type, represented by three stands, occurs in the southern and southwestern Black Hills, usually on north- to northwest-facing slopes. This habitat type is recognized by the overstory dominance and reproductive success of *P. ponderosa*, and the presence and abundance of *P. monogynus* (23% to 79% coverage) in the undergrowth (fig. 12). The most important undergrowth



Figure 11.—Pinus ponderosa/Carex heliophila habitat type in the Elk Mountains, southwestern Black Hills. The undergrowth is characterized by nearly complete cover of *C. heliophila*, with a few other species present. Abundant *P. ponderosa* seedlings and saplings are present in the background.



Figure 12.—Pinus ponderosa/Physocarpus monogynus habitat type. P. monogynus dominates the relatively dense undergrowth of this stand in Boles Canyon.

species, primarily shrubs and forbs, include P. monogynus, Prunus virginiana, Rosa acicularis, Symphoricarpos albus, Agropyron caninum, Anemone patens, Galium boreale, and mosses and lichens (table A-4).

Where the P. ponderosa/P. monogynus habitat type occurs, succession after fire appears to include a stage of *Cercocarpus montanus* that eventually is shaded out by the overstory canopy of P. ponderosa. But, some stands in this area with Pinus and Cercocarpus are not habitat types. The population structures of Pinus and Cercocarpus is unstable, and there is little evidence to suggest that Pinus will form a closed canopy and Cercocarpus will be eliminated from the undergrowth. Some stands are ecotonal between Pinus-dominated forests and Cercocarpus-dominated shrub-steppe and are likely to remain in this state indefinitely.

Hoffman and Alexander (1976) described a P. ponderosa/P. monogynus habitat type in the Bighorn Mountains, Wyo., but few floristic similarities are found with the same habitat type in the Black Hills. Cooper,⁴ Daubenmire and Daubenmire (1968), and Steele et al. (1981) described a P. ponderosa/Physocarpus malvaceus habitat type in northern and central Idaho and eastern Washington that is dominated by tall shrubs, but there is little floristic similarity to the *P. ponderosa/P.* monogynus habitat type in the Black Hills.

Management implications.—This habitat type occupies less favorable sites than those that support *P. ponderosa/Juniperus communis*, *P. ponderosa/S. albus*, and *P. ponderosa/Arctostaphylos uva-ursi* in the Black Hills. Although timber production potential is high for the *P. ponderosa* series and most cutting methods are applicable, all of the stands examined occupied relatively small areas that were on steep slopes. Any timber harvesting activities under these circumstances are likely to increase the potential for erosion and subsequent sedimentation. Moreover, it may be difficult to restock the stands with subsequent natural regeneration if logging results in soil movement.

The *P. ponderosa/P. monogynus* habitat type is summerfall livestock range and fall-winter deer range. Livestock forage production is variable, and the potential for increase depends upon the density and composition of the undergrowth and the density of the overstory (Krantz and Linder 1973, Pase 1958). Big game forage production is higher than in the drier *P. ponderosa* habitat types. Winter use by deer may be heavy. This habitat type also provides food and cover for numerous birds and small mammals, providing that den and nest trees are left uncut.

Runoff from this habitat type is relatively high for the Black Hills. Streamflow can vary from 8 inches (20 cm) in years of high precipitation to 4 inches or less (\leq 10 cm) in years of low precipitation. However, because of the small and scattered occurrence of this habitat type on difficult to log sites, it probably is not realistic to attempt to increase streamflow by timber harvesting.

Pinus ponderosa/Quercus macrocarpa

Description.-The Pinus ponderosa/Quercus macrocarpa habitat type, represented by four stands, occurs in the northern Black Hills but is most conspicuous in the Bear Lodge Mountains. The sampled stands were all on calcareous soils, but the habitat type also may occur on soils derived from igneous rocks in the northwestern Black Hills. P. ponderosa is the sole dominant overstory species. Q. macrocarpa is a tall shrub in this habitat type and dominates (4% to 26% coverage) the undergrowth (fig. 13). Other important shrubs are Amelanchier alnifolia, Berberis repens, Prunus virginiana, and Spiraea betulifolia. Graminoids with high constancy are Carex foenea and Orvzopsis asperifolia. Important forbs are Aster ciliolatus, Galium boreale, Lupinus argenteus, and Smilacina stellata (table A-5). The P. ponderosa/Q. macrocarpa habitat type has not been identified elsewhere in the Rocky Mountains (Alexander 1985).

Management implications.—Timber production potential is low to moderate in this habitat type. If the *P. ponderosa/Q.* macrocarpa habitat type is managed for *P. ponderosa*, shelterwood cutting is most appropriate; overstory competition is needed to control *Q.* macrocarpa. If a market exists for *Q.* macrocarpa removed in treatment, it is for fuelwood. An important value of this



Figure 13.—*Pinus ponderosa/Quercus macrocarpa* habitat type. Although *Q. macrocarpa* becomes a tall shrub, it does not reach the canopy level. *P. ponderosa* is present in several size classes in this stand in the Bear Lodge Mountains.

habitat type is for forage and mast production, and the potential for increase is moderate to high. The P. ponderosa/Q. macrocarpa habitat type is spring-fall range for livestock, winter range for deer, and provides yearlong habitat for turkey and fox squirrels. Timber cutting in this habitat type can be directed toward opening up the stand by removing overstory P. ponderosa to release Q. macrocarpa and increase mast production. Subsequent increases in associated undergrowth also improves forage production. Individuals or groups of large P. ponderosa retained on the site are critical den and/or nest sites and roosts. To stimulate production of browse, clumps of Q. macrocarpa that are declining in vigor can be cut, burned, or poisoned to stimulate sprouting. Sufficient new P. ponderosa also must become established periodically to ultimately replace the existing old-growth. If management emphasis is on big game winter range, shelterwood cutting and maintaining a residual stocking of GSL > 80 are appropriate. The P. ponderosa/Q. macrocarpa habitat type also provides food and cover for numerous birds and nongame animals that feed on tree seeds and acorns. Acorn production can be maintained by retaining larger diameter Q. macrocarpa on the site. To maintain larger trees, prescribed burning should be done in the fall while the trees still retain their leaves. Wildfires strongly favor *Q. macrocarpa* communities established from sprouts. These can provide severe competition for *P. ponderosa* and results in very slow invasion of burned over areas by *P. ponderosa*.

Mean annual precipitation in this habitat type is average for the Black Hills and Bear Lodge Mountains; both streamflow and the potential for increasing streamflow also are average. Ground disturbance associated with treatment should be minimized to maintain potential losses to erosion, sedimentation, and mass movement at low levels. Dispersed recreation potential is good for hunting of turkey and deer.

Pinus ponderosa/Arctostaphylos uva-ursi

Description.—The Pinus ponderosa/Arctostaphylos uvaursi habitat type is widespread over the Central Core region and also occurs on calcareous soils in the Black Hills. This habitat type, sampled in 10 stands, is recognized by the dominance and reproductive success of *P.* ponderosa in the overstory, and the presence and abundance of *A.* uva-ursi (10% to 85% coverage) in the undergrowth (fig. 14). Populus tremuloides and Quercus macrocarpa were present as seedlings, but did not occur as older trees in the stands sampled. In addition to *A.* uva-ursi, other important undergrowth species are Rosa acicularis, Symphoricarpos albus, Oryzopsis asperifolia, Achillea millefolium, Fragaria virginiana, and Lathyrus ochroleucus (table A–6).

DeVelice et al. (1986) identified a P. ponderosa/A. uvaursi habitat type in southern Colorado and northern New Mexico, but it has little floristic similarity to the P. ponderosa/A. uva-ursi habitat type in the Black Hills. This habitat type has not been identified elsewhere in the Rocky Mountains (Alexander 1985).

Management implications.—Timber production potential of the *P. ponderosa/A. uva-ursi* habitat type is low to moderate. Regeneration usually establishes more readily with partial cutting methods than with those that create openings, but reproduction usually can be ob-



Figure 14.—Pinus ponderosa/Arctostaphylos uva-ursi habitat type on the Black Hills Experimental Forest. Note the complete development of the undergrowth.

tained with any cutting method (Alexander 1987). Reproduction of *P. ponderosa* following shelterwood cutting or wildfires often is extremely dense. In dense, young stands, thinning to GSLs 120 to 140 are required to maximize timber production (Alexander and Edminster 1981).

This habitat type is summer-fall range for livestock and big game. Forage production potential is moderate to very low. Forage production can be improved by prescribed burning that increases the amount of palatable shrubs and graminoids. Partial cutting also is likely to increase the shrub and forb layers somewhat and to improve diversity, but may not substantially increase forage production unless GSLs are maintained at low levels (20 to 40) (Severson and Boldt 1977). If browse species are absent and the stand is to be managed for big game cover, a three-step shelterwood with residual stocking maintained at GSL > 80 is recommended. This habitat type is most valuable to big game when adjacent to grasslands. The P. ponderosa/A. uva-ursi habitat type also provides food and cover for numerous nongame birds and mammals that require a combination of trees and open nonforested areas.

The potential for water production is moderate. Average precipitation varies from 15 to 25 inches (38 to 64 cm). About 25% [3 to 6 inches (8 to 15 cm)] of the precipitation is available as streamflow. Natural runoff can be increased by reducing stand density. The greatest increases will occur in years of high precipitation in areas where small openings are created throughout the stand (Orr 1975). To be effective, partial cutting and thinning must reduce stand density to GSLs 60 to 80. Erosion, sedimentation, and mass movement potentials generally are low unless roads are constructed among stream channels or in valley bottoms. Developed and dispersed recreation potentials are moderate.

Pinus ponderosa/Juniperus communis

Description.-The Pinus ponderosa/Juniperus communis habitat type is widely distributed on the Limestone Plateau in the western part of the Black Hills. It also can occur on soils derived from igneous rock. The elevational range of this habitat type overlaps all Pinus-dominated habitat types, except the P. ponderosa-Juniperus scopulorum habitat type at lower elevations (table 1). The P. ponderosa/J. communis habitat type was sampled in seven stands located on gentle southwest- to northeastfacing slopes. This habitat type is recognized by the dominance and reproductive success of P. ponderosa in the overstory, and the presence and abundance of J. communis (4% to 42% coverage) in the undergrowth (fig. 15). Populus tremuloides can be an important seral species in this habitat type following wildfires. Betula papyrifera and Picea glauca also may occur occasionally in both the overstory and as reproduction. Shrubs are the most important undergrowth life forms. In addition to J. communis, Arctostaphylos uva-ursi, Berberis repens, Rosa acicularis, Spiraea betulifolia, and Symphoricarpos albus have high constancy. The most important herbaceous species are Bromus inermis, Achillea millefolium, Fragaria virginiana, and Lupinus argenteus (table A-7). The

undergrowth of this habitat type is similar to that of the *P. ponderosa/A. uva-ursi* habitat type but is distinguished primarily by the presence of *J. communis*, *B. repens*, and *L. argenteus*, and the absence of Lathyrus ochroleucus and Oryzopsis asperifolia.

Some P. ponderosa/J. communis communities are seral to Picea glauca-dominated vegetation (see P. glauca series). In some locations, P. glauca is succeeding P. ponderosa (fig. 16). This is typical of the late seral stage of the P. glauca/Linnaea borealis habitat type.

Hoffman and Alexander (1976) described a *P. ponderosa/J. communis* in the Bighorn Mountains, Wyo., but there is little floristic similarity to the Black Hills *P. ponderosa/J. communis* habitat type. This habitat type has not been reported elsewhere in the Rocky Mountains (Alexander 1985).

Management implications.—Timber productivity for this habitat type is average to above average for *P. ponderosa*. Shelterwood cutting methods are the preferred timber harvesting alternative in even-aged stands to be managed for timber production. Either group selection or individual tree selection cutting can be used where even-aged management does not meet the requirements



Figure 15.—Pinus ponderosa/Juniperus communis habitat type. J. communis has 28% coverage and 52% frequency in this stand. P. ponderosa is present in all size classes up to and including 16 to 20 inches (4 to 5 dm) d.b.h.



Figure 16.—A seral Pinus ponderosa stand on a Picea glauca/Linnaea borealis habitat type. Note Juniperus communis in the undergrowth.

of other resources (Alexander 1987). Regeneration usually is prompt after shelterwood cutting. It is less certain following clearcutting, seed-tree cutting, and wildfires. At higher elevations where lush stands of graminoids and forbs become established, regeneration of *P. ponderosa* can be precluded for long periods of time. *P. tremuloides* can be readily regenerated by clearcutting or fire if it is present as a seral species in stands in this habitat type. *P. tremuloides* is sensitive to overgrazing and can be eliminated by livestock and/or big game use. Growing stock levels of 120 to 160 are appropriate for timber production in stands where mountain pine beetle populations are low (Alexander and Edminster 1981).

The P. ponderosa/J. communis habitat type is summerfall range for livestock and big game (Thilenius 1972). Forage production potential for livestock is low to moderate, but can be increased in proportion to the amount of graminoids in the undergrowth by reducing overstory density with a two-step shelterwood to low stocking levels (GSL 40 to 60) and with proper grazing management (Krantz and Linder 1973, Pase 1958). Big game forage production may be higher than in the drier P. ponderosa habitat types, but the potential for production of shrubby browse on winter range is low. If big game management emphasis in this habitat type is for big game cover, shelterwood cutting with residual stocking levels maintained at GSL > 80 are recommended. This habitat type also provides food and cover for a large number of birds and small mammals. J. communis typically is important nesting cover for turkey. Prescribed burning can greatly decrease the amount of fire-sensitive J. communis, and increase the representation of graminoids and forbs in the undergrowth. However, the increase in potential livestock forage production is at the expense of big game cover. Introduced graminoids, such as Oryzopsis spp., can grow well in this habitat type, especially in the seral stages.

Average precipitation in this habitat type ranges from 18 to 28 inches (46 to 71 cm). Natural streamflow ranges from 3 to 7 inches (8 to 18 cm), but runoff can be increased by reducing stand density. The increase is inversely proportional to the reduction in stand density and the amount of precipitation received (Orr 1975). Cutting methods that create small openings are more effective than partial cutting. Erosion, sedimentation, and mass movement potentials may be higher than in the drier *P. ponderosa* habitat types. The potential for developed and dispersed recreation also is higher than in the drier *P. ponderosa*-dominated habitat types.

POPULUS TREMULOIDES SERIES

Populus tremuloides is not an important timber species in the Black Hills, covering only about 5% of the area (Severson and Thilenius 1976). Forests dominated by *P.* tremuloides provide wildlife and livestock habitat and esthetic amenities that are more valuable than the limited extent of the forests might suggest.

Hayward (1928) and McIntosh (1930) reported *P.* tremuloides as a seral species in the Black Hills. Sever-

son and Thilenius (1976) classified P. tremuloides stands in the Black Hills but did not report on their successional status. Although P. tremuloides is seral over much of its range, it also is climax in various habitats. In the Black Hills, it is common for P. tremuloides to occur between conifer forests on coarse-textured soils on mountain slopes and adjacent grassland parks with fine-textured soils (fig. 17). Most stands of this tree species are initiated by destruction of coniferous forests (Hoffman and Alexander 1980). Mueggler (1976) suggested the only reliable evidence of succession from P. tremuloides-dominated forests to conifer-dominated forests is a multilayered understory of climax coniferous species. In the Black Hills as elsewhere, an occasional conifer in a Populusdominated stand is not evidence of succession to conifers. In Utah, Mueggler (1976) suggested that even in the absence of fire, 1,000 years or more might be required for the succession of P. tremuloides-dominated forests to climax coniferous-dominated forests. If this time span is accurate, self-reproducing forests of P. tremuloides showing no evidence of succession toward conifer-dominated forests should be considered climax and the sites classified as habitat types (Hoffman and Alexander 1980). In the Black Hills, seral communities of P. tremuloides most commonly occur on sites where Picea glauca is climax; succession is evident in a number of these stands (fig. 18). Control of fires has changed the distribution of P. tremuloides in the Black Hills. Where management includes prescribed burning, Populus sprouts are not uncommon in habitat types, such as P. ponderosa/Arctostaphylos uva-ursi and P. ponderosa/Juniperus communis. However, these sprouts do not persist. Some stands of P. tremuloides are seral to one or both of these Pinusdominated habitat types. In a recently built exclosure in Custer State Park, P. tremuloides developed a dense stand of saplings within 2 years following the exclusion of grazing (fig. 19). This suggests that grasslands may be occupying forest sites in some instances.

In most Populus-dominated stands, Betula papyrifera is a codominant species; its height is less than that of Populus, and in numerous places, its ecologic role is that of an undergrowth shrub. Hayward (1928) and McIntosh



Figure 17.—The lower edge of the coniferous-dominated forest is fringed by *Populus tremuloides*. Extending into the area adjacent to the stream is a stand of *Salix* spp. that is gradually being eliminated by domestic livestock.



Figure 18.—A Populus tremuloides community occupying a Picea glauca-dominated habitat type. P. glauca has become well established in the understory.



Figure 19.—A 2-year-old exclosure in Custer State Park. The absence of animal activity has resulted in *Populus tremuloides* developing a dense stand already 1 to 2 m tall.

(1930) both described *P. tremuloides-B. papyrifera* forests on recently burned areas of the Black Hills. From their lists of undergrowth species, it is likely their *Populus-Betula*-dominated forests occupied sites that were either *P. glauca*-dominated habitat types or the *P. ponderosa/J. communis* habitat type.

The P. tremuloides series was sampled in nine stands, representing one habitat type and two phases, ranging in elevation from 3,966 to 6,240 feet (1,219 to 1,902 m) (table 2). The average age of dominant P. tremuloides was 115 years in one stand (55) (fig. 20). Most other Populus-dominated stands were less than 100 years old. Basal areas on the study plots ranged from 122 to 226 square feet per acre (28 to 52 m² / ha). Tree sizes ranged from seedlings to the 16- to 20-inch (4- to 5-dm) d.b.h. class. Tree population and undergrowth data for P. tremuloides are shown in tables A–1 and A–8.

Populus tremuloides/Corylus cornuta

Description.—The Populus tremuloides/Corylus cornuta habitat type is recognized by the overstory dominance

and reproductive success of P. tremuloides, and the dominance of C. cornuta in the undergrowth (fig. 20). Betula papyrifera usually is a subdominant tree species. Quercus macrocarpa is well represented in stands in the central Black Hills. Pinus ponderosa and Picea glauca rarely occur. This habitat type is second in undergrowth species richness to the P. ponderosa/Symphoricarpos albus habitat type. C. cornuta forms a dense tall shrub layer. Other shrubs with high constancy are Amelanchier alnifolia, Berberis repens, Lonicera dioica, Prunus virginiana, Pyrola asarifolia, Rosa acicularis, Rubus idaeus, Spiraea betulifolia, and S. albus. Below the shrub layer is a rich layer of herbaceous species including Actaea rubra, Aralia nudicaulis, Aster ciliolatus, Fragaria virginiana, Galium triflorum, Lathyrus ochroleucus, Maianthemum canadense, Osmorhiza chilensis, Pteridium aquilinum, Sanicula marilandica, Smilacina stellata, Thalictrum dasycarpum, Viola canadensis, and Oryzopsis asperifolia.

Two phases of the *P. tremuloides/C. cornuta* habitat type were recognized in the Black Hills.

1. **Pteridium aquilinum phase**.—This phase, represented by three stands in the Bear Lodge Mountains, is



Figure 20.—Populus tremuloides/Corylus cornuta habitat type. The large P. tremuloides next to the meter stick is in the 16- to 20-inch (4- to 5-dm) d.b.h. class. Both Betula papyrifera and Ostrya virginiana are present in the shrub layer.



Figure 21.—Populus tremuloides/Corylus cornuta habitat type; Pteridium aquilinum phase. P. aquilinum has a coverage of 33% in this stand (26). Amelanchier alnifolia and Corylus cornuta form a large but not dense shrub layer.

recognized by the abundance of *P. aquilinum* (23% to 33% coverage) under *C. cornuta* in the undergrowth (fig. 21). Other undergrowth species present in this phase, but with low constancy in the typal phase, are *Melica* subulata, Anaphalis margaritacea, Pyrola elliptica, Habenaria virdis, Heuchera richardsonii, Ranunculus abortivus, and Carex sprengelii. However, only Pteridium, *Melica*, Anaphalis, and Pyrola have average coverages greater than 0.5%. Additionally, only Pteridium and *Melica* have frequencies greater than 50%.

P. aquilinum establishes readily following fire, and it may persist for a long time. In Finland, Oinonen (1967) found *P.* aquilinum had occupied the same site for 1,200 years. In the Black Hills, some stands of *Populus/ Pteridium* are nearly 100 years old, with *Pteridium* still vigorous and dominant in the undergrowth. These stands appear stable and should be considered a habitat type.

The soils in this phase are somewhat distinct from those of both the typical *P. tremuloides/C. cornuta* habitat type and the *A. nudicaulis* phase. Under the *P. aquilinum* phase, the upper 4 inches (1 dm) of the soil contains more exchangeable Ca and Mg, a higher cation-exchange capacity (C.E.C.), and more organic matter.

2. Aralia nudicaulis phase.—This phase, represented by only one stand, is distinguished primarily on the basis of abundant A. nudicaulis that dominates the undergrowth (fig. 22). It also has conspicuous amounts of R. idaeus, A. ciliolatus, Halenia deflexa, S. marilandica, and Toxicodendron rydbergii. Abundant grasses are Poa pratensis and Phleum pratense, both of which are introduced and tend to increase with grazing pressure.

The P. tremuloides/C. cornuta habitat type has not been reported elsewhere in the Rocky Mountains (Alexander 1985). However, a P. tremuloides/P. aquilinum habitat type has been reported in western Colorado on the Routt National Forest (Hoffman and Alexander 1980), White River National Forest (Hoffman and Alexander 1983), Gunnison National Forest (Komarkova et al.⁵), and the Un-

⁵Komarkova, Vera, Robert R. Alexander, and Barry Johnston. Forest vegetation of the Gunnison and parts of the Uncompany National Forests: a preliminary habitat type classification. Manuscript in preparation. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colo. company National Forest (Hoffman⁶). This habitat type has some floristic similarities to the *P. tremuloides/C.* cornuta habitat type, *P. aquilinum* phase in the Black Hills.

Management implications .- Timber productivity for P. tremuloides is low in this habitat type (Edminster et al. 1985), and there is little potential for increasing it. The timber is of low quality and value; its market is primarily for fuelwood. On more productive sites, Populus is seral to P. ponderosa or P. glauca. Moreover, climax Populus stands in the Black Hills tend to occur as stringers or small groves adjacent to or interspersed with other vegetation, rather than in large contiguous stands. These stands are valuable chiefly for wildlife and scenic beauty, and cutting should be directed toward maintaining or enhancing those values. While clearcutting usually is the best method of perpetuating P. tremuloides, other methods of harvesting may better meet the requirements of other resources. Prescribed burning can be used after clearcutting to reduce undergrowth competition and stimulate sprouting.

The *P. tremuloides/C. cornuta* habitat type is one of the most productive and varied plant associations in the Black Hills for resources other than timber. It is preferred

⁶Hoffman, George R. Unpublished data on file. South Dakota University, Vermillion, S. Dak.



Figure 22.—Populus tremuloides/Corylus cornuta habitat type; Aralia nudicaulis phase. A. nudicaulis has 47% coverage in this stand (43). Betula papyrifera is an abundant subdominant.

summer-fall range for domestic livestock. However, because of the limited area in this habitat type, use by livestock may be too heavy unless care is taken to control the number and distribution of animals and the season of use. Under proper grazing management, high forage yields can be maintained. This habitat type is summer-fall range for deer and yearlong habitat for ruffed grouse (Severson and Thilenius 1976). However, the preferred Populus habitat for deer is late seral Populus, which contains P. ponderosa (Krantz and Linder 1973). On the other hand, the preferred habitat for ruffed grouse does not include coniferous trees, because they provide optimum cover for ruffed grouse predators (Gullion and Svoboda 1972). Since ruffed grouse feed mostly on Populus male flower buds and Corylus buds in the winter, management should be directed toward maintaining a high proportion of Corylus in the undergrowth and creating a series of Populus age and density classes. The P. tremuloides/C. cornuta habitat type also provides food and cover for numerous birds and nongame animals.

Climax *P. tremuloides* stands have good scenic quality but exhibit less favorable color contrast than late seral mixed *Populus*-conifer stands. Dispersed recreation potential is high, but developed recreation potential is low, because *Populus* is damaged easily and does not survive well under this kind of use. The potential for increasing streamflow is of little consequence because of the small area in *Populus*. Erosion, sedimentation, and mass movement potentials are low.

PICEA GLAUCA SERIES

The Picea glauca series in the Black Hills occurs at the high elevations and in cool canyon bottoms. Temperature apparently is more important as a controlling factor than soils, because P. glauca forests occur on soils derived from both igneous and limestone rocks. The Black Hills area is one of the few in the Rocky Mountains, south of the United States-Canadian border, where apparently pure populations of P. glauca occur. In the Bighorn Mountains to the west, P. glauca populations show evidence that introgression has occurred between Picea engelmannii and hybrids of P. engelmannii x P. glauca (Daubenmire 1974).

The *P.* glauca forests in the Black Hills constitute the "subalpine" forests, although alpine areas are absent here. Additionally, *Abies* spp. are absent, so the *Picea-Abies* zone, typical of the Rocky Mountains, generally is represented in the Black Hills by *P.* glauca without the *Abies* component as a climax tree. *Pinus* contorta, also common in subalpine forests over much of the Rocky Mountains, has a very restricted distribution in the Black Hills.

Pinus ponderosa, Populus tremuloides, and Betula papyrifera are common seral species in the P. glauca zone. However, P. glauca rarely occurs in vegetation dominated by either P. ponderosa or P. tremuloides; it is not aggressive as a seral species, although it appears to be expanding or reinvading certain areas (fig. 23).

The *P. glauca* series in the Black Hills constitutes a rather small fraction of the total forested area but does represent the high-elevation coniferous forests. It is of

historical interest because of the presence of certain undergrowth plants that accompanied *P. glauca* to the Black Hills during Pleistocene time and became disjunct from their relatives in the Bighorn Mountains, Wyoming, or the Boreal Forest in Canada.

The P. glauca series was sampled in 10 stands, representing two habitat types, ranging in elevation from 5,699 to 6,693 feet (1,737 to 2,040 m) (table 2). The age of P. glauca varied from 80 to 180 years. Basal areas on the study plots ranged from 135 to 222 square feet per acre (31 to 51 m²/ha). Tree sizes ranged from seedlings to the 20- to 24-inch (5- to 6-dm) d.b.h. class. Tree population and undergrowth data for P. glauca are shown in tables A–1 and A–9.

Picea glauca/Linnaea borealis

Description.—The Picea glauca/Linnaea borealis habitat type, represented by five stands, generally occurs on northwest- to northeast-facing slopes. This habitat type is recognized by the presence and reproductive success of P. glauca, and the presence and abundance of L. borealis (6% to 36% coverage) in the undergrowth (fig. 24). Pinus ponderosa and Populus tremuloides occur as major seral species in most stands in this habitat type. P. ponderosa typically shows size class distributions indicative of a seral species gradually being replaced by another species. P. tremuloides was present in the stands sampled in only the small size classes, with little evidence its population is expanding. Like P. ponderosa, P. tremuloides probably is a remnant of the last major disturbance of Picea-dominated forests and may always be a component of these forests. Even in the oldest stands sampled, scattered Pinus and Populus persist.

In addition to L. borealis, important shrubs in the rich mixture of undergrowth species include Arctostaphylos uva-ursi, Juniperus communis, Rosa acicularis, Shepherdia canadensis, and Symphoricarpos albus. Major graminoids are Oryzopsis asperifolia and Poa pratensis. The forb layer is dominated by Fragaria virginiana, Galium boreale, Hedysarum alpinum, and Viola adunca. Mosses and lichens also are abundant. Most of these



Figure 23.—Populus tremuloides typically forms a fringe separating the Picea glauca forests from parks. Here P. glauca has begun to regenerate beyond the P. tremuloides stand into the park.



Figure 24.—Picea glauca/Linnaea borealis habitat type. Various size classes of P. glauca are present; a few Pinus ponderosa also are evident.

undergrowth species also have high constancy in the *P.* ponderosa/*A.* uva-ursi and *P.* ponderosa/*J.* communis habitat types. Those that are more characteristic of the *P.* glauca/*L.* borealis habitat type are *L.* borealis, *S.* canadensis, and *H.* alpinum. However, only *L.* borealis has sufficient coverage to make it easily visible (fig. 25). For practical purposes, the combination of a self-maintaining population of *P.* glauca, abundant *L.* borealis, and the absence of Vaccinium scoparium in the undergrowth identify this habitat type.

The P. glauca/L. borealis habitat type has not been identified elsewhere in the Rocky Mountains (Alexander 1985). However, L. borealis is an important undergrowth species in P. glauca-dominated forests in Canada (Eis 1981; LaRoi 1967; Moss 1952, 1955; Mueller-Dombois 1964). A Picea engelmannii/L. borealis habitat type has been identified in Montana, east of the Continental Divide, and in northwestern Wyoming that bears some floristic similarities to the P. glauca/L. borealis habitat type in the Black Hills (Pfister et al. 1977, Steele et al. 1983).

Management implications.—Management of *P. glauca* for timber in this habitat type is similar to the *P. glauca/V. scoparium* habitat type, but sites in the *P. glauca/L. borealis* habitat type usually are more productive than in the *P. glauca/V. scoparium* habitat type. Undergrowth changes slowly after major disturbance. Competition, while not severe between tree seedlings and undergrowth, is more intense than in the *P. glauca/V. scoparium* habitat type. Cutting practices for water production suggested for the *P. glauca/V. scoparium* habitat type also are appropriate for the *P. glauca/L. borealis* habitat type.

This habitat type provides summer range for livestock but is more important for summer-fall range for big game. *P. glauca* forests also provide thermal and hiding cover for big game, especially in uncut forests and those where cutting maintains an irregular stand structure. The *P. glauca/L.* borealis habitat type provides habitat for numerous nongame animals; but, because these forests have limited distribution and contrast distinctly with the more extensive *P. ponderosa* forests, their contribution to wildlife and visual diversity is very important. The potential for developed and dispersed recreation is limited by the small area occupied by this habitat type.

Picea glauca/Vaccinium scoparium

Description.-The Picea glauca/Vaccinium scoparium habitat type, represented by five stands, is found growing on calcareous soils and soils derived from igneous rock in the Black Hills. Stands in this habitat type usually occupy cool and moist sites at somewhat higher elevations than other forest habitat types. This habitat type is recognized by the dominance and reproductive success of P. glauca in the overstory, and the presence and abundance of V. scoparium in the undergrowth (fig. 26). Pinus ponderosa and Populus tremuloides can be important seral species in the overstory. Although V. scoparium is the diagnostic undergrowth species, its coverage is variable. Other important shrubs include Arctostaphylos uva-ursi, Berberis repens, Juniperus communis, Rosa acicularis, Spiraea betulifolia, and Symphoricarpos albus. Major herbaceous species are Achillea millefolium. Antennaria plantaginifolia, Fragaria virginiana, Galium boreale. Lathyrus ochroleucus, Oryzopsis asperifolia, and Poa pratensis (fig. 27).

The P. glauca/V. scoparium habitat type is the Black Hills equivalent of the Abies lasiocarpa/V. scoparium habitat types common over much of the Rocky Mountains (Alexander et al. 1986; Daubenmire and Daubenmire 1968; Hess and Alexander 1986; Hoffman and Alexander 1976, 1980, 1983; Pfister et al. 1977; Steele et al. 1981. 1983), although, as indicated earlier, A. lasiocarpa is absent in the Black Hills. This has never been fully understood, but the low elevation and correspondingly warm temperatures of the Black Hills may be partially responsible for the lack of Abies. In the Bighorn Mountains and in northern Utah, a Picea engelmannii/V. scoparium habitat type in which A. lasiocarpa is absent also occurs at somewhat lower elevation on warmer sites (Hoffman and Alexander 1976, Mauk and Henderson 1984). Although the distribution of Abies is not the concern of the present study, its absence is one of the unique characteristics of the Black Hills. The P. glauca/V.



Figure 25.—Picea glauca/Linnaea borealis habitat type. L. borealis in flower. In this stand (40), Fragaria virginiana and Oryzopsis asperifolia also are conspicuous.



Figure 26.—Picea glauca/Vaccinium scoparium habitat type. Pinus ponderosa is a seral species in this stand.



Figure 27.—Picea glauca/Vaccinium scoparium habitat type. Undergrowth is dominated by V. scoparium. Berberis repens, Juniperus communis, Rosa acicularis, and Solidago spp. also are present.

scoparium habitat type has not been reported elsewhere in the Rocky Mountains (Alexander 1985).

Management implications.-Timber productivity for P. glauca varies considerably in this habitat type. Undergrowth changes slowly after major disturbance. Competition is not severe between tree seedlings and undergrowth vegetation, except where coverage of forbs and/or graminoids is high. Reproduction usually is not difficult to obtain under a wide variety of cutting methods if well planned and executed. Moreover, the reproductive potential of seral P. ponderosa is significantly lower than on adjacent P. ponderosa habitat types. Large clearcuts, seed-tree cuts, and burned areas sometimes are dominated by thick stands of graminoids that preclude conifer regeneration for long periods of time. There may be a manageable stand of advanced reproduction in much of the P. glauca/V. scoparium habitat type. While all silvicultural systems and most cutting methods can be used, uneven-aged management with group selection and/or individual tree selection cutting often is used in this habitat type.7 These cutting methods perpetutate the naturally occurring irregular

⁷Land and Resource Management Plan (Report). USDA Forest Service, Black Hills National Forest, Custer, S. Dak. stand structure. Group selection cutting is likely to perpetuate the existing species mix but may increase the proportion of Pinus ponderosa if the openings are near the maximum size [2 acres (0.8 ha)]. Individual tree selection cutting will favor P. glauca over P. ponderosa, especially if the initial cutting removes a large proportion of the P. ponderosa.

Some form of shelterwood cutting is preferred if evenaged management is desired. Shelterwood cutting in mixed *P. glauca/P. ponderosa* stands can increase the proportion of seral *P. ponderosa* in the replacement stand. However, in mixed stands, standard and modified shelterwood cutting can be used to manipulate the amount of *P. glauca* in the stand by controlling the proportion of *P. ponderosa* removed and the degree of canopy opening at each entry.

If clearcutting is used, openings should be small [3 to 5 acres (1 to 2 ha)] when natural reproduction is the method of regenerating new stands. If *P. tremuloides* is present in stands of this habitat type, it can be increased by clearcutting or wildfire. Growing stock levels of 120 to 160, suggested for the *A. lasiocarpa/V. scoparium* in the central Rocky Mountains (Alexander and Edminster 1980), should be appropriate for managed even-aged stands of *P. glauca* in the Black Hills when timber production is a management objective.

The P. glauca/V. scoparium habitat type occupies the highest water-yielding areas in the northern Black Hills. Runoff is about 25% of the average annual precipitation of about 28 inches (71 cm) (Orr 1975). Streamflow can be significantly increased by reducing stand density, thereby lowering consumptive use of water by trees. Increases in water available for streamflow are inversely proportional to the reduction in stand density and the amount of precipitation received. Clearcutting in small openings, and group selection and group shelterwood when openings are near the maximum size, are more efficient than standard shelterwood or individual tree selection. Although timber harvesting can result in an increase in water available for streamflow, the habitat type occupies an area too small to have much impact on overall changes in streamflow. The potential for developed and dispersed recreation also is low because of the limited acreage of this habitat type.

The *P. glauca/V. scoparium* habitat type is used by livestock, is summer-fall range for big game, and provides habitat for a number of birds and nongame mammals. The potential for increasing forage production is moderate to high in cleared areas. Increases are relative to the amount of overstory removed and the composition of the undergrowth.

This habitat type is cold relative to the regeneration microenvironment required for seral *P. ponderosa*. Susceptibility of *P. ponderosa* to root rots and mountain pine beetles also may serve to accelerate succession to *P. glauca*.

SHRUB-STEPPE HABITAT TYPES

A comprehensive study of shrub-steppe vegetation in the Black Hills National Forest was beyond the scope of



Figure 28.—View in Boles Canyon in the southwestern Black Hills showing a Cercocarpus montanus-dominated community on a steep slope with coarse-textured soils.

this study; however, a conspicuous shrub-steppe habitat type was included in this study, because it is rather closely related to the forest habitat types in the southern Black Hills.

CERCOCARPUS MONTANUS SERIES

Cercocarpus montanus is a shrub, up to 6.6 feet (2 m) tall at maturity. It dominates a shrub-steppe vegetation at low elevations on xeric sites around the southern onethird of the Black Hills. In the southwestern part of the Black Hills, well-developed stands occur southeast of New Castle, Wyo., and on fairly steep hillsides in Boles, Redbird, and Hells Canvons (fig. 28). The vegetation is patchy and does not form a continuous zone around the southern perimeter of the Black Hills. In the Black Hills, C. montanus is at the northern and easternmost limits of its distribution, and the vegetation that it dominates has the physiognomy of chaparral in the Southwest, where it is an important shrub. In the Black Hills, Cercocarpus stands are adjacent to Pinus ponderosa-dominated vegetation above and other steppe or shrub-steppe vegetation below.

The *C.* montanus series in the Black Hills is represented by three stands and one habitat type. Stands sampled range in elevation from 4,150 to 4,898 feet (1,265 to 1,493 m). Undergrowth data for *C.* montanus are shown in table A-10.

Cercocarpus montanus/Bouteloua curtipendula

Description.—The Cercocarpus montanus/Bouteloua curtipendula habitat type was sampled in stands on moderate (21% to 38%) slopes with a variety of aspects.

C. montanus dominates the overstory (41% to 45% coverage). Rhus aromatica is a smaller but constant shrub associate (2% to 8% coverage). Cercocarpus and Rhus are rather evenly spaced, with herbaceous species and low-growing shrubs occupying the spaces between the tall shrubs (fig. 29). An occasional Pinus ponderosa or Juniperus scopulorum occurs in stands of this habitat

type, but neither appears to be increasing its numbers. B. curtipendula (24% to 37% coverage) dominates the undergrowth. Species composition is relatively sparse, with fewer than 20 species sampled in any one stand. Most of the undergrowth species also occur in the adjacent steppe vegetation. Associated undergrowth species with 100% constancy include Aristida longiseta, Artemisia frigida, Aster oblongifolius, Hedeoma hispida, Oryzopsis hymenoides, and Sitanion hystrix.

Although combinations of undergrowth species differ, *C. montanus*-dominated communities occur over considerable areas of the Rocky Mountain West; all appear to occupy the same relative position in the vegetation zonation (Brotherson et al. 1984, Greenwood and Brotherson 1978, Johnson 1959). The communities form a lowelevation fringe of vegetation below the xeric border of the coniferous forests.

Management implications.—The *C. montanus/B. curtipendula* habitat type is a valuable range and wildlife resource. This habitat type is late spring and early summer range for livestock and winter range for big game. Forage production potential is moderate to high. *B. curtipendula* is highly palatable to cattle, but it is a mild season graminoid that is less readily eaten when cured. *C. montanus* is a preferred food for deer on winter range. Although cattle and deer are not directly competitive in their preferred food supply, proper grazing management, in terms of stocking and season of use by cattle, is essential if deer winter range is to be maintained in good condition.

The C. montanus/B. curtipendula habitat type occurs at elevations where average annual precipitation is low; consequently, runoff is low. There is no potential for significantly increasing water production. Dispersed and developed recreation is low because of the treeless nature of the habitat type. The potential for erosion and sedimentation increases in proportion to the decrease in cover.

OTHER VEGETATION

There are other forest and woodland plant communities in the Black Hills National Forest. Those described



Figure 29.—Cercocarpus montanus/Bouteloua curtipendula habitat type.



Figure 30.—Pinus ponderosa/Prunus virginiana community in the northern Black Hills. Symphoricarpos albus also is abundant in the undergrowth.

below were of limited occurrence and were not sampled quantitatively and, therefore, were not assigned habitat type status.

Pinus ponderosa/Prunus virginiana

Description.—The Pinus ponderosa/Prunus virginiana plant community is limited to a few locations in the northern Black Hills. *P. ponderosa* dominates the overstory and appears to be successfully reproducing and maintaining its population. *P. virginiana* and *Amelanchier* alnifolia are abundant and characterize the undergrowth (fig. 30). Other important undergrowth species are Berberis repens, Spiraea betulifolia, and Apocynum androsaemifolium.

Northward, in the Custer National Forest of southeastern Montana and northwestern South Dakota, a *P.* ponderosa/*P.* virginiana habitat type was described that shares a number of species with this plant community (Hansen and Hoffman 1986). In the Black Hills, it may represent another phase of the *P.* ponderosa/Symphoricarpos albus habitat type previously described. This plant community also has some similarities with the *P.* ponderosa/Physocarpus monogynus habitat type in the southwestern Black Hills.

Management implications.—The management implications of this limited plant community are not well known, but it probably can be managed in much the same manner as the *P. ponderosa/S. albus* habitat type. However, its potential for production of palatable browse for big game winter range is notably higher than that of most other Black Hills habitat types. Cutting and/or burning *P. virginiana* increases the number of suckers that are highly palatable to deer on winter range.

Pinus contorta/Vaccinium scoparium

Description.—The Pinus contorta/Vaccinium scoparium plant community also has a limited distribution. It is found only in a small area in the Black Hills west of Nahant. Although P. contorta is of limited extent, it has

been part of the Black Hills flora since at least the Pleistocene. Figure 31 shows the relationship of the P. contorta/V. scoparium community to other plant communities on a ridge south of Tillson Creek. Picea glauca, Populus tremuloides, and Pinus ponderosa are found on the northerly aspects, but only P. ponderosa grows on southerly aspects. The P. contorta community is best developed along the upper part of the ridge just below the crest. V. scoparium is an important indicator species in this community. P. contorta is rather dense and reproducing in this location, and appears to be a climax species. Other undergrowth species include Arctostaphylos uva-ursi, Berberis repens, Juniperus communis, Rosa acicularis, Rubus idaeus, Spiraea betulifolia, Symphoricarpos albus, Anemone patens, Arnica cordifolia. Campanula rotundifolia, Clematis tenuifolia, Galium boreale, Lathyrus ochroleucus, Vicia americana, Oryzopsis asperifolia, and Schizachne purpurascens.

Management implications.—The management implications for this limited plant community are not known, but it probably can be handled much the same way as the P. contorta/V. scoparium habitat type on the Medicine Bow National Forest in Wyoming (Alexander et al. 1986).

Pinus flexilis Community

Description.—A very small Pinus flexilis community occurs in the Cathedral Spires area of the central Black Hills. Most of the trees are on very steep north-facing slopes that are inaccessible. Pinus ponderosa, Picea glauca, and scattered, short Populus tremuloides and Betula papyrifera also are present in the overstory. The undergrowth is relatively scattered and sparse. Representative species are Arctostaphylos uva-ursi, Juniperus communis, Agrostis scabra, Carex concinna, Campanula rotundifolia, and Woodsia oregana (Thilenius 1970).



Figure 31.—Diagram of a ridge west of Nahant showing distribution of trees along the northeast and southwest slopes. The forest is not well developed along the crest of the ridge.

:*

Management implications.—The management implications for this plant community are not known.

Salix spp. Community

Description.—This community once was widespread along the stream courses throughout the Black Hills, but the area now occupied is much reduced. Possibly disease and/or insects decimated some populations of *Salix* spp. (Froiland 1962). It also is likely that grazing animals, directly or indirectly, have eliminated much of the *Salix* spp. plant community in the Black Hills. Animals grazing, resting, or trailing back and forth to water can retard or eventually eliminate regeneration of these streamside communities. Most photos that compare early to modern vegetation show streamside shrub *Salix* spp. communities were more abundant and more dense before settlement of early farmers and ranchers.

Management implications.—The management implications for this plant community are not well known. Exclosures have indicated that protection from livestock and big game will significantly increase dominance by Salix spp. Poa pratensis typically dominates the undergrowth on sites that are heavily grazed.

Riparian Forest Communities

Description.—Some of the larger streams in the Black Hills once supported riparian forests dominated by species of Ulmus, Fraxinus, Acer, and Celtis. Occasionally, coniferous trees or Quercus macrocarpa were part of this vegetation. Close to the larger streams, Populus deltoides formed a pioneer community. Although most of this vegetation no longer exists, large trees still are present along the stream near "Ranch A" along the South Dakota-Wyoming border, south of Beulah. The undergrowth is now altered, and most of the shrubs and young trees are gone. The species of large trees will be perpetuated only if planted, because they are not now self-regenerating at that location. Custer State Park provides the best examples of riparian vegetation that may perpetuate itself.

Management implications.—Forage production potential for livestock and big game may be high, but heavy grazing pressure in the past has caused production to be low. Grazing pressure has reduced shrubs and increased the proportion of less palatable graminoids. Diversified recreation use is heavy because of close proximity to water. This habitat type provides food and cover for a wide variety of nongame wildlife. Protection from excessive livestock use is essential for regeneration of riparian tree species.

KEY TO HABITAT TYPES

The following key to the major forest and woodland, and one shrub-steppe vegetation associations has been prepared to identify the habitat types and phases present in relatively undisturbed stands in the Black Hills.

1. Vegetation is forest or woodland; Cercocarpus montanus is absent or rare. 2. Coniferous trees dominant and reproducing; deciduous trees may be present but are seral. 3. Pinus ponderosa and Juniperus scopulorum present and reproducing; other conifers absent or not reproducing adequately to maintain the population; undergrowth sparse ... Pinus ponderosa-Juniperus scopulorum H.T. 3. Pinus ponderosa present and reproducing; Juniperus scopulorum and other conifers absent or not reproducing adequately to maintain the population. 4. Undergrowth dominated by Symphoricarpos albus; Amelanchier alnifolia, Arctostaphylos uva-ursi, Rosa woodsii, and Spiraea betulifolia may be abundant. Balsamorhiza sagittata and Oryzopsis asperifolia absent or sparse ····· Pinus ponderosa/Symphoricarpos albus H.T. 5. Balsamorhiza sagittata common in the undergrowth; Oryzopsis asperifolia absent or rare Pinus ponderosa/Symphoricarpos albus H.T. Balsamorhiza sagittata phase 5. Oryzopsis asperifolia common in the undergrowth; Balsamorhiza sagittata absent or rare Pinus ponderosa/Symphoricarpos albus H.T. Oryzopsis asperifolia phase 4. Undergrowth dominated by species other than Symphoricarpos, Amelanchier, and/or Rosa. 6. Undergrowth dominated by Carex heliophila Pinus ponderosa/Carex heliophila H.T. 6. Undergrowth not dominated by Carex heliophila. 7. Undergrowth dominated by Physocarpus monogynus; Prunus virginiana and Rosa acicularis may be present ····· Pinus ponderosa/Physocarpus monogynus H.T. 7. Undergrowth not dominated by Physocarpus monogynus. 8. Undergrowth dominated by Quercus macrocarpa; Berberis repens and Prunus virginiana may be abundant Pinus ponderosa/Quercus macrocarpa H.T. 8. Undergrowth not dominated by Quercus macrocarpa. 9. Undergrowth dominated by Arctostaphylos uva-ursi; Spiraea betulifolia and Symphoricarpos albus may be present and abundant, but not dominant Pinus ponderosal Arctostaphylos uva-ursi H.T. 9. Undergrowth dominated by Juniperus communis; Arctostaphylos uva-ursi also may be common, but not 3. Pinus ponderosa may be present and abundant, but is seral. Picea glauca present and reproducing adequately to maintain populations. 10. Vaccinium scoparium absent or rare; undergrowth dominated by Linnaea borealis and/or Juniperus communis Picea glauca/Linnaea borealis H.T. 10. Undergrowth dominated by Vaccinium scoparium; Juniperus communis may be abundant and Linnaea borealis may be present, but neither is dominant Picea glauca/Vaccinium scoparium H.T. 2. Deciduous trees dominant and reproducing. Occasional coniferous trees may be present, but not reproducing adequately to maintain populations. 11. Quercus macrocarpa dominant and reproducing overstory species. Other tree species absent or rare. 12. Undergrowth dominated by Ostrya virginiana; Prunus virginiana and Symphoricarpos albus may be present and abundant, but not dominantQuercus macrocarpa /Ostrya virginiana H.T. 12. Undergrowth dominated by Symphoricarpos occidentalis; Amelanchier alnifolia, Ostrya virginiana, and Prunus virginiana may be present but not dominant Quercus macrocarpa/Symphoricarpos occidentalis H.T. 11. Populus tremuloides dominant and reproducing overstory species. Quercus macrocarpa and/or other tree species rare or occasional. 13. Undergrowth dominated by Corylus cornuta; Symphoricarpos albus may be abundant; Aralia nudicaulis, and/or Pteridium aquilinum may be present but not abundant Populus tremuloides/Corylus cornuta H.T. 13. Undergrowth dominated by either Aralia nudicaulis or Pteridium aquilinum. Corylus cornuta usually is present and abundant. 14. Undergrowth dominated by Aralia nudicaulis; Berberis repens may be abundant but not dominant. Pteridium aquilinum absent or not abundant Populus tremuloides/Corylus cornuta H.T. Aralia nudicaulis phase 14. Undergrowth dominated by Pteridium aquilinum. Corylus cornuta usually present; Aralia nudicaulis absent or not abundant Populus tremuloides/Corylus cornuta H.T. Pteridium aquilinum phase 1. Vegetation is shrub-steppe: Cercocarpus montanus is dominant; forest and woodland tree species may be present but are sparse. Graminoid and herb layer dominated by Bouteloug curtipendula Cercocarpus montanus/Bouteloua curtipendula H.T.

The distribution and successional status of tree species in relation to habitat type are shown in table 3.

DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

VALIDITY OF HABITAT TYPE CLASSIFICATION

The practical value of the habitat type classifications has only begun to be realized in relation to vegetation mapping, tree growth, tree susceptibility to diseases, production of browse species for game animals, and providing a framework within which to relate additional basic or applied biological studies (Daubenmire 1961, 1973, 1976).

The classification system, while using vegetation as the indicator of site potentials, combines available related information on soil and climate. While initially using vegetation as the criterion of delimiting habitat types, this approach also takes a holistic view of units of land area. The older the stands observed, the more closely they approximate the potential (climax or near climax) of the landscape units studied (Daubenmire 1976).

This classification system utilizes both overstory and undergrowth vegetation in recognizing habitat types. Although the major vegetation zone in this study is dominated by Pinus ponderosa, in some areas Picea glauca, Populus tremuloides, and Quercus macrocarpa are climax species.

The classification of habitat types recognizes climax tree species in an area; these are given primary consideration, and important seral species are noted. Undergrowth vegetation then is used to indicate habitat types within the zone where a given tree species is climax.

DISTRIBUTION OF TREE SPECIES IN THE BLACK HILLS

Pinus ponderosa is easily the most prominent tree in the Black Hills. It is climax over vast areas from low to high elevations, and where it is not climax, it is an important seral species (table 3). P. ponderosa is aggressive and invades and establishes in Picea glauca-dominated habitat types after the latter have been disturbed. It is a major seral species in both the P. glauca/Linnaea borealis and P. glauca/Vaccinium scoparium habitat types. P. ponderosa also occurs along major streams as an occasional species in Quercus macrocarpa-dominated habitat types. P. glauca is an occasional species in only a few other habitat types. Populus tremuloides is a climax species in some areas of the Black Hills, and it is a conspicuous seral species in certain habitat types dominated by P. ponderosa and P. glauca. As in much of the Rocky Mountain region, Populus becomes established after fire in several habitat types in the Black Hills. It is a major seral species in P. ponderosa/Juniperus communis, P. ponderosa/Arctostaphylos uva-ursi, and P. glauca/L. borealis habitat types.

Q. macrocarpa occurs mainly along the northern fringe of the Black Hills, but it also is a sizable tree along some streams in the central Black Hills. It occurs as far south as French Creek in Custer State Park. Along the northern fringe of the Black Hills, it is relatively small statured and forms dense stands with a physiognomy similar to that of Quercus gambelii of the central Rocky Mountains. Q. macrocarpa is another species that spread during the period of uncontrolled fires. It became established on both P. ponderosa- and P. tremuloides-dominated habitat types, and is still present in some of those habitat types.

Betula papyrifera occurs mainly as a subdominant in stands of P. tremuloides. Like P. tremuloides, B. papyrifera is present as a seral species following disturbance of P. glauca- and more mesic P. ponderosa-dominated stands.

Fraxinus pennsylvanica and Ulmus rubra are members of a riparian vegetation complex not included in this study, but a few seedlings of both occur in *Q. macrocarpa*dominated vegetation.

SPECIES RICHNESS

Species richness of the undergrowth vegetation for all habitat types is shown in table 4. Median numbers per stand range from 11 in the Pinus ponderosa/Carex heliophila habitat type to 36 in the P. ponderosa/Quercus macrocarpa habitat type. Because some habitat types were sampled in more stands than others, comparing and evaluating species richness must be done carefully. Most of the following discussion omits the Q. macrocarpa/Symphoricarpos albus and P. ponderosa-Juniperus scopulorum habitat types, because each is an incidental habitat type represented by only one stand.

In general, the number of species tends to increase as sites become wetter. Included in the group with the fewest species are the P. ponderosa/C. heliophila, P. ponderosa/Physocarpus monogynus, P. ponderosa/Juniperus communis, and Cercocarpus montanus/Bouteloua curtipendula habitat types. The range of median numbers is 11 to 18. A second group of habitat types includes P. ponderosa/S. albus, P. ponderosa/Arctostaphylos uva-ursi, and Q. macrocarpa/Ostrya virginiana. The range of median numbers for this group is 20 to 22. The third group of habitat types, with the most species, includes P. ponderosa/Q. macrocarpa, Populus tremuloides/Corvlus cornuta, Picea glauca/Linnaea borealis, and P. glauca/Vaccinium scoparium. The range of median species numbers is 31 to 36. The above groupings are arbitrary, because they are based solely on one criterion, and exceptions to the groups are obvious. For example, the P. ponderosa/P. monogynus and P. ponderosa/J. communis habitat types are wetter than their positions above indicate. The P. ponderosa/P. monogynus habitat type occurs on north- to northwest-facing slopes on topographic positions with more moisture than those supporting the P. ponderosa/S. albus habitat type. The P. ponderosa/J. communis habitat type generally occupies sites comparable to P. ponderosa/S. albus and P. ponderosa/A. uva-ursi habitat types. The P. ponderosa/J. communis habitat type may have P. tremuloides as a major seral species and both Betula papyrifera and P. glauca as occasional trees. However, there is evidence that some stands with a P. ponderosa overstory and J. communis in the undergrowth are a P. ponderosa/J. communis community type that is seral to a P. glauca-dominated vegetation, even though their current vegetation is very nearly identical to the P. ponderosa/J. communis habitat type.

Species Habitat type	Fraxinus pennsylvanica	Ulmus rubra	Ostrya virginiana	Juniperus scopulorum	Quercus macrocarpa	Betula papyrifera	Populus tremuloides	Pinus ponderosa	Picea glauca
Quercus macrocarpa/Ostrya virginiana	0	0	С	1	С	0			
Quercus macrocarpa/Symphoricarpos albus					С				
Pinus ponderosa-Juniperus scopulorum				С				С	
Pinus ponderosa/Carex heliophila		<u> </u>			s			С	0
Pinus ponderosa/Symphoricarpos albus ¹					0	0		С	
Pinus ponderosa/Physocarpus monogynus				0				С	
Pinus ponderosa/Quercus macrocarpa ²								С	
Pinus ponderosalJuniperus communis					s	0	S	С	0
Pinus ponderosa/Arctostaphylos uva-ursi					0	0	S	С	0
Populus tremuloides/Corylus cornuta ¹	<u> </u>				s	С	С	0	0
Picea glauca/Linnaea borealis						0	s	S	С
Picea glauca/Vaccinium scoparium	1					s	s	s	С

Table 3.--The ecological roles of tree species in the habitat types in the Black Hills. C = major climax species S = major seral species c = minor climax species s = minor seral species

o = occasional species

¹Phases of these habitat types have no tree species with status different than the typic phases.

²Quercus macrocarpa is a shrub in this habitat type.

Table 4.—Species richness of undergrowth vegetation in the Black Hills habitat types.

	Number of	Median number	of undergrowth	
Habitat type	stands sampled	Shrubs ¹	Herbs ¹	Range of numbers ¹
Quercus macrocarpa/Ostrya virginiana	5	4	16	15-42
Quercus macrocarpa/Symphoricarpos occidentalis	1	7	13	_
Pinus ponderosa-Juniperus scopulorum	1	2	11	_
Pinus ponderosa/Carex heliophila	3	3	8	9-18
Pinus ponderosa/Symphoricarpos albus ²	12	7	15	14-28
Pinus ponderosa/Physocarpus monogynus	3	8	8	13-21
Pinus ponderosa/Quercus macrocarpa	4	7	29	27-44
Pinus ponderosalJuniperus communis	7	6	11	14-27
Pinus ponderosalArctostaphylos uva-ursi	10	5	16	13-29
Populus tremuloides/Corvlus cornuta ²	9	11	24	26-44
Picea alauca/Linnaea borealis	5	8	23	14-36
Picea alauca/Vaccinium scoparium	5	8	25	20-41
Cercocarpus montanus/Bouteloua curtipendula	3	4	14	17-19

¹Numbers based on sampling 1,452 square feet (125 m²) per stand. ²Numbers include those of the habitat type phases also.

The number of shrub species in the undergrowth may reflect more closely the moisture relationships of habitat types. As shown in table 3, the most xeric habitat types have two to four shrub species. The most mesic, those dominated by P. tremuloides and P. glauca, have 8 to 11 shrub species. The P. ponderosa/P. monogynus habitat type also has eight shrubs. This more closely indicates its moisture regime as influenced by topographic position. If this evidence holds, the P. ponderosa/J. communis habitat type, with six undergrowth shrubs, is among a group that is intermediate in its moisture relationships.

SOME PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Though the habitat type concept is a basic approach to land and vegetation classification, it has practical value for land managers. Daubenmire (1961) showed it to be useful in predicting growth rates of *Pinus ponderosa* in the northern Rocky Mountains. Preliminary observations in the Black Hills indicate that there are differences in growth rates of *P. ponderosa* among habitat types.

This study indicates the successional status of tree species that greatly improves the predictability of tree regeneration, composition, and success in response to disturbances. Control of species composition is vital to resource management for any particular stand, and habitat types outline the basic possibilities.

Considerably more information would have come from the study of Thilenius (1972) had the habitat types been known then. His classification grouped sites on the basis of deer usage. In contrast, relating deer use to habitat type would help explain the biotic potential of the browsed sites.

The potential use of habitat types in the Black Hills to indicate grazing potentials has yet to be studied. A few studies have been done on production and nutritive value of forest undergrowth species (Krantz and Linder 1973, Pase 1958, Pase and Hurd 1957, Severson 1982). How the undergrowth of various habitat types responds to logging,





Figure 32.—The view is upstream from Castle Creek. Photograph (a) was taken from one of the Custer camps in 1874. Note the sparse vegetation on the slopes in the background. Photograph (b) was taken from the same location in 1974. Control of fire has been primarily responsible for the development of the forests. *Populus tremuloides* on the right has matured and probably is climax at that location. The riparian *Salix* spp. vegetation evident in the foreground in 1874 is completely gone in 1974.

thinning, and/or fire has yet to be fully studied. Results of such a study could relate directly to grazing potentials of disturbed sites of habitat types.

LONG-TERM VEGETATIONAL CHANGES

Graves (1899) indicated that uncontrolled fires during the 1800s, and probably earlier, destroyed much of the timber in the Black Hills, especially Pinus ponderosa forests. Repeated fires left the forest "irregular and broken, and composed in many places of defective and scrubby trees" (Graves 1899). Early records of the Black Hills flora and vegetation have essentially no quantitative data. Photographic records often are the best available in assessing long-term vegetational changes. The Custer Expedition into the Black Hills in 1874 was documented in part by photographs. In 1974, a number of the photographic sites were relocated and new photos taken to show changes in the vegetation (fig. 32). From the photos, it is apparent that with fires mainly controlled, succession has resulted in more dense stands of vegetation. The stand of Populus tremuloides in the right of figure 32 not only has developed to become a mature stand, it also shows little evidence of change toward a coniferous-dominated stand.

It is of interest also that riparian vegetation, once common along most streams in the Black Hills, has been greatly reduced as more herbaceous vegetation was made available to domestic animals.

LITERATURE CITED

- Alexander, Robert R. 1985. Major habitat types, community types, and plant communities in the Rocky Mountains. Gen. Tech. Rep. RM–123. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 105 p.
- Alexander, Robert R. 1987. Silvicultural systems, cutting methods, and cultural practices for Black Hills ponderosa pine. Gen. Tech. Rep. RM-139. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 32 p.
- Alexander, Robert R.; Edminster, Carleton B. 1980. Management of spruce-fir in even-aged stands in the central Rocky Mountains. Res. Pap. RM-217. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 14 p.
- Alexander, Robert R.; Edminster, Carleton B. 1981. Management of ponderosa pine in even-aged stands in the Black Hills. Res. Pap. RM–228. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 10 p.
- Alexander, Robert R.; Hoffman, George R.; Wirsing, John M. 1986. Forest vegetation of the Medicine Bow National Forest in southern Wyoming: a habitat type classification. Res. Pap. RM-271. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 39 p.

Arno, Stephen F.; Pfister, Robert D. 1977. Habitat types: an improved system for classifying Montana's forests. Western Wildlands 3(4): 6–11.

Boldt, Charles E.; Alexander, Robert R.; Larson, Milo J. 1983. Interior ponderosa pine in the Black Hills. In: Burns, R. E., tech. comp. Silvicultural systems for the major forest types of the United States. Agric. Handb. 445. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture. 80-83.

Boldt, Charles E.; Van Deusen, James L. 1974. Silviculture of ponderosa pine in the Black Hills: the status of our knowledge. Res. Pap. RM–124. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 45 p.

Brotherson, J. D.; Anderson, D. L.; Szyska, L. A. 1984. Habitat relations of *Cercocarpus montanus* (true mountain mahogany) in central Utah. Journal of Range Management 37: 321–324.

Buttrick, P. L. 1914. The probable origin of the forests of the Black Hills of South Dakota. Forestry Quarterly 12: 223–227.

Custer, G. A. 1875. Expedition to the Black Hills. Senate Exec. Doc. 32. Washington, DC: Forty-third U.S. Congress Second Session. 9 p.

Darton, N. H.; Paige, S. 1925. Central Black Hills folio, South Dakota. Geol. Surv. 219. Washington, DC: U.S. Geological Folio. 1–34.

Daubenmire, R. 1952. Forest vegetation of northern Idaho and adjacent Washington, and its bearing on concepts of vegetation classification. Ecological Monographs 22: 301–330.

Daubenmire, R. A 1959. canopy coverage method of vegetational analysis. Northwest Science 33: 43-64.

Daubenmire, R. 1961. Vegetative indicators of rate of height growth in ponderosa pine. Forest Science 7: 24-34.

Daubenmire, R. 1968. Plant communities, a textbook of plant synecology. New York, NY: Harper and Row. 300 p.

Daubenmire, R. 1973. A comparison of approaches to the mapping of forest land for intensive management. Forest Chronicle 49: 87–91.

Daubenmire, R. 1974. Taxonomic and ecologic relationships between Picea glauca and Picea engelmannii. Canadian Journal of Botany 52: 1545–1550.

Daubenmire, R. 1976. The use of vegetation in assessing the productivity of forest lands. Botanical Review 41: 115-143.

 Daubenmire, R.; Daubenmire, Jean B. 1968. Forest vegetation of eastern Washington and northern Idaho. Tech.
 Bull. 60. Pullman, WA: Washington Agriculture Experiment Station. 104 p.

DeVelice, Robert L.; Ludwig, John A.; Moir, William H.; Ronco, Frank, Jr. 1986. A classification of forest habitat types of northern New Mexico and southern Colorado. Gen. Tech. Rep. RM-131. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 59 p.

Edminster, Carleton B.; Mowrer, H. Todd; Shepperd, Wayne D. 1985. Site index curves for aspen in the central Rocky Mountains. Res. Note RM-453. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 4 p.

Eis, S. 1981. Effect of vegetative competition on regeneration of white spruce. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 11: 1–8.

Fenneman, N. M. 1931. Physiography of western United States. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc. 534 p.

Froiland, S. D. 1962. Natural history of the Black Hills. Sioux Falls, SD: Center for Western Studies, Augustana College. 174 p.

Graves, H. L. 1899. Black Hills forest reserve. Ann. Rep. 1897-98: U.S. Geological Survey 19: 67-164.

Greenwood, L. R.; Brotherson, J. D. 1978. Ecological relationships between pinyon-juniper and true mountain mahogany stands in the Uintah Basin, Utah. Journal of Range Management 31: 164–167.

Gullion, Gordon W.; Svoboda, Franklin J. 1972. The basic habitat resource for ruffed grouse In: Aspen: Symposium proceedings. Gen. Tech. Rep. NC-1. St. Paul, MN: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station. 113-119.

Hansen, P. L.; Hoffman, G. R. [In prep.] The vegetation of the Grand River/Cedar River, Sioux, and Ashland Districts of the Custer National Forest: a habitat type classification. Res. Pap. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station.

Hayward, H. E. 1928. Studies of plants in the Black Hills of South Dakota. Botanical Gazette 85: 353-412.

Hess, Karl; Alexander, Robert R. 1986. Forest vegetation of the Arapaho and Roosevelt National Forests in north-central Colorado: a habitat type classification. Res. Pap. RM-266. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 48 p.

Hoffman, George R.; Alexander, Robert R. 1976. Forest vegetation of the Bighorn Mountains, Wyoming: a habitat type classification. Res. Pap. RM-170. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 38 p.

Hoffman, George R.; Alexander, Robert R. 1980. Forest vegetation of the Routt National Forest in northwestern Colorado: a habitat type classification. Res. Pap. RM-221. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 39 p.

Hoffman, George R.; Alexander, Robert R. 1983. Forest vegetation of the White River National Forest in western Colorado: a habitat type classification. Res. Pap. RM-249. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 36 p.

Hornibrook, E. M. 1939. Preliminary yield tables for selectively cut stands of ponderosa pine in the Black Hills. Journal of Forestry 37: 807–812.

Johnson, R. E. 1959. An ecological analysis of a mountain mahogany community. Laramie, WY: University of Wyoming. 88 p. M.S. thesis.

- Krantz, Jeremiah J.; Linder, Raymond L. 1973. Value of Black Hills forest communities to deer and cattle. Journal of Range Management 26: 263–265.
- LaRoi, G. H. 1967. Ecological studies in the boreal sprucefir forests of the North American taiga. I. Analysis of the vascular flora. Ecological Monographs 37: 229–251.
- Layser, Earle F. 1974. Vegetative classification: its application to forestry in the northern Rocky Mountains. Journal of Forestry 72: 354–357.
- Ludlow, W. 1875. Report of a reconnaissance of the Black Hills of Dakota, summer of 1874. Washington, DC: U.S. Army, Department of Engineering. 121 p.
- Mauk, Roland L.; Henderson, Jan A. 1984. Forest habitat types of northern Utah. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-170. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 89 p.
- Maze, J. 1968. Past hybridization between Quercus macrocarpa and Quercus gambelii. Brittonia 20: 321–333.
- McIntosh, A. C. 1930. Botanical features of the northern Black Hills. Black Hills Engineer 18(1): 1–31.
- McIntosh, A. C. 1949. A botanical survey of the Black Hills of South Dakota. Black Hills Engineer 28(4): 1–74.
- Meyer, Walter H. 1938. Yield of even-aged stands of ponderosa pine. Tech. Bull. 630. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture. 59 p.
- Moodie, C. D.; Koehler, F. E. 1975. Laboratory manual for soil fertility. Pullman, WA: Student Book Corp. 206 p.
- Moss, E. H. 1952. Forest communities of northwestern Alberta. Canadian Journal of Botany 31: 212–250.
- Moss, E. H. 1955. The vegetation of Alberta. Botanical Review 21: 493-567.
- Mueggler, Walter F. 1976. Type variability and succession in Rocky Mountain aspen. In: Utilization and marketing as tools for aspen management in the Rocky Mountains: Proceedings of the symposium; 1976 September 8–9; Fort Collins, CO. Gen. Tech. Rep. RM-29. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 16–19.
- Mueller-Dombois, D. 1964. The forest habitat types in southeastern Manitoba and their application to forest management. Canadian Journal of Botany 42: 1417–1444.
- Mueller-Dombois, O.; Ellenberg, H. 1974. Aims and methods of vegetation ecology. New York, NY: John Wiley and Sons, Inc. 547 p.
- Newton, H.; Jenney, W. P. 1880. Report on the geology and resources of the Black Hills of Dakota. Washington, DC: U.S. Geographical and Geological Survey, Rocky Mountain Region, U.S. Government Printing Office. 557 p.
- Oinonen, E. 1967. The correlation between the size of Finnish bracken (*Pteridium aquilinum* (I.) Kuhn) clones and certain periods of site history. Acta Forestalia Fennica 83: 1–51.
- Orr, Howard K. 1975. Watershed management in the Black Hills: the status of our knowledge. Res. Pap. RM-141. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 12 p.

- Orr, Howard K.; VanderHeide, Tony. 1973. Water yield characteristics of three small watersheds in the Black Hills of South Dakota. Res. Pap. RM-100. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 8 p.
- Pase, Charles P. 1958. Herbage production and composition under immature ponderosa pine stands in the Black Hills. Journal of Range Management 11: 238–243.
- Pase, Charles P.; Hurd, Richard M. 1957. Understory vegetation as related to basal area, grown cover and litter produced by immature ponderosa pine stands in the Black Hills. Proceedings of Society of American Foresters 1957: 156–158.
- Pfister, Robert D. 1972. Habitat type and regeneration. In: Permanent association committee: proceedings of symposium; Portland, OR: Western Forestry and Conservation Association. 120–125.
- Pfister, Robert D.; Arno, Stephen F. 1980. Classifying forest habitat types based on potential climax vegetation. Forest Science 26: 52-70.
- Pfister, Robert D.; Kovalchik, Bernard L.; Arno, Stephen F.; Presby, Richard C. 1977. Forest habitat types of Montana. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-34. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 174 p.
- Radeke, R. E.; Westin F. C. 1963. Gray wooded soils of the Black Hills of South Dakota. Soil Science Society Proceedings 1963: 573-576.
- Reynolds, W. F. 1866. Exploration of the Yellowstone and Missouri Rivers. Senate Exec. Doc. 77. Washington, DC: Fortieth U.S. Congress, First Session. 174 p.
- Rydberg, P. A. 1896. Flora of the Black Hills of South Dakota. Contributions from the United States National Herbarium 3(8): 463–536.
- Severson, Keith E. 1982. Production and nutritive value of aspen understory, Black Hills. Journal of Range Management 35: 786-789.
- Severson, Keith E.; Boldt, Charles E. 1977. Options for Black Hills forest owners: timber, forage or both. Rangeman's Journal 4(1): 13-15.
- Severson, Keith E.; Thilenius, John F. 1976. Classification of quaking aspen stand in the Black Hills and Bear Lodge Mountains. Res. Pap. RM-166. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 24 p.
- Steele, Robert; Cooper, Stephen V.; Ondov, David M.;
 Pfister, Robert D. 1983. Forest habitat types of eastern Idaho-western Wyoming. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-144.
 Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 122 p.
- Steele, Robert; Pfister, Robert D.; Ryker, Russell A.; Kittams, Jay A. 1981. Forest habitat types of central Idaho. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-114. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 138 p.
- Tansley, A. G. 1935. The use and abuse of vegetational concepts and terms. Ecology 16: 284-307.
- Thilenius, J. F. 1970. An isolated occurrence of limber pine (Pinus flexilis James) in the Black Hills of South Dakota. American Midland Naturalist 84: 411-417.

- Thilenius, John F. 1972. Classification of deer habitat in the ponderosa pine forest of the Black Hills, South Dakota. Res. Pap. RM-91. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 28 p.
- Thornbury, W. D. 1965. Regional geomorphology of the United States. New York, NY: John Wiley and Sons, Inc. 609 p.
- Van Bruggen, T. 1976. The vascular plants of South Dakota. Ames, IA: Iowa State University Press. 538 p.

		Mean		Dia	ameter (c	l.b.h.) c	lasses	in dm		
Habitat types and species	Stands sampled	basal area	<u>0</u> - <0.5	<u>-1</u> >0.5	1-2	2-3	3-4	4-5	5-6	6-7
0	number	m²/ha			num	ber of	trees			
Ostova virginiana	5	26.6								
Ostrya virginiana Quercus macrocarpa	5	20.0	116	2	10	4	1	(h)		
Ostrva virginiana			2.355	53	10	-	•	()		
Pinus ponderosa			-,5	(1)	Ö	(¹)				
Betula papyrifera			8	(1)	1	•••				
Ulmus rubra			8	1	(¹)					
Fraxinus pennsylvanica			3	(1)						
Quercus macrocarpal										
Symphoricarpos occidentalis	1	25.7		40	~~	-				
Quercus macrocarpa			383	13	32	5	1			
Symphosicorpop albus	10	25.9								
Orvzonsis asperifolia phase	(4)	55.0								
Balsamorhiza sagittata phase	(2)									
Pinus ponderosa	(-/		428	15	17	5	3	2	(1)	(¹)
Picea alauca				(1)	Ö	-	•	_	• • •	• • •
Betula papyrifera				Ċ	Ċ					
Quercus macrocarpa			5	(1)	• •					
Pinus ponderosa-										
Juniperus scopulorum	1	17.8								
Pinus ponderosa			207	4	8	3	1			
Juniperus scopulorum			87	27	22	7				
Pinus ponderosal	•	44.0								
Darex nenophila Pinus ponderosa	3	44.0	206	2	0	15	e	1	ζħ	
Auercus mecrocarpa			300	1		15	0	•	0	
Pinus ponderosal			15	•	0					
Physocarpus monoavnus	3	37.7								
Pinus ponderosa	-		501	13	30	13	1			
Juniperus scopulorum			(¹)							
Pinus ponderosa-										
Quercus macrocarpa	4	36.2								
Pinus ponderosa			236	1	8	10	2	1	1	(')
Pinus ponderosai	10	20.0								
Pinus pondorosa	10	39.0	220	16	14	10		•	dv	
Populus tremuloides			220	10	14	10	4	2	0	
Quercus macrocarpa			2							
Pinus ponderosal			-							
Juniperus communis	7	36.6								
Pinus ponderosa			56	7	11	6	5	2		
Picea glauca				(1)	(1)		(1)			
Populus tremuloides			14							
Betula papyritera Besulus tremulaidesi			(')							
Copulus corputa	0	24.4								
Diryius comula Dieridium aquilinum phase	(3)	34.1								
Aralia nudicaulis phase	(1)									
Populus tremuloides	(1)		144	7	8	10	3	(¹)		
Picea glauca			1	·	-		-			
Pinus ponderosa			3		(¹)					
Betula papyrifera			23	11	9	1	(¹)			
Quercus macrocarpa			23	1	(1)	1	(¹)			
Picea glaucal	_									
Linnaea Dorealis	5	42.3				•	•	.1.	.1.	
Picea giauca Benderess nine			118	12	11	6	2	<u> </u>	(')	
Ponuerosa pine Ponulus tremuloides			2	1	1	5	3	()		
Picea glaucal			4	0	()					
Vaccinium scoparium	5	43								
Picea glauca	v		137	3	3	5	2			
Ponderosa pine			(1)	2	9	9	3	(1)	1	
Populus tremuloides			32					•••	-	
Betula papyrifera			4		(1)					

¹Species with less than 1 tree per d.b.h. class.

Table A-2.—Location, topographic position, coverage (percent), and frequency (percent) of undergrowth species in stands of *Quercus macrocarpa/Symphoricarpos occidentalis* and *Quercus macrocarpa/Ostrya virginiana* habitat types.

	Quercus/ Symphoricarpos		Qu	ercus/Ostr	ya	
Stand number	3	45	11	10	4	20
Location:	, <u></u> , <u>.</u>					
Quarter	SE	NE	NE	SE	SW	SE
Section	13	16	18	7	19	14
Township	6N	52N	6N	6N	6N	1N
Hange	3E	60W	4E	4E	4E	6E
Sione (nereent)	16	16	25	10	14	20
Siope (percent)	10	10	20	10	200	29
Elevation (meters)	1,280	1,250	1,158	1,067	1,158	1,140
	Coverage/Frequ	iency ¹				
Shrubs		. <u>.</u>				
Amelanchier alnifolia	4.6/42					5/18
Berberis repens	12/64	+ /8		1.2/16	1.3/12	+ /8
Cornus stolonifera						•
Corylus cornuta						3/6
Crataegus succulenta						2 0/00
Juniperus communis						3.9/20
Lonicera dioica Physicscripte epulifelius						2.3/14
Prunus virainiana	11/58	 + /2		7 1/34	14/76	4/26
Ribes son	0.8/2	+ /2	•	1.1/04	+ 12	4.1/20
Rosa acicularis	0.9/6	*				+/2
Rubus idaeus	4.8/38					_
Shepherdia canadensis						*
Spiraea betulifolia	0.9/14				+ /4	10/40
Symphoricarpos albus						4.1/38
Symphoricarpos occidentalis	12/68	0.6/14	*	0.6/12	11/74	_
Toxicodendron rydbergii	2.8/36	+/2		•		6/28
Graminoids						
Agrostis hiemalis			1/10			0.8/4
Bromus inermis						2.2/18
Carex blanda			•	+ /2		
Carex foenea	9.4/58		+ /2	21/80	22/86	5.4/58
Carex saximontana			1.7/16	+/6		0.8/10
Carex spp.		2.2/20		0 9/2		_
Carex sprengeni Elumus virginious	3.5/18	1 4		0.0/2		_
Erymus virginicus Festuca son		1.4	1 2/16			_
Melica subulata	1.8/16					_
Oryzopsis asperifolia		+ /2			•	3.4/48
Poa pratensis	5.5/38		*	5.8/30	1.2/16	_
Schizachne purpurascens					•	2.5/30
Forbs						
Achillea millefolium				•	+ /2	0.6/14
Actaea rubra						•
Antennaria plantaginifolia						+/2
Apocynum androsaemifolium						+/6
Aquilegia canadensis						1/10
Arabis divaricarpa						12/56
Arana nuuluuns Aranaria latariflora						121JU 1/9
Arnica rydhernii						+12
Aster ciliolatus						1.5/18
Campanula rotundifolia						+ /2
Cerastium nutans			•	+ /4		0.7/6
Delphinium nuttallianum	•		0.9/26	1.5/4	+ /6	_
Disporum trachycarpum		•	+ /4		1.7/40	1.9/36
Dodecatheon pauciflorum			+ /4			•
Dodecatheon pulchellum			1.2/8			_
Erigeron philadelphicus						-

Table A-2.—Continued.

	ວງ	mphoricarpos		Qu	ercus/Ost	rya	
	Stand number	3	45	11	10	4	20
Fragaria virginiana			*			+ /2	•
Galium aparine		1.9/27		2/32	2/38	0.7/18	
Galium boreale		3.5/62	*		+ /8		1.8/30
Galium triflorum			+ /6			1.7/30	+ /6
Hackelia deflexa			+ /4				-
Heuchera richardsonii						+/2	+ /4
Lathyrus ochroleucus		1.5/22			+ /6	1.9/26	4/48
Lithophragma parviflora				2/44			_
Malanthemum canadense Mertensia lanceolata Microsteris gracilis							4.7/48
				0.9/6			_
				1.5/40	2.5/50		
Monarda fistulosa			+				_
Montia perfoliata				+/2			_
Orobanche fasciculata				+ 14			_
Osmorhiza chilensis				+ /4		2/32	
Osmorhiza longistylis			+/2				0.7/6
Osmorhiza spp.			•				_
Parthenocissus vitacea			+ /14				_
Polvgonatum biflorum							•
Sanicula marilandica						+/2	9.5/8
Senecio integerrimus				+ /4	•		
Smilacina racemosa							*
Smilacina stellata		+/10	0.7/6	+ /8	1.3/22	+/12	5.3/56
Smilax herbacea			*				
Taraxacum officinale				0.9/14	0.7/8		0.7/8
Thalictrum SDD.		0.7/6	+12		+ /8		0.8/10
Trifolium repens					+ /6		
Vicia americana		+12				+12	+12
Viola adunca							+ /4
Viola spp.		+/6	2/30		*		
Woodsia spp			2.00	2 4124	+ /6	0 9/10	•
naciona in microplote (no.)		20	16	40	+ 10	0.0,10	40
overage of shrubs		20	15	10	20	20	42
overage of graminoida		20			30	21	43
overage of forbe		20	4	4	30	23	10
stal anvarage (paraget)		0 70	4	13	9	10	4/

¹ + = Coverage of less than 0.5%.
 * = Species present in the macroplot but absent from the microplots.

-

			Pinus/Symp.	horicarpos				Oryzopsi	s phase		Balsam pha	orhiza S0
Stand numt	ber 12	3	39	42	54	28	24	32	9	14	13	61
cation:		, MIN	LL C	CIA.				- 	PILA	5	L	
Gootion		MN CC	ц с	Mo F	25 25	N S	NE S	л С	23 23	л С	ОС ас	NE Se
Jection Township	1 I S	7 <u>7</u>	e Se Se	- Se	S NG	, N	τ τ	3SE	S N	67 N	51N	9 X
Range	61W	36	о В В	3 E	5E	Ë	9 9 9 9	90 90 90	5E		61W	3日
pographic position:											I	
Slope (percent)	32	₽3	88	31	54	5 1 2 2 2 2	16	24	~	17	6	7
Aspect (degrees) Elevation (meters)	254 1,440	54 1,813	20 1,288	34 1,562	90 1,356	268 1,820	316 1,372	336 1,432	46 1,509	40 1,768	248 1,646	1,817
				Cover	rage/Freque	ncy ¹						
rubs						i i						
Amelanchier alnifolia	0.7/8	0.9/16	0.7/8	1.1/14	6.3/36	ł	0.7/16	+ /2	2.1/24	•	3.6/34	ł
Amelanchier humilis	1	1	1	1	1	1.7/2	1	I	I	I	1	4/2
Arctostaphylos uva-ursi	9/+	6.3/16	ł	1	1.3/12	1	2.8/22	I	1.6/44	3.1/34	+/10	4.6/16
Jerberis repens	40/4.4	1	1	1	4.6/22	ł	1	I	20/8.5	I	4C/L.4	- 12
Juniperus communis	•	•	+/2	14/24	2						•	
innaea borealis	1	•	ł	1	;	ł	;	I	I	+ /2	I	I
.onicera dioica	1.7/2	1	1	1		!		•	I	Ι	1	I
Prunus virginiana	4.1/28	•	+/6	16/68	9.8/30	!	0.7/8	I	I	I	8.1/40	I
Juercus macrocarpa	1		• ا	2 	0.6 11	1						
libes spb.	1	•	ł	! -	!	ł	ł	•	Ι	1		
Shus aromatica	1	!	•	;	!	ł	ł	ł	I	I	I	ł
Rosa acicularis		•	ł	9	9	+12	19	I	0.5/20		+ /2	0.8/2
tosa woodsii	4L/L.L	•	ł	7/+	- 12		8/+	I	I	4.5/60	I	I
shonhordia canadensis		•	0 +	2.5/4			0.8/2		2.5/4			
Spiraea betulifolia	2.1/22		!		8.5/72	ł	3.3/42	+ /4	+ /12	2.3/30	7.6/56	1
Symphoricarpos albus	4.2/58	2.9/56	4.9/66	11/70	•	13/58	+ /8	+ /8	5.1/64	4.6/64	2/28	7.4/46
Symphoricarpos occidentalis Toxicodendron rydbergii	•		 7.2/38		1.1/4			11		ଟ +	11	11
aminoids			0111 0									
Agropyton cannun Arrowron smithli		•	01 17-7	1 9/6								4 5/30
Andropogon gerardi		1	1	2	10/34		1	1	I			
Bromus ciliatus	1	•	ŀ	•	ł	ł	ł	I	I	I	I	I
Bromus inermis	1	1	1	ł	1	ł		+ /4	1	I	1	Ι
Bromus porteri	1	:	0.8/12	1	1	ł	1	I	I	I	ļ	Ι
Bromus pubescens	i	:		1	¦ •	ļ	1	27 0			I	+ /2
carex iveriea Carex heliophila	: :				ł			ty 777		5		12/56
Carex peckil	1	1	1	ł	ł	1	ł	I	1	I	1.3/22	31
Carex richardsonii	i	•	1	ł	;	ł	1.1/12	I	I	+/2		1
Carex sprengelii	1	!	ł	!	1	+12	ł	I	I	I	I	I

Table A-3.—Location, topographic position, coverage (percent), and frequency (percent) of undergrowth species in stands of Pinus ponderosa/Symphoricarpos albus habitat type including the Oryzopsis associated and Balsamochiza sanittate phases.

Table A-3.—Continued.	

			Pinus/Sym	phoricarpos				Oryzops	is phase		Balsam pha	orhiza se
Stand numb	ber 12	31	39	42	54	59	24	32	9	14	13	61
Carex xerantica		1				2.6/36			1	1		
Danthonia spicata	1	1	ł	ł	3/1.4		1.1/14	+12	I	l	I	I
Elymus canadensis	1	!	1	ł	•	1	;	I	i	I	ł	ł
Elymus interruptus	1	ł	•	!	ł	ł	ł	ł	I	ł	I	I
Elymus virginicus		1	1	1	ł	1	+ /4	1	1	I	I	1
Festuca idanoensis	ł			1	1	ł	{	1:	I	1	I	2.6/10
Festuca ovina		0.8/30	0.6/4	ł	1	ł	1	+ /4	ł		١	I
Koeleria pyramidata		•		1	1	1:	+/4	2.1/14		2.6/52	1	I
Oryzopsis asperitolia	0.9/18	1:	1	ł	ł	+12	10/74	5.6/34	2.1/44	3.9/58	I	i
Oryzopsis hymenoides		+ /4	1	ł	ł	ł	1	0.7/8	I	1	I	I
Uryzopsis micrantha	2.6/52	1	4.8/36	1	1		ł	I	ł	•	1	I
Poa Interior	9	1	1	ł	1	1.8/14	1		1	1 9	I	I
Poa pratensis	+/8	+/10	1	ł	•	12/76	! !	0.7/6	4/40	2/+	I	I
Scnizacnne purpurascens Sting accidentatis	1	ł	1	1	1	ł	+ /2	0.9/8	+ /8	+/10	I	
Slipa uccidentais		1	1	ł	1	1		I	1	I	I	4.0120
Forbs												
Achillea millefolium	+/12	+ /8	+ /8	ł	•	1.2/10	•	+/12	2/40	+/18	1/28	2.6/24
Agoseris glauca	1			ł	•	1	1	I	I	I	I	۱
Allium canadense	1	+ /2	1	ł	ł	1	1	1	I	I	I	I
Amorpha canescens	1	1	1.7/18	ł	ł	1	1	I	I	١	i	Ι
Amorpha nana	1	1	1	1	1	1	+ /4	I	I	I	I	I
Anaphalis margaritacea	ł	ł	ł	!	+12	1	1	I	1	ł	1	Ι
Androsace septentrionalis	!	1	ł	1		+ /4	ł	I	I	I	I	ł
Anemone patens	+ /4	+12	0.8/10	+ /2	ł	+12	ł	١	I	I	1	+ /6
Antennaria neglecta	!	+/10	!	ł	ł	1	1	Ι	+/12	I	I	I
Antennaria plantaginifolia	+ 12	+/10	+12	1	+/4	1.8/8	+ /8	4.3/44	I	1	+/4	0.7/6
Apocynum androsaemifollum	•	ł	ł	+ /4	3.2/22	ł	1.3/14	•	I	I	I	1
Aralia nudicaulis	•	1	1	1	ł	ł	3.5/24	•	I	I	I	I
Arenaria lateriflora	1	ł	1	ł	ł	+ /4	ł	١	ł	I	I	1
Arnica lonchophylla	ł	1	1	!	ł	ł	1	+ /8	1	1	I	I
Artemisia ludoviciana	+ 12	1	1	ł	1	1		1	+ /4	I	1	1.8/16
Aster ciliolatus	+ /8	:	1	1	ł	9/+/9	+/6	+/8	+/16	I	0.7/6	21/6.1
Astragalus alpinus		+ /4	ł	ł	1	1.6/4	1	ł	1:		I	I
Astragalus spp.		1	!	ł	1	ł	1	1	+ /4	1.8/30		
Baisamorniza saginata	0/L.L	1	ł	1			ł	I	I	I	1.3/40	N9/71
Companyla vyunnigensis	I	2		1		21/202	1	ן נ ו	I	1 8		
	9	21+	4 4	ł	9 +	1.1/40	1	71+	I	Q+	• ا	I
Cerastium arvense	21+	ł	ł	!	ן <u>נ</u>	! •	ł	I		I	I	
Cirsium spp. Corallorhiza maculata					112			*	t		i 1	
	*	l		1							5	
Delahing nacinycarpulli		1	:	1	ŀ	ł	•	I	I	1	+ + 2 2	
Delphimum nutramanum	ŀ	9	ł	ł	1	9	1	1	I	1	112	I
Douecameon paucinorum Fringron speciasus		0/+		1	:	21+			1			
Erigerur speciosus Eranaria virainiana	1		0711	1		10/66	•	1	1 2/1 2	0 7162	1/18	
Galium boreale	t F	4	t +	2.1/42		2.1/34	10	i 1	1/20	3152	21	1.9/18
Galium obtusum	+ /8	!	ł	!	ł		!	I			1/28	I
Geum triflorum	1	•	•	ł	ł	1.3/12	ł	I	I	I	I	I
Goodyera repens	ł	1	ł	ł	ł	!	ł	+ /4	I	I	1	I
Halenia deflexa	ł	1	1	ł	ł	1	ł	•	ł	1	1	1

•
ъ.
- X
w
_
=
_
=
-
0
~
C)
×.
1
•
0
11
•
~
-
-
<u> </u>
æ
<u> </u>
_

			olnus/Symp	horicarpos				Oryzopsi	s phase		Balsamo phas	rhiza 0
Stand number	12	51	39	42	24	28	24	32	9	14	13	61
Hedvsarum aloinum			:	:	:		:		1	2.4/24		1
Heuchera richardsonii	ł	•	1	1	1	+ 12	+/14	+ /8	Ι	I	I	I
Hymenoxys acaulis	ł	1	+ 12	ł		1	1	I	I	I	I	I
Lathyrus ochroleucus	+ /2	1	+ 12	!	ł	ł	ł	I	1.6/44	6.8/58	I	+ /6
Lucocrinum montanum	ł	ł	ł		!	1		1	I	+ /6	I	1
Lilium philadelphicum	ł	ł	1	1		ł	1	1	1	+ /2	I	I
Lupinus argenteus	ł		ł	ł	ł	ł	ł	I	I	ł	6.2/52	I
Majanthemum canadense	ł	ł						•	I	I	I	I
Melilotus albus	ł	ł	ł	ł		ł	ł	I	5.8/52	ł	I	I
Monarda fistulosa	1	ł	+ /4	+12	ł	ł		1	+ /16	1	I	I
Oxytropis campestris	!	•	ł	ł	ł	1		I	I	+ /4	l	I
Potentilla fissa	ł	+ /2	!	ł	!	+ /2	ł	I	I	ł	ł	1
Potentilla gracilis	ł	ł	ł	ł	ł	+12	!	I	I	1	I	1
Psoralea esculenta	ł	ł	ł	ł	ł	ł	ł	I	I	I	1	+12
Pterospora andromedea	ł	+12	1	!		ł	•	•	Ι	I	I	ł
Sanicula spp.	ł	;	!	1	1	ł		I	+/4	I	I	I
Senecio canus	ł	ł	+ /8	+ /6	1	1	ł	I	I	1	I	I
Senecio plattensis	ł	ł	;	ł	!	ł		I	I	+ /8	I	I
Smilacina racemosa	0.7/16	1	+ /12	!		ł	1	I	I	I	I	I
Smilacina stellata	ł	ł	1	1		1.1/22	+ 12	I	+ /4	I	I	1
Solidago spp.	1	!	1	1	3.7/30	1	1	I	!	I	۱	I
Solidago speciosa	ł	ł	ł	ł	+ /6	1	1	1	I	I	1	I
Taraxacum officinale	1	1	+ 12	1	ł	+12	1	I	I	+ /4	I	I
Thalictrum dioicum	1	1	+12	1		ł	1	1	+/4	+ /6	ł	I
Tragopogon dubius	1	ł	4		ł	1		I	I	1	I	I
Vicia americana	•		ł	•		1	1	+ 12	+/4	1/10	1/8	0.9/6
Viola adunca	1		ł	1		+ /8	1	I	+/4	0.7/8	+ /8	I
Woodsia oregana	ł	1	!	!	1	1	1	•	I	I	1	I
Zigadenus elegans	1	•	1	1	1	1	1	+ /8	1	1	I	I
Zizia aptera	•	•	1	1		1	1	+ /6	+ /8	0.9/38	I	I
Mosses and Lichens	1	ł	1.5/12	3.4/16	•	}	1	0.8/10	ł	I	I	I
Species in microplots	22	17	28	14	18	28	21	23	28	28	19	20
Coverage of shrubs	18	10	16	45	33	17	6	-	16	15	26	13
Coverage of graminoids	4	-	14	8	13	17	13	13	10	9	-	24
Coverage of forbs	4	8	7	9	80	26	9	7	15	19	19	52
Total coverage (percent)	26	13	37	53	54	60	28	21	41	44	46	59
1 + = Coverage of less than 0.5%	<i>6</i> .											
* = Species present in the macu	oplot but	absent fro	m the micr	oplots.								

Table A-4.—Location, topographic position, coverage (percent), and frequency (percent) of undergrowth species in stands of *Pinus ponderosalPhysocarpus monogynus, Pinus ponderosalCarex heliophila*, and *Pinus ponderosa-Juniperus scopulorum* habitat types.

	Pior	e/Physoco	1 000		Dinue/Cara	,	Pinus- Junioerus
Stand num		EA	65 65		23	63	58
		04	05	49	20		50
Location:							
Quarter	SW	SE	SW	NE	SE	NW	SW
Section	2	4	33	11	31	8	1
lownship	45	35	25	55N	35	55	15
Topographic position:	20	16	16	0300	JE	IC	16
Slope (percent)	33	43	46	17	5		24
Aspect (degrees)	326	Ŭ 0	334	264	226		340
Elevation (meters)	1,737	1,567	1,585	1,372	1,576	1,431	1,189
<u> </u>		Coverage/F	requency ¹				
Shrubs							<u>_</u>
Amelanchier alnifolia	2.4/2		+/2	3/30			_
Amelanchier humilis		+/2	1.8/8				_
Berberis repens		+ /4	14/66	11/62			_
Cercocarpus montanus		+ /2					_
Juniperus communis		+ /2	11/24	*			
Physocarpus monogynus	23/66	73/100	29/82				
Prunus virginiana	0.7/8	0.8/2	8.6/38	*			
Quercus macrocarpa				1.2/2			
Rhus aromatica							•
Ribes cereum						•	•
Ribes odoratum	•						_
Ribes setosum			+/2				
Hosa acicularis	2/16	+/2	3.2/28				
HOSA WOODSII Shanhardia aanadanaia			+ /2				
Snepherdia canadensis	3.1/8			0.6/14			_
Spiraea beluinona Symphonicospool olbuo	0 7/64	4 4 /4 4	40/74	3.5/26			
Symphoricarpos albus	9.7704	1.1/14	10/74	0.5/10			
Graminoids							
Agropyron caninum	3.2/30	+/2	•	+ /2			_
Agropyron smithii							+ /2
Andropogon scoparius							*
Bouteloua curtipendula							1.4/8
Carex filifolia							+ /8
Carex foenea				11/60			
Carex nellopnila				40/66	23/100	48/98	+/4
Dantnonia spicata Feetues idebeessie				5.2/24	7.8/38		_
Festuca Idanoensis Kooloria pyramidata	-						
Adeleria pyramidala Opyzopsis hymenoides							
Oryzopsis mynienoides				1 2/4			1 1/00
Pog protonsis				1.3/4	10/66		1.1/00
Schizachne purpurascens		±12			10/00	+/0	
Sitanion hystrix		+7 2					
Stipa occidentalis		+ /2		•	*		
Forbs							
Achillea millefolium				0.8/10			_
Agoseris glauca							+ /4
Anemone patens	0.8/14	+/2			+ /2		6.4/48
Antennaria parvifolia	1.6/16						
Antennaria plantaginifolia		•	•		0.7/8	+/2	•
Antennaria neglecta				0.8/10			_
Apocynum androsaemifolium	+ /2			•			_
Artemisia frigida				•			+ /4
Artemisia Iudoviciana					1.4/16	+ /2	_
Aster ciliolatus	1/8				2.5/40		5.6/16
Aster ericoides					•		
Astragalus alpinum	U.8/14			*	•		
Campanula rocunditolla Carastium acconso					+/2	+/2	1.2/18
Octastiuni arvense				+/6	-		-

Table A-4.—Continued.

	Pinu	s/Physocal	rpus	Pi	nus/Carex		Pinus- Juniperus
Stand numbe	r 57	64	65	49	23	63	58
Corallorbiza maculata					+/2		
Disporum trachycarpum	+ /4	+/2					_
Frigeron alabellus					•		_
Fragaria vesca			*				_
Fragaria virginiana	+ /6			1.3/12			
Galium horeale	3.8/52	+ 14			+		_
Hedeome hisoide							*
Hedvsarum alninum			•				_
Heuchera richardsonii	0.6/4		•				_
Hieracium umbellatum						+/2	_
Listric punctata						+/2	-
Mortensia lanceolata					•		_
Polygala alba							+ /4
Potentilla hinniana					+/2		_
Psoralea argonhvila						+/2	
Senecio integerrimus			+12				_
Sellecio integerinitas					*		_
Smilacina racemosa Smilacina stellete	± 12				+ /4		_
Shinacina Stenata Solidago eneciosa	5 6/30			+12			_
Thermonsis rhombifolia	3.0/00			+ 12			_
Viele edunee	+ 16		± 12				_
Zigodonus ologons	+10	± /A	+/2			+ 12	_
Zigauenus elegans	1 1/14	+ / 4					_
Zizia apiera	12/58	1 3/28	71/42	4/10			0.5/8
Mosses and Lichens	12/30	4.3/20	11142	4/10			0.0.0
Species in microplets	21	16	13	18	11	9	13
Coverage of shrubs	41	76	84	20	3		11
Coverage of graminoids	3	1		58	41	48	3
Coverage of forbs	28	5	271	8	3	1	9
Total coverage (percent)	72	82	155	86	47	49	23

¹+ = Coverage of less than 0.5%.
 ^{*} = Species present in the macroplot but absent from the microplots.
 ²Mosses and lichens provide 71% of the coverage in this stand.

Table A-5.—Location,	, topographic position	on, coverage (pe	ercent), and fr	requency (percent) of
undergrowth speci	es in stands of Pinu	s ponderosa/Qu	iercus macroc	carpa habit	at type.

	Stand number	28	27	44	68
Location:					
Quarter		NW	SE	SW	NE
Section		30	20	33	28
Township		53N	53N	6N	5N
Range		63W	63W	60W	5E
Slope (percent)		10	21	e	20
Aspect (degrees)		264	135	306	29
Elevation (meters)		1,448	1,615	1,503	1,256
	Coverage/Freq	luency ¹			
Shrubs					
Amelanchier alnifolia		1.9/18	3.7/32	8.5/48	_
Amelanchier humilis					5.2/28
Arctostaphylos uva-ursi			1.5/10		_
Berberis repens		10/84	0.8/12	5.1/5	14/58
Crataegus succulenta		+/2	•		—
Juniperus communis		0.6/4			4 014
Ostrya virginiana Brunus virginiana		7 5/20			1.3/4
Prunus virginiana Prunus americana		1.5/50	+ /4	5 9/40	40/92
Quercus macrocarpa		20/48	20/46	26/50	4/14
Ribes missouriense		+ /4			<i></i>
Rosa acicularis		1.4/18	*	1/10	+
Shepherdia canadensis		•			-
Spiraea betulifolia		0.6/14	6.6/64	20/84	7.2/38
Symphoricarpos albus		3.8/52			1.6/16
Symphoricarpos occidentalis			2/40	4.3/34	
loxicoaenaron ryabergii					7.5/34
Graminoids					
Agropyron caninum		•	+ /6	3.3/22	_
Bromus pubescens		•			_
Carex foenea		1.1/34	0.6/24	9/42	0.6/4
Carex Inicroptera		+ /14			1.6/10
Danthonia spicata		+/14	1/20	1 8/6	-
Elvmus canadensis					1.6/16
Elymus virginicus		+ /6	*		_
Oryzopsis asperifolia		1/20		11/48	1.6/4
Poa interior		+ /4	+/16		-
Poa palustris		+ /4			•
Poa pratensis				4/8	-
Schizachne purpurascens		+/4			
Stipa Occidemans					+12
Forbs					
Achillea millefolium		+/2	*		
Amorpha canescens					1.4/10
Antennaria nlegiecia Antennaria plantaginifolia					•
Anocynum androsaemifolium			1 1/14	+ 12	± 12
Artemisia Iudoviciana			+/8		
Aster ciliolatus		1.4/16	1/10		+/2
Campanula rotundifolia			+/2	+/2	*
Cerastium arvense			+ /2		—
Collomia linearis			+/10		
Disporum trachycarpum					0.8/4
Erigeron subtrinervis Galium barasia				2 0/00	0.8/2
Gallulli Doreale Hadvsariim alninim		1.1/22	0.9/34	2.2/30	
Heuchera richardsonii		*			
Lathyrus ochroleucus			+ /2	1.2/18	_
Lupinus argenteus		•	3.4/20	+/2	_
Monarda fistulosa		+/2		+ /4	•
Petalostemon candidum					•

Table A-5.—Continued.

	Stand number	28	27	44	68
Pterospora andromedea					•
Sanicula marilandica		1.1/12			_
Smilacina racemosa			*		_
Smilacina stellata		0.7/16		1.8/30	1.5/20
Smilax herbacea		0.4/4	+ /2		_
Taraxacum officinale		•			
Thalictrum dioicum		1.9/18		0.9/8	_
Vicia americana		0.6/4	*	+ /2	_
Viola canadensis		2.6/24			_
Mosses and Lichens				1.3/12	_
Stand number		28	27	44	68
Species in microplots		27	21	22	19
Coverage of shrubs		46	35	71	86
Coverage of graminoids		3	2	29	6
Coverage of forbs		10	8	8	5
Total coverage (percent)		59	45	108	97

¹+ = Coverage of less than 0.5%.
* = Species present in the macroplot but absent from the microplots.

 Table A-6.—Location, topographic position, coverage (percent), and frequency (percent) of undergrowth species in stands of Pinus ponderosa/Arctostaphylos uva-ursi habitat type.

	Stand number	16	17	18	19	34	37	41	62	66	70
Location:											
Quarter		NE	SW	NW	NE	SW	SW	SE	SE	SE	NW
Section		10	34	33	4	1	23	25	12	13	29
Township		2N	3N	3N	2N	4S	1S	2S	2S	2S	1S
Range		4E	4E	4E	4E	2E	1E	1E	5E	5E	4E
Topographic position:											
Slope (percent)		3	6	16	10	21		3	10	20	20
Aspect (degrees)		10	282	48	46	320		242	30	56	172
Elevation (meters)		1,638	1,577	1,634	1,676	1,623	2,042	1,913	1,573	1,548	1,939

Shrubs Shrubs Amelanchier alnifolia 1.2/16 0.9/18 +12 1.8/22 - 2/40 - <			Cove	rage/Freq	uency ¹						
Amelanchier alnifolia 1.216 0.918 +12 1.8/22 240	Shrubs	,,									
Amelanchier humilis	Amelanchier alnifolia	1.2/16		0.9/18	+ /2	1.8/22		2/40	_	_	_
Arctostaphylos uvarusi 10/64 25/88 13/80 21/84 9.5/68 15/100 25/100 42/14 Berberis repens	Amelanchier humilis									+/2	0.7/8
Becheris repens	Arctostaphylos uva-ursi	10/64	25/88	13/60	21/84	9.5/68	18/80	29/56	76/100	85/100	42/74
Juniperus communis	Berberis repens			8.1/68	+ /2		9.6/76				_
Physiccarpus monogynus <	Juniperus communis		٠	+/2	•	1.1/4	2.9/10		_	_	+ /2
Prinus pensylvanida +- +- +- +- +- +- 12 +- 12 +- 12 +- 12 +- 12 +- 12 12 14 2 14 2 14 2 14 12 14 12 14	Physocarpus monogynus					4.2/38			_	_	_
Prunus virginiana - 0.9(6 + + 12 Probe son issouriense	Prunus pennsylvanica								_	_	+ /2
Pyrole spj.	Prunus virginiana	•				0.9/6				+/2	_
Ribes missouriense 1/10 +/2 1/10 +/2 1/10 +/2 1/10 +/2 1/10 +/2 </td <td>Pyrola spp.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>*</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	Pyrola spp.			*					_	_	_
Rosa acloularis 0.9/16 - - 0.9/14 - + 1/10 + 1/2 - + 1/2 - + 1/2 - + 1/2 - + 1/2 - + 1/2 - - - - - - + 1/2 1/2 2/28 9/44 + -	Ribes missouriense								_	+/2	_
Rosa woodsii +12 +12 +12 +14 +12 1.4/8 1.4/8 1.4/8 1.4/8 1.4/8 1.4/8 1.4/8 <td< td=""><td>Rosa acicularis</td><td>0.9/16</td><td>•</td><td></td><td>0.9/14</td><td></td><td>+/10</td><td>0.7/18</td><td>1/10</td><td>+ /2</td><td>_</td></td<>	Rosa acicularis	0.9/16	•		0.9/14		+/10	0.7/18	1/10	+ /2	_
Rubus idaeus	Rosa woodsii								+ /2	_	+ /2
Shepherdia canadensis 1.116 +1/2 9/28 9/144 +1/2	Rubus idaeus								_	1.4/8	
Spinee betuilfolia 3.3/26 2/28 1.4/24 2.8/14	Shepherdia canadensis	1.1/6	+/2	9/28	9/44	+/2			_		_
Symphoricarpos albus 3.5/42 +/2 0.9/14 +/4 2.7/46 2.1/42 5.5/62 3/32 4.9/32 11/70 Graminoids Agropyron caninum <t< td=""><td>Spiraea betulifolia</td><td>3.3/26</td><td>2/28</td><td>1.4/24</td><td>2.8/44</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.7/16</td><td>3.4/26</td><td>3.3/42</td><td>_</td></t<>	Spiraea betulifolia	3.3/26	2/28	1.4/24	2.8/44			0.7/16	3.4/26	3.3/42	_
Graminoids Agropyron caninum	Symphoricarpos albus	3.5/42	+ /2	0.9/14	+ /4	2.7/46	2.1/42	5.5/62	3/32	4.9/32	11/70
Agropyron sapp. </td <td>Graminoids</td> <td></td>	Graminoids										
Agropyron spp. </td <td>Agropyron caninum</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td>+ /2</td> <td>_</td>	Agropyron caninum								_	+ /2	_
Bronus ciliatus 2.3/14 Bronus porteri 0.9/6 +1/4 Bronus porteri 0.9/6 +1/4 Carex holiophila <	Agropyron spp.							•		_	_
Bromus inermis 0.9/6 +/4 Bromus porteri +/2 +/4 Carex foliophila +/12 9.6/50 Danthonia intermedia 8.9/46	Bromus ciliatus							2.3/14			-
Bromus porteri ++/2 ++/4 Carex foenea 6.9/40 17/68 Carex foenea 6.9/46 17/68 Carex foenea 2.1/4 1.4/26 +/4 9.6/50 9.6/50 9.6/50 9.6/50 9.6/50 1.7/68 Corxopsis sigatification and the spice an	Bromus inermis						0.9/6		+ /4	_	—
Carex foenea 6.9/40 17/68 Carex heliophila +/2 9.6/50 <t< td=""><td>Bromus porteri</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>+/2</td><td></td><td>+ /4</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td></t<>	Bromus porteri					+/2		+ /4	_	_	_
Carex heliophila +/2 · 9.6/50 Danthonia spicata 2.1/4 1.4/26 +/4 1.7/8 1.1/4 +/2 Festuca ovina +/2 0.9/8 <td>Carex foenea</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>6.9/40</td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td>-</td> <td>17/68</td>	Carex foenea						6.9/40		_	-	17/68
Danthonia intermedia 8.9/46 Danthonia spicata 2.1/4 1.4/26 +/4 1.7/8 1.1/4 +/2 Festuca ovina +- +- <td>Carex heliophila</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>+ /2</td> <td>*</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>9.6/50</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>_</td>	Carex heliophila			+ /2	*			9.6/50		-	_
Danthonia spicata 2.1/4 1.4/26 $+/4$ 1.7/8 - 1.1/4 $+/2$ Festuca ovina +/2 $0.9/8$ -	Danthonia intermedia						8.9/46		-	_	_
Festuca ovina +/2 0.9/8	Danthonia spicata	2.1/4	1.4/26	+ /4				1.7/8	_	1.1/4	+ /2
Koeleria pyramidata +/8 2/12	Festuca ovina			•		+ /2	0.9/8		_		
Oryzopsis asperifolia +/4 1/10 5.2/64 +/2 11/36 8.8/40 14/48 +/2 Oryzopsis micrantha <	Koeleria pyramidata					+ /8	2/12		_	_	_
Oryzopsis micrantha	Oryzopsis asperifolia	+ /4	1/10	5.2/64	+ /2		11/36		8.8/40	14/48	+ /2
Oryzopsis pungens + 1/2 0.8/8 Stipa occidentalis 0.8/8 Stipa occidentalis 0.8/8 Stipa occidentalis 0.8/8 0.8/8 Stipa occidentalis 0.8/8 2.4/16 +/4	Oryzopsis micrantha				•				_	_	_
Panicum leibergii 0.8/8 Stipa occidentalis 1.8/12 Stipa richardsonii 1.8/12 Forbs 2.4/16 +/4 Agoseris glauca 2.4/16 +/4 Agoseris glauca +/4 Anemone canadensis	Oryzopsis pungens		+	+ /2					_		_
Stipa occidentalis 1.8/12 Stipa richardsonii 2.4/16 +/4 Forbs Achillea millefolium + +/4 +/2 +/2 3.5/40 0.9/14 +/4 +/2 +/4 Agoseris glauca +/2 Androsace septentrionalis	Panicum leibergii								_	_	0.8/8
Stipa richardsonii 2.4/16 - + /4 Forbs Achillea millefolium * + /4 + /2 + /2 3.5/40 0.9/14 + /4 + /2 + /4 Agoseris glauca +/2 Androsace septentrionalis <td>Stipa occidentalis</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.8/12</td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	Stipa occidentalis						1.8/12		_	_	_
Forbs Achillea millefolium + +/4 + /2 + /2 3.5/40 0.9/14 + /4 + /2 + /4 Agoseris glauca + /2 Androsace septentrionalis	Stipa richardsonii							2.4/16	-		+ /4
Achillea millefolium $+/4$ $+/2$ $+/2$ $*$ $3.5/40$ $0.9/14$ $+/4$ $+/2$ $+/4$ Agoseris glauca $$	Forbs										
Agoseris glauca </td <td>Achillea millefolium</td> <td>•</td> <td>+ /4</td> <td>+ /2</td> <td>+/2</td> <td>•</td> <td>3.5/40</td> <td>0.9/14</td> <td>+ /4</td> <td>+/2</td> <td>+ /4</td>	Achillea millefolium	•	+ /4	+ /2	+/2	•	3.5/40	0.9/14	+ /4	+/2	+ /4
Androsace septentrionalis	Agoseris glauca								_	+ /2	_
Anemone canadensis +/4 Anemone multifida +/6 +/2	Androsace septentrionalis			*					—	_	_
Anemone multifida +/6 +/2 <th< td=""><td>Anemone canadensis</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td><td>+ /4</td></th<>	Anemone canadensis									_	+ /4
Anemone patens • +/4 +/8 +/2 Antennaria neglecta • 0.6/12 +/4 +/2 +/6 Antennaria plantaginifolia +/2 +/6 Apocynum androsaemifolium +/2 +/6 • +/2 +/2 Artemisia ludoviciana +/4 +/1 0.6/4 +/2 Artemisia ludoviciana +/2 -/2 Artemisia ludoviciana	Anemone multifida			+ /6		+/2			_		_
Antennaria neglecta • $0.6/12$ +/4 +/2 +/6 <td>Anemone patens</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>+ /4</td> <td>+ /8</td> <td>+/2</td> <td>_</td> <td></td>	Anemone patens	•					+ /4	+ /8	+/2	_	
Antennaria plantaginifolia 2.9/10 2.9/10 2.9/10 2.9/10 2.9/10 2.9/10 2.9/10 2.9/10 +/2 +/2	Antennaria neglecta	•	0.6/12	+ /4		+ /2		+/6		_	_
Apocynum androsaemifolium +/2 +/6 • +/2 +/2 Artemisia ludoviciana +/4 +/1 0.6/4 +/2 Arnica spp. +/2 Aster ciliolatus +/4 +/8 +/2	Antennaria plantaginifolia						2.9/10		_	_	
Artemisia ludoviciana +/4 +/1 0.6/4 +/2 Arnica spp.	Apocynum androsaemifolium	+/2	+ /6	•	•				+ /2	+ /2	_
Arnica spp.	Artemisia Iudoviciana						+ /4	+/1	0.6/4		+ /2
Aster ciliolatus +/4 +/8 +/2 +/6 1.7/8 Astragalus alpinus <	Arnica spp.					•			_	_	
Astragalus alpinus • • • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Aster ciliolatus	+ /4	+ /8	+/2			+ /6	_	1 7/8	_	_
Astragalus miser +/6 Astragalus spp. 2.1/14 Balsamorhiza sagittata 4.6/22 Campanula rotundifolia * * +/4 +/2 +/2 1.3/12	Astragalus alpinus	•	*		•					_	_
Astragalus spp 2.1/14 Balsamorhiza sagittata 4.6/22 Campanula rotundifolia * * +/4 +/2 +/2 1.3/12	Astragalus miser							+ /6	_	_	_
Balsamorhiza sagittata 4.6/22	Astragalus spp.						2.1/14		_	_	_
Campanula rotundifolia * * + /4 + /2 + /2 1 3/12	Balsamorhiza sacittata							4 6/22	_	_	
	Campanula rotundifolia	*	•		+ /4				+ 12	+ 12	1.3/12

Table A-6.—Continued.

	Stand number	16	17	18	19	34	37	41	62	66	70
Castilleja sulphurea							1.1/6	+/2	_	_	
Cirsium ochrocentrum										+ /2	_
Corallorhiza maculata		*	*	+ /2					_	—	0.5/2
Fragaria virginiana			+ /6	+ /6	+ /6	+ /2	2.6/34	0.8/20	+ /2	0.7/8	_
Galium boreale						+/10	+ /18	1.1/22	_	—	
Geum triflorum						0.6/14			_	—	_
Glycyrrhiza lepidota									6.4/36	_	_
Halenia deflexa							+ /4		_	_	
Hedysarum alpinum		*	*	*	+/2	1.4/8	4.6/36		_	—	_
Heuchera richardsonii				+ /2		+ /2			-	+/2	_
Hieracium albiflorum									_	+/2	_
Lathyrus ochroleucus		+/4	0.8/10	+ /2	5.7/50		2.6/44	0.7/16	12/68	+ /2	_
Lupinus argenteus							4/42	0.7/16	_	—	_
Monarda fistulosa						+/2			_	+ /2	
Oxytropis campestris			+		•				_	_	_
Oxytropis lambertii								0.4	_	_	_
Potentilla fissa									_	1.6/16	0.7/8
Psoralea argophylla									_	_	+ /2
Pterospora andromedea				•	+				—	+ /2	_
Senecio plattensis						+ /4			-	_	_
Senecio pseudoaureus								+/10	_	_	_
Smilacina stellata									1.3/20	+ /2	+/2
Solidago speciosa		+ /4	+/12		+/12				+/2	_	+ /8
Swertia radiata						+ /6			_	_	_
Sysyrinchium angustifoli	um						+ /2		_	_	_
Thelypodium integrifoliu	n					*			_	_	_
Thermopsis rhombifolia								•		3.4/20	—
Vicia americana				0.9/8	0.7/6	+/2	+ /8		1.2/10	_	
Viola adunca		+ /8	+ /2	+ /6	+ /8		+/10		_	_	_
Woodsia oregana										_	+ /2
Zigadenus elegans						+ /8				_	—
Zizia aptera					*	+/12			_	_	_
Mosses and Lichens						+/2	0.6/4	0.9/8	_	_	—
Species in microplots		13	14	21	16	24	29	24	19	25	21
Coverage of shrubs		20	27	34	34	20	33	38	84	95	54
Coverage of graminoids		2	2	6		1	32	16	9	15	18
Coverage of forbs		1	3	3	8	4	26	11	24	8	4
Cotal coverage (percent)		23	32	42	42	25	91	65	117	118	76

¹+ = Coverage of less than 0.5%.
 * = Species present in the macroplot but absent from the microplots.

	Stand number	1	5	7	8	29	38	
Quarter		SW	SW	SW	NW	SW	SE	NW
Section		33	14	14	11	8	17	32
Township		2S	4E	4E	1E	3E	1E	60W
Range		3E	4N	4N	4N	3S	1N	51N
Topographic position:								
Slope (percent)		12	17	12	32		5	14
Aspect (degrees)		328	186	232	276		226	306
Elevation (meters)		1,394	1,554	1,554	1,737	1,645	1,989	1,859
	Cov	erage/Fre	aquency ¹					
Shrubs								
Amelanchier alnifolia			1.4/16	+ /4		0.6/22		1.3/14
Arctostaphylos uva-ursi		9.1/48	*		14/80	1.9/10	31/92	_
Berberis repens		+/2	12/82	6.2/42	2.1/44	*	1.7/28	6.6/82
Chimaphila umbellata					+/2			
Juniperus communis		28/52	27/42	38/62	20/62	42/78	16/44	4.2/6
Prunus americana								+ /8
Frunus virginiana Purola socundo				+/2	+/6			_
Pyrola seculda Bibos coroum					0.7710			
Rosa acicularis		±/6	0 6/24	±/10	1 3/20		 + /2	_
Shepherdia canadensis		4 5/16	0.0/24		5 1/22	0.8/2		1.3/20
Spiraea betulifolia			0 6/12	2 5/22	3 9/48	+ 12		9.4/74
Symphoricarpos albus		2.9/34	19/88	23/94	+ /8	1.7/38	12/28	•
Toxicodendron rydbergii			+/2					_
Creminoido								
Graminoids								
Agropyron Smithi Bromus insemis		0.0/24	0 0/22	. 11 4	1 2/20			
Bromus nubescens		0.9/24	0.0/32	+/14	1.3/30	 + /6		_
Carey foenea				± /8		+10	1 1/14	5 2/18
Carex heliophila		+12						5.210
Danthonia spicata							2.5/14	0.6/4
Orvzopsis asperifolia					2.6/22		3.3/18	1.3/10
Poa interior						+/6		_
Poa pratensis			3.5/22	+/10				*
Stipa occidentalis							*	•
Forbs								
Achillea millefolium			1.1/14	+/6	+/6		0.7/8	•
Anemone patens			+/4	+/2	+/14	+/2		_
Antennaria neglecta Antennaria plantaginifelia		+/2	. 14					-
Antennaria plantayinnona Anoovnum androsaomifolium			+ 14	+/0				-
Artemisia ludoviciana			+12	 /9		+12		
Arnica cordifolia			T12		±/6			_
Astragalus alpinus						*		_
Astragalus spp.			+/2	•				_
Balsamorhiza saqittata							*	_
Campanula rotundifolia						•	+ /6	_
Cerastium arvense				+/2				_
Clematis pseudoalpina					+ /2			_
Clematis tenuiloba		0.6/24						_
Fragaria virginiana		4/16	+ /4	+/6	0.9/26	+ /8	+ /8	_
Galium boreale						+/14	+ /8	+ /6
Galium obtusum			0.6/14	1.3/22	+/14			_
Geum triflorum		+ /4						_
Haplopappus armérioides						•		_
Hedysarum alpinum							1.9/12	_
Iris missouriensis		0.7/18				•		_
Latnyrus ocnfoleucus					0.6/12		1.2/18	
Lupinus argenteus Molilotus albus			0.7/16	+ /4	-	-	+/2	•
Musinoon topullalium			U.9/14	1.3/12				_
wusineon tenuitoilum Monordo fistuloco		+ /4				+/2		
wonarua iistulosa				+12				-

Table A-7.—Location, topographic position, coverage (percent), and frequency (percent) of undergrowth species in stands of *Pinus ponderosalJuniperus communis* habitat type.

.

Table A-7.—Continued.

	Stand number	1	5	7	8	29	38	53
Phlox alvssifolia	<u> </u>	+/6						
Potentilla fruticosa					•			_
Potentilla hippiana		*				*		_
Senecio spp.				•				
Smilacina racemosa				•	+ /4			_
Smilacina stellata		+/10				+/10		1.2/18
Swertia radiata					+ /8	•		_
Taraxacum officinale			+ /6	+/2				_
Thalictrum venulosum					1.7/12			
Thalictrum dioicum								+ /4
Thermopsis rhombifolia				*				_
Toxicodendron rydbergii			+ /2					_
Vicia americana			0.8/10	*	*	•		_
Viola adunca		+ /4		+	+ /6			+ /40
Viola canadensis					+/2			_
Zigadenus elegans					0.6/14	+ /2		_
Zizia aptera					+/10			_
Mosses and Lichens								0.9/6
Species in microplots		17	22	22	27	15	15	14
Coverage of shrubs		45	61	71	48	47	61	23
Coverage of graminoids		1	4	1	4		7	7
Coverage of forbs		7	6	4	6	1	5	3
Total coverage (percent)		53	71	76	58	48	73	33

¹+ = Coverage of less than 0.5%.
* = Species present in the macroplot but absent from the microplots.

 Table A-8.—Location, topogrphic position, coverage (percent), and frequency (percent) of undergrowth species in stands of Populus tremuloides/Corylus cornuta habitat type, including the Pteridium aquilinum and Aralia nudicaulis phases.

	Stand number		Рор	ulus/Corg	ylus		Pter	A <i>ralia</i> phase		
		67	69	55	2	31	25	26	30	43
Location:										
Quarter		NW	SW	NE	SE	SE	NW	SW	NE	SW
Section		11	30	27	34	31	8	5	8	30
Township		2S	5N	5N	1S	5N	52N	52N	51N	4N
Range		5E	1E	4E	6E	1E	63W	63W	60W	5E
Topographic position:										
Slope (percent)			7	9	40	7			7	12
Aspect (degrees)			56	8	30	38			295	340
Elevation (meters)		1,533	1,902	1,387	1,219	1,874	1,737	1,653	1,585	1,554
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(Coverage	Frequent	cy ¹					
Shrubs										
Amelanchier alnifolia		0.6/4	2.5/6	+ /4	•	4.5/8	0.7/8	2.9/20	13/42	1/8
Berberis repens			+/2	2/20		2/28	5.7/50	0.9/16	5.4/44	1.7/16
Corylus cornuta	8	7/100	43/86		70/100	73/96	47/92	4.7/24	28/62	12/62
Crataegus succulenta							•	+ /2	1.5/4	_
Lonicera dioica	3	3.8/32	3.9/16	0.7/8	1.4/18		0.8/2		0.7/8	+ /6
Ostrya virginiana				8.9/62				_	_	—
Physocarpus monogynus		•			5.4/28				_	_
Prunus virginiana		+/2		+/10	3.4/24	+ /4	1/4		1.7/20	1.3/10
Pyrola asarifolia		15/66		+/6	5.4/46	3/58			0.9/28	_
Pyrola elliptica				0.6/12			0.9/26	+ /4		_
Pyrola secunda		+ /2		+ /4	1.2/20	*			—	0.8/12
Quercus macrocarpa									•	_
Ribes odoratum		0.7/8		+ /4	0.7/6		٠		3.6/22	+ /6
Rosa acicularis		+/2	1/6	0.7/16	1.1/14			7.5/62	3/34	2/28
Rubus idaeus			+ /2	+ /6	0.6/6	1.1/14	1.5/12	+ /4	1.2/10	19/78
Rubus parviflorus			2.5/6			*	•	•	+/2	
Bubus pubescens		3 4/26	0.5/8	1 7/10						3 9/22
Spiraea betulifolia			0.5/8	1.3/10	2 8/34	+ /10	9 7/42	2 9/20	11/54	+ 12
Symphoricarpos albus		0.9/6	1 8/12	8.1/44	2 6/38	2 9/36	+ /4	3 6/34	5 1/46	4 1/42
Symphoricarpos occidentalis		0.7/8	2/12						_	
Graminoids										
Agropyron repens									_	0.9
Bromus ciliatus									_	+ /2
Calamagrostis canadensis								+/2	_	1.1/6
Carex aurea				+ /4					—	_
Carex deweyana			+ /4						_	_
Carex foenea								1/10		_
Carex saximontana		8/24			2.4/22			+ /2	_	_
Carex sprengelii		+/2					+/2	+ /6	+ /2	
Danthonia spicata									_	•
Elymus virginicus	4	4.8/36	7.8/46				3.7/28	1.6/22	+ /2	4/28
Festuca subulata			1.1/8						_	_
Melica subulata							13/80	4.7/62	1.5/32	_
Oryzopsis asperifolia			2.8/26	19/86	3.3/44	1.8/24	1.3/12	+ /2	3.6/48	14/58
Phleum pratense									_	9.6/50
Poa pratensis			2.2/16			1.4/14	+ /4	1/30	_	7/32
Schizachne purpurascens						6.1/64			_	_
Facha										
		-			-		-	0.6/12	+/4	+/4
Adonoopulon bioolor		1.1/6	9.8/52		1.1/8	12/56		•	+/2	_
Agrimonia stricto									+/2	_
Apophalia margaritanan								+/2		•
Anomono considerate						*	0.6/4	1.4/16	-	-
Anemone canadensis		-	+/2						-	-
Anemone cylinarica									-	+/6
Apocynum androsaemitolium				+/2	+ /6			+/2	+/2	+/2
Aquilegia Canadensis					0.7/8				—	_
Aralia nudicaulis		27/8	1.5/10	2.3/14	6.9/50	7.5/32	3/12	+/2	2.8/12	47/96
Artemiele futurit	•		5/50	1.7/48		13/44	1.1/6	2.9/18	0.9/28	-
Artemisia ludoviciana								+ /4	—	_

Table A-8.—Continued.

	Populus/Corvius						<i>ridium</i> ph	ase	Aralia phase
	Stand number 67	69	55	2	31	25	26	30	43
Aster ciliolatus	+/2	3.2/28	1.4/24	+ /12	7.3/64	1/18	1.7/18	_	19/80
Astragalus alpinus								_	0.6/4
Cornus canadensis			•					_	_
Delphinium nuttallianum						•		_	_
Disporum trachycarpum		9.1/40	0.8/20		9.2/64	+ /2	•	2.8/46	2.6/42
Dodecatheon spp.				•					
Fragaria virginiana	3.4/24	1.6/16		1.7/28	2.8/40	2/20	2.8/52	0.6/14	1.4/14
Gallum boreale	-					+/8	1.7/38	+/4	1/20
Gallum triflorum	1.4/26	7.8/42	0.8/8	+/10	0.9/16	2.9/20	1.4/16	1.4/26	_
Geranium bicknellii			+/2					—	-
Geranium richardsonii	2.8/22	+/6			6.7/42		1/10	_	-
Goodyera repens			+/2						
Habenaria viridis						+/2	+/2	—	+/2
Halenia deflexa								—	2.7/36
Hedysarum alpinum				1/4					
Heracleum sphondylium	-	3.6/20			12/74	2.6/24	2.3/12	+/6	4/26
Heuchera richardsonii				-		-	+ /2		-
			+12				1 0/10	1.2/02	0 7/00
Lathyrus ochroleucus		3.2/20	+12	+ /0	1.7/18	+ 14	1.9/10	0.7710	3.7/30
Linnaea Dorealis			2.3/12						_
Lupinus argenteus								+ /4	
Malanthemum canadense	4.6/48	0.9/25	2.5/70	5.1/68	1.5/50			+/10	2/38
Nepeta cataria Osmoshizo chilopoio		4 0/40		+ /2	15/04	15/90		2 9/52	
		4.9/40	+ 14		10/04	15/60	7.5/70	3.0/52	-
Osmorniza depauperata		2.4/20						_	—
Parinenocissus vilacea		6 4/07	0/14		2 4/10	22/74	22/06	21/76	—
Pteriorum aquinnum Pteriorpera andremedea		0.4/2/	3/14		2.4/10	23/14	22190	21//0	_
Pierospora anoromedea Panunculus abortivus						+ 12		_	_
Serieulo morilondico		2 1/22		. /10	1 9/20	2 2/26	7 2154	1/22	6 2/64
	0.0/12	2.1/22		+/12	1.0/20	3.2/30	1.2134	1/22	0.5/04
Smilacina racemosa Smilacina stollata		2 8/26	+ 12	0 7/9	1 1/14	1 6/22	1 9/24	0 7/8	+12
Smilav horbacoa	170	2.0/20	+ 12	0.770	1.1/14	1.0/22	1.5/24	0.170	+ <i>I</i> L
Taravacum officinale		±/12	0.6/12		0.9/6	2 2/20	7 3/66	+/12	2/20
Thelictrum desvceroum	0.8/10	3 0/22	1 1/3/	0.6/4	6 4/42	1 7/8	4 6/30	2 4/36	± /4
Toxicodendron rydbergii	0.0/10	0.3/22	1.1104	1 8/24	0.4/42			+ 12	5 6/44
Trifolium repens		0 5/12			+12		+/14	+ /2	-
Vicia americana					+ /6	•	0.9/16	+/6	1.4/16
Viola adunca								+/10	+ /2
Viola canadensis	7 3/58	20/89	+ /6	1.6/24	15/86	19/96	9.8/68	1/2	1.3/42
Viola renifolia							•	_	
Woodsia spp.				+/2				_	_
Zizia aptera				+/2				—	_
Mosses and Lichens	3.3/8		2/12					_	-
Species in microplots	26	37	35	32	31	33	42	44	41
Coverage of shrubs	113	58	25	95	87	68	23	75	46
Coverage of graminoids	13	14	19	6	9	18	9	6	37
Coverage of forbs	54	89	20	23	118	80	91	49	102
•									

¹+ = Coverage of less than 0.5%.
* = Species present in the macroplot but absent from the microplots.

 Table A-9.—Location, topographic position, coverage (percent), and frequency (percent) of undergrowth species in stands of Picea glauca/Vaccinium scoparium and Picea glauca/Linnaea borealis habitat types.

	Picea-Vaccinium					Pic	ea-Linna	ea					
	Stand number 47	46	9	51	48	15	40	52	50	60			
Location:													
Quarter	NE	NW	SE	SW	NW	NE	SW	SE	NW	SE			
Section	23	12	14	26	4	11	5	28	7	21			
Township	4N	4N	4N	- 4N	2N	1S	1N	4N	2S	1N			
Range	2E	2E	1E	1E	2E	2E	1E	1E	4E	2E			
lopographic position:								~~					
Slope (percent)	29	10	25	2	35	17	26	32	16	26			
Aspect (degrees) Elevation (meters)	12 1,813	271 2,040	330 1,737	166 1,935	231 1,913	320 1,768	334 1,958	300 1,852	312 1,829	18 1,957			
		Co	verage/Fr	equency ¹				<u> </u>					
Shruba				<u>-</u>									
Amelanchier ainifolia	0 7/6	+12				•	± 12	±12		± /2			
Arctostanhvlos uva-ursi	۲/۵ ۱/۵	2 2/22	4/30	3 7/32		±/10	1 3/2	0.7/6	7 1/38	+ /L			
Berberis repens	2 8/34	4 5/50	7 2/72	0.1102 14	4 9/48	+/2	4 2/30	11/80	1.1/00	_			
Betula occidentalis	2.0.04					*							
Cornus canadensis	+/4							_	_	_			
Juniperus communis	6.2/36	14/46	11/42	8.5/18		5.2/38	17/46	10/34	+ /4	1.1/6			
Linnaea borealis	11/76				19/70	11/54	36/96	6.4/28	24/66	19/78			
Lonicera dioica	*				+/2	*	0.6/4	0.6/4	+/2	_			
Prunus virginiana								*	-				
Pyrola secunda	+/4	+ /6	0.2/16		2.2/26	•	1.6/32	+ /4	—	1.2/16			
Pyrola virens					0.9/24		+/10	_	_				
Ribes setosum								_	*				
Rosa acicularis	2.4/34	1/12	1.1/34	1.4/26	4.6/46	+ /6	5.7/58	+ /4	5.4/64	1.6/16			
Rubus idaeus					•					_			
Salix scouleriana		+ /2								-			
Shepherdia canadensis	•	3.7/6				+ /2	1.8/14	+/2	1.6/14	-			
Spiraea betulifolia	13/68	7.3/52	5.5/62	6.3/56		4.2/58		•					
Symphoricarpos albus Vaccinium scoparium	+/14 2.3/30	+ /6 4.7/100	 18/70	+ /4 58/86	1.3/14 17/58	+/6 	+/8 	2/28	0.8/12	1/10			
Graminoids													
Bromus inermis							1.9/14	_	_	_			
Carex concinna	1.5/18	4/28			1.3/10		0.7/6	4.3/20	-				
Carex foenea		+ /6		5/26				0.7/8	3.1/28	_			
Carex microptera		0.5/10		+ /4		+ /8		-	1.2/14	+/2			
Carex spp.					•	+ /2		_	-	-			
Danthonia spicata		1.9/26		3.3/24				-	-	-			
Elymus innovatus				+ /4				-		-			
Coursensis asperifelia	10/60	0	2/20	2 2/26	10/40	1/20	10/50	e 5/20					
Oryzopsis asperitolia Oryzopsis nungens	12/02	+/0	2/30	3.3/20	10/46	1122	12/00	0.5/30	0 7/9	5/30			
Poa interior	 /8		0 5/14			+ /0	+ 14	_	0.776	_			
Poa ovina	+70		0.5/14		 + /A		+ /4	0 7/10	_	_			
Poa pratensis	1.6/14	•	0 5/24	+ /10	3 5/26	+12	3 2/18	0.7710 ⊥/∆	4 6/28	_			
Schizachne purpurascen	s				1.5/10		5.7/20	-	4.2/22	_			
Forbs													
Achillea millefolium	+/10	+/2	+ /4	+/2		+/6	+ /4	+ /4	_	_			
Aconitum columbianum	*			*	2.6/18				•	-			
Actaea rubra					*		*	_	-	_			
Anaphalis margaritacea				0.8/4					-	_			
Anemone cylindrica								+ /8	-	-			
Anemone multifida	+/10				+/2								
Antennaria plantagicitat	 0.6/10	1 7/10				+/6	+/2	_		_			
	a U.0/12	1.7/10		1/12	+/4	4/32	+ /2	-	0.7/8	-			
	mum	1.3/20						_	+/18				
Arenaria latorifloro		. 14			-			_	-	•			
Arnica cordifolia		+/4						, 10	-				
Arnica rydberaii	0.8/4				+12		+/4	+ 10 /					
Aster ciliolatus		1/8			5/28		•	± /2	_	_			
Astragalus alpinus						0.6/12			0.9/8	_			

Table A-9.—Continued.

	Picea-Vaccinium						Pic	ea-Linna	111808				
	Stand number 47	46	9	51	48	15	40	52	50	60			
Campanula rotundifolia	0.7/6	0.8/10		1/10	•	+ /2			•				
Castilleja sulphurea	1.2/16	•			*		+/2	_	—				
Cirsium spp.								-	+/2	_			
Clematis tenuiloba	10/74		1.2/28		2.7/28		7.9/72	1/18	-	-			
Corallorhiza maculata		*		*		*		-	-				
Disporum trachycarpum	+ /4		+ /4		+/2			1.6/6	—	-			
Dodecatheon pauciflorun	n +/4					0.7/8		—	_	-			
Epilobium angustifolium		*			+/2		*		0.6/12	-			
Fragaria virginiana	0.7/26	+/2	0.8/20	+/6	2.1/44	1.4/24	6.8/64	+/10	2.8/42	1.6/12			
Galium boreale	1.2/18	0.8/20	+ /4	+/2	2.3/32		4.7/40	+/12	+/2	0.6/6			
Galium triflorum					0.7/6			-		-			
Gentiana amarella				•				—	+ /4	_			
Geranium richardsonii					-			-	-	-			
Goodyera obiongitolia	+/4							-	_	_			
Goodyera repens	+/10		+/6	+/4				_		_			
Habenaria viridis	1/10					+/2		-	+ /4				
Halenia deflexa	+ /6				+/14				0.7720	_			
Hedysarum alpinum			0.6/14	-	0.7/8	+/2	+ 14	+ /2	1.5/18	-			
Heuchera richardsonii					-	+ /8		-	+/10				
Hieracium albiflorum				2.2/26				_		_			
Hieracium umbellatum	2.8/22	+ /8		+/2	+/4			-		-			
Lathyrus ochroleucus	0.6/12	5.9/32	+/10	+/6	2.1/24	+ /4	1.8/12	-	+12	_			
Lilium philadelphicum								-	-				
Lupinus argenteus	+ /2			-		+/2		—	4 5 40	-			
Malanthemum canadense		0.9/16						-	1.5/40				
Monarda fistulosa		+/4						-		2 2/20			
Osmorniza chilensis					2.1/20		+ /4	—		3.2/20			
Osmorniza spp.			-	+/2				-		_			
Oxytropis campestris						. 14		_	_	_			
Phiox alyssitolia						+ /4				_			
Polygala senega	+ /2							-	_	-			
Potentilla gracilis				14/40	+ /2			_	_				
Pieridium aquilinum Dudbookie bisouto				14/40				_	_				
Rudbeckia nirsula	+/10				0 9/10			_	± /19				
Senecio plattensis	+/10	. 10			0.8/12	+/0	+/2	1 / 19	+/10	-			
Smilacina stellata		+12			3.5/34			1.4/0	_	_			
Suidayo spp.		0.0/14			1/10		1/4		_	± 12			
Tarayaoum officinalo	2.3/22		+ /9		1 3/10	 +/6	1/12	-	_	+ <i>i</i> z			
Thelietrum diejeum		. /6	Ŧ <i>1</i> 0	0.6/4	1.5/10	+10	1/12	± 1A		_			
Trifolium sooooo	+/4	+ /0		0.0/4	+/2	0.8/4		+ /4 	_	_			
Veloriana odulia	_				•	0.0/4		_	_	_			
Vicio omericano		0 7/6					0 7/6	_	_	_			
Viole edunce	+ /10	۰.1/0 سر ۲/4		± /2	± /8	+ /8	+ 12	2 4/26	+ /4				
Viola renifolia	+/10	+14							0.7/16	_			
Zinadenus elenans	2/20		0 6/14		+ /4		2.5/22	+ /4	+/2	_			
Zigadenios elegano 7izia antera								_	_	0.6/12			
Mosses and Lichens ²	17/68	+ /4		12/40	30/84	16/64	18/58	10/48	17/84	24/72			
Species in microplots	41	34	20	28	38	31	36	30	31	14			
Coverage of shrubs ³	39	38	47	78	50	21	69	32	39	24			
Coverage of graminoids ³	15	7	3	12	17	2	25	12	14	5			
Coverage of forbs ³	44	16	4	33	59	26	46	18	28	30			
Total coverage (percent)	98	61	54	123	126	49	140	62	81	59			
			-										

¹+ = Coverage less than 0.5%.
 * = Species present in the macroplot but absent from the microplots.
 ²In summing species in microplots, mosses and lichens are counted as one forb in each stand where they occurred.
 ³In calculating coverage sums, + is taken to be 0.2%.

Table A-10.—Location, topographic position, coverage (percent), and frequency (percent) of undergrowth species in stands of *Cer-cocarpus montanus/Bouteloua curtipendula* habitat type.

Stand num	ıber 35	36	56
Quarter	SW	NW	NE
Section	9	32	18
Townshin	55	55	35
Bange	2E	6E	1E
Topographic position:		02	
Slope (percent)	21	38	32
Aspect (degrees)	140	80	82
Elevation (meters)	1,463	1,265	1,493
Coverage/	Frequency ¹		
Shrubs			
Artemisia frigida	1.3/6	0.8/6	0.6/4
Cercocarpus montanus	45/95	41/90	41/90
Gutierrezia sarothrae	1.1/6	5,2/22	
Juniperus scopulorum			4.6/26
Rhus aromatica	1.7/2	6.7/18	8.4/22
Oromia sida			
Graminolds	. 14		
Agropyron dasystacnyum	+ /4		0.7/0
Andropogon scoparius	0 5/00		2.110
Anstida longiseta Reuteleus surtinendule	3.3/20	+ /4	+ /4
	24/70	3/100	29//0
Bromus japonicus		+/12	•
	+ /4		4 7/40
Oryzopsis nymenolaes	2.1/8	1/4	1.//12
Cryzopsis micranina	4 0/04	10/20	3.0/12
Sitanion riystrix	4.2/34	2/14	+12
Sporodolus cryptandrus Stipa comata		9.5/42	0.7/2
Forbs			
Allium son	+12		*
Argemone polyanthemos	•		_
Artemisia ludoviciana		2 2/30	_
Aster oblongifolius	0.9/16	3 3/28	•
Astranalus gracilis	+ 12	0.0/20	_
Cryptantha celosioides	+12		_
Funhorhia fendleri	•		± 12
Evolvulus nuttallianus	± /A		+ /2
Hedeoma hisnida	2/34	2 6/30	2 2/30
Helianthus maximiliani	2/04	2.0/00	2.2100
l inum nerenne			2 3/32
Lithospermum incisum	0.8/14		2.0/02
Mentzelia oligosperma	0.0/14	0.8/6	_
Opuntia polyacantha	+12	0.0/0	_
Phiox hoodii			0 6/16
Polygala alba	•	+ /14	0.0/10
Sphaeralcea coccinea			+ /12
Tragopogon dubius		+ 12	
Yucca glauca		*	3 2/8
Mosses and Lichens	+ /4		5.270
Species in microplots	10	18	17
Coverage of shrubs	19	54	1/ EE
Coverage of graminoide	45 21	04 £1	20
Coverage of grammolds	34 E	01	<u>ა</u> შ
Total coverage (nercent)	00	9 104	100
Total coverage (percent)	00	124	102

¹+ = Coverage of less than 0.5%.
* = Species present in the macroplot but absent from the microplots.

 Hoffman, George R.; Alexander, Robert R. 1987. Forest vegetation of the Black Hills National Forest of South Dakota and Wyoming: a habitat type classification. Res. Pap. RM-276. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 48 p. A vegetation classification based on concepts and methods developed by Daubenmire was used to identify 12 forest habitat types and one shrub habitat type in the Black Hills. Included were two habitat types in the Quercus macrocarpa series, seven in the Pinus ponderosa series, one in the Populus tremuloides series, two in the Pinus ponderosa series, and one in the Cercocarpus montana series. A key to identify the habitat types and one in the management implications associated with each are provided. 	Keywords: Vegetation classification, habitat types, Quercus macrocar- pa, Pinus ponderosa, Populus tremuloides, Picea glauca, Cercocarpus montanus	Hoffman, George R.; Alexander, Robert R. 1987. Forest vegetation of the Black Hills National Forest of South Dakota and Wyoming: a habitat type classification. Res. Pap. RM-276. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 48 p.	A vegetation classification based on concepts and methods developed by Daubenmire was used to identify 12 forest habitat types and one shrub habitat type in the Black Hills. Included were two habitat types in the Quercus macrocarpa series, seven in the Pinus ponderosa series, one in the Populus tremuloides series, two in the Picea glauca series, and one in the Cercocarpus montana series. A key to identify the habitat types and the management implications associated with each are provided.	Keywords: Vegetation classification, habitat types, Quercus macrocar- pa, Pinus ponderosa, Populus tremuloides, Picea glauca, Cercocarpus montanus
Hoffman, George R.; Alexander, Robert R. 1987. Forest vegetation of the Black Hills National Forest of South Dakota and Wyoming: a habitat type classification. Res. Pap. RM–276. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 48 p. A vegetation classification based on concepts and methods developed by Daubenmire was used to identify 12 forest habitat types and one shrub habitat type in the Black Hills. Included were two habitat types in the Quercus macrocarpa series, seven in the <i>Pinus ponderosa</i> series, one in the <i>Populus tremuloides</i> series, two in the <i>Picea glauca</i> series, and one in the management implications associated with each are provided.	Keywords: Vegetation classification, habitat types, Quercus macrocar- pa, Pinus ponderosa, Populus tremuloides, Picea glauca, Cercocarpus montanus	Hoffman, George R.; Alexander, Robert R. 1987. Forest vegetation of the Black Hills National Forest of South Dakota and Wyoming: a habitat type classification. Res. Pap. RM–276. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 48 p.	A vegetation classification based on concepts and methods developed by Daubenmire was used to identify 12 forest habitat types and one shrub habitat type in the Black Hills. Included were two habitat types in the Quercus macrocarpa series, seven in the <i>Pinus ponderosa</i> series, one in the <i>Populus tremuloides</i> series, two in the <i>Picea glauca</i> series, and one in the <i>Cercocarpus montana</i> series. A key to identify the habitat types and the management implications associated with each are provided.	Keywords: Vegetation classification, habitat types, Quercus macrocar- pa, Pinus ponderosa, Populus tremuloides, Picea glauca, Cercocarpus montanus



Mountains



Southwest

Great

Plains

U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service

Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station

The Rocky Mountain Station is one of eight regional experiment stations, plus the Forest Products Laboratory and the Washington Office Staff, that make up the Forest Service research organization.

RESEARCH FOCUS

Research programs at the Rocky Mountain Station are coordinated with area universities and with other institutions. Many studies are conducted on a cooperative basis to accelerate solutions to problems involving range, water, wildlife and fish habitat, human and community development, timber, recreation, protection, and multiresource evaluation.

RESEARCH LOCATIONS

Research Work Units of the Rocky Mountain Station are operated in cooperation with universities in the following cities:

Albuquerque, New Mexico Flagstaff, Arizona Fort Collins, Colorado* Laramie, Wyoming Lincoln, Nebraska Rapid City, South Dakota Tempe, Arizona

*Station Headquarters: 240 W. Prospect St., Fort Collins, CO 80526