INTERAGENCY MOBILE FOOD SERVICES PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (1276-E)

| Contractor: | | Contract No: | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Unit No: | | Incident Name: | | |
| | | | | |
| each rating ca | | and circle the descriptive rating corresponding to rovide specific details and comments supporting tions from FAR Table 42-1). | | |
| Quality of Services | Comments: | | | |
| | | Exceptional | | |
| | | Very Good | | |
| | | Satisfactory | | |
| | | Marginal | | |
| | | Unsatisfactory | | |
| Equipment | Comments: | | | |
| | | Exceptional | | |
| | | Very Good | | |
| | | Satisfactory | | |
| | | Marginal | | |
| | | Unsatisfactory | | |
| Schedule: | Comments: | | | |
| Timeliness of Performance | | Exceptional | | |
| | | Very Good | | |
| | | Satisfactory | | |
| | | Marginal | | |
| | - | Unsatisfactory | | |
| Business Relations: | Comments: | | | |
| Working | | Exceptional | | |
| With | | Very Good | | |
| Government and Other | | Satisfactory | | |
| Contractors | | Marginal | | |
| | | Unsatisfactory Form 1276-E (06/2019) | | |

ORIGINAL - CONTRACTING OFFICER, NIFC; COPY 1 - KITCHEN UNIT; COPY 2 - CONTRACTOR; COPY 3 - FDUL; COPY 4 - USING AGENCY

INTERAGENCY MOBILE FOOD SERVICES PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (CONTINUATION SHEET 1276-H)

| Contractor: | | | Contract No: | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------|-------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Unit No: | | | Incident Name: | | | |
| | | | Inclusive Dates: | | | |
| Management of Key | Comments. | | | | | |
| Personnel | | | | Exceptional | | |
| | | | | Very Good | | |
| | | | | Satisfactory | | |
| | | | | Marginal | | |
| | | | | Unsatisfactory | | |
| Key Personnel Performance: Name: | | Comments: | | | | |
| Name: | | Comments: | | | | |
| Name: | | Comments: | | | | |
| Given the choice, would you select this Contractor again? 	Yes No Explain. | | | | | | |
| Rating Official Name/Title: | | | | | | |
| Signature: | | | | | | |
| Date: | | | _ E-Mail Address: | | | |
| Contractor Representative Name/Title: | | | | | | |
| Signature: | | | Phone Number: | | | |
| Date: | | | _ | | | |
| L | Form 1276-H (06/2019) | | | | | |

Any Contractor comments regarding this performance evaluation must be submitted, in writing, to the Contracting Officer within 30 days of receipt by the Contractor's Representative.

ORIGINAL - CONTRACTING OFFICER, NIFC; COPY 1 - KITCHEN UNIT; COPY 2 - CONTRACTOR; COPY 3 - FDUL; COPY 4 - USING AGENCY

PERFORMANCE RATING DEFINITIONS (FROM FAR 42.15 - TABLE 42-1)

Quality of Services and Equipment

Very Good: Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were effective.

Satisfactory: Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or subelement contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory.

Marginal: Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented.

Unsatisfactory: Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains a serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective.

Schedule: Timeliness of Performance

Very Good: Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were effective.

Satisfactory: Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or subelement contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory.

Marginal: Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented.

Unsatisfactory: Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains a serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective.

Business Relations and Management of Key Personnel

Very Good: Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were effective.

Satisfactory: Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or subelement contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory.

Marginal: Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented.

Unsatisfactory: Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains a serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective.

All Rating Factors

Exceptional: Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were highly effective. *Note: It is expected that this rating will be used in those RARE circumstances where contractor performance clearly exceeds the performance levels described as "Very Good".*