



Peer Review Plan

(Reference [Information Quality Act](#))

FS-1400-0003 (V.1.1) 3/12

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Influential Scientific Information		<input type="checkbox"/> Highly Influential Scientific Assessment	
Agency USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station			
Principle Investigator Jonathan Long			
Title of Study Science Synthesis to Promote Resilience of Social-ecological Systems in the Sierra Nevada and Southern Cascades			
Field of Study Ecosystem Management and Forest Restoration			
Type of Review			
<input type="checkbox"/> Panel Review		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Alternative Process (Briefly Explain):	
<input type="checkbox"/> Individual Review		<div>Multiple reviewers from numerous USFS and other government agencies and institutions participated in the review process</div>	
Estimate Date for Completion 9/27/2013		Number of Reviewers <input type="checkbox"/> 3 or fewer <input type="checkbox"/> 4 to 10 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> More than 10	
Primary Discipline/Types of Expertise needed for Review Ecologist, Natural Resources Specialist, Wildlife Biologist, Research Scientist			
Reviewer Names and Affiliations Jane L. Hayes, USFS, Pacific Southwest Research Station Cass Moseley, Oregon State University Rick Bottoms, USFS, Pacific Southwest Research Station Alan Taylor, Penn State University Joe Stringer, USFS, Pacific Southwest Region Scott Stephens, University of California, Berkeley Deb Whitehall, USFS, Pacific Southwest Region Kat Anderson, University of California, Davis Jonathan Kusel, Sierra Institute for Community and Environment			
Expected Publication Outlet (Science or similar Peer Reviewed Journal) Agency General Technical Report			
Reviewers Selected by: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agency		<input type="checkbox"/> Designated Outside Organization Organization's Name: _____	
Opportunities for Public Comment? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
If yes, briefly state how and when these opportunities will be provided: How: via web posting and letters When: March - July 2013			

Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments ☒ Yes ☐ No

Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel ☐ Yes ☒ No

Other Comments