



# Peer Review Plan

(Reference [Information Quality Act](#))

FS-1400-0003 (V.1.2) 5/16

☒ Influential Scientific Information Peer

☐ Highly Influential Scientific Assessment Peer

Agency

USDA Forest Service

Agency Contact (name/ email/ phone)

Therese Poland, therese.poland@usda.gov, 517-884-8062

Title of Review

Wood Borer Detection Rates on Wood Packaging Materials Entering the United States During Different Phases of ISPM 131

Purpose of Review

To ensure scientific rigor of data analysis and results on wood treatment efficacy as results may impact regulations

## Type of Review

☐ Panel Review

☐ Individual Review

☒ Alternative Process (Briefly Explain):

The publication was scientifically reviewed by 9 scientific experts on woodborers & regulatory treatment of solid wood packing material including USDA APHIS scientists, and by USDA FS station statistician, & underwent policy review by David Schimmelpfennig Director APHIS PP

Timing of Review

08/26/2022

Start

06/01/2022

End

08/26/2022

☐ 3 or fewer

Number of Reviewers

☐ 4 to 10

☒ More than 10

Primary Discipline/Types of Expertise Needed for Review

Entomology (particularly woodboring insects), Regulatory Treatments

Reviewer Names and Affiliations

Scientific Reviews:

Eric A. Allen (CFS), Brad A. Gething (NWPCA), Leigh Greenwood (TNC), Meghan K. Noseworthy (CFS), Daniel R. Miller (SRS), Robert A. Progar (WO), Michael Ormsby (Biosecurity NZ), APHIS = Edna Cintron, Manuel Colunga, Tyrone Jones

Statistical Review: John Stanovick (NRS)

APHIS Policy Review: David Schimmelpfennig, Director APHIS PPQ

Expected Publication Outlet (Science or Similar Peer Reviewed Journal)

Frontiers in Forests and Global Change (peer reviewed journal - will undergo additional blind peer review by scientific experts selected by the journal editorial board)

Reviewers Selected by: ☐ Agency

☒ Designated Outside Organization

Organization's Name: Frontiers in Forests and Global C

Opportunities for Public Comment? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, briefly state how and when these opportunities will be provided:

How:

When:

Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Commentary

☐ Yes

☒ No

**Summary of Peer Reviewers' Comments**

Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel

☐ Yes

☒ No

**Other Comments**